








# BMJ Open Prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in the homeless population of Medellín, Colombia: a cross-sectional study

Diego Enrique Vélez-Gómez <sup>1</sup>, Natalia Torres-Vellojín <sup>1</sup>,  
Juan Camilo Grajales-Zapata <sup>1</sup>, Juan Guillermo McEwen-Ochoa <sup>1,2</sup>,  
Alonso Martínez <sup>1</sup>, Verónica Ramírez-Lopera <sup>1</sup>, Aracelly Villegas-Castaño <sup>1</sup>

**To cite:** Vélez-Gómez DE, Torres-Vellojín N, Grajales-Zapata JC, et al. Prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in the homeless population of Medellín, Colombia: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e054966. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054966

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054966>).

Received 28 June 2021

Accepted 11 February 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Microbiology and Parasitology Department, Bacteria & Cancer group, Universidad de Antioquia - Facultad de Medicina, Medellín, Colombia  
<sup>2</sup>Cellular and Molecular Biology Unit, Corporación para Investigaciones Biológicas, Medellín, Colombia

## Correspondence to

Dr Diego Enrique Vélez-Gómez; [diegovelezgomez@gmail.com](mailto:diegovelezgomez@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Objective** To determine the prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) in the homeless population in Medellín, Colombia, using molecular diagnostic methods. It also intended to develop a demographic profile, exploring associated factors and the dynamics of the social and sexual interactions of this community.

**Design** Cross-sectional study.

**Setting** Two homeless care centres in Medellín, Colombia.

**Participants** Homeless individuals that assisted to the main homeless care centres of Medellín, Colombia from 2017 to 2019.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures** The prevalence of CT and NG in this population using qPCR detection, factors associated with CT and NG infection, and the sociodemographic profile of the community.

**Results** The prevalence of CT infection was 19.2%, while that of NG was 22.6%. Furthermore, being a female was significantly correlated to CT infection  $p < 0.05$  (adjusted OR, AOR 2.42, 95% CI 1.31 to 4.47). NG infection was significantly associated with factors such as: sexual intercourse while having a sexually transmitted infection  $p < 0.05$  (AOR 3.19, 95% CI 1.48 to 6.85), having more than 11 sexual partners in the last 6 months  $p = 0.04$  (AOR 2.91, 95% CI 1.04 to 8.09) and having daily intercourse  $p = 0.05$  (AOR 3.15, 95% CI 1.02 to 9.74).

**Conclusions** The prevalence of CT and NG was higher than that reported in the general population. Additionally, females had a higher percentage of infection compared with males.

## INTRODUCTION

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) have proven to be a global public health problem, as they are one of the most common acute conditions that affect populations around the world. Moreover, they are known to afflict people of any socio-economic level, age, and sex who have had contact with an infected person's fluids via unprotected intercourse, blood transfusions or vertical transmission.<sup>1</sup>

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This research uses molecular techniques (qPCR) to evaluate urine samples to establish the prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) in homeless populations of Medellín, Colombia.
- Risk factors associated with infection from CT and NG bacteria were established, and a demographic profile was developed with dynamics of social and sexual interaction.
- This is the first study that has used a sample of 500 homeless individuals in order to determine the prevalence of NG and CT in Colombia.
- Every piece of data regarding sociodemographic profiles and sexual behaviours was collected through a primary source.
- The main limitation was that the recruitment of the sample was carried out solely in homeless shelters of Medellín by the mayor's office, accommodations that cover roughly 70% of the target population.

In that matter, *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) are the second and third causes of STIs in the world, with an estimated prevalence of 4.2% in women and 2.7% in men for CT<sup>1</sup> and 0.9% in women and 0.7% in men for NG.<sup>2</sup> CT is asymptomatic in 70% of women and 50% of men, and it is responsible in many cases for pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, endometritis and infertility. NG infection is highly symptomatic in men, causing dysuria and purulent discharge, epididymitis, prostatitis and infertility.<sup>3</sup>

Regarding Latin America, STIs caused by CT and NG have proven to be a serious public health problem, given the lack of resources in different clinical settings for diagnosis and treatment, and the scarce epidemiological research in this region. All of this combined with the high prevalence of both diseases (CT

infection prevalence is 7.6% in women and 1.8% in men, and NG infection prevalence is 0.8% in women and 0.7% in men).<sup>1</sup>

Moreover, CT is a big concern in Colombia, since it is the most reported STI in the country, with a prevalence of 2% in asymptomatic people and 7% to 9.8% in the general population with lower genital tract symptoms. Meanwhile, even though NG prevalence is lower (1.5% to 3%), chlamydial coinfection with NG has been reported in 10%–40% of NG infection cases, and it has been showing increased antibiotic resistance.<sup>4</sup> However, since these infections are not notifiable diseases, there is little data on the prevalence of these infections stratified in high-risk populations in Latin America, such as homeless persons.<sup>5</sup>

A homeless person is defined as someone whose life takes place mainly on the street, as a physical/social space, where they solve their vital needs, builds affective relationships and sociocultural mediations, structuring a lifestyle.<sup>6</sup> The last census of homeless persons in Medellín, Colombia, was carried out in 2019 by the National Administrative Department of Statistics where 3214 people were reported to live in this situation of which 14.8% were women and 85.2% were men.<sup>7</sup>

Additionally, the homeless population is especially vulnerable to STIs, as their prevalence reaches up to 52.5%.<sup>8</sup> This is due to various known high-risk behaviours that are common in this community (unprotected sexual intercourse, multiple sexual partners, sex work and the use of psychoactive substances while engaging in intercourse.<sup>8–11</sup> Currently, there is no in-depth research regarding CT and NG in the homeless population of Colombia. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of CT and NG in this community in Medellín, Colombia, using molecular diagnostic methods. It also intended to develop a demographic profile exploring associated factors and the dynamics of the social and sexual interactions of this community.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross-sectional quantitative study, which primarily used information from a survey of a homeless population between 15 and 88 years of age, who attended different institutions of the mayor's office in Medellín, Colombia. It also used laboratory testing in urine samples provided by the study subjects to detect CT and NG.

### Sampling methods and recruitment

The sample size was calculated using the finite population method,<sup>12</sup> and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention software, Epi info. This was performed using information from the census of homeless persons living in Medellín in 2010,<sup>13</sup> considering a total homeless population of 3381 persons. The sampling error was set at 5% and the CI was set at 97%. The result of this calculation was  $n=413$ , but it was rounded up to 500.

The information in this study was gathered from November 2017 to May 2019 in the Medellín homeless care centres, which are frequented by about 1836 persons each day,<sup>7</sup> from which participants were randomly selected. In order to ensure unbiased randomisation, we used the systematic sampling method, in which the sampling interval was one selected case every seven persons, with weekly visits over the span of 18 months. The sample interval was calculated by dividing the total homeless population in Medellín ( $N=3381$ ),<sup>13</sup> by the calculated sample size ( $n=500$ ).

The criteria for eligible participants were: (1) having ever engaged in sexual activity and (2) being a homeless individual. They signed an informed consent form; those under 18 years of age signed an assent form and were accompanied by the family defender from the institutions where they were being cared for. The subjects were excluded from the study if they had visible clinical signs of inebriation or an altered mental state.

### Data collection

A structured electronic survey was administered to each participant by a member of the research team. It contained 89 questions pertaining to sociodemographics, sexual behaviours, previous STI infection and treatment, consumption of psychoactive substances, educational aspects and general knowledge of sexual health and STIs. This survey aimed to identify different risk factors and to establish the population profile. The questions can be found in online supplemental material 1.

### Sample collection

Urine samples were obtained by self-collection. The first day that the patients were recruited, they received instructions and 30 mL sample bottles to collect the first urination of the next day or after 4 hour retention. The staff of the homeless care centres were aware of the patient's participation in the study and made sure that the patients did not forget the instructions and ensured a correct urine sample collection. The staff also refrigerated the samples, which were then shipped by the researchers early that same morning to the laboratory that is located less than 2 km away from the centre (<5 min by car).

### Laboratory testing

In the laboratory, each sample was tested for CT and NG infection. The urine was processed for DNA extraction, using the commercial QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Handbook (Qiagen, Germany). Nested PCR was performed to detect the cryptic plasmid and the MOMP gene from CT. This procedure was also used to detect the porin protein gene (por) and transferrin binding protein  $\beta$  subunit gene from NG. Each PCR run was performed using positive and negative controls. PCR was considered positive when at least one of the amplicons was detected. In negative cases, qPCR was performed with the same primers, using the Luna Universal qPCR Mix kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA).

**Table 1** Characteristics of all participants

Variables	n (%)
Sex	
Male	352 (70.4)
Female	148 (29.6)
Age	
13–22	50 (10.0)
23–32	162 (32.4)
33–42	146 (29.2)
43–52	75 (15.0)
53–62	59 (11.8)
63–72	7 (1.4)
>73	1 (0.2)
Gender identity	
Heterosexual	422 (84.4)
Lesbian	16 (3.2)
Gay	9 (1.8)
Bisexual	43 (8.6)
Transgender	10 (2.0)
Birthplace	
Medellín	279 (55.8)
Other	221 (44.2)
Marital status	
Single	341 (68.2)
Civil union	94 (18.8)
Married	25 (5.0)
Other	40 (8.0)
Highest educational level (grades)	
No education	33 (6.6)
Basic primary (1–5)	177 (35.4)
Basic Secondary (6–9)	158 (31.6)
Secondary (10–11)	100 (20.0)
Technical/technological level	21 (4.2)
Bachelor's degree	10 (2.0)
Master's degree	1 (0.2)
Children, n	
None	197 (39.4)
<3	208 (41.6)
3–4	64 (12.8)
>4	31 (6.2)
Source of income*	
Street sales	217 (43.4)
Recycling	126 (25.2)
Panhandling	114 (22.8)
Running errands	78 (15.6)
Sex work	54 (10.8)
Selling drugs	54 (10.8)

Continued

**Table 1** Continued

Variables	n (%)
Assistance from family or friends	46 (9.2)
Government assistance	11 (2.2)
Other	38 (7.6)
Daily psychoactive substance consumption*	
Tobacco	300 (60.0)
Marijuana	234 (46.8)
Cocaine/cocaine derivatives	312 (62.4)
Alcohol	122 (25.6)
Pills (unspecified)	57 (11.4)
Inhalant abuse	55 (11.0)
MDMA (ecstasy, molly)†	11 (2.2)
Other substances	42 (8.4)
No daily consumption	48 (9.6)
Sexual partners in lifetime	
No Answer	20 (4.0)
<50	381 (76.2)
50–100	45 (9.0)
>100	54 (10.8)
Sexual partners in the last 6 months	
No answer	22 (4.4)
<11	426 (85.2)
11–50	35 (7.0)
>50	17 (3.4)
Age of first sexual activity	
No answer	5 (1.0)
<10	58 (11.6)
10–14	253 (50.6)
>14	184 (36.8)
Use of contraception*	
Females	
Condoms	56 (37.8)
Tubal ligation	55 (37.2)
Implants	42 (28.4)
Injections	8 (5.4)
Pills	4 (2.7)
Others	2 (1.4)
None	28 (18.9)
Males	
Condoms	260 (73.9)
None	92 (26.1)
Condom use in the last 3 months*	
Yes	204 (40.8)
No	296 (59.2)
Committed partner*	173 (58.4)
Casual partner(s)*	203 (68.6)

Continued

**Table 1** Continued

Variables	n (%)
Consent in past sexual encounters	
Females	
Non-consensual	64 (43.2)
Consensual	67 (45.3)
No answer	17 (11.5)
Males	
Non-consensual	41 (11.6)
Consensual	284 (80.7)
No answer	27 (7.7)
Frequency of intercourse	
No answer	44 (8.8)
Daily	37 (7.4)
2–3 times a week	115 (23.0)
2–3 times a month	187 (37.4)
At least once in the last 3 months	73 (14.6)
At least once in the last 6 months	44 (8.8)


\*Survey respondents could choose more than one option.

†Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), commonly known as ecstasy or molly.

The molecular sensitivity of both PCRs was established with logarithmic dilutions from 10 ng/μL to 1.0 fg/μL of the cloned CT and NG DNA fragment and was defined as the minimum DNA concentration detected by the nested PCR. Analytical specificity was defined as the ability of the different CT and NG primers to exclusively identify the gene from the microorganism of interest with 100% identity and was determined *in silico* using the National Center for Biotechnology Information database.<sup>14</sup>

### Statistical analyses

The data obtained in the questionnaire as well as the results of the qPCR for each participant were transferred



**Figure 1** Prevalence of gonorrhoea and chlamydia infections by gender.

to the statistical package SPSS version 24 (licensed by the University of Antioquia—Colombia).

First, a general descriptive analysis was carried out, then the polytomous variables were recategorised, and a bivariate analysis was performed, in order to calculate the association between variables with  $\chi^2$ , contemplating a  $p < 0.05$  as a statistically significant association. The OR was calculated with 95% CIs for both CT and NG infections.

Finally, to calculate the adjusted OR (AOR), a binary logistic regression model was carried out, using the variables that previously had a  $p < 0.05$  in the bivariate analysis.

### Patient and public involvement

Informative sessions were held in the homeless care centres of the mayor's office in Medellín, Colombia, to present the problem, raise awareness among the population, and explain the objectives of the study. Patients were not compensated monetarily for their participation in the study, but they were given their PCR results free of charge and were also directed to governmental health-care programmes that prescribed medicine and provided their infections at no cost. Additionally, symptomatic and clinical follow-up after treatment was performed by a medical doctor of the institution for every subject in order to ensure the eradication of the infection.

## RESULTS

### Study population characteristics

Between November 2017 and May 2019, 500 individuals that met the inclusion criteria completed the survey conducted by a professional and provided urine samples for the detection of CT and NG. The characteristics of all subjects are shown in table 1.

Additionally, 41.9% of the females and 38.9% of the males surveyed reported having an STI during their lifetime ( $p = 0.535$ ). Of these past self-reported STIs, gonorrhoea was the most common among men (23.3%), and syphilis among women (33.8%). Furthermore, 37.9% of heterosexuals, 55.8% of bisexuals, 33.3% of gay men, 37.5% of lesbians and 60% of transgender persons reported having a past STI in their lifetime. Among the latter group, 50% reported past syphilis infections.

Sex work was performed by 24.3% of women and 5.1% of men ( $p < 0.001$ ). A statistically significant difference was also observed between sexual orientation and sex work ( $p < 0.001$ ), finding that it was performed by 5.7% of the heterosexual population, 6.3% of lesbian, 44.4% of gay men, 34.9% of the bisexual population and 100% of transgender people. In addition, 25.9% of those who performed sex work reported an STI in their lifetime. It was found that 13.1% of men and 0.7% of women paid for sexual services ( $p < 0.001$ ).

### Prevalence of CT and NG by qPCR

The diagnosis of CT and NG was done by qPCR (figure 1). The results show a 22.6% prevalence of NG infection ( $n = 113$ ), and a 19.2% prevalence of CT infection ( $n = 96$ ).



**Table 2** Factors associated with *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection—OR

Variable	C. trachomatis qPCR test				Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value $\chi^2$
	Positive		Negative			
	n	%	n	%		
Sex						
Female	45	46.9	103	25.5	2.58 (1.63 to 4.08)	<0.001
Male	51	53.1	301	74.5		
Children						
Yes	71	74	232	57.4	2.11 (1.28 to 3.46)	0.003
No	25	26	172	42.6		
Has been taught how to use a condom						
No	20	20.8	44	10.9	2.15 (1.2 to 3.86)	0.009
Yes	76	79.2	360	89.1		
Consumption of glue/inhalant during intercourse						
Yes	13	13.5	25	6.2	2.37 (1.17 to 4.84)	0.015
No	83	86.5	379	93.8		
MDMA (ecstasy, molly) consumption during intercourse*						
Yes	10	10.4	18	4.5	2.49 (1.11 to 5.59)	0.022
No	86	89.6	386	95.5		
Consumption of cocaine during intercourse						
Yes	6	6.3	8	2	3.30 (1.12 to 9.75)	0.023
No	90	93.8	396	98		
Frequent irritation or discomfort symptoms						
Yes	9	9.4	16	4	2.51 (1.07 to 5.86)	0.029
No	87	90.6	388	96		
Condom use with casual partner						
No	41	62.1	128	47.9	1.18 (1.03 to 3.09)	0.039
Yes	25	37.9	139	52.1		
Domestic violence						
Yes	29	35.4	91	24.3	1.70 (1.02 to 2.84)	0.040
No	53	64.6	283	75.7		
Number of sexual partners in lifetime						
>100	16	17.2	38	9.8	1.91 (1.01 to 3.6)	0.043
<100	77	82.8	349	90.2		
Urethral discharge						
Yes	6	11.8	15	5	2.54 (0.94 to 6.89)	0.059
No	45	88.2	286	95		
Consent in past sexual encounters						
Non-consensual	25	30.5	80	21.4	1.61 (0.95 to 2.74)	0.076
Consensual	57	69.5	294	78.6		
Sleeping in a homeless care centre						
Yes	84	87.5	322	79.7	1.78 (0.93 to 3.42)	0.079
No	12	12.5	82	20.3		
Heroin consumption						
Yes	9	9.4	19	4.7	2.10 (0.92 to 4.79)	0.074
No	87	90.6	385	95.3		
Sexual partners in the last 6 months						
>50	6	6.6	11	2.8	2.41 (0.87 to 6.71)	0.082
<50	85	93.4	376	97.2		

Continued

Table 2 Continued

Variable	C. trachomatis qPCR test				Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value $\chi^2$
	Positive		Negative			
	n	%	n	%		
Condom use						
No	39	40.6	145	35.9	1.22 (0.78 to 1.93)	0.387
Yes	57	59.4	259	64.1		
Has had Syphilis in their lifetime						
Yes	24	25	83	20.5	1.29 (0.77 to 2.17)	0.339
No	72	75	321	79.5		
Sex work						
Yes	12	12.5	42	10.4	1.23 (0.62 to 2.44)	0.550
No	84	87.5	362	89.6		
Cannabis consumption						
Yes	60	62.5	294	72.8	0.62 (0.39 to 1.00)	0.047
No	36	37.5	110	27.2		

\*Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), commonly known as ecstasy or molly.

for the general population. Moreover, infection caused by a single agent was 14.6% (n=73) for CT and 18.0% (n=90) for NG. Coinfection occurred in 4.6% (n=23).

In males, the prevalence of CT and NG was 14.5% and 21.3% respectively, while in females it was 30.4% for CT and 25.7% for NG. A statistically significant difference in CT prevalence was found between men and women ( $p \leq 0.001$ ). On the contrary, for NG, this difference was not significant ( $p=0.286$ ).

### Factors associated with CT and/or NG infection

Table 2 shows the results of different factors associated with CT infection. It is observed that the consumption of MDMA (ecstasy), toluene inhalants and cocaine while having sex increases the chances of infection 2.37, 2.49 and 3.30 times, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ), in contrast with the people who did not consume these substances during intercourse.

Table 3 shows the results of different factors associated with an NG infection. Among the most relevant, it is observed that transgender people are 5.37 times more likely to contract the infection than the rest of the population, with a statistically significant difference ( $p=0.004$ ). A binary logistic regression model was performed to adjust the OR of CT infection with the potential associated factors. Table 4 shows that being a woman significantly increased the chances of infection (AOR=2.42, 95% CI 1.31 to 4.47), ( $p=0.00$ ).

For NG, a binary logistic regression model was also performed (table 4), finding that having intercourse while having an STI confers 3.19 times more chances of having an NG infection than those who avoid them. Another associated factor was having more than 11 sexual partners during the last 6 months (AOR 2.91, 95% CI 1.04 to 8.09), ( $p=0.04$ ) and having daily intercourse (AOR 3.15, 95% CI 1.02 to 9.74), ( $p=0.05$ ).

### DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, we determined the prevalence of CT and NG in the homeless population of Medellín, Colombia using molecular diagnostic methods. We also developed a demographic profile exploring associated factors and the dynamics of the social and sexual interactions of the population. This study identified that approximately one in five homeless individuals residing in Medellín, Colombia was infected with CT or NG. It also found that females had approximately double the prevalence of infection by CT compared with males.

The prevalence found for NG and CT in the study population was 22.6% and 19.2%, respectively; being higher than that reported in the general population (♀ CT: 4.2%, NG: 0.8%; ♂ CT: 2.7%, NG: 0.6%).<sup>1</sup> Also, in the present research, the coinfection between CT and NG was 4.6%, which was higher compared with other papers, where the coinfection prevalence varied from 1.7% in juvenile detention centres in the USA<sup>15</sup> to 2.9% in sex workers.<sup>16</sup> All of this can be explained due to the fact that, unlike other studies, the researched sample was composed exclusively by homeless persons. This population presents multiple and simultaneous high-risk behaviours<sup>8-11 17</sup> such as sex work, the lack of condom use, intercourse while consuming psychoactive substances, ignorance about STIs and multiple sexual partners. Also, another study performed in the USA, found a lower prevalence on both CT (6.4%–6.7%) and NG 0.3%–3.2% in homeless persons.<sup>8</sup> This is due to the difference both in the quality of education in STI prevention and the government's social assistance programmes focusing on preventive healthcare between Colombia (a low/middle-income country) and other highly developed nations.<sup>18</sup>

The prevalence of CT and NG infection was higher in women (CT: 30.4% in women and 14.5% in men;

**Table 3** Factors associated with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection—OR

Variable	Neisseria gonorrhoeae qPCR test				Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P value $\chi^2$
	Positive		Negative			
	N	%	N	%		
Gender identity						
Transgender	6	5.3	4	1	5.37 (1.49 to 19.37)	0.00
Non-transgender people	107	94.7	383	99		
Last Pap Smear test						
>1 year ago	30	81.1	64	61.5	2.68 (1.08 to 6.67)	0.03
<1 year ago	7	18.9	40	38.5		
Place for personal hygiene						
Public place	107	94.7	341	88.1	2.41 (1.00 to 5.79)	0.04
House, apartment	6	5.3	46	11.9		
Had sexual contact while having an STI						
Yes	24	57.1	57	36.3	2.34 (1.17 to 4.67)	0.02
No	18	42.9	100	63.7		
Frequency of intercourse						
Daily	15	13.3	22	6.4	2.23 (1.12 to 4.47)	0.02
Once a week or less	98	86.7	321	93.6		
Sexual partners in the last 6 months						
≥11 partners	19	17.3	33	9	2.12 (1.15 to 3.90)	0.01
≤10 partners	91	82.7	335	91		
HIV						
Positive	6	5.3	10	2.6	2.11 (0.75 to 5.95)	0.09
Negative	107	94.7	377	97.4		
Type of sexual intercourse (last time)						
Oral and/or anal	17	15	31	8	2.03 (1.08 to 3.83)	0.03
Vaginal	96	85	356	92		
Sleeping in a homeless care centre						
Yes	99	87.6	307	79.3	1.84 (1.00 to 3.40)	0.05
No	14	12.4	80	20.7		
Number of sexual partners in lifetime						
≥100	18	16.1	36	9.8	1.77 (0.96 to 3.25)	0.07
<100	94	83.9	332	90.2		
Sex work						
Yes	17	15	37	9.6	1.68 (0.90 to 3.11)	0.10
No	96	85	350	90.4		
Last sexual contact						
Commercial sex	27	23.9	66	17.1	1.53 (0.92 to 2.54)	0.10
Stable or casual	86	76.1	321	82.9		
Domestic violence						
Yes	35	31	85	24.8	1.36 (0.85 to 2.18)	0.20
No	78	69	258	75.2		
Sex						
Female	38	33.6	110	28.4	1.28 (0.82 to 2.00)	0.29
Male	75	66.4	277	71.6		

STI, sexually transmitted infection.

**Table 4** Factors associated with *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection—Adjusted OR

Bacteria	Risk factor	B	P value Wald	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
<i>N. gonorrhoeae</i>	Sexual intercourse while having an STI	1.16	0.00	3.19 (1.48 to 6.85)
	≥11 sexual partners in the last 6 months	1.07	0.04	2.91 (1.04 to 8.09)
	Daily sexual relations	1.15	0.05	3.15 (1.02 to 9.74)
<i>C. trachomatis</i>	Being a woman	0.88	0.00	2.42 (1.31 to 4.47)
	No condom use with casual partners	0.44	0.13	1.56 (0.87 to 2.77)
	Children	0.42	0.17	1.52 (0.83 to 2.78)
	>100 sexual partners in lifetime	0.42	0.26	1.52 (0.73 to 3.14)

STI, sexually transmitted infection.

NG 25.7% in women and 21.3% in men). This is consistent with the results reported by the WHO and other researchers.<sup>1 19 20</sup> Similar studies confirm that the CT prevalence between women and men presents significant differences, where it was reported in 31.7% of women and 9.2% of men.<sup>21</sup> The higher prevalence in women is likely due to the predominance of asymptomatic infections which leads to an alarming rate of subdiagnosis, subsequently leaving a lot of untreated and chronic cases among females compared with males.<sup>22</sup> On the other hand, infection by CT in males is more evident, as it is symptomatic to a greater extent (mainly dysuria, urethral discharge and testicular pain).<sup>23</sup> Therefore, it is possible that a broader number of infected males had previously sought medical attention for genital irritative symptoms, which were then treated somewhat successfully. Regarding NG, there is a differential gene expression between men and women during the infection process, as well as differences in the pathogenic mechanisms used by this bacterium to infect the male and female epithelium, which define the evolution of the infection and the host's presentation of symptoms.<sup>24 25</sup>

Regarding the demographic profile, some variables such as substance use, income source and the distribution by age groups and sex, behaved similarly in this study and in Colombia's census of homeless persons performed in 2019.<sup>7</sup> This study observed that the sample was predominantly composed of males (70.4%), and these results are comparable to those previously reported locally<sup>26 27</sup> and in other countries such as the USA (66.4% homeless males)<sup>8</sup> and Spain (90% homeless males).<sup>28</sup>

Furthermore, several studies determined risk factors associated with STIs, such as domestic violence, use of psychoactive substances, a history of incarceration, multiple sexual partners, non-use of condoms, lack of education about STIs, feelings of affection towards the partner and not prioritising the well-being of their own or others.<sup>8 10 11</sup> Correspondingly, in this study, we found that 59.2% of the individuals indicated that they did not use a condom in the 3 months prior to the survey, which was encountered more frequently when people had intercourse with a committed partner. This can be explained

because according to the participants, there was trust or affection with their partner.

Another significant finding in this study was that methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) and cocaine use was significantly associated with CT infection ( $p=0.02$ ). This can be attributed to the fact that being under the influence of psychoactive substances can lead homeless persons to engage in risky sexual behaviours.<sup>29</sup> Additionally, the most frequent STIs in the survey of this study were syphilis (21.4%), gonorrhoea (19.4%) and HIV (3.2%). Similar results were found both by national<sup>11 27</sup> and international<sup>8 30 31</sup> studies performed in homeless populations.

This research had limitations related to the recruitment of the sample. This was mainly because the application of surveys and collection of urine samples was carried out solely in homeless shelters of Medellín by the mayor's office, accommodations that cover roughly 70% of the target population, according to the 2019 Census.<sup>7</sup> This was necessary because of the low-security conditions in other areas of the city where homeless persons reside.

Finally, it is imperative that governmental entities and policy-makers implement epidemiological surveillance programmes performing molecular techniques in non-invasive samples to improve the diagnosis of STIs in populations at risk, such as homeless persons. Additionally, future research should focus both on implementing molecular techniques in the detection of STIs and developing an ample sociodemographic profile, which allows the researcher to explore the risk factors more in depth. Also, future investigations should perform stratified analyses both in the general population and in high-risk groups, to have a broader view of the health situation and consequently implement more focused social assistance programmes that tackle these sexual health issues directly.

**Acknowledgements** To the Corporation for Biological Research (CIB), especially Dr. Óscar Gómez, to the Secretaría de Inclusión Social, Familia y Derechos Humanos of the mayor's office of Medellín—Colombia and its institutions 'Centro de Diagnóstico y Derivación' and 'Centro Día', to Dr. Lucas Arias Vélez, leader of the 'Programa de atención al habitante de calle', to the religious community 'Carmelitas Misioneras' and the ASPERLA ONG, for their support and accompaniment in the development of this work. To the international advisor, Dr. John Wylie—University of



Manitoba—Canada. We would also like to thank Mr. Cameron Hahn for consulting the translation of the manuscript.

**Contributors** DEV-G: guarantor, data collection, statistical data analysis, methodology design, database management, manuscript writing. NT-V: data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing. JCG-Z: data collection, laboratory tests, methodology design, manuscript writing. JGM-O: conceptualisation, methodology design, laboratory tests, manuscript writing. AM: conceptualisation, methodology design, project supervision, manuscript writing. VR-L: data collection, data analysis, manuscript writing. AV-C: conceptualisation, funds and resources management, methodology design, project administration and supervision, and manuscript writing.

**Funding** This work was supported by MINCIENCIAS (Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación—Colombia) and the University of Antioquia—Colombia. Grant number: 111574455752.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

**Ethics approval** The consent, informed assent and survey were previously approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Antioquia—Colombia (Ethics approval number: 2017-022). This study was endorsed by the 'Secretaría de Inclusión Social, Familia y Derechos Humanos' of the mayor's office of Medellín—Colombia. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. Raw data, the database and the survey without any identifiers are available on reasonable request, emailing diego.velezgomez@gmail.com (corresponding author).

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

## ORCID iDs

Diego Enrique Vélez-Gómez <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6095-3706>

Natalia Torres-Vellojin <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9272-1152>

Juan Camilo Grajales-Zapata <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1365-2249>

Juan Guillermo McEwen-Ochoa <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8594-9087>

Alonso Martínez <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3619-6087>

Verónica Ramírez-Lopera <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3609-8025>

Aracelly Villegas-Castaño <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7716-8184>

## REFERENCES

- Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, *et al*. Global estimates of the prevalence and incidence of four curable sexually transmitted infections in 2012 based on systematic review and global reporting. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0143304.
- Kirkcaldy RD, Weston E, Segurado AC, *et al*. Epidemiology of gonorrhoea: a global perspective. *Sex Health* 2019;16:401–11.
- World Health Organization WHO. Sexually transmitted diseases. Available: [https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-\(stis\)](https://www.who.int/es/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-(stis)) [Accessed 21 Jan 2020].
- Pan American health organization (PAHO). Gonorrea, 2020. Available: [https://www3.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=14872:sti-gonorrhea&Itemid=3670&lang=es](https://www3.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=14872:sti-gonorrhea&Itemid=3670&lang=es) [Accessed 28 Oct 2021].
- Duarte H, Hernández A, Rodríguez I. Guía de Práctica Clínica para El abordaje sindrómico del diagnóstico Y tratamiento de Los pacientes Con infecciones de transmisión sexual Y otras infecciones del tracto genital.. Available: [https://www.minsalud.gov.co/sites/rid/Lists/BibliotecaDigital/INEC/ETS/GPC\\_Comple\\_ITS.pdf](https://www.minsalud.gov.co/sites/rid/Lists/BibliotecaDigital/INEC/ETS/GPC_Comple_ITS.pdf) [Accessed 29 Aug 2020].
- Correa ME. La otra ciudad - Otros sujetos: los habitantes de la calle. *Trabajo Social* 2007;0:37–56.
- DANE. Censo Habitantes de la calle. Resultados Medellín Y Área Metropolitana, 2019. Available: <https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/estadisticas-por-tema/demografia-y-poblacion/censo-habitantes-de-la-calle> [Accessed 29 Apr 2020].
- Williams SP, Bryant KL. Sexually transmitted infection prevalence among homeless adults in the United States: a systematic literature review. *Sex Transm Dis* 2018;45:494–504.
- Chawla N, Sarkar S. Defining "High-risk Sexual Behavior" in the Context of Substance Use. *Journal of Psychosexual Health* 2019;1:26–31.
- Berbesi D, Á S-C, Caicedo B. Prevalence and factors associated with HIV among the street dwellers of medellin, Colombia. *Revista Facultad Nacional de Salud Pública* 2015;33:181–91.
- Blandón Buelvas M, Palacios Moya L, Berbesi Fernández D. Infección activa POR sífilis en habitantes de calle Y factores asociados. *Revista de Salud Pública* 2019;21:1–5.
- Aguilar-Barojas S. Fórmulas para El cálculo de la muestra en investigaciones de salud. *Salud en Tabasco* 2005;11:333–8.
- Centro de Estudios de Opinión CEO, Secretaría de Bienestar Social Medellín. Censo de habitantes de calle Y en calle de la ciudad de Medellín Y Sus corregimientos, 2010. Available: <https://aprendeenlinea.udea.edu.co/revistas/index.php/ceo/article/view/7073> [Accessed 28 Jul 2019].
- Blast: basic local alignment search tool. Available: <https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi> [Accessed 18 Nov 2020].
- van Veen MG, Koedijk FDH, van der Sande MAB, *et al*. Std coinfections in the Netherlands: specific sexual networks at highest risk. *Sex Transm Dis* 2010;37:416–22.
- Kahn RH, Mosure DJ, Blank S, *et al*. Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae prevalence and coinfection in adolescents entering selected us juvenile detention centers, 1997–2002. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005;32:255–9.
- Alvis N, Mattar S, Garcia J. Sexually-Transmitted infection in a high-risk group from Montería, Colombia. *Revista de Salud Pública* 2007;9:86–96.
- Speak S, TIPPLE G. Perceptions, persecution and Pity: the limitations of interventions for homelessness in developing countries. *Int J Urban Reg Res* 2006;30:172–88.
- World Health Organization WHO. Report on global sexually transmitted infection surveillance, 2018. Available: <http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/stis-surveillance-2018/en/> [Accessed 08 Apr 2019].
- León SR, Konda KA, Klausner JD, *et al*. Chlamydia trachomatis infection and associated risk factors in a low-income marginalized urban population in coastal Peru. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2009;26:39–45.
- Caccamo A, Kachur R, Williams SP. Narrative review: sexually transmitted diseases and homeless Youth-What do we know about sexually transmitted disease prevalence and risk? *Sex Transm Dis* 2017;44:466–76.
- Detels R, Green AM, Klausner JD, *et al*. The incidence and correlates of symptomatic and asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae infections in selected populations in five countries. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011;38:503–9.
- Huffam S, Chow EPF, Leeyaphan C, *et al*. Chlamydia infection between men and women: a cross-sectional study of heterosexual partnerships. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2017;4:ofx160.
- Edwards JL, Apicella MA. The molecular mechanisms used by Neisseria gonorrhoeae to initiate infection differ between men and women. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004;17:965–81.
- Nudel K, McClure R, Moreau M, *et al*. Transcriptome analysis of Neisseria gonorrhoeae during natural infection reveals differential expression of antibiotic resistance determinants between men and women. *mSphere* 2018;3:e00312.
- Otálvaro AF, Arango ME. Accesibilidad de la población habitante de calle a los programas de promoción y prevención establecidos por la resolución 412 de 2000. In: *Investigaciones Andina*. , 2009: Vol 11, 23–35.
- Berbesi DY, Agudelo A, Segura A. Hiv among the street dwellers of Medellín. *Revista Facultad Nacional de Salud Pública* 2012;30:310–5.
- López-Corbeto E, González V, Bascunyana E, *et al*. Tendencia Y determinantes de la infección genital POR Chlamydia trachomatis en menores de 25 años. Cataluña 2007–2014. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2016;34:499–504.
- Castaño Pérez G, Arango Tobón E, Morales Mesa S. Riesgos Y consecuencias de las prácticas sexuales en adolescentes bajo Los

- efectos de alcohol Y otras drogas. *Revista Cubana de Pediatría* 2013;85:36–50.
- 30 Barros CVdeL, Galdino Júnior H, Rezza G, *et al.* Bio-behavioral survey of syphilis in homeless men in central Brazil: a cross-sectional study. *Cad Saude Publica* 2018;34:e00033317.
- 31 Keizur EM, Goldbeck C, Vavala G, *et al.* Safety and effectiveness of same-day Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae screening and treatment among gay, bisexual, transgender, and homeless youth in Los Angeles, California, and new Orleans, Louisiana. *Sex Transm Dis* 2020;47:19–23.

Velez-Gómez *et al.***Supplementary material****1. Translated questionnaire**

1. Interview place
2. Bar code
3. Name of the interviewer
4. Interviewer code
5. Participant name
6. Identification
7. Biological sex
8. Department where they were born
9. Municipality where they were born
10. Time of residence in Medellín (Years)
11. How long ago did you leave your home?
12. How long have you been living on the street?
13. For what reasons did you become homeless?
14. Do you have contact with your family?
15. With whom did you become homeless?
16. Where do you currently live?
17. What neighborhood or area do you currently live in?
18. How old are you?
19. According to your culture, people or physical characteristics, what is your race.
20. What is your sexual orientation?
21. What is your marital status?
22. What is the highest level of study that you have graduated from?
23. Are you currently studying?
24. If so, what program are you currently attending?
25. Have you ever dropped out of school or college?
26. If you dropped out, what was the main reason for having done so?
27. In the last month, list all the places where you slept.
28. Where did you sleep last night?
29. In the last 3 months, indicate all the ways in which you earned or received money
30. What is your daily income?
31. What do you do with the money you get?
32. Have you been in jail?
33. Are you registered in the public health system?
34. How often do you shower?
35. In what places do you shower?
36. When was the last time you went to the doctor or a health center?
37. For what reasons have you visited the doctor or health center in the last 6 months?
38. How is your relationship with your family
39. What kind of support do you receive from your family?
40. Do you currently belong to a group or program for homeless people?

Velez-Gómez *et al.*

41. Which group do you belong to?
  42. How often do you use substances?
  43. During the past 6 months, have you had a sexually transmitted infection?
  44. Which sexually transmitted infection (s) have you had?
  45. Which sexually transmitted infection (s) have you had? [Other]
  46. Did you receive medical treatment? (Ignore if the answer to the last two questions was negative)
  47. If you had an STI, where did you go?
  48. What did you or your partner (s) do to avoid infecting the other?
  49. How often have you had the opportunity to participate in educational activities related to sexuality?
  50. In the last 6 months, have you had sores or ulcers on your genitals?
  51. When was the last time you had a Pap smear?
  52. What was the result of the last Pap smear?
  53. In the last 6 months, have you had burning with abnormal vaginal discharge?
  54. In the last 6 months, have you had urethral discharge?
  55. What planning and protection methods are you familiar with?
  56. Do you use any contraceptive method?
  57. What methods do you currently use?
  58. How old were you when you had your first sexual encounter?
  59. Who was the person with whom you had your first sexual encounter with?
  60. Have you had sexual relations without consent?
  61. Do you have biological children?
  62. If yes, how many have you had?
  63. How often do you have sex?
  64. How many sexual partners have you had in your entire life?
  65. How many sexual partners have you had in the last 6 months?
  66. In your life, with how many men have you had sex?
  67. In your life, with how many women have you had sex?
  68. In your life, with how many transsexuals have you had sex?
  69. Have you had sex without a condom in the last 3 months?
  70. Have you ever requested a voluntary interruption of pregnancy in any health institution?
- [Women only]
71. Do you know of a place where you can get condoms?
  72. Generally, how do you get condoms?
  73. When you have sex, who generally suggests using a condom?
  74. How easy would it be for you to get a condom if you need one?
  75. Has a person or institution ever told you the correct way to use a condom?
  76. How long would you be in a relationship to decide not to use a condom with this person?
  77. Have you or did you have a stable sexual partner in the last 6 months?
  78. Are you in a monogamous relationship?
  79. How often did you and / or your monogamous partner use a condom when you had sex in the last 6 months?



Velez-Gómez *et al.*

80. What or what were the reasons why you did not use a condom with your monogamous partner?
81. Have you had casual or casual sexual partners in the last 6 months?
82. Your casual partners are generally:
83. How often did you and / or your casual partners use a condom when you had sexual intercourse in the last 6 months
84. What or what were the reasons for not using a condom with your casual partner ?
85. Status of the couple
86. Sector / neighborhood where the couple lives
87. Place where they met
88. What is the location of this place?
89. Did you or your partner used contraceptives before or during the relationship?

## 2. Original questionnaire (Spanish version)

1. Lugar de la entrevista
2. Código de barras
3. Nombre del participante
4. Identificación
5. Sexo biológico
6. Entrevistador
7. Persona
8. Departamento donde nació
9. Municipio donde nació
10. Tiempo de residencia en Medellín (Años)
11. ¿Hace cuanto tiempo abandonó su hogar?
12. ¿Cuánto tiempo lleva viviendo en la calle?
13. ¿Por qué razones llegó a la calle? [Desplazamiento forzado por actores armados]
14. ¿Tiene contacto con la familia?
15. ¿Con quién llegó a la calle?
16. ¿Dónde vive actualmente?
17. ¿En qué barrio o zona vive actualmente?
18. ¿Qué edad tiene usted?
19. De acuerdo con su cultura, pueblo o rasgos físicos, usted es o se reconoce como:
20. ¿Cuál es su orientación sexual?
21. ¿Cuál es su estado civil?
22. ¿Cuál es el nivel de estudio más alto que usted tiene aprobado?
23. ¿Usted estudia actualmente?
24. Actualmente está asistiendo a:
25. ¿Alguna vez abandonó la escuela o el colegio?
26. ¿En caso de haber abandonado los estudios, ¿cuál fue la principal razón haberlo hecho?
27. En el último mes, indique todos los lugares donde ha dormido

Velez-Gómez *et al.*

28. ¿Dónde durmió anoche?
29. En los últimos 3 meses, indique todas las maneras a través de las cuales ganó o recibió dinero
30. ¿Cuánto dinero consigue en un día por la actividad que desempeña?
31. ¿Qué hace con el dinero que obtiene?
32. ¿Usted ha estado en la cárcel?
33. ¿Cuál es el tipo de vinculación que usted tiene al sistema de salud?
34. ¿Con qué frecuencia se asea o baña usted?
35. ¿En qué lugares se asea o se baña usted?
36. ¿Cuándo fue la última vez que fue al médico o centro de salud?
37. ¿Por qué razones ha acudido los últimos 6 meses al médico o centro de salud?
38. Relación con su familia
39. ¿Qué tipo de apoyo recibe usted de su familia?
40. ¿Actualmente pertenece a algún grupo o programa de personas en situación de calle?
41. ¿A cuál grupo pertenece?
42. ¿Con qué frecuencia consume las siguientes sustancias?
43. Durante los últimos 6 meses, ¿ha tenido alguna infección de transmisión sexual?
44. ¿Cuál o cuáles infecciones de transmisión sexual tiene o ha tenido?
45. ¿Cuál o cuáles infecciones de transmisión sexual tiene o ha tenido?
46. Para esa o esas infecciones que tuvo, ¿usted recibió tratamiento médico?
47. En caso de haber tenido una ITS, ¿a dónde acudió?
48. ¿Qué hizo usted o su(s) pareja(s) para evitar infectar al otro?
49. ¿Con qué frecuencia usted ha tenido la oportunidad de participar en actividades de educación relacionadas con la sexualidad?
50. ¿En los últimos 6 meses usted ha tenido llagas o úlceras en los genitales?
51. ¿Cuándo fue la última vez que le hicieron la citología vaginal?
52. ¿Cuál fue el resultado de la última citología vaginal?
53. ¿En los últimos 6 meses usted ha tenido ardor con flujo vaginal anormal?
54. ¿En los últimos 6 meses usted ha tenido secreción uretral?
55. ¿Qué métodos de planificación y protección conoce?
56. ¿Utiliza algún método?
57. ¿Qué métodos utiliza actualmente?
58. ¿Cuántos años tenía usted cuando tuvo su primera relación sexual?
59. La persona con la que tuvo su primera relación sexual era:
60. ¿Usted ha tenido relaciones sexuales sin su consentimiento?
61. ¿Ha tenido algún hijo o hija?
62. De ser afirmativo, ¿cuántos ha tenido?
63. ¿Con qué frecuencia tiene relaciones sexuales?
64. ¿Cuántas parejas sexuales ha tenido durante toda su vida?
65. ¿Cuántas parejas sexuales ha tenido durante los últimos 6 meses?
66. En su vida, ¿con cuántos hombres ha tenido relaciones sexuales?
67. En su vida, ¿con cuántas mujeres ha tenido relaciones sexuales?
68. En su vida, ¿con cuántos transexuales ha tenido relaciones sexuales?
69. ¿Ha tenido relaciones sexuales sin condón los últimos 3 meses?

Velez-Gómez *et al.*

70. ¿Solicitó usted alguna vez una interrupción voluntaria del embarazo en alguna institución de salud? [Sólo mujeres]
71. ¿Sabe de un lugar donde se puedan conseguir condones?
72. Generalmente, ¿cómo obtienes los condones?
73. Cuando usted tiene relaciones sexuales, ¿quién sugiere el uso del condón generalmente?
74. ¿Qué tan fácil le resultaría conseguir un condón en caso de necesitarlo?
75. ¿Alguna vez una persona o institución le indicó la forma correcta de usar el condón?
76. ¿Cuánto tiempo estarías en una relación de pareja para decidir no utilizar condón con esta persona?
77. ¿Usted tiene o tuvo una pareja sexual estable los últimos 6 meses?
78. Su pareja estable es:
79. ¿Con qué frecuencia usted y/o su pareja estable usaron condón cuando tuvieron relaciones sexuales en los últimos 6 meses?
80. ¿Cuál o cuáles fueron los motivos por los cuales no utiliza condón con su pareja estable?
81. ¿Usted ha tenido parejas sexuales ocasionales o casuales durante los últimos 6 meses?
82. Sus parejas ocasionales generalmente son:
83. Con que frecuencia usted y/o sus parejas ocasionales usaron condón cuando tuvieron relaciones sexuales en los últimos 6 meses
84. ¿Cuál o cuáles fueron los motivos por los cuales no utiliza condón con su pareja ocasional?
85. Tipo de pareja
86. Sector/barrio donde vive la pareja
87. Lugar donde tuvieron el encuentro
88. ¿Cuál es la ubicación de este lugar?
89. Usted o su pareja consumieron antes o durante la relación