BMJ Open

Determinants of wasting among children aged 6–59 months in North-East Ethiopia: a community-based case-control study

Yeshiwas Tsehay Chekol,[1] Mastewal Arefaynie ,[2] Assefa Andargie Kassa,[3] Tilahun Dessie Alene ,[4] Habtamu Setegn Ngusie [5]

ABSTRACT

Objective Childhood acute malnutrition, in the form of wasting defined by Weight-for-Height Z-Scores, is a major public health concern. It is one of the main reasons for the death of children in developing countries like Ethiopia. Accordingly, this study aimed to assess determinants of wasting among children aged 6–59 months in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia.

Setting The study was conducted among communities in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia.

Participants A total of 327 (109 cases and 218 controls) children aged 6–59 months participated in the study. Children from 6 months to 59 months of age who match the definition of case/wasted/ and control/not wasted were eligible for the study. However, children who had physical deformities which make anthropometric measurements inconvenient were excluded from the study.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The main outcome measure was wasting.

Result The mean ages of the cases and controls were 21.77±11.41 months and 20.13±11.39 months, respectively. Factors that were significantly associated with wasting were: maternal decision making on the use of household money (adjusted odd ratio (AOR)=3.04, 95% CI 1.08 to 7.83), complementary feeding started in a month (AOR=3.02, 95% CI 1.097 to 6.97), food diversity score (AOR=2.64, 95% CI 1.64 to 5.23), frequency of complementary feeding (AOR=6.68, 95% CI 3.6 to 11.25) and history of acute respiratory infections (ARIs) 2 weeks preceding the survey (AOR=3.21, 95% CI 1.07 to 7.86).

Conclusion Our result implies that the right time to introduce complementary foods, the frequency of feeding and also the amount of food consumed were some of the crucial factors that needed to be changed in child nutrition to reduce wasting. Furthermore, within the framework of our study, the empowerment of women in the decision-making process and the prevention of ARI should be seen as a necessary benchmark for acute malnutrition.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ This study fills gaps in research by enabling specific area-based policy measures and interventions.
⇒ The study was a community-based study so it could have lower bias.
⇒ The study was not supported by qualitative data findings.
⇒ The potential to recall bias since the study design of case-control was used.
⇒ The study was conducted only among children aged 6–59 months, so it may not be generalisable to children of other age groups.

INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition among children remains a critical public health problem in many parts of the world.[1 2] Wasting and stunting are the most common forms of malnutrition in children under 5 years.[3] Acute malnutrition, also known as wasting, is characterised by rapid deterioration in nutritional status over a short period of time. It makes a child too thin for his or her height because of weight loss or failure to gain weight.[4 5] For children, it can be measured using the Weight-for-Height Nutritional Index or mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC).[6] It is defined as moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) and severe acute malnutrition (SAM). MAM is defined as Weight-for-Height Z-Score (WHZ) ≥ −3Z score and <−2Z score or MUAC ≥115 mm and < 125 mm (≥11.5 cm and < 12.5 cm). On the other hand, SAM is defined by visible severe wasting, or by the presence of bilateral pitting oedema of nutritional origin, or WHZ < −3Z score or MUAC <115 mm (<11.5 cm) in children aged 6–59 months.[2 7]

Globally, between 8 million and 11 million children under 5 years of age die each year.[8] More than 35% of these deaths are attributed to undernutrition and 1 in 12 children (8%, 52 million) are wasted. It is also one of the major causes of childhood deaths in developing countries.[9–11] More than 90% of undernourished people live in developing countries.[7] Africa carries the heaviest burden of undernutrition.[12] A recent study indicated that 39.9% of children in the same age group

are affected by malnutrition.[7] The prevalence of wasting in East Africa is 6%.[13]

Ethiopia has adopted a multisectoral nutrition policy and has been implementing nutrition programmes with some success.[14 15] In this regard, a programme called 'the sustainable under-nutrition reduction in Ethiopia (SURE)' has been designed in Ethiopia. This programme is a government-led multisectoral intervention that helps to integrate the work of the health and agriculture sectors. It delivers a complex multicomponent intervention to improve child feeding, diversified diet and nutritional behaviour modification to reduce undernutrition.[15]

Although Ethiopia has progressed, in this way, towards achieving the target for wasting, 7.2% of children under 5 years of age are still affected.[16] According to the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Surveys (EDHS) in 2000 and 2005, the prevalences of wasting in children under 5 years of age were 11.1%[17] and 11.0%,[18] respectively. On the other hand, the prevalence of wasting in children under 5 years of age declined from 10%[19] to 9.8%[20] between 2011 and 2016. Moreover, 7% of children in Ethiopia are wasted according to the Ethiopian mini EDHS of 2019.[21] Amhara region is an area with surplus food production in the country, but unfortunately with a highly impacted area.[22] According to a finding from EDHS 2016, 9.8% of children were wasted.[23] The recent findings also showed that high statistically significant hot spots of wasting were found in the northern parts of the country.[24 25]

Multiple factors contribute to childhood wasting. The common determinants reported by several studies include gender,[3 26–28] age of the child,[26–28] diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks,[26–28] not consuming additional food during pregnancy/lactation,[29 30] non-exclusive breastfeeding practices,[31 32] larger family size,[31 33] mother's education,[26 31] presence of acute respiratory infections (ARIs),[31 34] attending Antenatal Care (ANC),[26 35 36] immunisation status.[32 37] In these cases, ANC helped mothers protect their children from infection and take care of child feeding practices.[38 39]

Childhood wasting varies between localities due to individual and community-level factors. However, studies were done only at the national and regional levels. The prevention strategies and risk factors at the subregional level were not under focus. We argue that having a subregional approach enables application-specific area-based policy intervention. Moreover, we focused on the 6–59 months age group in which wasting is highly prevalent. In this age group complementary feeding is started and feeding practice is fully dependent on caregivers.[40 41] The researchers of this study initiated to conduct further study on the causes of wasting to design local specific interventions. Additionally, this study helps fill the existing knowledge gap by assessing the burden of wasting among children aged 6–59 months in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia.

METHODS AND MATERIALS
Study area, design and period
A community-based case-control study was undertaken to identify determinants of wasting among children aged 6–59 months in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, from January to February 2020. Meket district is located in Amhara regional state and is situated about 670 km north of the capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa. The district is also 245 km away from Bahir Dar and 145 km away from the city of Woldia in North Wollo Zone. It has 2 urban and 32 rural kebeles. Based on Meket district administration reports, the catchment population includes 208 687 people (106 430 female and 102 257 male) in 48 532 households. The total number of children aged 6–59 months in this district was 26 879; out of those 13 708 of them were female and 13 171 male.[42] There is 1 primary hospital, 13 health centres and 36 health posts in this district.

Source and study populations
All mothers or caregivers that had children aged 6–59 months and who were present in Meket district kebeles during the study period were the source population. Children aged 6–59 months who were admitted due to wasting (WHZ<−2Z score) with their caregivers or mothers were included in the study as cases. Children aged 6–59 months and attending without wasting who came for integrated community case management, screening, immunisation, growth monitoring promotion, and for other purposes were included as controls. Children who had physical deformities which make anthropometric measurements inconvenient were excluded from the study. For instance, children who were born without hands due to congenital deformities, were wounded or had burnt hands were excluded from the study since they had physical deformities.

Sample size and sampling procedure
The sample size was calculated using Epi Info V.7 statistical software, and a case-control study was used. The size of the sample was determined from a previous study that was conducted in North-West Ethiopia, which was similar to our study setting. All candidate variables of wasting were considered and the largest was taken. Accordingly, we took into account children from households of large family size as it was the main associated factor of wasting in the previous study.[43]

The percentages of exposure among cases and controls in the abovementioned study were 64.4% and 46.6%, respectively. Detecting an OR of 2.7 with 95% CI (Zα/2=1.96), a power of 80% (Zβ=0.84) and a case to control ratio of 1:2 were taken from the previous study. Therefore, the total sample size after adding 5% possible contingency for the non-response rate was 327. Of those, 109 cases and 218 controls were approached.

Among the 36 kebeles found in Meket district, 10 were selected using simple random sampling methods. The number of study participants, that is children aged 6–59

months, was assigned for each selected kebele proportionally to its size. The number of children in each kebele was found from the vital statistics report of kebele offices.

After establishing the sampling frame, cases were identified and selected during a house-to-house visit in each selected kebele. A simple random sampling technique was used to select households until the sample size was achieved. For more than one wasted child per house, the lottery method was used. Whereas controls were selected after the matching criterion of age was fulfilled according to other inclusion and exclusion criterias. Individual matching was carried out as one case followed by two controls, based on three age categories from the same neighbourhood found through transect walks. Controls were matched to cases accordingly with an age interval similar to that of the cases (±3 months) and based on their place of residence (village or neighbourhood).[27 43–47]

Operational definitions
Wasting
Wasting is the nutritional deficient state of recent onset related to sudden food deprivation or malabsorption, utilisation of nutrients which results from weight loss, weight-for-height below −2 SD from the WHO median value.[48] In this study, acute malnutrition or wasting was used interchangeably which was incorporated in both SAM and MAM. MAM is defined as WHZ between −2 and −3 or MUAC between 115 mm and <125 mm. On the other hand, SAM is defined as WHZ < −3 or MUAC <115 mm, or the presence of bilateral pitting oedema, or both.[30]

Case
Children aged 6–59 months who were wasted according to the above definition including SAM or MAM.

Control
Children who weren't wasted or did not fulfil the definition of cases.

Data collection instruments and quality control
Data were collected from all eligible children by data collectors using an interviewer-administered questionnaire and anthropometric measurements. MUAC was also taken from all children with standardising procedures. In addition to child anthropometry measurement, the mothers or caregivers of the children were interviewed face to face. The mothers or caregivers of the children provided answers on variables such as the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the participants.

Five nurses and 10 health extension workers for data collection and five supervisors were recruited. The data collectors and supervisors were provided with training for 3 days before the data collection period. The supervisors regularly monitored and supervised the overall activity to ensure the quality of data during the entire data collection period.

The questionnaire was adopted from different literatures.[27 29 32 34 36 49 50] It was originally prepared in English and then translated to the local language, Amharic.

Finally, it was translated back to the English language by a skilled person, who had good proficiency in both English and Amharic, to check its consistency. The questionnaire was also pretested on 5% of actual respondents in Wadla district which is almost similar to the study population of this study. The questionnaire was modified based on the pretest.

Moreover, the questionnaire was comprised of different variables including socioeconomic and demographic factors, child medical characteristics, child-caring practices (feeding practice, immunisation), maternal caring characteristics, and environmental health conditions. Household food insecurity was assessed by using the nine standards of the Household Food Insecurity Access Scale Questionnaire.[51] We also used the WHO validated 7-item Food Frequency Questionnaire to quantify food diversity score.[48] Additionally, the data collectors observed expanded program on immunisation (EPI) cards to check the date of birth of the child and immunisation status.

To assess the physical growth and nutritional status of the children, measurements of height and weight were taken. Additionally, their age was determined by interviewing mothers or caregivers or by checking their birthday cards. These anthropometric data were collected using the procedure stipulated by WHO by trained data collectors, measured two times and then the average was taken.[51]

Anthropometric data were collected through the measurement of the height and weight of children. For those less than 2 years of age, measurement of the height was done without shoes. The height is read to the nearest 0.1 cm by using a horizontal wooden measuring board with the infant in a recumbent position on a hard and flat surface. However, the heights of children 24 months and above were measured using a vertical wooden board by placing the child on the measuring board. In this case, the child was standing upright in the middle of the board. The child's head, shoulders, buttocks and heels touched the board. The heights (lengths) of the children were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. Length is usually greater than standing height by 0.5 cm if the child is 85 cm or more. But, if length cannot be measured standing, 0.5 cm were subtracted from the supine length.[51]

The weight of the child was measured by one health professional, with a 25 kg hanging sprint, the scale graduated to the nearest 100 gm with minimum clothing and no shoes. Also, the scale should be at eye level to read easily when the child is calm. Calibration was done before weighing each child. This was done by setting it to zero and checking the normality by weighing a material of preknown weight. If there was a difference of 0.01 kg or more between duplicate weighing, or if a measured weight differs by 0.01 kg or more from the known standard, check the scales. Then, adjust or replace them if necessary.[51] See online supplemental file 1 for details of tools.

Data analysis and procedures

Epi Info V.7 and SPSS V.24 were used for data entry and analysis, respectively. Besides, anthropometric data were analysed using the WHO Anthro V.2006 software.[52] The outcome variables were dichotomised into cases (1) and controls (0). Then, frequencies and cross-tabulation were used to describe the study population with regard to the relevant variables. Conditional logistic regression was used to fit the data to identify the predictors for wasting.

Bivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to discover the effect of each study variable on the outcome variable. Variables having a value of p<0.25[53] on the bivariate analysis entered into a multivariate logistic regression analysis to control the possible confounding. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, variables with a value of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test (χ^2/df=4.92; Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)=0.05; Comparative Fit Index (CFI)=0.95; Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)=0.91) was applied to test the appropriateness of the model. Multicollinearity between independent variables was checked and all of the variables scored variance inflation factors <10.

Patient and public involvement

No patient was involved.

RESULTS

Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics

A total of 327 respondents (109 cases and 218 controls) were interviewed at the community level, with a response rate of 100%. Among all respondents, 48 (44.0%) cases and 110 (50.5%) controls had four to five families per household. Considering the number of children under 5 years of age in the households, 9 (8.2%) cases and 32 (14.7%) controls had less than two children per household. When we see maternal education status, 43 (39.4%) cases and 65 (29.8%) control mothers were not educated. Most decisions on the use of household money were by fathers who accounted for 85 (78.0%) cases and 142 (65.1%) controls (table 1).

Child medical characteristics

Among the surveyed children, 74 (67.9%) cases and 133 (61.0%) controls were still breast feeding. Regarding the morbidity status of the children, 61 (56.0%) cases and 115 (52.8%) controls had a history of diarrhoea 2 weeks preceding the survey. In addition, 37 (33.9%) cases and 35 (16.1%) controls had a history of ARI 2 weeks before the survey (table 2).

Child-feeding characteristics

Among all the surveyed children, 58 (53.2%) cases and 172 (78.9%) controls consumed complementary feeding three or more times in a day, while 67 (61.5%) cases and 163 (74.8%) controls were exclusively breast fed. Regarding the frequency of breast feeding, most of the

Table 1 Socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of study participants in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Case N (%)	Controls N (%)
Head of household	Male headed	89 (81.7%)	177 (81.2%)
	Female headed	20 (18.3%)	41 (18.8%)
Marital status	Married	89 (81.7%)	177 (81.2%)
	Divorced	17 (15.6%)	34 (15.6%)
	Widowed	3 (2.8%)	7 (3.2%)
Religion	Orthodox	70 (64.2%)	168 (77.1%)
	Muslim	39 (35.8%)	50 (22.9%)
Family size	2–3	42 (35%)	78 (35.8%)
	4–5	48 (30%)	110 (50.4%)
	>5	19 (39%)	30 (13.8%)
Children under 5 years of age	<2	9 (8.2%)	32 (14.7%)
	2	38 (34.9%)	78 (35.8%)
	>2	62 (56.9%)	108 (49.5%)
Maternal education	Illiterate	43 (39.4%)	65 (29.8%)
	Read and write	28 (25.7%)	65 (29.8%)
	Primary education	15 (13.8%)	33 (15.2%)
	Higher education	23 (21.1%)	55 (25.2%)
Paternal education	Illiterate	40 (36.7%)	63 (28.9%)
	Read and write	34 (31.2%)	84 (38.5%)
	Primary education	15 (13.8%)	34 (15.6%)
	Higher education	20 (18.3%)	37 (17.0%)
Maternal occupation	Public servant	7 (6.4%)	27 (12.4%)
	Farmer	20 (18.3%)	53 (24.3%)
	Merchant	39 (35.8%)	59 (27.1%)
	House wife	43 (39.5%)	79 (36.2%)
Paternal occupation	Public servant	12 (11.1%)	36 (16.5%)
	Farmer	53 (48.6%)	82 (37.6%)
	Merchant	19 (17.4%)	41 (18.8%)
	Daily labourer	25 (22.9%)	59 (27.1%)
Maternal decision-making on use of household money	Yes	35 (32.1%)	106 (48.6%)
	No	74 (67.9%	112 (51.4%)
Have livestock	Yes	61 (56.0%)	127 (58.3%)
	No	48 (44.0%)	91 (41.7%)
Number of livestock per household	0	50 (45.9%)	91 (41.7%)
	1–5	42 (38.5%)	88 (40.4%)
	>5	17 (15.6%)	39 (17.9%)

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Variable	Categories	Case N (%)	Controls N (%)
Have farmland	Yes	60 (55%)	111 (50.9%)
	No	49 (45.0%)	107 (49.1%)
Land size, hectares	<0.5	67 (61.5%)	122 (56.0%)
	0.5	16 (14.7%)	36 (16.5%)
	≥0.5	26 (23.8%)	60 (27.5%)
Food security status	Food secure	83 (76.1%)	180 (82.6%)
	Mild food insecure	7 (6.4%)	10 (4.6%)
	Moderately food insecure	16 (14.7%)	23 (10.5%)
	Sever food insecure	3 (2.8%)	5 (2.3%)
Sex of child	Male	48 (44.0%)	99 (45.4%)
	Female	61 (56.0%)	119 (54.6%)
Age of children, months	6–8	7 (6.4%)	6 (2.7%)
	9–11	14 (12.8%)	10 (4.6%)
	12–23	54 (49.5%)	119 (54.6%)
	24–59	34 (31.2%)	83 (38.1%)
Place of delivery	Health facility	56 (51.4%)	106 (48.6%)
	Home	53 (48.6%)	112 (51.4%)
Wealth Index	Poor	50 (45.9)	134 (61.5)
	Medium	36 (33.0)	45 (20.6)
	Rich	23 (21.1)	39 (17.9)

Table 2 Child medical characteristics of the study participants in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Cases N (%)	Controls N (%)
Breast feeding	Yes	74 (67.9%)	133 (61.0%)
	No	35 (32.1%)	85 (39.0%)
Diarrhoea 2 weeks before the survey	Yes	61 (56.0%)	115 (52.8%)
	No	48 (44.0%)	103 (47.2%)
Fever 2 weeks before the survey	Yes	72 (66.1%)	146 (67.0%)
	No	37 (33.9%)	72 (33.0%)
ARI 2 weeks before the survey	Yes	37 (33.9%)	35 (16.1%)
	No	72 (66.1%)	183 (83.9%)
History of measles 2 weeks before the survey	Yes	1 (0.9%)	1 (0.05%)
	No	108 (99.1%)	217 (99.5%)

ARI, acute respiratory infection.

mothers, 48 (44.0%) cases and 111 (50.9%) controls, breast fed their children eight times or more per day. Moreover, 18 (16.5%) cases and 89 (40.8%) controls consumed at least four food groups daily. Most of the surveyed children, 93 (85.3%) cases and 174 (79.8%) controls were immunised. Additionally, 89 (81.7%) cases and 179 (82.1%) controls supplemented with vitamin A (table 3).

Maternal caring characteristics

Among all the mothers or caregivers, most of them, 71 (65.1%) cases and 124 (56.9%) controls were in the age group between 20 years and 29 years. Ninety-one (83.5%) cases and 191 (87.6%) controls received ANC during pregnancy. With regard to mothers' extra food during pregnancy and lactation, 69 (63.3%) cases and 154 (70.6%) controls responded positively. Additionally, most caregivers, 92 (84.4%) cases and 201 (92.2%) controls gave first birth in the age group between 20 and 29. Moreover, 71 (65.1%) cases and 136 (62.4%) controls already had 1 to 3 children (table 4).

Environmental health condition

The families who had access to protected water sources included 87 (79.8%) cases and 177 (81.2%) controls. Among the respondents, 67 (61.5%) cases and 130 (59.60%) controls required less than 15 min to fetch water from the sources. About 41 (37.6%) cases and 90 (41.3%) controls used 40–50 L water per household within a day. Of all caregivers, 66 (60.5%) cases and 128 (58.7%) controls used treated water at home. Whereas 40 (36.7%) cases and 122 (56.0%) controls had good washing practice. Among caregivers, 87 (79.8%) cases and 169 (77.5%) controls had latrines. Additionally, 67 (61.5%) cases and 126 (57.8%) controls disposed waste by burning (table 5).

Determinants of wasting

Among the variables subjected to conditional binary logistic regression, the number of children under 5 years of age in households, maternal decision-making on the use of household money, complementary feeding started in a month, dietary diversity score, frequency of complementary feeding, immunisation, knowing and practising critical handwashing time, households water consumption per day and ARI showed association with a value of p<0.2. Variables that showed association in bi-variable regression analysis were subjected to multivariable logistic regression analysis to see and evaluate the independent effect of each variable on wasting.

Ultimately, the multivariable conditional logistic regression model revealed that the odds of mothers who were making decision on the use of household money were nearly 3.04 times more likely to develop wasting as compared with their counterparts (adjusted odd ratio (AOR)=3.04, 95% CI 1.08 to 7.83). The odds of wasting were nearly 2.64 times riskier among those who did not take at least four food groups daily than their counterparts

Table 3 Child-feeding characteristics of the study participants in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Cases N (%)	Controls N (%)
Frequency of complementary feed per day	≤2 times	51 (46.8%)	46 (21.1%)
	≥3 times	58 (53.2%)	172 (78.9%)
Frequency of breast feeding per day	4–7 times	61 (56%)	107 (49%)
	≥8 times	48 (44%)	111 (51%)
Child exclusively breast fed, months	<6 or >6	50 (45.9%)	47 (21.6%)
	6	59 (54.1%)	171 (78.4%)
Immunisation	Immunised	93 (85.3%)	174 (79.8%)
	Not immunised	16 (14.7%)	44 (20.2%)
Vitamin A supplementation	Yes	89 (81.7%)	179 (82.1%)
	No	20 (18.3%)	39 (17.9%)
Dietary diversity score	<4 food groups	91 (83.5%)	129 (59.2%)
	≥4 food groups	18 (16.5%)	89 (40.8%)
Food insecurity	Not secured	84 (77.1%)	122 (56.0%)
	Secured	25 (22.9%)	96 (44.0%)

(AOR=2.64, 95% CI 1.64 to 5.23). The odds of wasting were 3.52 times higher among children who didn't had complementary breast feeding at optimal time than those who started feeding at 6 months (AOR=3.52, 95% CI 1.097 to 6.97). Children who feed only two times and less were 6.68 times more likely to develop wasting than those who feed more than two times a day (AOR=6.68, 95% CI 3.6, 11.25). Children who had a history of ARI 2 weeks before the survey were 2.21 times more likely to develop wasting as compared with their counterparts (AOR=2.21, 95% CI 1.07 to 7.86) (table 6).

Table 4 Maternal caring characteristics of the study participants in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)
Age of mothers, years	20–29	71 (65.1%)	124 (56.9%)
	30–39	27 (24.8%)	76 (34.8%)
	40–49	11 (10.1%)	18 (8.3%)
Age at which mothers gave their first birth, years	15–19	15 (13.8%)	16 (7.3%)
	20–29	92 (84.4%)	201 (92.2%)
	30–39	2 (1.8%)	1 (0.5%)
Total child born earlier	1–3	71 (65.1%)	136 (62.4%)
	>3	38 (34.9%)	82 (37.6%)
Extra food taken during pregnancy and lactation	Yes	69 (63.3%)	154 (70.6%)
	No	40 (36.7%)	64 (29.4%)
Antenatal Care (ANC)	Yes	91 (83.5%)	191 (87.6%)
	No	18 (16.5%)	27 (12.4%)

DISCUSSION

The nutritional status of children under 5 years of age can be affected by different factors. Factors contributing to wasting are multilayered. Identifying the determinants of wasting in the study area can be very important for implementing an effective intervention. Most of the previous studies were cross-sectional and facility-based which might show bias. Children whose mothers did not participate in decision-making on the use of household money were 3.04 times more wasted than their counterparts (AOR=3.04, 95% CI 1.08 to 7.83). This result was in line with the study conducted in the Libo Kemekem district of the Amhara region[54] and southern Ethiopia.[49] This could be explained by the fact that empowering women's decision-making autonomy is crucial for maternal health service utilisation. It also enables mothers to discuss with health professionals about their child's growth, infant, and young child feeding. Moreover, this might ensure women's equality in decision-making with men and lead to applying nutritional information obtained from health facilities.

Moreover, this study described that the probability of wasting was 3.02 times more likely among children who started complementary feeding at <6 and >6 months duration than those who had started complementary feeding at 6 months (AOR=3.02, 95% CI 1.097 to 6.97). This result was consistent with studies conducted in North Ethiopia,[34] South Ethiopia[55] and Nigeria.[56] This might be due to starting complementary feeding early and lately has an impact on child growth and mental development as well as it might decrease the immunity of child to protect against ARI and other childhood illnesses.

The odds of children with less than four food diversity scores were 2.64 times higher to be wasted than the odds

Table 5 Environmental health characteristics of the study participants in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Cases N (%)	Controls N (%)
Households water consumption per day, litres	<40	37 (34.0%)	54 (24.8%)
	40–50	41 (37.6%)	90 (41.3%)
	>50	31 (28.4%)	74 (33.9%)
Time taken to fetch water in round trips, min	<15	67 (61.5%)	130 (59.6%)
	15–30	18 (16.5%)	46 (21.1%)
	>30	24 (22.0%)	42 (19.3%)
Doses households use water chemical	Yes	66 (60.6%)	128 (58.7%)
	No	43 (39.4%)	90 (41.3%)
Have latrine	Yes	87 (79.8%)	169 (77.5%)
	No	22 (20.2%)	49 (22.5%)
Handwashing practice of mothers/caregivers	Yes	40 (36.7%)	122 (56.0%)
	No	69 (63.3%)	96 (44.0%)
Method of households waste disposal	Burning	67 (61.5%)	126 (57.8%)
	Open field	42 (38.5%)	92 (42.2%)
Source of households drinking water	Protected	87 (79.8%)	177 (81.2%)
	Unprotected	22 (20.2%)	41 (18.8%)

of children who had four and above daily consumption of food diversity scores (AOR=2.64, 95% CI 1.64 to 5.23). This was consistent with a previous study conducted in Ghana.[27] This could be explained by the fact that a dietary intake below the minimum requirement leads to nutritional inadequacy and reduces the immune response. It exacerbates the chance of childhood illness and, ultimately, acute malnutrition.

Table 6 Determinants of wasting among children aged 6–59 months in Meket district, North Wollo zone, North-East Ethiopia, January 2020 (n=327)

Variable	Categories	Cases N (%)	Controls N (%)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Number of children under 5 years of age in households	<2	9 (8.2%)	32 (14.7%)	1	1
	2	38 (34.9%)	78 (35.8%)	1.73 (0.74 to 6.30)	2.35 (0.93 to 4.87)
	≥3	62 (56.9%)	108 (49.5%)	2.04 (1.05 to 6.01)	1.96 (0.74 to 5.89)
Maternal decision-making on use of household money	Yes	35 (32.1%)	106 (48.6%)	1	1
	No	74 (67.9%)	112 (51.4%)	2.01 (1.68 to 4.51)	3.04 (1.08 to 7.83)*
Complementary feeding started	At 6 months	59 (54.1%)	171 (78.4%)	1	1
	Before or >6 months	50 (45.9%)	47 (21.6%)	3.08 (1.13 to 8.49)	3.02 (1.097 to 6.97)*
Dietary diversity score (food groups)	<4	91 (83.5%)	129 (59.2%)	3.47 (1.36 to 4.87)	2.64 (1.64 to 5.23) *
	≥4	18 (16.5%)	89 (40.8%)	1	1
Frequency of complementary feeding	≤2 times	51 (46.8%)	46 (21.1%)	3.29 (1.62 to 6.87)	6.68 (3.6 to 11.25)*
	3–5 times	58 (53.2%)	172 (78.9%)	1	1
Immunised	Yes	93 (85.3%)	174 (79.8%)	1	1
	No	16 (14.7%)	44 (20.2%)	1.47 (1.05 to 3.97)	1.19 (0.74 to 3.01)
Knowing and practising critical handwashing time	Yes	40 (36.7%)	122 (56.0%)	1	1
	No	69 (63.3%)	96 (44.0%)	2.19 (1.19 to 2.571)	1.46 (0.57 to 1.84)
Households water consumption per day, litres	<40	37 (34.0%)	54 (24.8%)	1.64 (1.28 to 2.99)	0.41 (0.91 to 1.87)
	40–50	41 (37.6%)	90 (41.3%)	1.09 (1.31 to 2.97)	1.21 (0.56 to 2.63)
	>50	31 (28.4%)	74 (33.9%)	1	1
ARI	Yes	37 (33.9%)	35 (16.1%)	2.69 (1.93 to 9.73)	2.21 (1.07 to 7.86)*
	No	72 (66.1%)	183 (83.9%)	1	1

*Variable significant at p<0.05, 1 = reference, n=frequency.
AOR, adjusted odd ratio; ARI, acute respiratory infection; COR, crude odd ratio.

Wasting was 2.21 times higher among children affected by ARI 2 weeks before the survey than their counterparts (AOR=5.21, 95% CI 1.07 to 7.86). This finding was supported by a study conducted in the Somali region,[28] North-West Ethiopia[26] and western Amhara.[36] This was because infection makes it difficult to maintain an optimal nutritional status by decreasing the appetite of children.

According to the finding of this study, children who had history of less than two times frequency of complementary feeding were 6.68 times more likely to be wasted than those children having three or more times frequency of complementary feeding (AOR=6.68, 95% CI 3.6 to 11.25). This result was consistent with the study conducted in southern Ethiopia.[49] The finding of this study also supports one of the health sector policies of strengthening infant and young child feeding practices to prevent child mortality.[50] This was because having frequent complementary feeding practices could lead to optimal growth and development of the child as well as increase their chances of survival.

CONCLUSION

Our result implies that the right time to introduce complementary foods, the frequency of feeding and also the amount of food consumed were some of the crucial factors that needed to be changed in child nutrition to reduce wasting. Furthermore, within the framework of our study, the empowerment of women in the decision-making process and the prevention of ARI should be seen as a necessary benchmark for preventing acute malnutrition.

Author affiliations
[1]Public Health, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia
[2]Department of Reproductive and Family Health, School of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia
[3]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia
[4]Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, School of Medicine, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia
[5]Department of Health Informatics, College of Health Sciences, Mettu University, Mettu, Ethiopia

ORCID iDs
Mastewal Arefaynie http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9525-0552
Tilahun Dessie Alene http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9857-4077
Habtamu Setegn Ngusie http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5477-9748

REFERENCES

1 Akhtar S. Malnutrition in South Asia-A critical reappraisal. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2016;56:2320–30.
2 WHO. Guideline: updates on the management of severe acute malnutrition in infants and children, 2013. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/95584/97?sequence=1 [Accessed 09 Apr 2021].
3 Odei Obeng-Amoako GA, Karamagi CAS, Nangendo J, *et al*. Factors associated with concurrent wasting and stunting among children 6-59 months in Karamoja, Uganda. *Matern Child Nutr* 2021;17:e13074.
4 Sileshi AA. Prevalence's of Wasting and its Associated Factors of Children among Months Age in Guto Gida District, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *J Food Process Technol* 2013;05:1–6.
5 Bhutta ZA, Berkley JA, Bandsma RHJ, *et al*. Severe childhood malnutrition. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2017;3:1–18.
6 Poulia K-A, Klek S, Doundoulakis I, *et al*. The two most popular malnutrition screening tools in the light of the new ESPEN consensus definition of the diagnostic criteria for malnutrition. *Clin Nutr* 2017;36:1130–5.
7 WHO. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the SDGs sustainable development goals, 2016. Available: http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/2016/en/ [Accessed 12 Mar 2021].
8 Singer PA, Ansett S, Sagoe-Moses I. What could infant and young child nutrition learn from sweatshops? *BMC Public Health* 2011;11:1–5.
9 Akombi B, Agho K, Hall J, *et al*. Stunting, wasting and underweight in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017;14:863.
10 Restrepo-Méndez MC, Barros AJD, Black RE, *et al*. Time trends in socio-economic inequalities in stunting prevalence: analyses of repeated national surveys. *Public Health Nutr* 2015;18:2097–104.
11 Trehan I, Manary MJ. Management of severe acute malnutrition in low-income and middle-income countries. *Arch Dis Child* 2015;100:283–7.
12 WHO. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: UNICEF, 2021. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240025257 [Accessed 21 May 2021].
13 WHO. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: key findings of the 2018 edition, 2018. Available: https://www.unicef.org/reports [Accessed 09 Apr 2021].
14 Ayele S, Zegeye EA, Nisbett NJBI. Multi-sectoral nutrition policy and programme design, coordination and implementation in Ethiopia, 2020. Available: https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/handle/20.500.12413/15200 [Accessed 06 Jun 2021].

15 Moss C, Bekele TH, Salasibew MM, *et al*. Sustainable undernutrition reduction in Ethiopia (sure) evaluation study: a protocol to evaluate impact, process and context of a large-scale integrated health and agriculture programme to improve complementary feeding in Ethiopia. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e022028.

16 CSA. *Demographic and health survey, preliminary report*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: CSA, 2011: 123–52.

17 Central Statistical Authority/Ethiopia and ORC Macro. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2000, 2001. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-fr118-dhs-final-reports.cfm [Accessed 07 Jan 2022].

18 Central Statistical Authority/Ethiopia and ORC Macro. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2005, 2006. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR179-DHS-Final-Reports.cfm [Accessed 21 Jan 2022].

19 Central Statistical Agency/Ethiopia and ICF International. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2011, 2012. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-fr255-dhs-final-reports.cfm [Accessed 07 Mar 2022].

20 Central Statistical Agency/Ethiopia and ICF International. Ethiopia demographic and health survey 2016, 2017. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/survey/survey-display-478.cfm [Accessed 06 Mar 2022].

21 Ethiopian Public Health Institute - EPHI. Federal Ministry of Health - FMoH, and ICF. Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health Survey 2019, 2021. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR363-DHS-Final-Reports.cfm [Accessed 02 Mar 2022].

22 Teshome B*et al*. Magnitude and determinants of stunting in children underfive years of age in food surplus region of Ethiopia: the case of west gojam zone. *Ethiop J Health Dev* 2009;23:102.

23 Kebede D, Merkeb Y, Worku E, *et al*. Prevalence of undernutrition and potential risk factors among children under 5 years of age in Amhara region, Ethiopia: evidence from 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. *J Nutr Sci* 2021;10:22.

24 Haile D, Azage M, Mola T, *et al*. Exploring spatial variations and factors associated with childhood stunting in Ethiopia: spatial and multilevel analysis. *BMC Pediatr* 2016;16:49.

25 Demlie YW, Yenit MK, Akalu TY. Geographical inequalities and determinants of stunting among under-five children in Ethiopia, 2016 EDHS, general estimating equation model. *BMC Public Health* 2020;21.

26 Amare D, Negesse A, Tsegaye B, *et al*. Prevalence of undernutrition and its associated factors among children below five years of age in Bure town, West Gojjam zone, Amhara national regional state, Northwest Ethiopia. *Adv Public Health* 2016;2016:1–8.

27 Ali Z, Saaka M, Adams A-G, *et al*. The effect of maternal and child factors on stunting, wasting and underweight among preschool children in northern Ghana. *BMC Nutr* 2017;3:31.

28 Demissie S. Magnitude and factors associated with malnutrition in children 6-59 months of age in pastoral community of Dollo Ado district, Somali region, Ethiopia. *Science Journal of Public Health* 2013;1:175–83.

29 Abitew DB, Yalew AW, Bezabih AM, *et al*. Predictors of relapse of acute malnutrition following exit from community-based management program in Amhara region, Northwest Ethiopia: an unmatched case-control study. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0231524.

30 Abitew DB, Worku A, Mulugeta A, *et al*. Rural children remain more at risk of acute malnutrition following exit from community based management of acute malnutrition program in South Gondar zone, Amhara region, Ethiopia: a comparative cross-sectional study. *PeerJ* 2020;8:e8419.

31 Ayana AB, Hailemariam TW, Melke AS. Determinants of acute malnutrition among children aged 6–59 months in public hospitals, Oromia region, West Ethiopia: a case–control study. *BMC Nutr* 2015;1:1–11.

32 Batiro B, Demissie T, Halala Y, *et al*. Determinants of stunting among children aged 6-59 months at Kindo Didaye woreda, Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia: unmatched case control study. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0189106.

33 Hoq M, Ali M, Islam A, *et al*. Risk factors of acute malnutrition among children aged 6-59 months enrolled in a community-based programme in Kurigram, Bangladesh: a mixed-method matched case-control study. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2019;38:36.

34 Teferi H, Tessema T, Teshome TJPH. Magnitude and associated factors of undernutrition among children aged 6–59 months in Ethiopian orphanage centres. *Pediatric Health Med Therapy* 2021;12:141–50.

35 Liben ML, Abuhay T, Haile YJHSJ. Determinants of child malnutrition among agro pastorals in northeastern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Health Sci J* 2016;10:15.

36 Demilew YM, Alem AT. Food security is not the only solution to prevent under-nutrition among 6–59 months old children in Western Amhara region, Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr* 2019;19:1–9.

37 Ma'alin A, Birhanu D, Melaku S. Magnitude and factors associated with malnutrition in children 6–59 months of age in Shinille Woreda, Ethiopian Somali regional state: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Nutrition* 2016;2:1–12.

38 Shumey A, Demissie M, Berhane Y. Timely initiation of complementary feeding and associated factors among children aged 6 to 12 months in northern Ethiopia: an institution-based cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:1–7.

39 Hawkes SJ, Gomez GB, Broutet N. Early antenatal care: does it make a difference to outcomes of pregnancy associated with syphilis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e56713.

40 Ngari MM, Obiero C, Mwangome MK, *et al*. Mortality during and following hospital admission among school-aged children: a cohort study. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;5:234.

41 Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, *et al*. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* 2013;382:427–51.

42 CSA. Population and housing census of Ethiopia, 2012. Available: https://microdata.worldbank.org [Accessed 03 Jan 2021].

43 Nebro AD, Asres DT, Prasad RPJb. Determinants of severe acute malnutrition among children age 6-59 months old in two public hospitals, North West Ethiopia: a case control study. *bioRxiv* 2019.

44 Khan S, Zaheer S, Safdar NFJBph. Determinants of stunting, underweight and wasting among children. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19:358–73.

45 Chudal P. Asssociation of factors affecting the nutritional status of 6-59 months children in Phidim Municipality, 2018. Available: http://202.45.146.37:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/47 [Accessed 05 July 2021].

46 Tufa EG, Dake SK, Bekru ET, *et al*. Magnitude of wasting and underweight among children 6-59 months of age in Sodo Zuria District, South Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. *BMC Res Notes* 2018;11:790–6.

47 Yalew BM. Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among children age 6–59 months at lalibela town administration, North WolloZone, Anrs, Northern Ethiopia. *J Nutr Disorders Therapy* 2014;4:2161–509.

48 WHO. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: Part 1: definitions: conclusions of a consensus meeting held 6-8 November 2007 in Washington DC, USA, 2008. Available: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240018389 [Accessed 17 Apr 2021].

49 Dereje N. Determinants of severe acute malnutrition among under five children in Shashogo Woreda, southern Ethiopia: a community based matched case control study. *J Nutr Food Sci* 2014;04.

50 Amsalu Taye TWaAS. Under-nutrition and related factors among children aged 6-59 months in Gida Ayana district, Oromiya region, West Ethiopia: a community based quantitative study. *J Nutr Food Sciences*;6:1–12.

51 Castell GS*et al*. Escalas de evaluación de la inseguridad alimentaria en el hogar. *Rev Esp Nutr Comunitaria* 2015;21:270–6.

52 WHO. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development, 2009. Available: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/924154693X [Accessed 02 Sep 2021].

53 Bursac Z*et al*. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med* 2010;3:1–8.

54 Motbainor A, Taye A. Wasting in under five children is significantly varied between rice producing and non-producing households of Libokemkem district, Amhara region, Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr* 2019;19:300.

55 Asfaw M, Wondaferash M, Taha M, *et al*. Prevalence of undernutrition and associated factors among children aged between six to fifty nine months in Bule HorA district, South Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:1–9.

56 Udoh EE, Amodu OK. Complementary feeding practices among mothers and nutritional status of infants in Akpabuyo area, cross river state Nigeria. *Springerplus* 2016;5:2073.

Annx1

English version Questionnaire:

Woreda _____		
Kebele _____		
Data recorder name _____	signature _____	date ___//___//
Code: (1) ca100------------------- (1) co100------------------- (2) co100-------------------	Key ca= case Co= control	
Sr. No	**Part 1: Socio-demographic factors**	**Categories of Response Options**	**Skip**

Sr. No	Part 1: Socio-demographic factors	Categories of Response Options	Skip
100	Head of HHs	1. Male 2. female	
101	Marital status	1. Married 2. Single 3. Divorced 4. Separated 5. Widowed	
102	Ethnicity	1. Amhara 2. Oromo 3. others	
103	Religion	1. Orthodox 2. Muslim 3. Protestant 4. Catholic 5. Other specify	
104	HHs with under 5yrs children	No of U5 children in the HH-------------------	
105	Maternal Education	1 Can't read and write 2. Can read and write (Informal education) 3. Primary education (1-8) 4. Secondary education and above	

		5.Higher education	
106	Paternal education	1 Can't read and write 2. Can read and write (Informal education) 3. Primary education (1-8) 4. Secondary education and above 5.Higher education	
107	Occupation of mother	Occupation type--------- --------	
108	Occupation of husband	Occupation type-----------------	
109			
110	Decision making on use of money	Decision making **by-----------------**	
111	Ownership of livestock	yes 2. No	
112	Livestock per household	No----------------	
113	Ownership of land	Yes 2. No	
114	Land by hectare per HH	Hectare-------------	
115	In the past four weeks, did you worry that your household would not have enough food?	0 = No (skip to Q2) 1=Yes	Code
115.1	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks)	

		3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
116	In the past four weeks, were you or any household member not able to eat the kinds of foods you preferred because of a lack of resources?	0 = No (skip to Q3) 1=Yes	
116.2	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
117	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member have to eat a limited variety of foods due to a lack of resources?	0 = No (skip to Q4) 1 = Yes	
117.3	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
118	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member have to eat some foods that you really did not want to eat because of a lack of resources to obtain other types of food?	0 = No (skip to Q5) 1 = Yes	
119.4	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks)	

Supplemental material

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on this supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s)

BMJ Open

		2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
120	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member have to eat a smaller meal than you felt you needed because there was not enough food?	0 = No (skip to Q6) 1 = Yes	
120.5	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks	
121	In the past four weeks, did you or any other household member have to eat fewer meals in a day because there was not enough food?	0 = No (skip to Q7) 1 = Yes	
121.6	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks	
122	In the past four weeks, did you or any other household member have to eat fewer meals in a day because there was not enough food?	0 = No (skip to Q7) 1 = Yes	
122.7	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks)	

Supplemental material

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on this supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s)

BMJ Open

		2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
123	In the past four weeks, was there ever no food to eat of any kind in your household because of lack of resources to get food?	0 = No (skip to Q8) 1 = Yes	
123.8	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
124	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member go to sleep at night hungry because there was not enough food?	0 = No (skip to Q9) 1 = Yes	
124.9	How often did this happen? Occur	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
125	In the past four weeks, did you or any household member go a whole day and night without eating anything because there was not enough food?	0 = No (questionnaire is finished) 1 = Yes	

Supplemental material

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on this supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s)

BMJ Open

125.10	How often did this happen?	1 = Rarely (once or twice in the past four weeks) 2 = Sometimes (three to ten times in the past four weeks) 3 = Often (more than ten times in the past four weeks)	
	Part : 2 Child medical Condition and Dietary diversity status age 6-59 months		
200	Child sex	Female 2. Male	
201	Child age(In months)	Age----------------	
202	Child Height/Length	---------------Cm	
203	Child weight	-----------------Kg	
204	Place of delivery	1. Home 2. Health facility 3. other	
205	Still breastfeed child	1. yes 2. no	
206	Diarrhea	1.Yes 2. No	
207	Fever	1. yes 2. No	
208	ARI	1. yes 2. No	
209	Measles	1. yes 2. No	
	Part : 3 Child feeding practices and Household Food Security status age 6-59 months		
300	Age complementary feeding started(In months	month -----------	

301	Frequency of complementary feeding by age	Month		
		6-8	1. <2 times, 2. ≥2 times	
		9-11	1. < 3 times, 2. ≥3 times	
		12-23 and above	1. < 4 times, 2. ≥ 4 times	
302	Frequency of Brest feeding/day	4-7Time 2. ≥8 Times		
303	EBF child(In months) consistency	Month-------------		
304	Immunization	Yes 2. No		
305	Vitamin A supplementation	1.yes 2. No		

3.1 Dietary Diversity score

	Food Group	Example	Yes or No
306	Did the child Eat white tubers and roots?	white potatoes, white yams, white cassava, or other foods made from roots	
307	Did the child Eat Vitamin a rich fruits?	ripe mangoes, cantaloupe, apricots (fresh or dried), ripe papaya, dried peaches + other locally available vitamin A-rich fruits	
308	Did the child Eat flesh meats?	beef, pork, lamb, goat, rabbit, wild game, chicken, duck, or other birds	
309	EGGS	chicken, duck, guinea hen or any other egg	
310	OILS AND FATS	oil, fats or butter added to food or used for cooking	
311	LEGUMES, NUTS AND SEEDS	beans, peas, lentils, nuts, seeds or foods made from these	
312	milk and milk products	milk, cheese, yogurt or other milk products	

	Part IV: Maternal characteristics		
400	Age of mother(years)	Age--------------	
401	Age at first birth(years)	Age-------------	
402	Total child born before	No-------------------	
403	Extra food during pregnancy/lactation	Yes 2. No	
404	Visited health facility for ANC?	Yes 2. No	
	V. Environmental Health characteristics		
500	Water used in HH per day by liters	Litters---------------	
501	Time to obtain drinking water (round trip)	Time-------------------	
502	HHs treat water by any mean	Yes 2. No	
503	Availability of latrine	1. yes 2. No	
504	Materials used to wash hands after toilet	Method -----------------	
505	Hand washing practice of mothers/Knowing Critical Hand washing time	1. Wash frequently 2. Wash less frequently/not wash	
506	Method of disposal of HHs waste	1. Burning /pit 2. Open field	
507	Source of Drinking water	1. protected 2. unprotected	

Translated to Amharic… የአማርኛ ቃላ መጠይቅ

<table>
<tr>
<td colspan="2">የጥናቱ ቦታ

</td>
<td>ከተማ -------------

ቀበሌ ----------------</td>
<td rowspan="2">ቀን
___//___//</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="2">ዳታ ሰብሳቢው ስም _____</td>
<td>ፈርማ _____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3">ኮድ (1:2 ጥምረት) በሺተና(1)=ኬዝ108-ኬዝ413, ያልታመመ (2)=ከን108=ከን413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ተ.ቁ</td>
<td>ክፍል 1: ማሕበራዊ፣ ኢኮኖሚያዊ እና ባህላዊ ጉዳዮች</td>
<td>የመልስ</td>
<td>ውጣ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>አባውራ</td>
<td>ወንድ 2. ሴት</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>የጋብቻ ሁኔታ</td>
<td>1. ያገባች 2.ያላገባች
3. የፈታች 4.ባሌ የሞተባት</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>ብሄር</td>
<td>1.አማራ 2.ትግሬ 3.ኦሮሞ
4.ሌላ ካለ ይገለጽ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>እምነት</td>
<td>1.ኦርቶዶክስ 2.ሙስሊም
3.ፕሮቴስታንት 4.ካቶሊክ
5.ሌላ ካለ ይገለጽ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>የቤተሰብ ብዛት</td>
<td>1 ------------------ 2. ከ5አመት በታች ስንት ልጅ አለዎት ?------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>የእናት/ያሳዳጊ የትምህርት ሁኔታ</td>
<td>1.ያልተማረች 2. ማንበብና መጻፍ
3. 1ኛ ደረጃ 4.ሁለተኛ ደረጃ
5. ድሎማና ከዛ በላይ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>የአባት የትምህርት ሁኔታ</td>
<td>1.ያልተማረ 2.ማንበብና መጻፍ
3. 1ኛ ደረጃ 4..ሁለተኛ ደረጃ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</table>

		5. ድሎማና ከዛ በላይ	
107	የእናት ስራ	1.የቤት እመቤት 2.የመንግስት ሰራተኛ 3.ነጋዴ 4.ገበሬ 5.የቀን ሰራተኛ 6.ተማሪ 7.ሌላ ካለ ይገለጽ--------	
108	**የአባት ስራ**	1. የመንግስት ሰራተኛ 2.ነጋዴ 3.ገበሬ 4. የቀን ሰራተኛ 5.ተማሪ ሌላ ካለ ይገለጽ--------	
109	አማካኝ የቤተሰቡ ወርሃዊ ገቢ ምን ያህል ነዉ ?	---------------- ብር	
110	በገንዘብ ላይ በቤት ውስጥ ውሳኔ ሰጪ ማን ነው?	**1.አባት 2. እናት 3. ሌላ ሰው-----** ------------	
111	የቤት እንስሳት አላችሁ?	1.አዎ 2. የለም	
112	የቤት እንስሳት ብዛት?	ብዛት-----------------	
113	የርሻ መሬት አላችሁ?	1.አዎ 2. የለም	
114	ምንያህል ሄክታር/ጥማድ/ አላችሁ	ሄክታር/ጥማድ/ ብዛት------------	
115	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ በቤት ውስጥ በቂ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ ተጨንቀው ነበር?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ2) 1= አዎ	ኮድ
115.1	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
116	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ በገንዘብ እጥረት ምክንያት የሚፈልገውን የምግብ	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ3) 1= አዎ	

	አይነት ሳይመገብ የቀረ አለ?		
116.2	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
117	በቂ አቅርቦት ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ በቂ ያልሆነ የተመጣጠነ ምግብ በልቶአል ?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ4) 1= አዎ	
117.3	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
118	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ መመገብ የማይፈልገውን ምግብ በልቶአል ሌላ የሚፈልገውን ምግብ ባለማግኘቱ ምክንያት?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ5) 1= አዎ	
118.4	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
119	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ6)	

	የሆነ አነስተኛ ምግብ ተመግባል በቂ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት?	1= አዎ	
119.5	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
120	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ አነስተኛ ምግብ ተመግባል በቂ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ6) 1= አዎ	
120.6	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
121	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ በጥም አነስተኛ ምግብ ተመግባል በቂ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ7) 1= አዎ	
121.7	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)	

Supplemental material

BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on this supplemental material which has been supplied by the author(s)

BMJ Open

122	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ ምግብ በቤት ውስጥ ጠፍቶ ያውቃል በቂ አቅርቦት ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ8) 1= አዎ
122.8	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)
123	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የቤተሰብ አባል የሆነ ከተኛ በሁላ የራበው ሰው አለ በቂ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ/ባለመመገቡ ምክንያት ?	0 = የለም (ውጣ ወደ ጥ9) 1= አዎ
123.9	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ)
124	ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ የበተሰብ አባል የሆነ ሙሉ ቀን እና ለሊት ምግብ ሳይበላ የዋለ/ያደረ/አለ ምግብ ባለመኖሩ ምክንያት?	0 = የለም (ጥያቄው አለቀ) 1 = አዎ
124.10	ለምን ያህል ጊዜ ተከሰተ?	1 = ያልተለመደ (አንዴ/ሁለቴ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 2 = አልፎ አልፎ (3-10 ጊዜ ባለፈው አራት ሳምንት ውስጥ) 3 = ሁልግዜ (ከ10 ጊዜ በላይባለፈው አራት

		ሳምንት ውስጥ)	
	ክፍል 2. የህጻናት ጤና እና የአመጋገብ ሁኔታን በተመለከተ ከ 6-59 ወር		
200	የልጅዎ ፆታ	1.ወንድ 2.ሴት	
201	የልጅዎ እድሜ	ወር ----------------	
202	የልጁ/ጇ ቁመት/ርዝመት	-----------ሴሜ	
203	የልጁ/ጇ ክብደት	---------ኪግ	
204	ልጅሽን የት ወለድሽ?	1. ቤት ውስጥ 2. ጤና ተቋም 3. ሌላ	
205	ጡት እያጠባሺ ነው?	1. አዉ 2. የለም	
206	ጡት ያላጠባሺበት ምክንያት ምንድን ነው?	ምክንያት------------	
208	ተቅማጥ ነበረው ባለፈው ሶስት ወር ውስጥ?	1.አዉ 2. የለውም	
209	ሙቀት ነበረው /ራት ባለፈው ሶስት ወር ውስጥ ?	1.አዉ 2. የለውም	
210	የላይኛው የመተንፈሻ ክፍል ችግር ነበረው/ራት ባለፈው ሶስት ወር ውስጥ ?	1.አዉ 2. የለውም	
211	ኩፍኝ ባለፈው ሶስት ወር ውስጥ ነበረው/ራት?	1.አዉ 2. የለውም	
	ክፍል : 3 6-59 ወር የሆኑ ህጻናት የአመጋገብ ስርአትን እና የቤት የምግብ ዋስትናን ሁኔታን በተመለከተ		
300	ተጨማሪ ምግብ መቼ ጀመርሺለት/ለት?	ወር--------------	

301	ተጨማሪ ምግብ በቀን እና በድሜበተመለከተ	Month		
		6-8	1. < 2 ጊዜ, 2. ≥2 ጊዜ	
		9-11	1. < 3 ጊዜ, 2. ≥3	

			ጊዜ	
		12-24እና ከዛ በላይ	1. < 4 ጊዜ, 2. ≥4 ጊዜ	
302	በቀን ምንያህል ጊዜ ታጠቢአለሺ?		4-7 ጊዜ 2. ≥8 ጊዜ	
303	የናት ጡት ብቻ ለስንት ወር ጠባ/ች		ወር-----------------	
304	ክትባት ወስዷል/ለች		አዎ 2. አልወሰደም	
	ቫይታሚን ኤ ወስዷል/ለች		አዎ 2. አልወሰደም	
	2.1 6-59 ወር የህጻናት የአመጋገብ ሁኔታን በተመለከተ			
	የምግብ ክፍል		**ምሳሌ**	**አዎ ወይም የለም**
305	ህጻኑ/ኗ የስር ዘር ምግቦች ተመግቦአል/ለች?		ነጭ ድንች ፤ስኳር ድንች፤ቀይስር እና ሌሎች የስር ዘር	
306	ህጻኑ/ኗ በቫይታሚን ኤ የበለጸጉ ምግቦች ተመግቦአል/ለች?		ማንጎ፤ፓፓያ፤ሙዝ፤ኮከ ወ.ዘ.ተ	
307	ህጻኑ/ኗ ስጋ ተመግቦአል/ለች?		የፍየል/በግ፤ የደሮ፤ የከብት	
308	ህጻኑ/ኗ እንቁላል ተመግቦአል/ለች?		የደሮ	
309	ህጻኑ/ኗ ቅባት ያለዉ ምግብ ተመግቦአል/ለች?		ዘይት፤ቅቤ ወ.ዘ.ተ	
310	ህጻኑ/ኗ ጥራጥሬ፤የቅባት ዘር ተመግቦአል/ለች?		ባቄላ፤ሽምብራ፤ለውዝ፤አተር ወ.ዘተ	
311	ህጻኑ/ኗ ወተት እና የወተት ተዋጽኦተመግቦአል/ለች?		ወተት፤አይብ፤እርጎ	
	ክፍል:4 የናት ሁኔታ በተመለከተ			
400	የናት እድሜ		እድሜ--------------	
401	የመጀመርያ ልጅሺን በስንት አመትሽ ወለድሽ		እድሜ--------------	
402	ምን ያህል ልጆች ወለድሺ		ብዛት--------------------	
403	ተጨማሪ ምግብ በርግዝና እና ጡት ማጥባት ጊዜ		1.አዎ 2. አልወሰድኩም	

	ትወስጅ ነበር?		
404	ቅድመወሊድ ክትትል ታደርጊ ነበር?	1.አዎ 2. አላደረኩም	
	ክፍል 5. የአካባቢጤና በተመለከተ		
500	ምን ያህል ውሀ በ ሊትር በቀን ትጠቀማላችሁ?	ሊትር---------------	
501	ምንየህል ጊዜ ይፈጅብሻል ውሀ ለመቅዳት?	ሰአት--------------------	
502	የውህ ማከሚያ መዳህኒት ትጠቀማላሁ?	አዎ 2. አንጠቀምም	
503	ሺንት ቤት አላችሁ?	1. አዎ 2. የለንም	
504	ወሳኝ የእጅ መታጠብያ ጊዜ ሚባሉትን ታውቂቸው አለሽ?	አዎ 2.አላውቅም	
505	ቆሻሻን ለማስወገድ የምትጠቀሙት ዘዴ ምንድን ነው?	ዘዴ---------------------	
506	ምትጠቡት የውህ አይነት ምንድን ነው?	1.የተጠበቀ 2. ያልተጠበቀ	