Supplemental file 1

Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P)				
Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Page/line numbers	
Section 1: Admini	strative	information		
Title:				
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	Page 1	
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	None	
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	Page 3	
Authors:			1	
Contact information	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and email address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	Page 1	
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	Page 11	
Amendments	4	If the report represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and indicate what changes were made; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	None	
Support:	1		1	
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	Page 11-12	
Sponsor	5b	Provide name of the review funder and/or sponsor	Page 11-12	

Role of sponsor	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or	Page 11-12		
and/or funder		institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			
Section 2: Introduction					
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the	Pages 3-5		
		context of what is already known			
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s)	Page 5-6		
		the review will address with reference to			
		participants, interventions, comparators, and			
		outcomes (PICO)			
Section 3: Methods					
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO,	Pages 6-8		
		study design, setting, time frame) and report			
		characteristics (such as years considered,			
		language, publication status) to be used as			
		criteria for eligibility for the review			
Information	9	Describe all intended information sources (such	Page 6		
sources		as electronic databases, contact with study			
		authors, trial registers or other grey literature			
		sources) with planned dates of coverage			
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at	Page 8		
		least one electronic database, including planned	Suppl file 2		
		limits, such that it could be repeated			
Study records	I				
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to	Page 8-9		
		manage records and data throughout the review			
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting	Page 8		
		studies (such as two independent reviewers)			
		through each phase of the review (screening,			
		eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			

	1		
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	Page 9
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources) and any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	Page 7-8 Figure 2
Outcomes and prioritisation	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritisation of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	None
Risk of bias individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	Page 9
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	None
	15b	If data are appropriate for synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	None
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta- regression)	None
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	Page 9

Meta-bias(es)	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	None
Confidence in cumulative estimate	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	Page 9-10