1 Appendix 3. Data Extraction Instructions for Scoping Review of Triage Narratives

- 2 Data will be extracted from studies into one table to facilitate comparisons. Multiple reports of a single
- 3 study will be marked and grouped together in the data extraction tables to prevent double-counting the
- 4 results originating from a single sample or intervention. Please complete all fields. If there are no data for
- 5 a given field please mark "Not Applicable" or "Not reported".

6 Table Items:

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- Study ID: This will include the Author and Year, Digital Object Identifier, or Covidence assigned ID
 number.
- Extractor First and Last initial of the author extracting the data.
- 12 3. Sample Data
- 13 Data Source
 - a. **Country/State/City:** Where the study data originated from. Extract as much information as possible in this field starting from least to most specific, separating levels with commas. If there are multiple responses at the same level separate them with a forward slash. Ex: Canada, Alberta, Edmonton/Calgary.
 - b. **Triage System:** Extract the name of the system, include specifics on versions or electronic if offered. (Ex: CTAS 2018, eCTAS)
 - c. Source of Data:
 - i. **Endorsing Organizations:** Extract the full names of any endorsing organization. (Ex: CTAS guidelines National Emergency Nurses Association, Canadian Paediatrics Society, Canadian Association of emergency Physicians).
 - ii. Source of Primary Data: Refers to where the primary data were accessed from. For example, a provincial or national registry, or from hospital electronic records, these can include Regionalized Emergency Data Sets (Canadian Institute for Health Information, National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, National Trauma Data Bank, etc).

Population

- d. **Number of Emergency departments:** Extract the number of hospitals or urgent care centres reported. If the hospital reports more than one department at one site record each as a unique department (Ex: University of Alberta Hospital Emergency, Stollery Hospital Emergency)
- e. **Number of records:** Extract the number of patient records or visits that underwent final analysis. (Repeat visits by the same patient are counted individually)
- f. **Number of patients:** If the study records the number of providers collecting data record the number included in the final analysis.
- 4. Study Data

Design

- a. **Qualitative or Quantitative**: Select one, for mixed or multiple methods record "both". For position statements or guidance documents record "not applicable"
- b. **Design type**: Record the authors reported design.
 - c. **Population of interest**: Summarize the authors' population of interest.

DRAFT THESIS PROPOSAL

Data extraction methods

- d. **Automated:** If the authors used any form of automated technology (ex: machine learning, artificial intelligence) to extract data record the type used. (Ex: bag of words, keyword, index searching)
- e. **Not automated:** If data were manually extracted summarize the approach used (ex. Expert review and analysis of narrative).

Hypothesis testing

- f. **Internal uses:** Describe what the authors used the data for (ex: making predictions about admission).
- g. **External comparisons:** If the authors compare narrative data to other data sources (ex: ICD codes) summarize the data external data types.
- h. Statistical testing methods: Describe the statistical testing techniques.
- 5. Data collected

Description of data collected

Extract any description of the narrative triage data collected (Ex: number of words in a narrative, number of errors in a narrative, completeness of records, etc.).

What were the authors' objectives?

Extract what the authors report as the primary intention of their study. For primary research this could be the hypothesis being tested or phenomena being described. For guidance documents this could be a position, recommendation, or practice standard.

What were the authors findings or conclusions?

Extract any recommendations made by the authors. This could include that further research is needed, or suggestions for future use of narrative data examples could include quality assurance, research, etc.

6. **Presence of quality appraisal:** Extract any reported formal reporting or quality frameworks, or any other quality assessments measures.

Picard CT, et al. BMJ Open 2022; 12:e055132. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055132

3

DRAFT THESIS PROPOSAL

78 Data Extraction Form: Triage Narrative Scoping Review

Data Source a) Country/State: a) Qualitative or quantitative design? What were the data used for?	1. Study ID	2. Extractor	3. Sample Data	4. Study Data	5. Findings
testing methods (if used):			a) Country/State: b) Triage System: c) Source of Data: Population d) Number of emergency departments: e) Number of records: f) Number of patients: g) Number of	a) Qualitative or quantitative design? b) Design type: c) The population of interest: Data extraction methods: d) Automated (specify method): e) Not automated: Hypothesis testing f) Internal uses: g) External comparators: h) Statistical testing methods	What were the data used for? What were the authors' objectives? What were the primary findings?

79