BMJ Open

# Evaluation of the psychometric properties of patient-reported and clinician-reported outcome measures of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a COSMIN systematic review protocol

Philippe Bérubé-Mercier ,[1,2] Diane Tapp,[1,3] Marie-Ève Cimon,[1,3] Tiffany Li,[4] Susanna B Park,[4] Éve Bouhêlier,[5] Kaitlin McGarragle,[2] Lye-Ann Robichaud ,[6] Jennifer S Gewandter,[7] Maxime Bouchard,[8] Lynn R Gauthier [2,9]

**To cite:** Bérubé-Mercier P, Tapp D, Cimon M-È, *et al*. Evaluation of the psychometric properties of patient-reported and clinician-reported outcome measures of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a COSMIN systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e057950. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057950

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057950).

Received 01 October 2021
Accepted 09 February 2022



For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to**
Dr Lynn R Gauthier;
lynn.gauthier@crchudequebec.ulaval.ca

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a poorly understood side effect of many antineoplastic agents. Patients may experience sensory, motor and autonomic symptoms, negatively impacting quality of life. A gold-standard assessment methodology has yet to be determined, limiting efforts to identify effective agents to prevent or treat CIPN.

**Methods and analysis** This is a protocol of a systematic review of psychometric analyses of CIPN Clinician Reported Outcome Measures (ClinROM) and Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROM) among adults receiving, or who had previously received chemotherapy for cancer. The COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) quality ratings will be compared across studies and across ClinROMs and PROMs. Studies reporting psychometric proprieties of CIPN ClinROMs and/or PROMs among adults aged ≥18 years will be eligible for inclusion, with no restriction on language or year of publication. MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and APA PsycINFO databases will be searched from inception to 31 December 2021. Study characteristics, measurement properties of the ClinROMs and/or PROMs and the CIPN definitions will be extracted. The Synthesis Without Meta-analysis guideline will be used to guide data synthesis. The COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist will be used by two independent raters to assess methodological quality. Subgroup analyses by age, chemotherapy type, and study timing in relation to the delivery of chemotherapy will be carried out where data are available. An adapted version of Outcome Measures in Rheumatology filter 2.1 will be used to provide a best-evidence synthesis of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs and to recommend a CIPN assessment tool for clinical and research settings.

**Ethics and dissemination** Ethical approval is not necessary to be obtained for this systematic review protocol. Results will be disseminated to clinicians and policy-makers by publication in a peer-reviewed journal and by presenting at relevant conferences.

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This proposed study will be the most up-to-date systematic review of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) Clinician Reported Outcome Measures (ClinROMs) and patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) and the first to use COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) methodology to assess the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN ClinROMs.
- The proposed study is also the first to use the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology filter 2.1 methodology following COSMIN analysis of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs facilitating the recommendation of one or more ClinROMs and/or PROMs for assessing CIPN, based on the available evidence.
- Subgroup analyses by age group, chemotherapy type and the timing of the study in relation to the delivery of chemotherapy will be undertaken to gain a better understanding of potential subgroup differences in the psychometric proprieties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs.
- Limitations may be related to the heterogeneity of patients who have CIPN and to the lack of consensus about the definition of CIPN.
- As the COSMIN methodology does not consider patient-reported experience measures, they are not included in this review, potentially impacting the identification of a tool that could improve patient health outcomes.

**PROSPERO registration number** CRD42021278168.

## INTRODUCTION

Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) is a challenging and common side effect of many antineoplastic agents

with significant negative impacts on quality of life.[1–3] Patients may experience an array of symptoms such as numbness, tingling, pins-and-needles, burning, motor weakness and/or balance disturbance.[1] A meta-analysis has suggested that 68.1% (95% CI 57.7% to 78.4%) of patients have CIPN symptoms in the first month after completing chemotherapy and 60.0% (95% CI 36.4% to 81.6%) of patients have symptoms 3 months after finishing chemotherapy.[3 4] Thirty per cent (95% CI 6.4% to 53.5%) of patients report persistent CIPN symptoms 6 months or later after treatment.[4] Wide CIs suggest substantial uncertainty in this estimate, potentially due to variability in measurement tools used in these studies.[5 6] CIPN may lead to dose reductions, delayed treatment or early discontinuation in 2%–58% of people, with unclear effects on disease status and survival.[7–13] Unfortunately, there are no known preventative agents, and only one pharmacologic treatment, duloxetine, with sufficient evidence supporting its use when the outcome is pain intensity.[14 15] However, treatments for the myriad other symptoms associated with CIPN are unavailable.

Early, frequent and standardised assessment of CIPN is important to understand the development and evolution of symptomatology and to prevent its negative impacts.[3 14] This may be achieved via use of Clinician-Reported Outcome Measures (ClinROM) or Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROM). ClinROMs are assessment tools and techniques used by clinicians of observable signs, behaviours or other manifestations of CIPN.[16–19] PROMs allow patients to self-report the presence, intensity, frequency and/or the impact of symptoms and signs of the sensory, motor and/or autonomic features of CIPN. CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs must be valid, or measure what they purport to measure,[20] reliable (ie, consistent), reproducible and stable across raters,[21] and responsive to change following administration of the first and subsequent cycles of neurotoxic chemotherapy.[19] Unfortunately, there is no agreement on a gold-standard CIPN assessment tool, preventing an adequate understanding of the magnitude of the problem of acute and chronic CIPN, its presentation, development and trajectory, risk factors and impacts.[19 22] Importantly, this may also contribute to difficulty identifying effective preventative agents and treatments.[14 22 23]

It is also unclear whether CIPN tools have similar validity and reliability across neurotoxic chemotherapy types, during versus after chemotherapy delivery, or across the adult lifespan.[3] For example, while there may be heterogeneity in CIPN experience due to biopsychosocial factors (eg, genetics, symptom appraisal),[4 8] CIPN may also differ according to chemotherapy type with potentially different effects on symptom presentation.[3] It may also be possible that the psychometric properties of the tools may differ depending on whether CIPN is measured during treatment (eg, acute symptom presentation) vs after treatment (eg, chronic symptom presentation). Additionally, ageing is accompanied by non-uniform biopsychosocial changes which can influence health and illness.[24] In the case of CIPN, age-related morphological changes in the peripheral nervous system, including decreased nerve conduction velocity and slowed axonal regeneration and reinnervation,[25–30] may interact with damage to the peripheral nervous system caused by neurotoxic chemotherapy,[3] which may also result in differences in symptom presentation. This is important because cancer is primarily a disease of older people.[31 32] Therefore, it may be possible that in the upcoming years, with the ageing population,[33] there will be many older adults at risk of experiencing CIPN who will require valid and reliable assessment strategies that are able to differentiate normal age-related changes from those associated with CIPN.[31 34]

Although numerous reviews of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs have been published,[6 35–39] none to date has used methodology that allows for a quantitative assessment and comparison of the methodological quality of psychometric studies or the quality of the CIPN assessment tools, themselves. This is likely a key factor in our inability to identify a gold standard CIPN tool. The COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments (COSMIN) Risk of Bias checklist was developed to quantitatively assess the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties and the ClinROMs and PROMs evaluated in these studies.[16 40 41] Validity, reliability and responsiveness are evaluated using 10 boxes that collect information about measurement properties, including measure development, content validity, structural validity, internal consistency, cross-cultural validity/measurement invariance, reliability, measurement error, criterion validity, hypothesis testing and responsiveness. Assessment criteria in each box depend on the type of analysis performed. The scoring of each question within a box can vary according the measurement propriety assessed.[40] The rating scale includes four possible scores, ranging from very good, adequate, doubtful, to inadequate.[40] The score for each box is calculated based on a 'worst score counts' method which only considers the lowest rating within the box. This rigorous method allows for an objective evaluation and quantitative scoring of the quality of studies that report psychometric properties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs and the tools themselves. No studies to date have applied the COSMIN methodology to assess the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN tools and the overall quality of ClinROMs and/or PROMs.

There are significant gaps in our knowledge about how to best assess CIPN. These knowledge gaps affect our capacity to relieve CIPN symptoms in all adults undergoing neurotoxic chemotherapy. A critical first step to improving our understanding of CIPN across the adult lifespan is to ensure that the assessment tools are valid and reliable. An assessment of the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN tools and the quality of ClinROMs and PROMs using the COSMIN method would help to identify gaps and weaknesses in their psychometric properties and potential

areas for improvements to existing CIPN measures. Such an analysis would substantially improve the CIPN literature. From a research perspective, it would advance the field toward identification of a gold standard CIPN assessment method, which could have important implications for future trials testing novel preventive and treatment agents. From a clinical perspective, it would provide healthcare providers with valid and reliable assessment tools for early detection and monitoring of CIPN symptoms among younger and older adults undergoing all neurotoxic chemotherapies.

### Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to perform a systematic review of studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs among adults receiving, or who had previously received chemotherapy for cancer and to compare COSMIN quality ratings across included studies and the quality of ClinROMs and PROMs, separately. The secondary objective is to perform subgroup analyses of studies by age group (younger adults (18–59 years ±10 years) vs older adults (≥60 years old ±10 years)), chemotherapy type and the timing of the study in relation to the delivery of chemotherapy (eg, during treatment vs after treatment), where data are available.

## METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This study protocol was prepared with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) and PRISMA literature search extension (PRISMA-S) guidelines.[42 43] The PRISMA-P checklist is available in online supplemental appendix 1.

### PICO question

- Population: Adults aged over 18 years receiving or who had previously received chemotherapy for cancer.
- Intervention(s), exposure(s): Any type of PROM or ClinROM used to assess CIPN.
- Comparator(s)/control: Not applicable.
- Main outcome(s): Establishing what is the most appropriate, valid and reliable CIPN PROM and ClinROM using the COSMIN methodology.
- Additional outcome: Recommend of one or more ClinROM(s) and/or a PROM(s) for assessing CIPN in clinical and research settings using the Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) filter 2.1 methodology.

### Eligibility criteria

All studies reporting on the psychometric proprieties of CIPN ClinROMs and/or PROMs among adults aged ≥18 years will be eligible for inclusion. There will be no restrictions on publication or year. Articles published in French will be translated to English by bilingual team members (PBM, LRG, DT and MEC).[44] For articles written in other languages we will use Google Translate, as recommended.[44] All types of cancer and all types of chemotherapy will be considered. Only empirical, peer-reviewed articles that report on measurement proprieties of CIPN ClinROMs and/or PROMs will be included. Case studies, study protocols, published conference abstracts and systematic reviews will be excluded. Studies reporting data from neonatal and/or paediatric populations combined with data from adult populations will be included if it is possible to isolate the data from the adult subgroup. If it is not possible, these studies will be excluded. Studies will be excluded when an adult proxy is used to assess CIPN symptoms of paediatric patients. Patients who do not have cancer or who do not receive any antineoplastic agents will be excluded. Any studies using animals as their population will also be excluded

### Information sources

MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and APA PsycINFO databases will be searched from inception to 31 December 2021. Search terms were developed for (1) the population (adults receiving or who had previously received cancer treatment), (2) CIPN and (3) psychometric properties. The COSMIN search filter for PROMs and ClinROMs was used for the latter conceptual block. Search strategies were verified by a health science librarian with systematic review experience. References of all identified texts will be examined to identify additional studies not identified in the database search.

#### Search strategy

Search strategies are available in online supplemental appendix 2.

### Data management

All references will be imported into Endnote V.20. Duplicates will be removed using Bramer's deduplication method in Endnote.[45] Then, references will be exported into Covidence in order to carry out the study selection.[46]

### Study selection process

A two-stage process for study screening will be used. Two independent raters (PBM and LAR) will screen titles and abstracts of articles to assess whether they meet the eligibility criteria. Full-text screening will be conducted by the two independent raters (PBM and KM). The number of included and excluded studies at each step will be presented in a PRISMA flow chart. Reasons for the exclusion of full-text articles will be recorded in Covidence and presented in the PRISMA flow chart. A third rater (LRG) will resolve all disagreements at each screening phase. Percentage agreement between raters will be calculated at each phase to report interrater reliability.[47]

### Data collection process

For each study included in the review, data relating to study characteristics (target population, mode of administration, recall period, subscale(s) and number of items, response options, range of scores, original language and available translation) and measurement properties of the PROMs and the ClinROMs (instrument development,

content validity, structural validity, internal consistency, cross-cultural validity/measurement invariance, reliability, measurement error, criterion validity, hypothesis testing for the construct validity and responsiveness) will be extracted by two people and compared for consistency (PBM and MEC). CIPN definitions reported by the authors will also be extracted to examine and compare conceptual definitions across studies. Data extraction tables will be adapted from those designed by the COSMIN group. Corresponding authors will be contacted in the event of missing data (descriptive data, missing information about the items and the scoring of each tool). Three contact attempts will be made over the period of 1 month. In the case of non-response, data will be coded as missing.

### Quality assessment

The COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist will be used by two independent raters (PBM, MEC) to assess the methodological quality of the included studies and the quality of ClinROMs and PROMs.[41] The first step consists of assessing the quality of each study describing psychometric properties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs using an Excel spreadsheet proposed by the COSMIN group.[48] In this step, each of the 10 measurement properties considered by the COSMIN system is given four possible scores: very good, adequate, doubtful and inadequate.[48] A summary table which presents the quality rating of each study will be included in this review once the COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist is completed. The second step involves rating each study describing psychometric properties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs against the criteria for good measurement properties.[48] Each rating has a possible score of sufficient (+), insufficient (−), inconsistent (±) or indeterminate rating (?) according to published criteria.[48] The third step involves determining an overall quality score of all studies reporting on a given ClinROM or PROM by considering cross-study ratings.[48] The quality of evidence is determined by using a modified Grades of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach with a possibility of 4 grades: high, moderate, low, very low.[48] Psychometric data from ClinROMs will be considered separately from psychometric data from PROMs. We will rely on data for steps 1 and 2 from a recently completed systematic review and COSMIN analysis of CIPN PROMs (PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020210405; Li, Park & Rutherford, Manuscript in progress) for any studies overlapping across the two reviews.

### Subgroup analysis

The COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist will be completed and analysed to compare methodological quality ratings from studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN tools and the quality of ClinROMs and PROMs across age groups (younger adults (18–59 years ±10 years) vs older adults (≥60 years old ±10 years)), the type of chemotherapy received, and the timing of the study in relation to the delivery of chemotherapy (eg, during treatment vs after treatment), where data are available. Age subgroups are based on cut-offs used in previous studies in cancer with clinically relevant outcomes.[49–51] We will allow for the cut-off between younger and older groups to vary by 20 years to account for methodological differences in the categorisation of younger and older age groups across studies.

### Recommendation of a ClinROM and PROM

While the COSMIN method is the only available method to quantitatively assess the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties and the ClinROMs and PROMs, it does not provide a method to recommend the use of a ClinROMs or PROMs. In this review, we will address this limitation by using the OMERACT filter 2.1, based on the findings of the COSMIN analysis, to determine whether it is possible to recommend the use of one or more ClinROMs or PROMs, and if so, make recommendations.[52 53] Data issued by COSMIN on construct validity, test-retest reliability and longitudinal construct validity (ie, responsiveness) and additional information on clinical trial discrimination and thresholds of meaning which are not covered in COSMIN will be used to complete the COSMIN-OMERACT Good Measures Checklist by two independent raters.[52] This methodology permits recommendations based on a standardised, rigorous and transparent process.[52] Although this methodology has not been used in systematic reviews of the psychometric properties of CIPN measures, two previous reviews have used the OMERACT filter 2.1 in conjunction with the results of a COSMIN analysis to make recommendations about dermatological measures.[54 55]

The OMERACT filter 2.1 (table 1) has three pillars, four questions, seven measurement properties and one answer.[52] Truth, discrimination and feasibility represent the pillars.[52] A set of questions are associated with each pillar which are organised in an algorithm.[52] Each question has a possibility of 4 scores: Red, amber, green and white.[52] Red means ‘stop, do not continue’, amber means ‘a caution is raised but you can continue’, green means ‘go, this question is definitely answered affirmatively’, and white means an absence of evidence and the evaluation must stop.[52] An overall score that ranges between do not endorse, provisionally endorse, or endorse is issued once the four questions of the algorithm are answered.[52] The seven included measurement properties map onto seven of the COSMIN measurement properties. We will adapt the OMERACT filter 2.1 to include all 10 COSMIN Risk of bias checklist measurement properties.

### Data synthesis and best-evidence synthesis

The synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) guideline will be used to guide data synthesis for the included studies.[56] SWiM includes nine items that report key features in the methods, results and discussion of every study, such as grouping studies for synthesis (item 1), standardised metric used for synthesis (item 2), synthesis methods and their limitations (items 3 and 9), criteria used to prioritise

Table 1 OMERACT filter 2.1 definitions, questions and measurement properties

| Pillars | Definition | Questions | Measurement properties |
|---|---|---|---|
| Truth | The ability of the outcome measurement tool to measure what is intended[52] | 'Is it a match with the target domain?' | Construct validity, reliability |
| | | 'Do the numeric scores make sense?' | Content validity, face validity |
| Discrimination | The ability of the outcome measurement tool to discriminate different situations of interest[52] | 'Can it discriminate between groups of interest?' | Test–retest reliability, longitudinal validity/ responsiveness, ability to discriminate in Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT)/comparative research setting, threshold of meaning |
| Feasibility | The practicality of the outcome measurement tool (time, cost, burden)[52] | 'Is it practical to use?' | Access, training, translation, length, cost, burden |

OMERACT, Outcome Measures in Rheumatology.

results for summary and synthesis (item 4), heterogeneity in reported effects (item 5), certainty of evidence (item 6), data presentation methods (item 7) and a summary of the synthesis (item 8).[56]

### Patient and public involvement

A patient partner and coauthor (MB) participated in the development of the review protocol and will participate as a research team member throughout the review process, contributing to interpretation of the findings, manuscript drafting and revisions.

## ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

An ethical approval is not necessary to be obtained for this systematic review protocol. The results will be disseminated to clinicians and researchers by publication in a peer-reviewed journal and by presenting at relevant conferences. This systematic review will support researchers and clinicians to use the best measure to assess CIPN.

## DISCUSSION

The planned study will be the most up-to-date systematic review of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs and the only one to use the COSMIN risk of bias tool with CIPN ClinROMs, allowing for a quantitative evaluation and comparison of the methodological quality of studies reporting psychometric properties of CIPN tools and the quality of ClinROMs and PROMs. Subgroup analyses by age group, chemotherapy type and the timing of the study in relation to the delivery of chemotherapy will be carried out to determine whether the psychometric proprieties of CIPN ClinROMs and PROMs are the same across clinically relevant subgroups. This systematic review will also be the first to use the OMERACT filter 2.1 methodology to facilitate the recommendation of one or more ClinROMs and/or PROMs for assessing CIPN in clinical and research settings, based on the available evidence.

Limitations may be related to the heterogeneity of patients who have CIPN and to the lack of consensus about the definition of CIPN. High heterogeneity in the experience of CIPN may be due to multiple factors, (eg, biological, the prescribed chemotherapy regimen and the timing of development of CIPN and its manifestations over time). Our planned subgroup analyses may help to mitigate this limitation. We will also analyse CIPN definitions used across studies to examine conceptual definitions, and to compare similarities and differences across studies and how this might contribute to any observed heterogeneity. In addition, the COSMIN methodology does not currently consider all types of measurement like patient-reported experience measures (PREM). PREMs aim to explore the patient's experience of care from their own perspective.[57] This limitation may have an impact on the identification of a tool that could improve patient health outcomes and the quality of care for those undergoing chemotherapy or receiving CIPN treatment and the economic impact of CIPN.[57 58] Additional research is needed to identify and evaluate the quality of CIPN PREMs. Expanding the COSMIN methodology to include PREMs would be an important future research direction.

**Author affiliations**
[1]Faculty of Nursing, Université Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
[2]Oncology Division, Centre de recherche du CHU de Quebec-Universite Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
[3]Quebec Heart and Lung Institute, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
[4]School of Medical Sciences, Brain and Mind Center, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
[5]CHU de Quebec-Universite Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
[6]Department of Psychology, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
[7]School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA
[8]Person with lived experience, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada
[9]Department of Family and Emergency Medicine, Université Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada

**Acknowledgments** We acknowledge the work of Thalianne Côté-Cazes for her help with a preliminary literature search for this protocol.

**Contributors** PBM and LRG conceived the study idea and were responsible for developing and writing the first draft of the systematic review protocol and manuscript. EB and PBM developed the search routine for each database. TL, SBP, LAR, MEC, KM, DT, MB and JSG provided critical insights at all stages. All authors approved and contributed to the final manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by the Fondation J.-Louis Lévesque. The MES-Universités grant was provided to Philippe Bérubé-Mercier to support professional Ph.D training in Nursing. LRG is supported by a Fonds de recherche - Santé de Quebec Research Scholars Junior one award in partnership with the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation.

**Disclaimer** The views and thoughts expressed in this article are solely those of the authors. These funding sources had no involvement in the study design, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, the writing of the report, and the decision to submit the article for publication.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



**ORCID iDs**
Philippe Bérubé-Mercier http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9508-593X
Lye-Ann Robichaud http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5530-0460
Lynn R Gauthier http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0138-0167

## REFERENCES

1 Smith EML. Current methods for the assessment and management of taxane-related neuropathy. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 2013;17:22–34.
2 Flatters SJL, Dougherty PM, Colvin LA. Clinical and preclinical perspectives on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a narrative review. *Br J Anaesth* 2017;119:737–49.
3 Colvin LA. Chemotherapy-Induced peripheral neuropathy: where are we now? *Pain* 2019;160:S1–10.
4 Seretny M, Currie GL, Sena ES, *et al*. Incidence, prevalence, and predictors of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 2014;155:2461–70.
5 Gewandter JS, McDermott MP, Kitt RA, *et al*. Interpretation of cis in clinical trials with non-significant results: systematic review and recommendations. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e017288.
6 Curcio KR. Instruments for assessing chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a review of the literature. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 2016;20:144–51.
7 Bhatnagar B, Gilmore S, Goloubeva O, *et al*. Chemotherapy dose reduction due to chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy in breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant or adjuvant settings: a single-center experience. *Springerplus* 2014;3:1–6.
8 Salgado TM, Quinn CS, Krumbach EK, *et al*. Reporting of paclitaxel-induced peripheral neuropathy symptoms to clinicians among women with breast cancer: a qualitative study. *Support Care Cancer* 2020;28:4163–72.
9 Nyrop KA, Deal AM, Shachar SS, *et al*. Patient-Reported toxicities during chemotherapy regimens in current clinical practice for early breast cancer. *Oncologist* 2019;24:762–71.
10 Rosenbaek F, Holm HS, Hjelmborg JVB, *et al*. Effect of cryotherapy on dose of adjuvant paclitaxel in early-stage breast cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2020;28:3763–9.
11 Hershman DL, Weimer LH, Wang A, *et al*. Association between patient reported outcomes and quantitative sensory tests for measuring long-term neurotoxicity in breast cancer survivors treated with adjuvant paclitaxel chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011;125:767–74.
12 Hertz DL, Childs DS, Park SB, *et al*. Patient-centric decision framework for treatment alterations in patients with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). *Cancer Treat Rev* 2021;99:102241.
13 Speck RM, Sammel MD, Farrar JT, *et al*. Impact of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy on treatment delivery in nonmetastatic breast cancer. *J Oncol Pract* 2013;9:e234–40.
14 Loprinzi CL, Lacchetti C, Bleeker J, *et al*. Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: ASCO guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 2020;38:3325–48.
15 Hershman DL, Lacchetti C, Dworkin RH, *et al*. Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:1941–67.
16 Mokkink LB, Boers M, van der Vleuten CPM, *et al*. COSMIN risk of bias tool to assess the quality of studies on reliability or measurement error of outcome measurement instruments: a Delphi study. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2020;20:293.
17 National Center for Biotechnology Information. Glossary: terms and definitions, 2018. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338448/#IX-C
18 Powers JH, Patrick DL, Walton MK, *et al*. Clinician-Reported outcome assessments of treatment benefit: report of the ISPOR clinical outcome assessment emerging good practices task force. *Value Health* 2017;20:2–14.
19 Gewandter JS, Brell J, Cavaletti G, *et al*. Trial designs for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy prevention. *Neurology* 2018;91:403–13.
20 Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. Validity. In: Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J, eds. *Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014: 227–53.
21 Streiner DL, Norman GR. Reliability. In: Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J, eds. *Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014: 157–99.
22 Smith EML, Knoerl R, Yang JJ, *et al*. In search of a gold standard patient-reported outcome measure for use in Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy clinical trials. *Cancer Control* 2018;25:107327481875660.
23 McCrary JM, Goldstein D, Boyle F, *et al*. Optimal clinical assessment strategies for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a systematic review and Delphi survey. *Support Care Cancer* 2017;25:3485–93.
24 Gagliese L. Pain and aging: the emergence of a new subfield of pain research. *J Pain* 2009;10:343–53.
25 Bouche P, Cattelin F, Saint-Jean O, *et al*. Clinical and electrophysiological study of the peripheral nervous system in the elderly. *J Neurol* 1993;240:263–8.
26 Dorfman LJ, Bosley TM. Age-related changes in peripheral and central nerve conduction in man. *Neurology* 1979;29:38.
27 Sato A, Sato Y, Suzuki H. Aging effects on conduction velocities of myelinated and unmyelinated fibers of peripheral nerves. *Neurosci Lett* 1985;53:15–20.
28 Tohgi H, Tsukagoshi H, Toyokura Y. Quantitative changes with age in normal sural nerves. *Acta Neuropathol* 1977;38:213–20.
29 Verdú E, Butí M, Navarro X. Functional changes of the peripheral nervous system with aging in the mouse. *Neurobiol Aging* 1996;17:73–7.
30 Verdú E, Ceballos D, Vilches JJ, *et al*. Influence of aging on peripheral nerve function and regeneration. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 2000;5:191–208.
31 Pilleron S, Sarfati D, Janssen-Heijnen M, *et al*. Global cancer incidence in older adults, 2012 and 2035: a population-based study. *Int J Cancer* 2019;144:49–58.
32 Canadian Cancer Society. *Canadian cancer statistics 2017*. Canadian Cancer Society, 2017.
33 Parry C, Kent EE, Mariotto AB, *et al*. Cancer survivors: a Booming population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20:1996–2005.
34 Marosi C, Köller M. Challenge of cancer in the elderly. *ESMO Open* 2016;1:e000020.

35 Gewandter JS, Burke L, Cavaletti G, *et al*. Content validity of symptom-based measures for diabetic, chemotherapy, and HIV peripheral neuropathy. *Muscle Nerve* 2017;55:366–72.
36 Griffith KA, Merkies ISJ, Hill EE, *et al*. Measures of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review of psychometric properties. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 2010;15:314–25.
37 Johnston DL, Sung L, Stark D, *et al*. A systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures of neuropathy in children, adolescents and young adults. *Support Care Cancer* 2016;24:3723–8.
38 Haryani H, Fetzer SJ, Wu C-L, *et al*. Chemotherapy-Induced peripheral neuropathy assessment tools: a systematic review. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2017;44:E111–23.
39 Park SB, Alberti P, Kolb NA, *et al*. Overview and critical revision of clinical assessment tools in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neurotoxicity. *J Peripher Nerv Syst* 2019;24:S13–25.
40 Mokkink LB, de Vet HCW, Prinsen CAC, *et al*. COSMIN risk of bias checklist for systematic reviews of patient-reported outcome measures. *Qual Life Res* 2018;27:1171–9.
41 Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, *et al*. The COSMIN study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of measurement properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2010;63:737–45.
42 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al*. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1–9.
43 Rethlefsen ML, Kirtley S, Waffenschmidt S, *et al*. PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA statement for reporting literature searches in systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2021;10:1–19.
44 Walpole SC. Including papers in languages other than English in systematic reviews: important, feasible, yet often omitted. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2019;111:127–34.
45 Bramer WM, Giustini D, de Jonge GB, *et al*. De-duplication of database search results for systematic reviews in endnote. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2016;104:240–3.
46 Covidence. Better systematic review management, 2020. Available: https://www.covidence.org/
47 Belur J, Tompson L, Thornton A, *et al*. Interrater reliability in systematic review methodology: exploring variation in Coder decision-making. *CrimRxiv* 2018.
48 Prinsen CAC, Mokkink LB, Bouter LM, *et al*. COSMIN guideline for systematic reviews of patient-reported outcome measures. *Qual Life Res* 2018;27:1147–57.
49 Cataldo JK, Paul S, Cooper B, *et al*. Differences in the symptom experience of older versus younger oncology outpatients: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Cancer* 2013;13:6.
50 Cheung WY, Le LW, Gagliese L, *et al*. Age and gender differences in symptom intensity and symptom clusters among patients with metastatic cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2011;19:417–23.
51 Gagliese L, Melzack R. Age-Related differences in the qualities but not the intensity of chronic pain. *Pain* 2003;104:597–608.
52 Beaton DE, Maxwell LJ, Shea BJ, *et al*. Instrument selection using the OMERACT filter 2.1: the OMERACT methodology. *J Rheumatol* 2019;46:1028–35.
53 Beaton DE, Terwee CB, Singh JA, *et al*. A call for evidence-based decision making when selecting outcome measurement instruments for summary of findings tables in systematic reviews: results from an OMERACT Working group. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1954–61.
54 Gerbens LAA, Prinsen CAC, Chalmers JR, *et al*. Evaluation of the measurement properties of symptom measurement instruments for atopic eczema: a systematic review. *Allergy* 2017;72:146–63.
55 Dobbs TD, Samarendra H, Hughes S, *et al*. Patient-reported outcome measures for facial skin cancer: a systematic review and evaluation of the quality of their measurement properties. *Br J Dermatol* 2019;180:1018–29.
56 Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, *et al*. Synthesis without meta-analysis (swim) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. *BMJ* 2020;72:l6890.
57 Ahmed F, Burt J, Roland M. Measuring patient experience: concepts and methods. *Patient* 2014;7:235–41.
58 Doyle C, Lennox L, Bell D. A systematic review of evidence on the links between patient experience and clinical safety and effectiveness. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e001570.

**Appendix 1: PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol***

| Section and topic | Item No | Checklist item | Page number |
|---|---|---|---|
| **ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION** | | | |
| Title: | | | |
| Identification | 1a | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review | Title; p.1 |
| Update | 1b | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such | n/a |
| Registration | 2 | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number | Abstract, Methods and Analysis, Study Records; pp.2,7,8 |
| Authors: | | | |
| Contact | 3a | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author | Authors; p.1 |
| Contributions | 3b | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review | Declaration; Authors' Contributions: p.13 |
| Amendments | 4 | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments | n/a |
| Support: | | | |
| Sources | 5a | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review | Declaration; Funding Statement; p.12 |
| Sponsor | 5b | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor | Declaration; Funding |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Statement; p.12 |
| Role of sponsor or funder | 5c | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol | Declaration; Funding statement; p.12 |
| **INTRODUCTION** | | | |
| Rationale | 6 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known | Introduction; pp.4-6 |
| Objectives | 7 | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) | Methods and Analysis; PICO Question; p.7 |
| **METHODS** | | | |
| Eligibility criteria | 8 | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | Methods and Analysis; pp.7-8 |
| Information sources | 9 | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage | Methods and Analysis; Information Sources; pp.7-8 |
| Search strategy | 10 | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated | Supplementary material file; pp.5-18 |
| Study records: | | | |
| Data management | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review | Methods and Analysis; pp.7-9 |
| Selection process | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) | Methods and Analysis; Study |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Selection Process; p.8 |
| Data collection process | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators | Methods and Analysis; Data Collection Process; p.9 |
| Data items | 12 | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications | pp.6, 8 |
| Outcomes and prioritization | 13 | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale | Methods and Analysis; PICO Question; p.6 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14 | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis | Methods and Analysis; Quality Assessment; pp.9-10 |
| Data synthesis | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised | Methods and analysis; Data Synthesis and Best-Evidence Synthesis; p.11 |
| | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$, Kendall's $\tau$) | Methods and Analysis; Data Synthesis and Best-Evidence Synthesis; p.11 |
| | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) | Methods and Analysis; Subgroup Analysis; p.10 |
| | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned | Methods and Analysis; |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Quality Assessment; pp.9-10 |
| Meta-bias(es) | 16 | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) | n/a |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence | 17 | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) | n/a |

*** It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

*From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647*

## Appendix 2: Search strategies

**Medline (OVID)**

| Psychometrics properties | | Results |
|---|---|---|
| **1** | instrumentation.fs OR validation study.pt OR exp reproducibility of results/ OR psychometrics/ OR observer variation/ OR discriminant analysis/ | |
| **2** | (reproducib* OR psychometr* OR clinimetr* OR clinometr* OR observer variation OR reliab* OR valid* OR coefficient OR internal consistency OR (cronbach* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR item correlation* OR item selection* OR item reduction*).**ti,ab** OR (agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR precise values).**tw** OR (test retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter rater OR intrarater OR intra rater OR intertester OR inter tester OR intratester OR intra tester OR interobserver OR inter observer OR intraobserver OR intra observer OR intertechnician OR inter technician OR intratechnician OR intra technician OR interexaminer OR inter examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra examiner OR interassay OR inter assay OR intraassay OR intra assay OR interindividual OR inter individual OR intraindividual OR intra individual OR interparticipant OR inter participant OR intraparticipant OR intra participant OR kappa OR kappa's OR kappas OR coefficient of variation).**ti,ab** OR (repeatab* OR ((replicab* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests))).**tw** OR (generaliza* OR generalisa* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation*) OR discriminative OR known group OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR factor structure OR factor structures OR dimensionality OR subscale* OR multitraitscaling analysis OR multitrait scaling analyses OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation* OR ((error OR errors) AND (measure*OR correlat* OR evaluat* OR accuracy OR accurate OR precision OR mean)) OR individual variability OR interval variability OR rate variability OR variability analysis OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR standard error of measurement OR sensitiv* OR responsive* OR (limit AND detection) OR minimal detectable concentration OR interpretab* OR (small* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR minimal important change OR minimal important difference OR minimally important change OR minimally important difference OR minimal detectable change OR minimal detectable difference OR minimally detectable change OR minimally detectable difference OR minimal real change OR minimal real difference OR minimally real change OR minimally real difference OR ceiling effect OR floor effect OR Item response model OR IRT OR Rasch OR Differential item functioning OR DIF OR computer adaptive testing OR itembank OR cross cultural equivalence).**ti,ab** | |
| **3** | **1 OR 2** | **4274030** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Patient-reported outcome measurement instruments** | | |
| **4** | "patient reported outcome measures"/ or "surveys and questionnaires"/ or self report/ or quality of life/ | |
| **5** | (((patient? OR self) **adj2** (report* OR relate* OR declar* OR rating* OR assess* OR examin* OR monitor* OR administer* OR rate* OR rating* or based or appraisal* or appraised or evaluat*) **adj2** (outcome* OR symptom*)) or PROM? Or PRO or PROS OR (quality adj2 life) OR HRQL or HRQoL or QL or QoL OR HR-PRO or HRPRO).**ti,ab,kw** | |
| **6** | ((disability or function* or subjective or utility or utilities or wellbeing or well being) **adj3** (outcome or outcomes or index or indices or instrument or instruments or measure or measures or questionnaire or questionnaires or profile or profiles or scale or scales or score or scores or scoring or status or survey or surveys or measure*)).**ti,ab,kw** | |
| **Clinician reported outcome measurement instruments** | | |
| **7** | exp outcome assessment health care/ | |
| **8** | (Clinician* reported outcome* or CROS or clinRO or clinROs OR ((clinical or clinician* or expert* or health professional* OR physician* OR doctor*) **adj2** (judgement* or interpretation* or observation* or assessment* or measure*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **9** | **OR/4-8** | **2423430** |
| **Peripheral neuropathy** | | |
| **10** | peripheral nervous system diseases/ or brachial plexus neuropathies/ or exp complex regional pain syndromes/ or neuralgia/ or metatarsalgia/ or neuritis/ or polyneuropathies/ or polyradiculoneuropathy/ or small fiber neuropathy/ or neural conduction/ or cranial nerve injuries/ or peripheral nerve injuries/ | |
| **11** | (PNS disease* or peripheral nervous system disease* or neuropath* or complex regional pain syndrome* or neuralgia* or neuritis or neurodinya* or (nerve* **adj2** (pain* or injur* or disease* or affect* or damage* or degeneration*)) or causalgia* or hyperesthesi* or paresthesi* or hypesthesi* or paralysis or polyneuropath* or polyradiculo* or polyneuritis or burning feet syndrome or metatarsalgia).**ti,ab** | |
| **12** | neurologic manifestations/ | |
| **13** | ((neurologic* **adj2** (manifestation* or deficit* or sign or signs or symptom* or finding* or dysfunction*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **14** | sensation disorders/ or exp somatosensory disorders/ or sensory thresholds/ or differential threshold/ or pain threshold/ or signal detection, psychological/ or "evoked potentials, somatosensory"/ or touch perception/ or exp nociceptive pain/ or pain, referred/ or exp pain perception/ or breakthrough pain/ or facial pain/ or neurotoxicity syndromes/ or hand-foot syndrome/ | |
| **15** | (((sensation* or sense* or sensivity or sensorimotor or sensory or somatosensory or nociceptive or somatic or tissue* or tactile or touch* or pinprick or thermal or burning or shooting or deafferentation or differential or referred or percept* or facial or breakthrought) **adj3** (pain* or disorder* or disease* or disturb*or distortion* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or amplificat* or hyperesthetic or alter* or affect* or gating or threshold*)) or pain threshold* or hyperalgesi* or allodynia* or formication* or tingling or hypersensitivity or oxyesthesi* or electric shock like or vibration* or nocicept* or neurotoxi* or hand foot syndrome*).**ti,ab** | |
| **16** | exp "musculoskeletal and neural physiological phenomena"/ or muscular diseases/ or neuromuscular manifestations/ or muscle weakness/ or muscle cramp/ or arthralgia/ or exp gait disorders, neurologic/ or proprioception/ or weight-bearing/ or evoked potentials, motor/ | |
| **17** | ((motor* or muscle* or muscular or neuromuscular or functional* or movement*or walk* or gait* or balance or strength or tendon*or joint* or reflex* or tonus) **adj3** (pain* or disorder* or disturb* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or alter* or disabilit* or postural or weak* or fatigue) or propriocepti* or arthralgia* or myalgia* or polyarthralgia* or numbness or foot drop or footdrop or cramp*)**.ti,ab.** | |
| **18** | **OR/10-17** | **2942852** |
| **CIPN** | | |
| **19** | ((chemotherapy induced adj2 neuropath*) or CIPN).**ti,ab** | |
| **Antineoplastic agents** | | |
| **20** | Exp antineoplastic agents/ or antineoplastic combined chemotherapy protocols/ | |
| **21** | (antineoplastic* or chemotherap* or ((anticancer or antitumo?) **adj3** (agent or agents or drug*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **22** | **20 OR 21** | **1408051** |
| **23** | **3 AND 9 AND 18 AND 22** | **2741** |
| **24** | **3 AND 9 AND 19** | **167** |
| **25** | **23 OR 24** | **2741** |
| **Exclusion Filters** | | |
| **26** | (address OR case reports OR comment OR directory OR editorial OR festschrift OR lectures OR legal cases OR legislation OR letter OR news OR newspaper article OR patient education handout OR popular work OR congress OR practice guideline).**pt** | |
| **27** | exp biography/ OR exp consensus development conference/ | |
| **28** | **26 or 27** | **4234403** |
| **29** | **25 NOT 28** | **2562** |

**Embase (OVID)**

| **Psychometrics properties** | | **Results** |
|---|---|---|
| **1** | devices/ OR validation study/ OR reproducibility/ OR exp psychometry/ or observer variation/ OR discriminant analysis/ OR validity/ | |
| **2** | (reproducib* OR psychometr* OR clinimetr* OR clinometr* OR observer variation OR reliab* OR valid* OR coefficient OR internal consistency OR (cronbach* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR item correlation* OR item selection* OR item reduction*).**ti,ab** OR (agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR precise values).**tw** OR (test retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter rater OR intrarater OR intra rater OR intertester OR inter tester OR intratester OR intra tester OR interobserver OR inter observer OR intraobserver OR intra observer OR intertechnician OR inter technician OR intratechnician OR intra technician OR interexaminer OR inter examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra examiner OR interassay OR inter assay OR intraassay OR intra assay OR interindividual OR inter individual OR intraindividual OR intra individual OR interparticipant OR inter participant OR intraparticipant OR intra participant OR kappa OR kappa's OR kappas OR coefficient of variation).**ti,ab**<br>OR (repeatab* OR ((replicab* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests))).**tw**<br>OR (generaliza* OR generalisa* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation*) OR discriminative OR known group OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR factor structure OR factor structures OR dimensionality OR subscale* OR multitraitscaling analysis OR multitrait scaling analyses OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation* OR ((error OR errors) AND (measure*OR correlat* OR evaluat* OR accuracy OR accurate OR precision OR mean)) OR individual variability OR interval variability OR rate variability OR variability analysis OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR standard error of measurement OR sensitiv* OR responsive* OR (limit AND detection) OR minimal detectable concentration OR interpretab* OR (small* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR minimal important change OR minimal important difference OR minimally important change OR minimally important difference OR minimal detectable change OR minimal detectable difference OR minimally detectable change OR minimally detectable difference OR minimal real change OR minimal real difference OR minimally real change OR minimally real difference OR ceiling effect OR floor effect OR Item response model OR IRT OR Rasch OR Differential item functioning OR DIF OR computer adaptive testing OR itembank OR cross cultural equivalence).**ti,ab** | |
| **3** | **1 OR 2** | **5504013** |
| **Patient-Reported outcome measurement** | | |
| **4** | patient-reported outcome measure/ OR questionnaire/ OR self report/ OR quality of life/ | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **5** | (((patient? OR self) **adj2** (report* OR relate* OR declar* OR rating* OR assess* OR examin* OR monitor* OR administer* OR rate* OR rating* or based or appraisal* or appraised or evaluat*) **adj2** (outcome* OR symptom*) or PROM? Or PRO or PROS OR (quality adj2 life) OR HRQL or HRQoL or QL or QoL OR HR-PRO or HRPRO).**ti,ab,kw** | |
| **6** | ((disability or function* or subjective or utility or utilities or wellbeing or well being) adj3 (outcome or outcomes or index or indices or instrument or instruments or measure or measures or questionnaire or questionnaires or profile or profiles or scale or scales or score or scores or scoring or status or survey or surveys or measure*)).**ti,ab,kw** | |
| **Clinician reported outcomes measurement** | | |
| **7** | exp outcome assessment/ | |
| **8** | (Clinician* reported outcome* or CROS or clinRO or clinROs OR ((clinical or clinician* or expert* or health professional* OR physician* OR doctor*) **adj2** (judgement* or interpretation* or observation* or assessment* or measure*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **9** | **OR/4-8** | **2786142** |
| **Peripheral neuropathy** | | |
| **10** | peripheral neuropathy/ OR brachial plexus neuropathy/ OR exp complex regional pain syndrome/ OR neuralgia/ OR metatarsalgia/ OR neuritis/ OR polyneuropathy/ OR polyradiculoneuropathy/ OR small fiber neuropathy/ OR nerve conduction/ OR cranial nerve injury/ OR peripheral nerve injury/ | |
| **11** | (PNS disease* or peripheral nervous system disease* or neuropath* or complex regional pain syndrome* or neuralgia* or neuritis or neurodinya* or (nerve* **adj2** (pain* or injur* or disease* or affect* or damage* or degeneration*)) or causalgia* or hyperesthesi* or paresthesi* or hypesthesi* or paralysis or polyneuropath* or polyradiculo* or polyneuritis or burning feet syndrome or metatarsalgia).**ti,ab** | |
| **12** | neurologic disease/ | |
| **13** | ((neurologic* **adj2** (manifestation* or deficit* or sign or signs or symptom* or finding* or dysfunction*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **14** | sensory dysfunction/ OR exp somatosensory disorder/ OR perceptive threshold/ OR differential threshold/ OR pain threshold/ OR signal detection/ OR somatosensory evoked potential/ OR touch/ OR exp nociceptive pain/ OR referred pain/ OR exp nociception/ OR breakthrough pain/ OR face pain/ OR neurotoxicity/ OR hand foot syndrome/ | |
| **15** | (((sensation* or sense* or sensivity or sensorimotor or sensory or somatosensory or nociceptive or somatic or tissue* or tactile or touch* or pinprick or thermal or burning or shooting or deafferentation or differential or referred or percept* or facial or breakthrought) **adj3** (pain* or disorder* or disease* or disturb*or distortion* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or amplificat* or hyperesthetic or alter* or affect* or gating or | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | threshold*)) or pain threshold* or hyperalgesi* or allodynia* or formication* or tingling or hypersensitivity or oxyesthesi* or electric shock like or vibration* or nocicept* or neurotoxi* or hand foot syndrome*).**ti,ab** | |
| **16** | "biological phenomena and functions concerning organ systems"/ OR muscle disease/ OR neuromuscular disease/ OR muscle weakness/ OR muscle cramp/ OR arthralgia/ OR exp neurologic gait disorder/ OR proprioception/ OR weight bearing/ OR motor evoked potential/ | |
| **17** | ((motor* or muscle* or muscular or neuromuscular or functional* or movement*or walk* or gait* or balance or strength or tendon*or joint* or reflex* or tonus) **adj3** (pain* or disorder* or disturb* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or alter* or disabilit* or postural or weak* or fatigue) or propriocepti* or arthralgia* or myalgia* or polyarthralgia* or numbness or foot drop or footdrop or cramp*).**ti,ab**. | |
| **18** | **OR/10-17** | **2081962** |
| **CIPN** | | |
| **19** | chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy/ | |
| **20** | ((chemotherapy induced **adj2** neuropath*) or CIPN).**ti,ab** | |
| **21** | **19 OR 20** | **2860** |
| **Antineoplastic agents** | | |
| **22** | exp antineoplastic agent/ OR combination chemotherapy/ | |
| **23** | (antineoplastic* or chemotherap* or ((anticancer or antitumo?) **adj3** (agent or agents or drug*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **24** | **22 OR 23** | **2717079** |
| **25** | **3 AND 9 AND 18 AND 24** | **5799** |
| **26** | **3 AND 9 AND 21** | **426** |
| **27** | **25 OR 26** | **5802** |
| **Exclusion Filters** | | |
| **28** | (editorial or letter).pt | |
| **29** | literature/ or case report/ or directory/ or editorial/ or interview/ or law/ or publication/ or consensus development/ or practice guideline/ | |
| **30** | **28 OR 29** | **5107933** |
| **31** | **27 NOT 30** | **5188** |

**CINAHL:**

| Psychometrics properties | | Results |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | **MH**("Instrument Construction+" OR "Validation Studies" OR "Reproducibility of Results+" OR "Psychometrics+" OR "Observer Bias+" OR "Discriminant Analysis+") | |
| 2 | **TI**(reproducib* OR psychometr* OR clinimetr* OR clinometr* OR observer variation OR reliab* OR valid* OR coefficient OR internal consistency OR (cronbach* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR item correlation* OR item selection* OR item reduction* OR agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR precise values OR test retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter rater OR intrarater OR intra rater OR intertester OR inter tester OR intratester OR intra tester OR interobserver OR inter observer OR intraobserver OR intra observer OR intertechnician OR inter technician OR intratechnician OR intra technician OR interexaminer OR inter examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra examiner OR interassay OR inter assay OR intraassay OR intra assay OR interindividual OR inter individual OR intraindividual OR intra individual OR interparticipant OR inter participant OR intraparticipant OR intra participant OR kappa OR kappa's OR kappas OR coefficient of variation OR repeatab* OR ((replicab* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests)) OR generaliza* OR generalisa* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation*) OR discriminative OR known group OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR factor structure OR factor structures OR dimensionality OR subscale* OR multitraitscaling analysis OR multitrait scaling analyses OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation* OR ((error OR errors) AND (measure*OR correlat* OR evaluat* OR accuracy OR accurate OR precision OR mean)) OR individual variability OR interval variability OR rate variability OR variability analysis OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR standard error of measurement OR sensitiv* OR responsive* OR (limit AND detection) OR minimal detectable concentration OR interpretab* OR (small* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR minimal important change OR minimal important difference OR minimally important change OR minimally important difference OR minimal detectable change OR minimal detectable difference OR minimally detectable change OR minimally detectable difference OR minimal real change OR minimal real difference OR minimally real change OR minimally real difference OR ceiling effect OR floor effect OR Item response model OR IRT OR Rasch OR Differential item functioning OR DIF OR computer adaptive testing OR itembank OR cross cultural equivalence) | |
| 3 | **AB(**reproducib* OR psychometr* OR clinimetr* OR clinometr* OR observer variation OR reliab* OR valid* OR coefficient OR internal consistency OR (cronbach* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR item correlation* OR item selection* OR item reduction* OR agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR precise values OR test retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter rater OR | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | intrarater OR intra rater OR intertester OR inter tester OR intratester OR intra tester OR interobserver OR inter observer OR intraobserver OR intra observer OR intertechnician OR inter technician OR intratechnician OR intra technician OR interexaminer OR inter examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra examiner OR interassay OR inter assay OR intraassay OR intra assay OR interindividual OR inter individual OR intraindividual OR intra individual OR interparticipant OR inter participant OR intraparticipant OR intra participant OR kappa OR kappa's OR kappas OR coefficient of variation OR repeatab* OR ((replicab* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests)) OR generaliza* OR generalisa* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation*) OR discriminative OR known group OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR factor structure OR factor structures OR dimensionality OR subscale* OR multitraitscaling analysis OR multitrait scaling analyses OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation* OR ((error OR errors) AND (measure*OR correlat* OR evaluat* OR accuracy OR accurate OR precision OR mean)) OR individual variability OR interval variability OR rate variability OR variability analysis OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR standard error of measurement OR sensitiv* OR responsive* OR (limit AND detection) OR minimal detectable concentration OR interpretab* OR (small* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR minimal important change OR minimal important difference OR minimally important change OR minimally important difference OR minimal detectable change OR minimal detectable difference OR minimally detectable change OR minimally detectable difference OR minimal real change OR minimal real difference OR minimally real change OR minimally real difference OR ceiling effect OR floor effect OR Item response model OR IRT OR Rasch OR Differential item functioning OR DIF OR computer adaptive testing OR itembank OR cross cultural equivalence) | |
| **4** | **1 OR 2 OR 3** | **1194447** |
| **Patient-Reported Outcome Measurements** | | |
| **5** | **MH**("Patient-Reported Outcomes+" OR "Surveys+" OR "Questionnaires+ OR "Self Report+" OR "Quality of Life+") | |
| **6** | **TI((**(patient# OR self) **N2** (report* OR relate* OR declar* OR rating* OR assess* OR examin* OR monitor* OR administer* OR rate* OR rating* or based or appraisal* or appraised or evaluat*) **N2** (outcome* OR symptom*)) or PROM* Or PRO or PROS OR (quality **N2** life) OR HRQL or HRQoL or QoL OR HR PRO or HRPRO) | |
| **7** | **AB**(((patient# OR self) **N2** (report* OR relate* OR declar* OR rating* OR assess* OR examin* OR monitor* OR administer* OR rate* OR rating* or based or appraisal* or appraised or evaluat*) **N2** (outcome* OR symptom*)) or PROM* Or PRO or PROS OR (quality **N2** life) OR HRQL or HRQoL or QoL OR HR PRO or HRPRO) | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **8** | **TI**((disability or function* or subjective or utility or utilities or wellbeing or well being) **N3** (outcome or outcomes or index or indices or instrument or instruments or measure or measures or questionnaire or questionnaires or profile or profiles or scale or scales or score or scores or scoring or status or survey or surveys or measure*)) | |
| **9** | **AB**((disability or function* or subjective or utility or utilities or wellbeing or well being) **N3** (outcome or outcomes or index or indices or instrument or instruments or measure or measures or questionnaire or questionnaires or profile or profiles or scale or scales or score or scores or scoring or status or survey or surveys or measure*)) | |
| **Clinician reported outcomes measurements** | | |
| **10** | (**MH** "Outcome Assessment") | |
| **11** | **TI**(Clinician* reported outcome* or CROS or clinRO or clinROs OR ((clinical or clinician* or expert* or health professional* OR physician* OR doctor*) **N2** (judgement* or interpretation* or observation* or assessment* or measure*))) | |
| **12** | **AB**(Clinician* reported outcome* or CROS or clinRO or clinROs OR ((clinical or clinician* or expert* or health professional* OR physician* OR doctor*) **N2** (judgement* or interpretation* or observation* or assessment* or measure*))) | |
| **13** | **OR/5-12** | **924590** |
| **Peripheral neuropathy** | | |
| **14** | **MH**(peripheral nervous system diseases or brachial plexus neuropathies+ or complex regional pain syndrome or neuralgia or metatarsalgia or neuritis or polyneuropathies or polyradiculopathy or small fiber neuropathy or neural conduction or peripheral nerves+) | |
| **15** | **TI**(PNS disease* or peripheral nervous system disease* or neuropath* or complex regional pain syndrome* or neuralgia* or neuritis or neurodinya* or (nerve* **N2** (pain* or injur* or disease* or affect* or damage* or degeneration*)) or causalgia* or hyperesthesi* or paresthesi* or hypesthesi* or paralysis or polyneuropath* or polyradiculo* or polyneuritis or burning feet syndrome or metatarsalgia) | |
| **16** | **AB**(PNS disease* or peripheral nervous system disease* or neuropath* or complex regional pain syndrome* or neuralgia* or neuritis or neurodinya* or (nerve* **N2** (pain* or injur* or disease* or affect* or damage* or degeneration*)) or causalgia* or hyperesthesi* or paresthesi* or hypesthesi* or paralysis or polyneuropath* or polyradiculo* or polyneuritis or burning feet syndrome or metatarsalgia) | |
| **17** | **MH**(neurologic manifestations) | |
| **18** | TI((neurologic* **N2** (manifestation* or deficit* or sign or signs or symptom* or finding* or dysfunction*))) | |
| **19** | AB((neurologic* **N2** (manifestation* or deficit* or sign or signs or symptom* or finding* or dysfunction*))) | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **20** | MH (sensation disorders+ or sensation+ or evoked potentials+ or nociceptive pain+ or breakthrough pain or facial pain or referred pain or neurotoxicity syndromes or hand-foot syndrome) | |
| **21** | **TI**(((sensation* or sense* or sensivity or sensorimotor or sensory or somatosensory or nociceptive or somatic or tissue* or tactile or touch* or pinprick or thermal or burning or shooting or deafferentation or differential or referred or percept* or facial or breakthrought) **N3** (pain* or disorder* or disease* or disturb*or distortion* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or amplificat* or hyperesthetic or alter* or affect* or gating or threshold*)) or pain threshold* or hyperalgesi* or allodynia* or formication* or tingling or hypersensitivity or oxyesthesi* or electric shock like or vibration* or nocicept* or neurotoxi* or hand foot syndrome*) | |
| **22** | **AB**(((sensation* or sense* or sensivity or sensorimotor or sensory or somatosensory or nociceptive or somatic or tissue* or tactile or touch* or pinprick or thermal or burning or shooting or deafferentation or differential or referred or percept* or facial or breakthrought) **N3** (pain* or disorder* or disease* or disturb*or distortion* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or amplificat* or hyperesthetic or alter* or affect* or gating or threshold*)) or pain threshold* or hyperalgesi* or allodynia* or formication* or tingling or hypersensitivity or oxyesthesi* or electric shock like or vibration* or nocicept* or neurotoxi* or hand foot syndrome*) | |
| **23** | **MH**(musculoskeletal, neural, and ocular physiology or muscle fatigue or muscle strength+ or muscle tonus or muscular diseases or neuromuscular manifestations or reflex or muscle weakness or muscle cramp or arthralgia or gait disorders, neurologic+ or motor activity or physical mobility or muscle pain or neuromuscular control or weight-bearing or weight shifting) | |
| **24** | **TI**((motor* or muscle* or muscular or neuromuscular or functional* or movement*or walk* or gait* or balance or strength or tendon*or joint* or reflex* or tonus) **N3** (pain* or disorder* or disturb* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or alter* or disabilit* or postural or weak* or fatigue) or propriocepti* or arthralgia* or myalgia* or polyarthralgia* or numbness or foot drop or footdrop or cramp*) | |
| **25** | **AB**((motor* or muscle* or muscular or neuromuscular or functional* or movement*or walk* or gait* or balance or strength or tendon*or joint* or reflex* or tonus) **N3** (pain* or disorder* or disturb* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or alter* or disabilit* or postural or weak* or fatigue) or propriocepti* or arthralgia* or myalgia* or polyarthralgia* or numbness or foot drop or footdrop or cramp*) | |
| **26** | **OR/14-25** | **511082** |
| **CIPN** | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **27** | **TI**((chemotherapy induced N2 neuropath*) or CIPN) | |
| **28** | **AB**((chemotherapy induced N2 neuropath*) or CIPN) | |
| **29** | **27 OR 28** | **945** |
| **Antineoplastic agents** | | |
| **30** | **MH**(antineoplastic agents+) | |
| **31** | **TI**(antineoplastic* or chemotherap* or ((anticancer or antitumo?) N3 (agent or agents or drug*))) | |
| **32** | **AB**(antineoplastic* or chemotherap* or ((anticancer or antitumo?) N3 (agent or agents or drug*))) | |
| **33** | **30 OR 31 OR 32** | **178257** |
| **34** | **4 AND 13 AND 26 AND 33** | **1075** |
| **35** | **4 AND 13 AND 29** | **161** |
| **36** | **34 OR 35** | **1076** |
| **Exclusion Filters** | | |
| **37** | **PT**(biography OR case study OR commentary OR directories OR editorial OR interview OR legal case OR letter OR practice guidelines) | |
| **38** | **MH**("Biographies+" OR "Legislation" OR "News+" OR "Serial Publications+") | |
| **39** | **37 or 38** | **1405631** |
| **40** | **36 not 39** | **1050** |

**PsycInfo (OVID)**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Psychometrics properties** | | |
| **1** | exp psychometrics/ OR Interrater Reliability/ OR Discriminant Validity/ | **Results** |
| **2** | (reproducib* OR psychometr* OR clinimetr* OR clinometr* OR observer variation OR reliab* OR valid* OR coefficient OR internal consistency OR (cronbach* AND (alpha OR alphas)) OR item correlation* OR item selection* OR item reduction*).**ti,ab** OR (agreement OR precision OR imprecision OR precise values)**.tw** OR (test retest OR (test AND retest) OR (reliab* AND (test OR retest)) OR stability OR interrater OR inter rater OR intrarater OR intra rater OR intertester OR inter tester OR intratester OR intra tester OR interobserver OR inter observer OR intraobserver OR intra observer OR intertechnician OR inter technician OR intratechnician OR intra technician OR interexaminer OR inter examiner OR intraexaminer OR intra examiner OR interassay OR inter assay OR intraassay OR intra assay OR interindividual OR inter individual OR intraindividual OR intra individual OR interparticipant OR inter participant OR intraparticipant OR intra participant OR kappa OR kappa's OR kappas OR coefficient of variation).**ti,ab** OR (repeatab* OR ((replicab* OR repeated) AND (measure OR measures OR findings OR result OR results OR test OR tests))).**tw** OR (generaliza* OR generalisa* OR concordance OR (intraclass AND correlation*) OR discriminative OR known group OR factor analysis OR factor analyses OR factor structure OR factor structures OR dimensionality OR subscale* OR multitraitscaling analysis OR multitrait scaling analyses OR item discriminant OR interscale correlation* OR ((error OR errors) AND (measure*OR correlat* OR evaluat* OR accuracy OR accurate OR precision OR mean)) OR individual variability OR interval variability OR rate variability OR variability analysis OR (uncertainty AND (measurement OR measuring)) OR standard error of measurement OR sensitiv* OR responsive* OR (limit AND detection) OR minimal detectable concentration OR interpretab* OR (small* AND (real OR detectable) AND (change OR difference)) OR meaningful change OR minimal important change OR minimal important difference OR minimally important change OR minimally important difference OR minimal detectable change OR minimal detectable difference OR minimally detectable change OR minimally detectable difference OR minimal real change OR minimal real difference OR minimally real change OR minimally real difference OR ceiling effect OR floor effect OR Item response model OR IRT OR Rasch OR Differential item functioning OR DIF OR computer adaptive testing OR itembank OR cross cultural equivalence).**ti,ab** | |
| **3** | **1 OR 2** | **897151** |
| **Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement instruments (PROM)** | | |
| **4** | "Patient Reported Outcome Measures"/ or Surveys/ OR Questionnaires/ OR Self-Report/ OR exp Quality of Life/ OR Quality of Life Measures/ | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **5** | ((patient? OR self) adj2 (report* OR relate* OR declar* OR rating* OR assess* OR examin* OR monitor* OR administer* OR rate* OR rating* or based or appraisal* or appraised or evaluat*) adj2 (outcome* OR symptom*) or PROM? Or PRO or PROS OR (quality adj2 life) OR HRQL or HRQoL or QL or QoL OR HR-PRO or HRPRO).**ti,ab** | |
| **6** | ((disability or function* or subjective or utility or utilities or wellbeing or well being) adj3 (outcome or outcomes or index or indices or instrument or instruments or measure or measures or questionnaire or questionnaires or profile or profiles or scale or scales or score or scores or scoring or status or survey or surveys or measure*)).**ti,ab** | |
| **Clinician reported outcomes measur** | | |
| **7** | Health Outcomes/ | |
| **8** | (Clinician* reported outcome* or CROS or clinRO or clinROs OR ((clinical or clinician* or expert* or health professional* OR physician* OR doctor*) adj2 (judgement* or interpretation* or observation* or assessment* or measure*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **9** | **OR/4-8** | **261776** |
| **Peripheral neuropathy** | | |
| **10** | Peripheral Neuropathy/ OR Neuropathic Pain/ OR Neuralgia/ OR "Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (Type I)"/ OR Central Nervous System Disorders/ | |
| **11** | (PNS disease* or peripheral nervous system disease* or neuropath* or complex regional pain syndrome* or neuralgia* or neuritis or neurodinya* or (nerve* adj2 (pain* or injur* or disease* or affect* or damage* or degeneration*)) or causalgia* or hyperesthesi* or paresthesi* or hypesthesi* or paralysis or polyneuropath* or polyradiculo* or polyneuritis or burning feet syndrome or metatarsalgia).**ti,ab** | |
| **12** | Nervous System Disorders/ | |
| **13** | ((neurologic* adj2 (manifestation* or deficit* or sign or signs or symptom* or finding* or dysfunction*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **14** | Somatosensory Disorders/ OR Pressure Sensation/ OR Pain Perception/ OR "Sensory Disabilities (Attitudes Toward)"/ OR Sensory Integration Dysfunction/ OR Sensory Gating/ OR Perceptual Disturbances/ OR Sensory System Disorders/ OR Pain Thresholds/ OR Thresholds/ OR Somatosensory Evoked Potentials/ OR "Signal Detection (Perception)"/ OR Evoked Potentials/ OR Somesthetic Perception/ OR Tactual Perception/ OR Somatoform Pain Disorder/ OR Somatoform Disorders/ OR Neurotoxicity/ | |
| **15** | (((sensation* or sense* or sensivity or sensorimotor or sensory or somatosensory or nociceptive or somatic or tissue* or tactile or touch* or pinprick or thermal or burning or shooting or deafferentation or differential or referred or percept* or facial or breakthrought) adj3 (pain* or disorder* or disease* or disturb*or distortion* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or amplificat* or hyperesthetic or alter* or affect* or gating or | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | threshold*)) or pain threshold* or hyperalgesi* or allodynia* or formication* or tingling or hypersensitivity or oxyesthesi* or electric shock like or vibration* or nocicept* or neurotoxi* or hand foot syndrome*).**ti,ab** | |
| **16** | Musculoskeletal Disorders/ OR Neuromuscular Disorders/ OR Muscular Disorders/ OR Myasthenia/ OR Articulation Disorders/ OR Movement Disorders/ OR Proprioception/ | |
| **17** | ((motor* or muscle* or muscular or neuromuscular or functional* or movement*or walk* or gait* or balance or strength or tendon*or joint* or reflex* or tonus) adj3 (pain* or disorder* or disturb* or discomfort* or dysfunction* or disruption* or impair* or symptom* or change* or abnormal* or mechanical or deficit* or limit* or loss or diminish* or reduc* or alter* or disabilit* or postural or weak* or fatigue) or propriocepti* or arthralgia* or myalgia* or polyarthralgia* or numbness or foot drop or footdrop or cramp*).**ti,ab**. | |
| **18** | **OR/10-17** | **298714** |
| **CIPN** | | |
| **19** | ((chemotherapy induced adj2 neuropath*) or CIPN).**ti,ab** | |
| **Antineoplastic agents** | | |
| **20** | Antineoplastic Drugs/ OR Chemotherapy/ | |
| **21** | (antineoplastic* or chemotherap* or ((anticancer or antitumo?) adj3 (agent or agents or drug*))).**ti,ab** | |
| **22** | **20 OR 21** | **7387** |
| **23** | **3 AND 9 AND 18 AND 22** | **94** |
| **24** | **3 AND 9 AND 19** | **28** |
| **25** | **23 OR 24** | **94** |
| **Exclusion Filters** | | |
| **26** | Exp Biography/ OR Case Report/ OR exp laws/ OR Interviews/ OR Newspapers/ OR Treatment Guidelines/ OR Popular Culture/ | |
| **27** | **25 not 26** | **93** |