## Online Supplementary Table 6: Attrition bias in individual studies

Title	Firt author	Journal	Year	Judgement on the	Quote	Comment
				risk of attrition bias		
Myocardial Extracellular Volume	Chen	J Magn Reson	2020	Low	Twenty-eight patients were recruited, three of whom had a three-vessel	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
Fraction Allows Differentiation of		Imaging			disease, and one had severe arrhythmias.	given.
Reversible Versus Irreversible						
Myocardial Damage and Prediction of						
Adverse Left Ventricular Remodeling of						
ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction						
Acute Microvascular Impairment Post-	Borlotti	JACC	2019	Low	Of 104 patients withSTEMI who consented, 40 were excluded because	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
Reperfused STEMI Is Reversible and		Cardiovasc			of claustrophobia or technical issues (n 1/4 12), bystander	given.
Has Additional Clinical Predictive		Imaging			cardiomyopathy (n ¼ 6), poor-quality image (n ¼ 10), and declined	
Value: A CMR OxAMI Study					follow-up scan (n $^{1\!$	
					follow-up scan. The measurement of IMR, CFR, and Tmn was feasible	
					in 53 of 64 patients. Clinical and demographic baseline characteristics	
					are shown in Table 1.	
Elevated serum uric acid affects	Mandurino-Mirizzi	J Cardiovasc	2018	Low	Out of the dataset including 126 patients, we analyzed $N = 101$ patients,	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
myocardial reperfusion and infarct size		Med			of whom 87 (86.1%) presented with anterior myocardial infarction	given.
in patients with ST-segment elevation					(MI), who had CMR and SUA data: eSUA was present in 16 (15.8%)	
myocardial infarction undergoing					patients; none of the patients was assuming allopurinol or feboxostat at	
primary percutaneous coronary					the time of the index event.	
intervention						
Dynamic changes in injured	Alkhalil	J Cardiov	2018	Unclear	NA	It remains unclear whether enrolled patients were excluded
myocardium, very early after acute		Magn Reson				from analysis.
myocardial infarction, quantified using						
T1 mapping cardiovascular magnetic						
resonance						

1

BMJ	Open
-----	------

CMR Native T1 Mapping Allows	Liu	Circ	2017	Unclear	NA	It remains unclear whether enrolled patients were excluded
Differentiation of Reversible Versus		Cardiovasc				from analysis.
Irreversible Myocardial Damage in ST-		Imaging				
Segment-Elevation Myocardial						
Infarction: An OxAMI Study (Oxford						
Acute Myocardial Infarction)						
Acute Infarct Extracellular Volume	Garg	Circ	2017	Low	Seventy patients were considered for inclusion, of which 50 had	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
Mapping to Quantify Myocardial Area at		Cardiovasc			baseline and follow-up CMR (Figure 1).	given.
Risk and Chronic Infarct Size on		Imaging				
Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance						
Imaging						
Morphine Does Not Affect Myocardial	Gwag	Plos One	2017	Low	NA	A flow chart indicates that the data of all included patients
Salvage in ST-Segment Elevation						were analyzed.
Myocardial Infarction						
Multi-vendor, multicentre comparison of	Nordlund	Eur Heart J	2016	Low	Of the 215 patients included in the study, 200 (93%) had complete T2-	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
contrast-enhanced SSFP and T2-STIR		Cardiovasc			STIR datasets, 204 (95%) had complete CE-SSFP datasets, and 191	given.
CMR for determining myocardium at		Imaging			(89%) had complete LGE datasets. [] Sixty-five per cent of T2-STIR	
risk in ST-elevation myocardial					vs. 97% of CE-SSFP datasets were considered of diagnostic quality (P,	
infarction					0.001, Figure 1).	
T mapping for assessment of myocardial	Cameron	Eur J Radiol	2015	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
injury and microvascular obstruction at						
one week post myocardial infarction						
Prognosis after ST-elevation myocardial	deWaha	Trials	2014	High	The reasons for a lack of CMR were claustrophobia (n = 19), death	Ten patients were excluded from analysis for reasons not
infarction: a study on cardiac magnetic					prior to CMR (n = 18), refusal (n = 15), pacemaker (n = 5), obesity (n = $(n = 16)$ )	specified.
resonance imaging versus clinical routine					7) and reasons that could not be further clarified ( $n = 10$ ). Due to a prior	
					myocardial infarction, 29 additional patients were excluded. T2-	
					weighted imaging covering the whole left ventricle was performed in	
					287 patients. T2-weighted images of 29 patients were of poor quality,	
		1	1			

					but judged to be analyzable. Finally, follow-up was completed in 278	
					(97%) patients.	
Impact of overweigt on myocardial	Sohn	Atherosclerosis	2014	Low	From January 2006 to November 2009, 349 STEMI patients visited the	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
infarct size in patients undergoing					emergency room at Samsung Medical Center. Among them, 62 patients	given.
primary percutaneous coronary					presented >12 h after symptom onset and 30 patients who did not	
interventions: A magnetic resonance					receive primary PCI, but received coronary artery bypass surgery or	
imaging study					thrombolysis, and were thus excluded from this study. Twenty-seven	
					patients who refused to undergo CMR or did not undergo CMR	
					because of hemodynamic instability were also excluded.	
Impact of white blood cell count on	Chung	Int J	2014	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
myocardial salvage, infarct size, and		Cardiovasc				
clinical outcomes in patients undergoing		Imaging				
primary percutaneous coronary						
intervention for ST-segment elevation						
myocardial infarction: a magnetic						
resonance imaging study						
Intracoronary compared with intravenous	Eitel	J Am Coll	2013	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
bolus abciximab application during		Cardiol				given in a flow chart.
primary percutaneous coronary						
intervention in ST-segment elevation						
myocardial infarction: cardiac magnetic						
resonance substudy of the AIDA STEMI						
trial						
Remote ischemic post-conditioning of	Crimi	JACC	2013	Low	We enrolled 100 patients. Four patients (2 RIPC and 2 control) had	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
the lower limb during primary		Cardiovasc			missing blood samples for primary endpoint assessment and were	given.
percutaneous coronary intervention		Interv			excluded.	
safely reduces enzymatic infarct size in						
anterior myocardial infarction: a						
randomized controlled trial						

BMJ Oper
----------

The assessment of area at risk and	Hadamitzky	JACC	2013	Low	Of these, 27 were excluded because the image quality of the CMR	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
myocardial salvage after coronary		Cardiavasc			study was insufficient for automated analysis (all in T2 studies),	given.
revascularization in acute myocardial		Imaging			resulting in a study population of 180 patients.	
infarction: comparison between CMR						
and SPECT						
Right ventricular injury in ST-elevation	Grothoff	Circ	2012	Low	Image quality for RV edema quantification was inappropriate in 29	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
myocardial infarction: risk stratification		Cardiovasc			(6.4%) patients; 7 patients were lost to follow-up. In 414 patients,	given.
by visualization of wall motion, edema,		Imaging			clinical outcome data were available.	
and delayed-enhancement cardiac						
magnetic resonance						
Distal protection device aggravated	Yoon	Int J Cardiol	2012	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
microvascular obstruction evaluated by						given in a flow chart.
cardiac MR after primary percutaneous						
intervention for ST-elevation myocardial						
infarction						
Comparison of magnetic resonance	Xu	Int J	2012	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
imaging findings in non-ST-segment		Cardiovasc				
elevation versus ST-segment elevation		Imaging				
myocardial infarction patients						
undergoing early invasive intervention						
T2-weighted cardiac MR assessment of	Viallon	J Cardiov	2012	Low	The quality of the images was judged excellent and adequate for	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
the myocardial area-at-risk and salvage		Magn Reson			analysis in all 30 CMR datasets.	
area in acute reperfused myocardial						
infarction: Comparison of state-of-the-art						
dark blood and bright blood T2-weighted						
sequences						
A high loading dose of clopidogrel	Song	Am Heart J	2012	Low	Of 207 patients who underwent CE-MRI, 9 patients were excluded	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
reduces myocardial infarct size in					from the present analysis, including 3 patients with evidence of	given.
patients undergoing primary					previous myocardial infarction on CE-MRI, 1 patient with subacute	

BMJ	Open
-----	------

percutaneous coronary intervention: a					stent thrombosis before CE-MRI, and 5 patients with unavailable	
magnetic resonance imaging study					clopidogrel loading dose data in the referring hospital.	
Microvascular resistance predicts	Payne	J Am Heart	2012	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
myocardial salvage and infarct		Assoc				
characteristics in st-elevation myocardial						
infarction						
Quantification of myocardial area at risk:	Moral	Rev Esp	2012	Low	Five (7%) patients were excluded since CMR could not be performed	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
validation of coronary angiographic		Cardiol (Engl			owing to claustrophobia, and none of them for other causes. Data from	given.
scores with cardiovascular magnetic		Ed)			the remaining 70 patients were evaluated.	
resonance methods						
Analysis of post-infarction salvaged	Monmeneu	Rev Esp	2012	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
myocardium by cardiac magnetic		Cardiol (Engl				given.
resonance. Predictors and influence on		Ed)				
adverse ventricular remodeling						
Aborted Myocardial Infarction:	Lee	AJR Am J	2012	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
Evaluation of Changes in Area at Risk,		Roentgenol				
Late Gadolinium Enhancement, and						
Perfusion Over Time and Comparison						
With Overt Myocardial Infarction						
Cardiovascular magnetic resonance-	Husser	Int J Cardiol	2013	Low	In total, 335 patients underwent a CMR study at the 1st week after	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
derived intramyocardial hemorrhage					STEMI (median 6 days). Of these, 31 patients (9%) were excluded	given.
after STEMI: Influence on long-term					from the study due to insufficient image quality in T2 imaging,	
prognosis, adverse left ventricular					resulting in 304 patients with a complete 1st week CMR study. For	
remodeling and relationship with					evaluation of late LV remodeling after STEMI, CMR was repeated at 6	
microvascular obstruction					months (189±32 days) in 234 patients. The reasons for exclusion were:	
					MACE during the first 6 months (n=23), contraindications to CMR	
					(n=14), patient/cardiologist decision (n=26) or patient not contactable	
					(n=7).	

BMJ	Open
-----	------

Reliability of myocardial salvage	Desch	Int J	2012	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
assessment by cardiac magnetic		Cardiovasc				
resonance imaging in acute reperfused		Imaging				
myocardial infarction						
Dynamic Changes in ST Segment	Weaver	Heart Lung	2011	Low	Forty-three patients were enrolled in the trial and 41	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
Resolution After Myocardial Infarction		Circ			successfullycompletedtheCMR.Two were unable to undergo the CMR	given.
and the Association with Microvascular					due to severe claustrophobia.	
Injury on Cardiac Magnetic Resonance						
Imaging						
Reperfusion haemorrhage as determined	Mather	Heart	2011	Low	Fifty-three patients were recruited. Two patients were unable to	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
by cardiovascular MRI is a predictor of					complete the first CMR scan due to claustrophobia. Two patients	given.
adverse left ventricular remodelling and					refused to attend follow-up and one patient died from an intracranial	
markers of late arrhythmic risk					haemorrhage before follow-up. Therefore, 48 patients completed	
					baseline and follow-up CMR scans.	
Timing of cardiovascular MR imaging	Mather	Radiology	2011	Low	Fifty-seven patients were recruited. Four patients were unable to	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
after acute myocardial infarction: effect					complete the first cardiovascular MR examination because of	given.
on estimates of infarct characteristics and					claustrophobia, and a further four patients refused to attend follow-up.	
prediction of late ventricular remodeling					One patient died of an intracranial hemorrhage before fi nal follow-up.	
					Therefore, 48 patients completed baseline and all follow-up	
					cardiovascular MR examinations.	
Myocardium at risk in ST-segment	Fuernau	JACC	2011	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
elevation myocardial infarction		Cardiovasc				given in a flow chart.
comparison of T2-weighted edema		Imaging				
imaging with the MR-assessed						
endocardial surface area and validation						
against angiographic scoring						
The evaluation of an	Engblom	J	2011	Unclear	NA	It remains unclear whether enrolled patients were excluded
electrocardiographic myocardial		Electrocardiol				from analysis.
ischemia acuteness score to predict the						

amount of myocardial salvage achieved						
by early percutaneous coronary						
intervention Clinical validation with						
myocardial perfusion single photon						
emission computed tomography and						
cardiac magnetic resonance						
Prognostic value and determinants of a	Eitel	Circ	2011	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
hypointense infarct core in T2-weighted		Cardiovasc				given in a flow chart.
cardiac magnetic resonance in acute		Imaging				
reperfused ST-elevation-myocardial						
infarction						
Long-term prognostic value of	Eitel	Heart	2011	Low	NA	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
myocardial salvage assessed by						given in a flow chart.
cardiovascular magnetic resonance in						
acute reperfused myocardial infarction						
Cardiovascular magnetic resonance of	Ubachs	J Cardiov	2010	Low	NA	The data of all included patients were analyzed.
the myocardium at risk in acute		Magn Reson				
reperfused myocardial infarction:						
comparison of T2-weighted imaging						
versus the circumferential endocardial						
extent of late gadolinium enhancement						
with transmural projection						
Myocardial salvage by CMR correlates	Masci	JACC	2010	Low	Thirty-seven (12%) patients were excluded from the study because of	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
with LV remodeling and early ST-		Cardiovasc			insufficient T2-weighted imaging quality, yielding a total of 260	given.
segment resolution in acute myocardial		Imaging			patients (218 men, age 59+11 years). P	
infarction						
A pilot study of rapid cooling by cold	Gotberg	Circ	2010	Low	One patient in the normothermia group had a visible thrombus in the	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
saline and endovascular cooling before		Cardiovasc			left main coronary artery and underwent emergency CABG after	given.
		Interv			angiography had been performed and was therefore excluded from	

BMJ	Open
-----	------

reperfusion in patients with ST-elevation					further analysis. One patient in the hypothermia group was prevented	
myocardial infarction					from immediate angiography because there was another STEMI patient	
					at the catheterization laboratory, delaying cooling beyond the	
					prespecified 6 hours duration of ischemia, and was therefore excluded	
					from further analysis.	
Quantification of myocardial area at risk	Wright	JACC	2009	Low	This study comprises 108 of the 119 patients in whom T2W CMR-	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
with T2-weighted CMR: comparison		Cardiovasc			derived AAR quantification was able to be performed.	given.
with contrast-enhanced CMR and		Imaging				
coronary angiography						
Impact of primary coronary angioplasty	Francone	J Am Coll	2009	Low	Seventy-five patients were initially recruited, but 5 patients were	Reasons for excluding enrolled patients from analysis were
delay on myocardial salvage, infarct size,		Cardiol			excluded because of claustrophobia (n $\square$ 3) or clinical instability (n $\square$	given.
and microvascular damage in patients					2). A follow-up CMR was performed in 58 patients; the remaining 12	
with ST-segment elevation myocardial					patients declined.	
infarction: insight from cardiovascular						
magnetic resonance						