


BMJ Open Therapy outcome measures in temporomandibular disorder: a scoping review

Kazuhiro Ooi ¹, Morio Aihara,² Hidehisa Matsumura,^{3,4} Shinpei Matsuda,⁵ Yuki Watanabe,⁶ Hidemichi Yuasa,⁷ Yoshizo Matsuka⁸

To cite: Ooi K, Aihara M, Matsumura H, *et al.* Therapy outcome measures in temporomandibular disorder: a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 2022;12:e061387. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061387

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061387>).

Received 25 January 2022
Accepted 07 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Objectives Therapy outcome measures (TOMs) in temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) have not been systematically evaluated. We systematically explored the main TOM assessment methods for TMD TOMs used in previous studies.

Design Scoping review.

Data sources According to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for Scoping Review reporting guidelines, we systematically searched five key databases (MEDLINE/PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Epistemonikos and ClinicalTrials) and thoroughly scanned relevant grey literature using Medical Subject Headings, Emtree and index terms.

Eligibility criteria We considered primary research papers published from January 2010 to December 2020 that included patients with TMD aged ≥18 years, diagnosed according to the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders.

Data extraction and synthesis Four reviewers extracted general information and information on study design and setting, target, interventions, and outcome type.

Results One hundred and seventy-two of the 3726 screened articles (3704 by search engines and 22 manually) were included. The TOMs analysed included pain (n=161 articles), maximal mouth opening (MMO) (91), jaw function (32), jaw movement (26), joint sound (16), quality of life (QOL) (15), depression/anxiety (14), oral QOL (10) or others (30). Evaluation periods were <4 weeks (111), <8 weeks (62), <12 weeks (59), >12 weeks (75) or 'not mentioned' (12). Pain outcomes (229) included general pain (115), tenderness (45), pain during functioning (44), resting pain (16) and others (8). Pain outcome evaluation methods included Visual Analogue Scale (VAS; 121), Numerical Rating Scale (21) and other methods (21). Pain outcome indicators were binary (10) or continuous (158); only five studies reported the least significant difference in treatment efficacy. MMO evaluation using painless methods (19) and jaw function evaluation using methods assessing mandibular movement range (23) were the most frequent.

Conclusions TMD TOMs are diverse; the major outcomes were pain, MMO, jaw function and jaw movement. Most pain outcomes are evaluated by VAS Score changes.

INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) are musculoskeletal problems affecting the temporomandibular joints (TMJs), the masticatory muscles and related structures. Typical

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Five key electronic databases were searched using Medical Subject Headings and Emtree and index terms.
- ⇒ The search strategy was adapted for searching existing grey literature.
- ⇒ The methodological rigour of eligible studies was evaluated using the modified Quality Index.
- ⇒ Sufficient data could not be collected on small, moderate, large or minimally significant differences.
- ⇒ This study does not include studies published 2021 onwards.

symptoms include joint pain, muscle pain, joint noises and mouth opening limitations, affecting oral functions such as chewing, speaking and other common activities. To expand the TMD classification to include less common but clinically important disorders, 56 disorders were considered. Thirty-seven were included in the expanded taxonomy and were classified into four categories: TMJ, masticatory muscle, headache and associated-structure disorders.¹ The Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) is the source publication for the common TMDs: arthralgia, the four-disc displacement types, degenerative joint disease, subluxation, myalgia, local myalgia, myofascial pain, and referred myofascial pain and headache attributable to TMD.² The American Academy of Dental Research TMD treatment guidelines recommends explaining the disease to patients, educating them regarding the initial approach, and recommending reversible conservative treatment as the main therapeutic approach³ as most TMD cases resolve naturally.⁴ The main TMD therapeutic goals are pain relief, jaw function recovery and quality of life (QOL) improvement.⁵ Reversible conservative treatments in the initial TMD treatment include physical (such as masticatory muscle massage, hot compress and electrical stimulation therapy),



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Kazuhiro Ooi;
k-ooi@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

exercise (such as masticatory muscle opening, range of motion training and manual TMJ disc manipulation), drug (including analgesics, central muscle relaxants, anxiolytics) and appliance (stabilisation appliance, anterior positioning appliance therapy) therapies.^{6–11} Conservative treatment, including counselling, exercises, occlusal splint therapy, massage and manual therapies, should be considered the first choice for TMD pain because of their low risk of side effects. Pharmacotherapy and minimally invasive procedures should be considered in the case of severe acute or chronic pain resulting from serious disorders, inflammation and degeneration.¹² Pain, mandibular movement, TMJ noise, difficulty in eating and QOL are useful treatment effect indicators; however, there is a large variation in measurement tools and criteria for determining efficacy.¹³ There are very few high-quality systematic reviews on this topic, although the current review recognises the importance of using patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures in research and clinical practice.¹⁴ The Japanese Society for the Temporomandibular Joint aims to develop TMD clinical practice guidelines, focusing on efficacy of TMD treatment and adverse effects in dental practice or oral and maxillofacial surgery in general hospitals in Japan that do not specialise in TMD.

This scoping review investigated the major outcomes evaluated in treating patients with TMD and their assessment criteria. The review was conducted according to the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports manual. The mapping results from this review will facilitate understanding the TMD treatment concept, context and outcomes relevant to the patient. It will also help optimise treatment according to TMD classification. In addition, this scoping review can pave the way for developing systematic reviews and practice guidelines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protocol

Our search protocol was based on the scoping review methodology framework proposed by Arksey and O'Malley (2005)¹⁵ and improved by JBI.¹⁶ It included five steps: (1) Identification of review questions; (2) Identification of related studies; (3) Study selection; (4) Data extraction and charting; and (5) Result collation, summary and reporting. This review complied with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines.¹⁷ We preregistered the protocol in Open Science Framework before starting this scoping review (19 June 2020). The final consensus among the members at each step was calculated using the percentage consensus ($\geq 75\%$ for the entire team) and the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method (mismatch rate) before proceeding to the next step.

Step 1: Review questions

We aimed to answer the following questions: 'What are the primary outcomes or outcome sets used as indicators

in the initial TMD treatment at general dental practices?' We aimed to identify the major outcome types or core outcome sets (COS) in treating TMD and the outcome measurement instruments used.

Eligibility criteria

Participants

The participants were patients aged ≥ 18 years diagnosed with TMD and conditions that general dentists consider as requiring treatment for pain during mastication, mouth opening or trismus (including intermittent lock). TMD diagnosis follows the definitions of DC/TMD or Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders.¹⁸ Conventionally, therapeutic intervention is performed according to pathological condition classification in TMD treatment.

Sample size

Studies with <30 samples in total or <15 samples per group were excluded.

Research study types

This scoping review included randomised controlled trials, case-control studies, cohort studies and cross-sectional studies published between January 2010 and December 2020. Case presentations, conference proceedings, letters and abstracts were excluded.

Step 2: Identification of related research

As a comprehensive literature search, both published (primary studies, reviews, guidelines, etc) and unpublished (grey literature) articles were iteratively searched in five electronic databases: MEDLINE/PubMed, Embase, Web of Science (Thomson Reuters Scientific), Epistemonkios.org and ClinicalTrials.gov. Additional searches, including in grey literature, were performed manually using CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, UpToDate, Central Medical Journal, Google Scholar, Scopus (Elsevier), ScienceDirect (Elsevier), JBI, EQUATOR (Enhancing the QUALity and Transparency Of health Research) network, and Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials (COMET)/CONsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments. Grey literature was searched using Grey Literature and Statistics for Dentistry. The literature search involved a three-step approach, as recommended by the JBI guidelines: (1) An initial limited search of ≥ 2 suitable online databases related to the topic, followed by an analysis of the searched article titles, text words contained in the abstract, and index terms used to describe the article; (2) A second search using all identified keywords and index terms on all included databases; and (3) A search of the bibliographic list of identified reports and papers for additional studies. The primary study or review authors were contacted for more information when necessary. Search formulas were not limited by study design, language or year. The final search strategy for the MEDLINE database is presented in online supplemental appendix A.

Step 3: Inclusion of studies

According to standard systematic reviews, two reviewers independently carried out study inclusion (title/abstract screening and full-text screening). Disagreements were resolved by consensus or by the decision of a third reviewer. In this review, four reviewers in groups of two (KO and HY, HM and SM) reviewed the titles and abstracts of all documents obtained independently according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Step 4: Data extraction and charting

From the articles adopted in the scoping review, the four reviewers extracted general information (publishing status, title, author name, source, country, contact address, publication language, publication year) and information on study design and setting, target (TMD disease classification, age, sex, extraction of precreated data on comorbidities), interventions (type, timing, dose, time, type of comparison if applicable), assessment indicators and outcome type (core area, outcome domain, outcome term and outcome instrument), according to the 38-category scale of Williamson/Clarke (revised).¹⁹

Step 5: Collating, summarising and reporting results

In this step, one reviewer generated the PRISMA-ScR flow chart, detailed the methodology process for transparency, identified all evidence sources, and evaluated eligibility, including the review and full-text studies. Data are

summarised in graphical/tabular (numerical summary) and descriptive (narrative summary) formats.

RESULTS

Study selection

Three thousand and seventy-nine non-duplicate studies were selected through five database searches. Six hundred and nine studies were selected for full-text search at the first screening step, involving titles and abstracts. Of these, the excluded articles were as follows: 154 articles that failed to meet the study's inclusion criteria, 150 that did not meet the sample size requirements, 81 that did not meet the patient inclusion criteria, 61 that did not meet the intervention requirements and 13 that were published outside the selection period. Finally, 172 articles from five databases and 22 articles identified manually were selected for data extraction and charting. The 172 articles are presented in online supplemental appendix B. Figure 1 depicts a flow diagram summarising the review process.

Study design

Among the selected studies, 112 were randomised controlled trials, 40 were cohort studies, 18 were case-control studies and 2 were other studies. No grey literature was included in this study (figure 2).




Figure 1 Scoping review flow diagram.




Fig. 2 Study design of included 172 papers

Figure 2 Study design including 172 papers. RCT, randomised controlled trial.

Therapy outcome measures relating to TMD

The core areas of the therapy outcome measures (TOMs) were classified as physiological/clinical, life impact, resource and adverse events. The TOMs included 229 pain outcomes in 161 studies, 233 physical functioning outcomes in 126 studies, 23 global QOL outcomes in 17 studies, 15 psychiatric outcomes in 14 studies, 13 emotional functioning outcomes in 12 studies, 7 adverse event outcomes in 7 studies, 4 care delivery outcomes in 4 studies, and 4 need-for-further-intervention TOMs in 4 studies.

TOM terms for pain included pain intensity in 161 studies (229 outcomes) and pain quality in 2 studies (two outcomes). Pain intensity was further categorised as general (103 studies/115 outcomes), tenderness (40/45), functioning (38/44), resting (15/16) and others (8/8). The TOM pain evaluation instrument included a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS; 121 studies/148 outcomes), a Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) (21/29) and various other methods, as shown in [table 1](#).

Physical functioning outcome domains were categorised as maximal mouth opening (MMO) (91 studies/102 outcomes), jaw and related function (32/42), jaw movement (26/39), joint sound/clicking (16/17), oral health-related QOL (10/11) and others (20/20). The MMO TOM instrument was not described in 55 studies (55 outcomes). Assessments without pain (19 studies/19 outcomes), passive (13/13), with pain (8/8) and limitation (7/7) were included in those that described it. The jaw's TOM instrument and related function were not described in 15 studies (15 outcomes). Assessments using electromyography (5 studies/6 outcomes), the jaw functional limitation scale (5/5), mandibular functional impairment questionnaire (4/4), dysfunction index (2/2), subjective functional impairment (2/2), cervical range of motion (2/2), Temporomandibular (TM) Index (1/1), multidimensional pain inventory (1/1) or 'not described' (3/4) were included. The jaw movement evaluation instrument included mandibular movement range (23 studies/26 TOMs), mandibular velocity (5/5) or 'not

described' (7/8) assessments. Outcome evaluation instruments for other outcome terms are shown in [table 1](#).

Evaluation periods

TOMs were evaluated within 4 weeks in 111 studies, <8 weeks in 62 studies, <12 weeks in 59 studies, >12 weeks in 75 studies or 'not mentioned' in 12 studies.

Evaluated sites of pain intensity

The pain evaluation sites were not described in 90 studies (112 TOMs). The masticatory muscles (33 studies/46 TOMs), TMJ (28/32) or both (31/39) were included in those that described evaluation sites.

Indicators of pain outcomes

The pain outcome indicators were binary in 10 studies (16 TOMs) and continuous in 158 studies (215 TOMs), with only 5 studies (3%) reporting the least significant difference in the treatment efficacy.

DISCUSSION

This scoping review systematically summarised the PROs for treating TMD over the past 10 years and reflected the current trends in evaluated TOMs and evaluation methods used. This study lacks inclusion of studies published from 2021 onwards, however, we are aware that there are no major advances/changes of TOMs that may consequently have been missed, resulting in minor advances/changes in our study. The search was restricted to papers published from 2010 onwards because our preliminary research considered that the current diagnosis and treatment system along with DC/TMD was different before 2010.

TOM mapping

Medical care generally involves interventions such as treatment and care for patients in a certain state of health, intervention TOMs' measurements and evaluations, and planning and execution of the next intervention based on the evaluated TOM. Clinical outcome assessments, the clinical assessments used in clinical research, are classified into four categories: PROs, clinician-reported outcomes, observer-reported outcomes and performance-based outcomes.²⁰ In recent years, the importance of outcome evaluation by medical staff and subjective evaluation by patients (PROs) has been recognised in treatment development or recommended clinical trials for clinical practice guidelines.²¹ PROs are reports of the status of a particular health condition provided directly by the patient without amendment or interpretation by a clinician. PROs can be measured by self-report or interview, provided that the interviewer records only the patient's responses. Symptoms or other unobservable concepts known only to the patient (pain severity or nausea) can only be measured using PRO measures. PROs can also assess the patient's perspective on functioning or activities that others can observe.²² However, no systematic TMD TOM list is reported for developing TMD clinical

Table 1 TOMs relating to TMD in 172 included papers

Core area	TOM domain			TOM term			TOM instrument (measurement)					
	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Outcomes	Articles	TOMs		
Physiological/ clinical	Pain	161	229	Pain intensity	161	229	General	103	115	VAS	121	148
							Resting	15	16	NRS	21	29
							Functioning	38	44	Verbal Rating Scale (VRS)	3	4
							Tenderness	40	45	Characteristic Pain Intensity (CPI)	4	4
							Others	8	8	Graded pain scale	4	4
										Pain Index (intensity×frequency)	3	4
										von Korff pain grade	1	1
										Others	21	25
										Not described	10	11
										McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ)	2	2
	Psychiatric outcomes	14	15	Depression/anxiety	2	2	Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI)	14	14	Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI)	2	2
							Depressive and non-specific physical symptoms (NSPS)			Depressive and non-specific physical symptoms (NSPS)	2	2
							Geriatric depression scale (GDS)			Geriatric depression scale (GDS)	2	2
							Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)			Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	1	1
Distress							Other			Other	7	7
							Brief Symptoms Inventory-18 (BSI-18)	1	1	Brief Symptoms Inventory-18 (BSI-18)	1	1

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Core area	TOM domain			TOM term			TOM instrument (measurement)		
	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Articles	TOMs
Life impact	Physical functioning	126	233	MMO	91	102	Without pain	19	19
							With pain	8	8
							Passive	13	13
							Limitation	7	7
							Not described	55	55
							Mandibular movement range	23	26
							Mandibular movement velocity	5	5
							Not described	7	8
							Subjective functional impairment, auscultation	0	0
							Temporomandibular (TM) Index	1	1
	Jaw movement	26	39	Jaw sound/clicking jaw and related function	32	42	Dysfunction Index	2	2
							Jaw Functional Limitation Scale (JFLS)	5	5
							Subjective functional impairment	2	2
							Mandibular function impairment questionnaire	4	4
							Electromyography (EMG)	5	6
							Cervical range of motion (ROM)	2	2
							Multidimensional Pain Inventory	1	1
							Other	15	15
							Not described	3	4
							Oral health impact profile (OHIP)-14	4	4
Others	Oral health-related QOL	10	11	Oral health-related QOL	10	11	Other	3	3
							Not described	3	4
							Others	15	15
							Not described	3	4
							Oral health impact profile (OHIP)-14	4	4
							Other	3	3
							Not described	3	4
							Others	15	15
							Not described	3	4
							Oral health impact profile (OHIP)-14	4	4
	Emotional functioning	12	13	Impact of TMD	6	6	Bothersomeness of TMD symptoms	1	1
							% of TMD-related headache	0	0
							Other	8	8
							Not described	1	1
							Headache attributed to TMD	3	3
							WHOQOL-BREF	3	3
							Impediments to the activities of daily life	4	4
							Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS)	2	2
							Other	12	12
							Not described	2	2
Global QOL	Impact of headache attributed to TMD	6	7	Impact of headache attributed to TMD	6	7	Bothersomeness of TMD symptoms	1	1
							% of TMD-related headache	0	0
							Other	8	8
							Not described	1	1
							Headache attributed to TMD	3	3
							WHOQOL-BREF	3	3
							Impediments to the activities of daily life	4	4
							Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS)	2	2
							Other	12	12
							Not described	2	2
	QOL	17	23	QOL	15	19	Bothersomeness of TMD symptoms	1	1
							% of TMD-related headache	0	0
							Other	8	8
							Not described	1	1
							Headache attributed to TMD	3	3
							WHOQOL-BREF	3	3
							Impediments to the activities of daily life	4	4
							Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS)	2	2
							Other	12	12
							Not described	2	2

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Core area	TOM domain			TOM term			TOM instrument (measurement)		
	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Articles	TOMs	Variables	Outcomes	Articles
Delivery of care	Overall improvement	4	4	Overall improvement	1	1	Overall improvement	1	1
							Global reporting of change (GRC)	1	1
							Participant satisfaction	2	2
Need for further intervention	Satisfaction/patient preference other	4	4	Rescue medication	3	3	Medication dose/week, self-medication frequency	2	2
							Not described	1	1
							Adverse events	7	7
Adverse events	Adverse events/effects	7	7	Adverse events	7	7	Adverse events	7	7

MMO, maximal mouth opening; NRS, Numerical Rating Scale; QOL, quality of life; TMD, temporomandibular disorder; TOM, therapy outcomes measure; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.

practice guidelines, although pain, TMJ movement and joint sounds, eating difficulties, and QOL are conventionally used as TMD TOMs.²

Over time, indications for surgical treatment have been reduced, and conservative treatment has become the primary treatment for TMD.²³ The use of oral appliance therapy has reportedly decreased in conservative treatment, with exercise therapy becoming the more proactive recommended treatment.²⁴ Therefore, we included clinical studies from only the past 10 years in this study, considering that the TOMs may change depending on treatment purpose and goal changes.

TOMs in the included studies were divided into four core areas (physiological/clinical (n=175 studies), life impact (n=159), adverse event (n=7) and resource use (n=7)) and eight outcome domains (pain (n=161), physical functioning (n=126), global QOL (n=17), psychiatric outcomes (n=14), emotional functioning (n=12), adverse events (n=7), care delivery (n=4), and need for further intervention (n=4)), according to the 38-category scale of Williamson/Clarke (revised)¹⁹ for systematic summarisation. Most of these TOM domains were mapped as PROs in this scoping review. The eight domains were further categorised into TOM terms to allow detailed TOM mapping.

This variation is due to TMDs being defined as diseases that target organic pathological conditions occurring in the TMJ and other organs, including mastication muscles and psychological factors. Furthermore, this result indicated that TMD treatment primarily aims to improve the patients' subjective symptoms, including pain, restricted mouth opening and dietary restrictions.

In general, pain, restricted mouth opening and joint sounds are the most common complaints in patients seeking treatment for TMD worldwide. The pain intensity outcome was over-represented (93% of the studies), followed by MMO and jaw and related functions, showing a certain tendency in TMD outcomes. However, joint sound was not one of the major TOMs. However, anterior positioning splint therapy appears to be the best treatment method for reducing joint sounds in patients with TMD. According to reports, recapturing the disc on the condyle is not the goal of treatment.²⁵ Unexpectedly, QOL, which comprehensively represents the patient's subjective symptoms, was also not one of the major TOMs.

TMD TOMs are quite diverse, and the results are not easy to integrate. Evidence consolidation is required to make recommendations in clinical practice guidelines. Therefore, selecting essential outcomes in TMD clinical studies may be necessary to facilitate result integration.²⁶ COS is an agreed standardised outcome that should be measured and reported, as a minimum, in all clinical trials in specific areas of health or healthcare. It is also suitable for use in some systematic reviews, health technology assessments and clinical practice guidelines.²⁷ Currently, COS-TMD is preregistered for COMET research, but the results are yet to be reported. COS-TMD can help improve the consistency in outcome measurement and

reduce outcome reporting bias risk. Based on the results of our scoping review, pain intensity, jaw and related functions, and MMO outcomes are likely candidates for the TMD COS component.

Outcome instruments and evaluation

The pain intensity outcome instruments were VAS (121 papers), NRS (21 papers), characteristic pain intensity (4 papers), graded pain scale, pain index (intensity×frequency; 4 papers), Verbal Rating Scale (3 papers), von Korff pain grade (1 paper), others (12 papers), or ‘not described’ (10 papers).

Pain is a difficult outcome to measure due to its multifaceted and subjective nature. Pain measurement tools include unidimensional and multidimensional scales and behavioural scales. Unidimensional scales provide fast (often one-item) pain measures that can be administered multiple times with minimal administrative effort. Multidimensional scales typically measure several pain dimensions, with differing combinations of (among other things) pain intensity, quality, effect, interference with functioning and effects on general QOL. Behavioural scales are often used in non-communicative patients for whom direct pain self-report assessment is not possible.²⁸ VAS and NRS, used often to measure pain intensity, are classified as unidimensional scales. VAS provides a high resolution and is probably the most sensitive single-item measure for clinical pain research. The patient is asked to mark anywhere along a 10cm line to indicate their current pain intensity, which can be measured in millimetres to yield a 101-point scale. NRS typically consists of scores ranging from 0 to 10 (or 0 to 100), with ‘0’ described as ‘no pain’ and ‘100’ as ‘worst pain imaginable’. The NRS has the advantage of being administered verbally, thus, not requiring patient mobility.

In this study, the indicators of pain outcomes were binary in only 10 studies and continuous in 158. Moreover, only five (3%) studies reported the least minimal difference in treatment efficacy. When the two treatment effects are evaluated by comparing continuous variables, a significant difference may not necessarily mean that the treatments were effective. For example, p values decrease as the sample size increases, even if the effect strength does not change. This means that very large studies (1000–2000 patients) may show statistically significant results even when the changes in outcomes are very small and meaningless to both patients and clinicians. To circumvent this interpretability problem, readers should rely more on the effect size. For clinical trials, the effect size is generally expressed in clinically relevant terms, such as pain score reductions that occur when switching from placebo to an active drug. To determine clinical significance, clinicians or researchers must first choose a metric (such as percentage pain reduction) and then choose a cut-off that indicates a clinically meaningful change. Farrar *et al* reported that >30% pain reduction in chronic pain is clinically appropriate, indicating substantial pain relief.²⁹

The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) has been defined as the smallest difference in scores in the interest domain perceived by patients as beneficial, which would mandate a change in the patients’ health-care management in the absence of troublesome side effects and excessive cost.³⁰ It is used to interpret the treatment effect relevance. The MCID for general chronic pain ranges from 1.5 cm to 3.2 cm on VAS. Calixtre *et al* reported that the pain intensity MCID in patients with TMD was 1.2 cm on the maximum pain, 1.9 cm on the current pain and 0.9 cm on the minimum pain scales.³¹ Until more objective physiological/neurological measurement techniques are perfected, clinicians who study pain will have to rely on the careful use of established self-reported pain measures. Regarding the MMO, the effect was judged by comparing the amount of mouth opening. Few studies have evaluated the effect using a specific cut-off point to show the therapeutic effect. DC/TMD, an international standard used for diagnosing TMD, defines that an assisted opening measurement (<40 mm) in TMD with disc displacement without reduction (including the amount of vertical incisal overlap) yields the subtype ‘with limited opening’, while a ≥40 mm measurement yields the subtype ‘without limited opening’.¹ The most widely accepted trismus diagnosis criterion is an MMO <35 mm during malignant oral neoplasm diagnosis.³² This is further complicated because the therapeutic effect on trismus varies depending on the disease. For TMD, it is considered appropriate to use the indicators used in DC/TMD; however, it is desirable to agree that it includes patients when recommending guidelines for treating TMD. We found that 35 mm, 38 mm and 40 mm are used with regard to the MMO threshold value. In DC/TMD, 40 mm is used as the standard for trismus. Therefore, 40 mm is considered desirable if a threshold is set.²

The pain evaluation sites were not described in 90 studies. However, masticatory muscles (33 studies), TMJ (28 studies) or both (31 studies) were described in the studies, including the evaluation sites. TMD seems to target two parts: the TMJ and the masticatory muscle. Pain that needs to be distinguished from headache has appeared; therefore, it may be difficult to separate the parts. However, the pathological conditions that cause TMJ and masticatory muscle pain may differ even in the same TMD. Therefore, it is necessary to consider that the site to be evaluated may vary depending on the intervention method in TMD clinical studies.

An outcome evaluation period of <4 weeks was the most common (111 papers), followed by ≥12 weeks (75 papers). This result indicated that TMD may improve early or may require long-term evaluation. Results from studies with evaluation periods <4 weeks may point to effective treatments earlier. In contrast, previous study results with evaluation periods >12 weeks may demonstrate improvements, although after longer periods. Indeed, both surgical and conservative treatments are performed for the same condition in TMD treatment. The former may be effective for early effects and the latter for long-term

effects. Considering this, the timing of outcome evaluation for TMD treatment should be decided considering the intervention method and the expected effect time.

CONCLUSION

Our outcome mapping showed that the TMD treatment outcomes are diverse, with pain, MMO, jaw function and jaw movement being the main outcomes; most pain outcomes are evaluated by VAS Score changes. Most therapeutic effects were determined by comparing continuous variables with a few binarised variables. Therefore, it is necessary to adopt the evidence considering the MCID in making recommendations in clinical practice guidelines. This review's mapping results facilitate understanding of the important outcomes for patients with TMD. Accordingly, future studies should determine COS for developing clinical practice guidelines for TMD.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan

²Aihara Clinic, Hirosaki, Japan

³Matsumura Dental Clinic, Fukuoka, Japan

⁴Faculty of Dental Science, Division of Oral Rehabilitation, Section of Implant and Rehabilitative Dentistry, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

⁵Department of Dentistry and Oral Surgery, Unit of Sensory and Locomotor Medicine, Division of Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Fukui, Fukui, Japan

⁶Division of Temporomandibular Disorders and Orofacial Pain, Department of Special Needs Dentistry, Showa University Graduate School of Dentistry, Tokyo, Japan

⁷Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Toyohashi Medical Center, Toyohashi, Japan

⁸Department of Stomatognathic Function and Occlusal Reconstruction, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan

Acknowledgements The authors thank the following individuals for their contributions to this study: (1) The members of the clinical guideline committee for the Japanese Society for the Temporomandibular Joint for their helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. (2) Kenichi Sugai for searching and collecting the articles.

Contributors All authors contributed meaningfully to the preparation, drafting and editing of this manuscript. KO is guarantor and designed and led the study, collected and analysed data, performed the data synthesis and analysis, and drafted the full manuscript. MA aided in developing the study design and critically reviewed the manuscript. HM collected and analysed the data and drafted the Materials and Methods section. SM collected and analysed the data. YW collected data. HY aided in developing the systematic database search framework, collected and analysed data, and revised the manuscript for critical content. YM (director of the clinical guideline committee for the Japanese Society of Temporomandibular Joint) conceived the manuscript idea and supervised the research. All authors approved the final submitted manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of this study.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author (KO).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Kazuhiro Ooi <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1678-0158>

REFERENCES

- 1 Peck CC, Goulet J-P, Lobbezoo F, *et al*. Expanding the taxonomy of the diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2014;41:2–23.
- 2 Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, *et al*. Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network* and orofacial pain special interest Group†. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2014;28:6–27.
- 3 Greene CS. Managing patients with temporomandibular disorders: a new "standard of care". *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010;138:3–4.
- 4 Buescher JJ. Temporomandibular joint disorders. *Am Fam Physician* 2007;76:1477–82.
- 5 American Society of Temporomandibular Joint Surgeons. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of disorders involving the temporomandibular joint and related musculoskeletal structures. *Cranio* 2003;21:68–76.
- 6 Armijo-Olivo S, Pitance L, Singh V, *et al*. Effectiveness of manual therapy and therapeutic exercise for temporomandibular disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther* 2016;96:9–25.
- 7 Shimada A, Ishigaki S, Matsuka Y, *et al*. Effects of exercise therapy on painful temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46:475–81.
- 8 Dickerson SM, Weaver JM, Boyson AN, *et al*. The effectiveness of exercise therapy for temporomandibular dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil* 2017;31:1039–48.
- 9 Ouanounou A, Goldberg M, Haas DA. Pharmacotherapy in temporomandibular disorders: a review. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2017;83:h7.
- 10 Christidis N, Lindström Ndanshau E, Sandberg A, *et al*. Prevalence and treatment strategies regarding temporomandibular disorders in children and adolescents—a systematic review. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46:291–301.
- 11 Ren H, Liu J, Liu Y, *et al*. Comparative effectiveness of low-level laser therapy with different wavelengths and transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain caused by temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Oral Rehabil* 2022;49:138–49.
- 12 Wieckiewicz M, Boening K, Wiland P, *et al*. Reported concepts for the treatment modalities and pain management of temporomandibular disorders. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:106.
- 13 Haythornthwaite JA. IMMPACT recommendations for clinical trials: opportunities for the RDC/TMD. *J Oral Rehabil* 2010;37:799–806.
- 14 Aguiar ADS, Nogueira Carrer HC, de Lira MR, *et al*. Patient-reported outcome measurements in temporomandibular disorders and headaches: summary of measurement properties and applicability. *J Clin Med* 2021;10:3823.
- 15 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005;8:19–32.
- 16 Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P. Chapter 11. Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. *Joanna Briggs institute reviewer's manual*. The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2017.
- 17 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, *et al*. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;169:467–73.

- 18 Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *J Craniomandib Disord* 1992;6:301–55.
- 19 Dodd S, Clarke M, Becker L, *et al*. A taxonomy has been developed for outcomes in medical research to help improve knowledge discovery. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2018;96:84–92.
- 20 Powers JH, Patrick DL, Walton MK, *et al*. Clinician-reported outcome assessments of treatment benefit: report of the ISPOR clinical outcome assessment emerging good practices task force. *Value Health* 2017;20:2–14.
- 21 Patel KV, Amtmann D, Jensen MP, *et al*. Clinical outcome assessment in clinical trials of chronic pain treatments. *Pain Rep* 2021;6:e784.
- 22 van der Meer HA, Calixtre LB, Engelbert RHH, *et al*. Effects of physical therapy for temporomandibular disorders on headache pain intensity: a systematic review. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2020;50:102277.
- 23 Armijo-Olivo S, Pitance L, Singh V, *et al*. Effectiveness of manual therapy and therapeutic exercise for temporomandibular disorders: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther* 2016;96:9–25.
- 24 Rohlin M, Westesson PL, Eriksson L. The correlation of temporomandibular joint sounds with joint morphology in fifty-five autopsy specimens. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1985;43:194–200.
- 25 Al-Baghdadi M, Durham J, Araujo-Soares V, *et al*. TMJ disc displacement without reduction management: a systematic review. *J Dent Res* 2014;93:37S–51.
- 26 Williamson PR, Altman DG, Bagley H, *et al*. The COMET Handbook: version 1.0. *Trials* 2017;18:280.
- 27 Younger J, McCue R, Mackey S. Pain outcomes: a brief review of instruments and techniques. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2009;13:39–43.
- 28 McGuire DB, Kaiser KS, Haisfield-Wolfe ME, *et al*. Pain assessment in noncommunicative adult palliative care patients. *Nurs Clin North Am* 2016;51:397–431.
- 29 Farrar JT, Young JP, LaMoreaux L, *et al*. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. *Pain* 2001;94:149–58.
- 30 Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. *Control Clin Trials* 1989;10:407–15.
- 31 Calixtre LB, Oliveira AB, Albuquerque-Sendín F, *et al*. What is the minimal important difference of pain intensity, mandibular function, and headache impact in patients with temporomandibular disorders? Clinical significance analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2020;46:102108.
- 32 Guyatt GH, Osoba D, Wu AW, *et al*. Methods to explain the clinical significance of health status measures. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2002;77:371–83.

Final search strategy for the MEDLINE database is presented in Additional file 1:

Appendix A

((("2010"[Date - Publication] : "3000"[Date - Publication])) AND (((((english[la] OR japanese[la]) AND hasabstract[tw]) AND (("Treatment Outcome"[mh] OR outcome [tiab] OR "core outcome set"[tiab] OR "Quality of life"[mh] OR (patient participation[MeSH]) OR "patient-reported outcome measure"[tiab] OR PROM[tiab] OR "Pain Measurement"[mh] OR "Pain Measurement"[mh] OR "patient outcome assessment"[tw] OR "outcome measurement instrument"[tw] OR (Quality of life[tiab]) OR QOL[tw] OR HRQL[tw] OR (Patient report*[tw]) OR (Patient-important*[tw]) OR pain[tiab] OR disability*[tiab] OR outcome*[tiab] OR score[tiab] OR (health utility[tiab]) OR (health utilities[tiab]) OR (patient decision[tiab]) OR values[tiab] OR preferences[tiab] OR (patient preference[tw]) OR (patient satisfaction[MeSH]) OR (patient satisfaction[MeSH]) OR (attitude to health[MeSH])) AND ((splint*[tiab] OR pharmacologic*[tiab] OR NSAID*[tiab] OR benzodiazepine*[tiab] OR antidepressant*[tiab] OR opioid*[tiab] OR corticosteroid*[tiab] OR "muscle relaxant"[tiab] OR herb*[tiab] OR "physical therapy*" [tiab] OR "manual therapy"[tiab] OR manipulation[tiab] OR laser[tiab] OR nonpharmacologic* [tiab] OR non-pharmacologic*[tiab] OR cognitive-behavioral[tiab] OR biobehavioral[tiab] OR biofeedback*[tiab] OR Disc orientation[tiab]) AND ("Craniomandibular Disorders"[mh] OR "Craniomandibular*" [tiab] OR "Temporomandibular Joint"[mh] OR Temporomandibular*[tiab] OR "Myofascial Pain Syndromes"[mh] OR "Myofascial pain*" [tiab] OR "Disc displacement"[tiab] OR "masticatory muscle disorders"[tiab] OR "temporomandibular joint disorders/therapy"[Mesh Terms])))) NOT (biography[pt] OR case reports[pt] OR comment[pt] OR directory[pt] OR editorial[pt] OR festschrift[pt] OR interview[pt] OR lecture[pt] OR letter[pt] OR news[pt] OR newspaper article[pt] OR patient education handout[pt] OR consensus development conference[pt] OR consensus development conference, nih[pt])) NOT (animals[MeSH Terms] NOT humans[MeSH Terms])). The search formulas for other databases are shown in an additional file.

172 articles are presented in Additional file 2: Appendix B.

1 Aksakalli S, Temucin F, Pamukcu A, Ezirganlı S, Kazancioglu HO, Malkoc MA. Effectiveness of two different splints to treat temporomandibular disorders. *J Orofac Orthop* 2015;76(4):318–27.

2 Barbosa MA, Tahara AK, Ferreira IC, Intelangelo L, Barbosa AC. Effects of 8 weeks of masticatory muscles focused endurance exercises on women with oro-facial pain and temporomandibular disorders: A placebo randomised controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46(10):885–94.

3 Basili M, Barlattani A Jr, Venditti A, Bollero P. Low-level laser therapy in the treatment of muscle-skelet pain in patients affected by temporo-mandibular disorders. *Oral Implantol (Rome)* 2017;10(4):406–11.

4 Batifol D, Huart A, Finiels PJ, Nagot N, Jammet P. Effect of intra-articular Botulinum toxin injections on temporo-mandibular joint pain. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;119(4):319–24.

5 Bergmann A, Edelhoff D, Schubert O, Erdelt KJ, Pho Duc JM. Effect of treatment with a full-occlusion biofeedback splint on sleep bruxism and TMD pain: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig* 2020;24(11):4005–18.

6 Bouloux GF, Chou J, Krishnan D, Aghaloo T, Kahenasa N, Smith JA, Giannakopoulos H. Is Hyaluronic Acid or Corticosteroid Superior to Lactated Ringer Solution in the Short Term for Improving Function and Quality of Life After Arthrocentesis? Part 2. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75(1):63–72.

7 Bouloux GF, Chou J, Krishnan D, Aghaloo T, Kahenasa N, Smith JA, Giannakopoulos H. Is Hyaluronic Acid or Corticosteroid Superior to Lactated Ringer Solution in the Short-Term Reduction of Temporomandibular Joint Pain After Arthrocentesis? Part 1. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75(1):52–62.

8 Brochado FT, Jesus LH, Carrard VC, Freddo AL, Chaves KD, Martins MD. Comparative effectiveness of photobiomodulation and manual therapy alone or combined in TMD patients: a randomized clinical trial. *Braz Oral Res* 2018;32:e50.

- 9 Calixtre LB, Oliveira AB, de Sena Rosa LR, Armijo-Olivo S, Visscher CM, Albuquerque-Sendín F. Effectiveness of mobilisation of the upper cervical region and craniocervical flexor training on orofacial pain, mandibular function and headache in women with TMD. A randomised, controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46(2):109–19.
- 10 Carvalho CM, de Lacerda JA, dos Santos Neto FP, Cangussu MC, Marques AM, Pinheiro AL. Wavelength effect in temporomandibular joint pain: a clinical experience. *Lasers Med Sci* 2010;25(2):229–32.
- 11 Carvalho FR, Barros RQ, Gonçalves AS, Freitas PM. Photobiomodulation therapy on the palliative care of temporomandibular disorder and orofacial/cervical skull pain: study protocol for a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Trials* 2019;20(1):200.
- 12 Cavalcanti MF, Silva UH, Leal-Junior EC, et al. Comparative Study of the Physiotherapeutic and Drug Protocol and Low-Level Laser Irradiation in the Treatment of Pain Associated with Temporomandibular Dysfunction. *Photomed Laser Surg* 2016;34(12):652–6.
- 13 Celakil T, Muric A, Gökçen Roehlig B, Evlioglu G. Management of pain in TMD patients: Bio-oxidative ozone therapy versus occlusal splints. *Cranio* 2019;37(2):85–93.
- 14 Chang SW, Chuang CY, Li JR, Lin CY, Chiu CT. Treatment effects of maxillary flat occlusal splints for painful clicking of the temporomandibular joint. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* 2010;26(6):299–307.
- 15 Chellappa D, Thirupathy M. Comparative efficacy of low-Level laser and TENS in the symptomatic relief of temporomandibular joint disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2020;31(1):42–7.
- 16 Conti PC, Corrêa AS, Lauris JR, Stuginski-Barbosa J. Management of painful temporomandibular joint clicking with different intraoral devices and counseling: a controlled study. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2015;23(5):529–35.
- 17 Costa YM, Porporatti AL, Stuginski-Barbosa J, Bonjardim LR, Conti PC. Additional effect of occlusal splints on the improvement of psychological aspects in temporomandibular disorder subjects: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Oral Biol* 2015;60(5):738–44.

- 18 Craane B, Dijkstra PU, Stappaerts K, De Laat A. Randomized controlled trial on physical therapy for TMJ closed lock. *J Dent Res* 2012;91(4):364–9.
- 19 Cuccia AM, Caradonna C, Annunziata V, Caradonna D. Osteopathic manual therapy versus conventional conservative therapy in the treatment of temporomandibular disorders: a randomized controlled trial. *J Body Mov Ther* 2010;14(2):179–84.
- 20 Daif ET. Correlation of splint therapy outcome with the electromyography of masticatory muscles in temporomandibular disorder with myofascial pain. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2012;70(1):72–7.
- 21 Dantas CMG, Vivan CL, de Fantini SM, et al. The influence of educational measures and low-level laser phototherapy on temporomandibular disorders: Study protocol clinical trial (SPIRIT Compliant). *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020;99(10):e19005.
- 22 Datarkar A, Daware S, Dande R. Utility of Vacuum Pressed Silicon Sheet as a Bite Raising Appliance in the Management of TMJ Dysfunction Syndrome. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg* 2017;16(3):342–6. doi: 10.1007/s12663-016-0991-6.
- 23 de Resende CMBM, de Oliveira Medeiros FGL, de Figueiredo Rêgo CR, Bispo ASL, Barbosa GAS, de Almeida EO. Short-term effectiveness of conservative therapies in pain, quality of life, and sleep in patients with temporomandibular disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Cranio* 2019;15:1–9.
- 24 Del Vecchio A, Floravanti M, Boccassini A, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of a new low-level laser therapy home protocol in the treatment of temporomandibular joint disorder-related pain: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Cranio* 2019,(online)1–11.
- 25 DeVocht JW, Goertz CM, Hondras MA, et al. A pilot study of a chiropractic intervention for management of chronic myofascial temporomandibular disorder. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2013;144(10):1154–63.
- 26 Elder C, Ritenbaugh C, Aickin M, et al. Reductions in pain medication use associated with traditional Chinese medicine for chronic pain. *Perm J* 2012;16(3):18–23.

- 27 Emshoff R, Bertram A, Stigler RG, Schnabl D. Early responses to 3 mm resilient stabilization appliance therapy for sub-acute and chronic temporomandibular disorder pain predict 12-months follow-up outcomes. *Cranio* 2019;10:1–7.
- 28 Ferendiuk E, Biegańska JM, Kazana P, Pihut M. Progressive muscle relaxation according to Jacobson in treatment of the patients with temporomandibular joint disorders. *Folia Med Cracov* 2019;59(3):113–22.
- 29 Ferrando M, Galdón MJ, Durá E, Andreu Y, Jiménez Y, Poveda R. Enhancing the efficacy of treatment for temporomandibular patients with muscular diagnosis through cognitive-behavioral intervention, including hypnosis: a randomized study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;113(1):81–9.
- 30 Ferreira LA, de Oliveira RG, Guimarães JP, Carvalho AC, De Paula MV. Laser acupuncture in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction: a randomized controlled trial. *Lasers Med Sci* 2013;28(6):1549–58.
- 31 Ficnar T, Middelberg C, Rademacher B, Hessling S, Koch R, Figgenger L. Evaluation of the effectiveness of a semi-finished occlusal appliance--a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Head Face Med* 2013;9:5.
- 32 Gawriolek K, Azer SS, Gawriolek M, Piotrowski PR. Mandibular function after Myorelaxation Therapy in temporomandibular disorders. *Adv Med Sci* 2015;60(1):6–12.
- 33 Giannakopoulos NN, Rauer AK, Hellmann D, Hugger S, Schmitter M, Hugger A. Comparison of device-supported sensorimotor training and splint intervention for myofascial temporomandibular disorder pain patients. *J Oral Rehabil* 2018;45(9):669–76.
- 34 Goncalves DA, Camparis CM, Speciali JG, et al. Treatment of comorbid migraine and temporomandibular disorders: a factorial, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *J Orofac Pain* 2013;27(4):325–35.
- 35 Grillo CM, Canales Gde L, Wada RS, et al. Could Acupuncture Be Useful in the Treatment of Temporomandibular Dysfunction? *J Acupunct Meridian Stud* 2015;8(4):192–9.

36 Haviv Y, Zini A, Sharav Y, Almoznino G, Benoliel R. Nortriptyline Compared to Amitriptyline for the Treatment of Persistent Masticatory Myofascial Pain. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2019;33(1):7–13.

37 Heredia-Rizo AM, Oliva-Pascual-Vaca A, Rodríguez-Blanco C, Piña-Pozo F, Luque-Carrasco A, Herrera-Monge P. Immediate changes in masticatory mechanosensitivity, mouth opening, and head posture after myofascial techniques in pain-free healthy participants: a randomized controlled trial. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther* 2013;36(5):310–8.

38 Huhtela OS, Koivisto N, Hägg V, Sipilä K. Effectiveness of applied relaxation method vs splint in treatment of temporomandibular disorders in Finnish students. *J Oral Rehabil* 2020;47(2):123–31.

39 Inchingolo F, Tatullo M, Marrelli M, Inchingolo AM, Tarullo A, Inchingolo AD, Dipalma G, Podo Brunetti S, Tarullo A, Cagiano R. Combined occlusal and pharmacological therapy in the treatment of temporo-mandibular disorders. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2011;15(11):1296–300.

40 Isacsson G, Schumann M, Nohlert E, Mejersjö C, Tegelberg Å. Pain relief following a single-dose intra-articular injection of methylprednisolone in the temporomandibular joint arthralgia-A multicentre randomised controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2019;46(1):5–13.

41 Katyayan PA, Katyayan MK, Shah RJ, Patel G. Efficacy of appliance therapy on temporomandibular disorder related facial pain and mandibular mobility: a randomized controlled study. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2014;14(3):251–61.

42 Keskin Tunç S, Ünalın Değirmenci B, Alpaslan Yaylı N, Aslan Ş, Akdeniz MŞ. Evaluation the effects of low-level laser therapy on disc displacement with reduction. *Turk J Phys Med Rehabil* 2020;66(1):24–30.

43 Khairnar S, Bhate K, S N SK, Kshirsagar K, Jagtap B, Kakodkar P. Comparative evaluation of low-level laser therapy and ultrasound heat therapy in reducing temporomandibular joint disorder pain. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med* 2019;19(5):289–94.

- 44 Khalighi HR, Mortazavi H, Mojahedi SM, Azari-Marhabi S, Moradi Abbasabadi F. Low Level Laser Therapy Versus Pharmacotherapy in Improving Myofascial Pain Disorder Syndrome. *J Lasers Med Sci* 2016;7(1):45–50.
- 45 Kokkola O, Suominen AL, Qvintus V, et al. Efficacy of stabilisation splint treatment on the oral health-related quality of life-A randomised controlled one-year follow-up trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2018;45(5):355–62.
- 46 Kostrzewa-Janicka J, Mierzwinska-Nastalska E, Rolski D, Szczyrek P. Occlusal stabilization splint therapy in orofacial pain and tension-type headache. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2013;788:181–8.
- 47 Kraaijenga S, van der Molen L, van Tinteren H, Hilgers F, Smeele L. Treatment of myogenic temporomandibular disorder: a prospective randomized clinical trial, comparing a mechanical stretching device (TheraBite®) with standard physical therapy exercise. *Cranio* 2014;32(3):208–16.
- 48 Kümbüloğlu O, Saracoglu A, Bingöl P, Hatipoğlu A, Ozcan M. Clinical study on the comparison of masticatory efficiency and jaw movement before and after temporomandibular disorder treatment. *Cranio* 2013;31(3):190–201.
- 49 Lietz-Kijak D, Kopacz Ł, Ardan R, Grzegocka M, Kijak E. Assessment of the Short-Term Effectiveness of Kinesiotaping and Trigger Points Release Used in Functional Disorders of the Masticatory Muscles. *Pain Res Manag* 2018:5464985.
- 50 Lin SL, Wu SL, Ko SY, Yen CY, Yang JW. Effect of Flat-Plane Splint Vertical Thickness on Disc Displacement Without Reduction: A Retrospective Matched-Cohort Study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75:1627-1636.
- 51 Machado BC, Mazzetto MO, Da Silva MA, de Felício CM. Effects of oral motor exercises and laser therapy on chronic temporomandibular disorders: a randomized study with follow-up. *Lasers Med Sci* 2016;31(5):945–54.
- 52 Magri LV, Bataglion C, Leite-Panissi CRA. Follow-up results of a randomized clinical trial for low-level laser therapy in painful TMD of muscular origins. *Cranio* 2019:1–8.

53 Magri LV, Carvalho VA, Rodrigues FC, Bataglioni C, Leite-Panissi CR. Effectiveness of low-level laser therapy on pain intensity, pressure pain threshold, and SF-MPQ indexes of women with myofascial pain. *Lasers Med Sci* 2017;32(2):419–28.

54 Mansourian A, Pourshahidi S, Sadrzadeh-Afshar MS, Ebrahimi H. A Comparative Study of Low-Level Laser Therapy and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation as an Adjunct to Pharmaceutical Therapy for Myofascial Pain Dysfunction Syndrome: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Front Dent* 2019;16(4):256–64.

55 Melo RA, de Resende CMBM, Rêgo CRF, Bispo ASL, Barbosa GAS, de Almeida EO. Conservative therapies to treat pain and anxiety associated with temporomandibular disorders: a randomized clinical trial. *Int Dent J* 2020;70(4):245–53.

56 Michelotti A, Iodice G, Vollaro S, Steenks MH, Farella M. Evaluation of the short-term effectiveness of education versus an occlusal splint for the treatment of myofascial pain of the jaw muscles. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2012;143(1):47–53.

57 Monteiro L, Ferreira R, Resende T, Pacheco JJ, Salazar F. Effectiveness of Photobiomodulation in Temporomandibular Disorder-Related Pain Using a 635 nm Diode Laser: A Randomized, Blinded, and Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. *Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg* 2020;38(5):280–8.

58 Nadershah M, Abdel-Alim HM, Bayoumi AM, Jan AM, Elatrouni A, Jadu FM. Photobiomodulation Therapy for Myofascial Pain in Temporomandibular Joint Dysfunction: A Double-Blinded Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg* 2020;19(1):93–7.

59 Nagata K, Hori S, Mizuhashi R, et al. Efficacy of mandibular manipulation technique for temporomandibular disorders patients with mouth opening limitation: a randomized controlled trial for comparison with improved multimodal therapy. *J Prosthodont Res* 2019;63(2):202–9.

60 Nagata K, Maruyama H, Mizuhashi R, et al. Efficacy of stabilisation splint therapy combined with non-splint multimodal therapy for treating RDC/TMD axis I patients: a randomised controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2015;42(12):890–9.

61 Niemelä K, Korpela M, Raustia A, Ylöstalo P, Sipilä K. Efficacy of stabilisation splint treatment on temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2012;39(11):799–804.

- 62 Nitecka-Buchta A, Marek B, Baron S. CGRP plasma level changes in patients with temporomandibular disorders treated with occlusal splints - a randomised clinical trial. *Endokrynol Pol* 2014;65(3):217–23.
- 63 Noguchi T, Kashiwagi K, Fukuda K. The effectiveness of stabilization appliance therapy among patients with myalgia. *Clin Exp Dent Res* 2020;6(2):244–53.
- 64 Oliveira LB, Lopes TS, Soares C, Maluf R, Goes BT, Sá KN, Baptista AF. Transcranial direct current stimulation and exercises for treatment of chronic temporomandibular disorders: a blind randomised-controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2015;42(10):723–32.
- 65 Ozkan F, Cakır Özkan N, Erkorkmaz U. Trigger point injection therapy in the management of myofascial temporomandibular pain. *Agri* 2011;23(3):119–25.
- 66 Packer AC, Pires PF, Dibai-Filho AV, Rodrigues-Bigaton D. Effects of upper thoracic manipulation on pressure pain sensitivity in women with temporomandibular disorder: a randomized, double-blind, clinical trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;93(2):160–8.
- 67 Pihut M, Gorecka M, Ceranowicz P, Wieckiewicz M. The Efficiency of Anterior Repositioning Splints in the Management of Pain Related to Temporomandibular Joint Disc Displacement with Reduction. *Pain Res Manag* 2018;2018:9089286.
- 68 Pihut M, Górnicki M, Orczykowska M, Zarzecka E, Ryniewicz W, Gala A. The Application of Radiofrequency Waves in Supportive Treatment of Temporomandibular Disorders. *Pain Res Manag* 2020;2020:6195601.
- 69 Qvintus V, Suominen AL, Huttunen J, Raustia A, Ylöstalo P, Sipilä K. Efficacy of stabilisation splint treatment on facial pain - 1-year follow-up. *J Oral Rehabil* 2015;42(6):439–46.
- 70 Ramakrishnan SN, Aswath N. Comparative efficacy of analgesic gel phonophoresis and ultrasound in the treatment of temporomandibular joint disorders. *Indian J Dent Res* 2019;30(4):512–5.

- 71 Reynolds B, Puentedura EJ, Kolber MJ, Cleland JA. Effectiveness of Cervical Spine High-Velocity, Low-Amplitude Thrust Added to Behavioral Education, Soft Tissue Mobilization, and Exercise for People With Temporomandibular Disorder With Myalgia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2020;50(8):455–65.
- 72 Ritenbaugh C, Hammerschlag R, Dworkin SF, et al. Comparative effectiveness of traditional Chinese medicine and psychosocial care in the treatment of temporomandibular disorders-associated chronic facial pain. *J Pain* 2012;13(11):1075–89.#
- 73 Rodrigues CA, Melchior MO, Valencise Magri, Mazzetto MO. Can the severity of orofacial myofunctional conditions interfere with the response of analgesia promoted by active or placebo low-level laser therapy? *Cranio* 2020;38(4):240–7.
- 74 Rodriguez-Blanco C, Cocera-Morata FM, Heredia-Rizo AM, Ricard F, Almazán-Campos G, Oliva-Pascual-Vaca Á. Immediate Effects of Combining Local Techniques in the Craniomandibular Area and Hamstring Muscle Stretching in Subjects with Temporomandibular Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Study. *J Altern Complement Med* 2015;21(8):451–9.
- 75 Salmos-Brito JA, de Menezes RF, Teixeira CE, et al. Evaluation of low-level laser therapy in patients with acute and chronic temporomandibular disorders. *Lasers Med Sci* 2013;28(1):57–64.
- 76 Sanders C, Liegey-Dougall A, Haggard R, et al. Temporomandibular Disorder Diagnostic Groups Affect Outcomes Independently of Treatment in Patients at Risk for Developing Chronicity: A 2-Year Follow-Up Study. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2016;30(3):187–202.
- 77 Seifeldin SA, Elhayes KA. Soft versus hard occlusal splint therapy in the management of temporomandibular disorders (TMDs). *Saudi Dent J* 2015;27(4):208–14.
- 78 Shedden Mora MC, Weber D, Neff A, Rief W. Biofeedback-based cognitive-behavioral treatment compared with occlusal splint for temporomandibular disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin J Pain* 2013;29(12):1057–65.
- 79 Shobha R, Narayanan VS, Jagadish Pai BS, Jaishankar HP, Jijin MJ. Low-level laser therapy: A novel therapeutic approach to temporomandibular disorder - A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2017;28(4):380–7.

- 80 Sidebottom AJ, Patel AA, Amin J. Botulinum injection for the management of myofascial pain in the masticatory muscles. A prospective outcome study. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;51(3):199–205.
- 81 Sousa BM, López-Valverde N, López-Valverde A, et al. Different Treatments in Patients with Temporomandibular Joint Disorders: A Comparative Randomized Study. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2020;56(3):113.
- 82 Tabrizi R, Karagah T, Arabion H, Soleimanpour MR, Soleimanpour M. Outcomes of arthrocentesis for the treatment of internal derangement pain: with or without corticosteroids? *J Craniofac Surg* 2014;25(6):e571–5.
- 83 Tatli U, Benlidayi ME, Ekren O, Salimov F. Comparison of the effectiveness of three different treatment methods for temporomandibular joint disc displacement without reduction. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;46(5):603–9.
- 84 Tavera AT, Montoya MC, Calderón EF, Gorodezky G, Wixtrom RN. Approaching temporomandibular disorders from a new direction: a randomized controlled clinical trial of the TMDes ear system. *Cranio* 2012;30(3):172–82.
- 85 Tuncer AB, Ergun N, Tuncer AH, Karahan S. Effectiveness of manual therapy and home physical therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 2013;17(3):302–8.
- 86 von Piekartz H, Hall T. Orofacial manual therapy improves cervical movement impairment associated with headache and features of temporomandibular dysfunction: a randomized controlled trial. *Man Ther* 2013;18(4):345–50.
- 87 Vos LM, Huddleston Slater JJ, Stegenga B. Arthrocentesis as initial treatment for temporomandibular joint arthropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2014;42(5):e134–9.
- 88 Vos LM, Stegenga B, Stant AD, Quik EH, Huddleston Slater JJ. Cost Effectiveness of Arthrocentesis Compared to Conservative Therapy for Arthralgia of the Temporomandibular Joint: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2018;32(2):198–207.

- 89 Weggen T, Schindler HJ, Kordass B, Hugger A. Clinical and electromyographic follow-up of myofascial pain patients treated with two types of oral splint: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Int J Comput Dent* 2013;16(3):209-24. English, German.
- 90 Zonnenberg AJ, Mulder J. The efficacy of a specific stabilization splint. *Cranio* 2014;32(1):68-74.
- 91 Castaño-Joaqui OG, Cano-Sánchez J, Campo-Trapero J, Muñoz-Guerra MF. TMJ arthroscopy with hyaluronic acid: A 12-month randomized clinical trial. *Oral Dis* 2021;27(2):301-11.
- 92 Tchivileva IE, Hadgraft H, Lim PF, et al. Efficacy and safety of propranolol for treatment of temporomandibular disorder pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Pain* 2020;161(8):1755-67.
93. Stonehouse-Smith D, Begley A, Dodd M. Clinical evaluation of botulinum toxin A in the management of temporomandibular myofascial pain. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020;58(2):190-3.
- 94.Keskin Tunç S, Ünalın Değirmenci B, Alpaslan Yaylı N, Aslan Ş, Akdeniz MŞ. Evaluation the effects of low-level laser therapy on disc displacement with reduction. *Turk J Phys Med Rehabil* 2020;66(1):24-30.
- 95.Nitecka-Buchta A, Nowak-Wachol A, Wachol K, et al. Myorelaxant Effect of Transdermal Cannabidiol Application in Patients with TMD: A Randomized, Double-Blind Trial. *J Clin Med* 2019;8(11):1886.
- 96 Jinal B, Vignesh K, Konark P, Meshramkar R. A clinical investigation onto the effect of occlusal interferences & cognitive behavioural therapy in temporomandibular disorder patients *Ind J Public Health Res Dev* 2019;10(9):509-13.
- 97 Liu X, Zheng J, Cai X, Abdelrehem A, Yang C. Techniques of Yang's arthroscopic discopexy for temporomandibular joint rotational anterior disc displacement. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;48(6):769-78.

- 98 Louw WF, Reeves KD, Lam SKH, Cheng AL, Rabago D. Treatment of Temporomandibular Dysfunction With Hypertonic Dextrose Injection (Prolotherapy): A Randomized Controlled Trial With Long-term Partial Crossover. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2019;94(5):820–32.
- 99 Kütük SG, Özkan Y, Kütük M, Özdaş T. Comparison of the Efficacies of Dry Needling and Botox Methods in the Treatment of Myofascial Pain Syndrome Affecting the Temporomandibular Joint. *J Craniofac Surg* 2019;30(5):1556–9.
- 100 Hyungsuk Kima, Koh-Woon Kimb, Me-riong Kim et al. Clinical research on the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of Chuna manual therapy for temporomandibular disorder: A study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial, *European Journal of Integrative Medicine* 2019; 27:27–33
- 101 Abboud W, Nadel S, Yarom N, et al. Arthroscopy of the temporomandibular joint for the treatment of chronic closed lock. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2016;18:397–400.
- 102 Abboud W, Yahalom R, Givol N. Treatment of intermittent locking of the jaw in Wilkes Stage II derangement by arthroscopic lysis and lavage. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015;73:1466–72.
- 103 Abrahamsen R, Baad-Hansen L, Zachariae R, et al. Effect of hypnosis on pain and blink reflexes in patients with painful temporomandibular disorders. *Clin J Pain* 2011;27:344–51.
- 104 Ahmed N, Sidebottom A, O'Connor M et al. Prospective outcome assessment of the therapeutic benefits of arthroscopy and arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;50:745–8.
- 105 Aksakalli S, Temucin F, Pamukcu A et al. Effectiveness of two different splints to treat temporomandibular disorders. *J Orofac Orthop* 2015;76:318–27.
- 106 Al-Delayme RMA, Alnuamy SH, Hamid FT, et al. The efficacy of platelets rich plasma injection in the superior joint space of the tempromandibular joint guided by ultra sound in patients with non-reducing disk displacement. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg* 2017;16:43–7.
- 107 Andrabi SW, Malik AH, Shah AA. Clinical factors affecting the outcome of arthrocentesis. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;45:9–14.

- 108 Ariji Y, Nakayama M, Nishiyama W, et al. Potential clinical application of masseter and temporal muscle massage treatment using an oral rehabilitation robot in temporomandibular disorder patients with myofascial pain. *Cranio* 2015;33:256–62.
- 109 Asquini G, Bianchi AE, Heneghan NR, et al. Predictors of pain reduction following manual therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders: a protocol for a prospective observational study. *BMJ (Open)* 2019;9:e032113.
- 110 Attia HS, Mosleh MI, Jan AM, et al. Age, gender and parafunctional habits as prognostic factors for temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis. *Cranio* 2018;36:121–7.
- 111 Costa YM, Porporatti AL, Stuginski-Barbosa J, et al. Headache Attributed to Masticatory Myofascial Pain: Clinical Features and Management Outcomes. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2015 ;29(4):323-30.
- 112 Celakil T, Muric A, Gokcen Roehlig B, et al. Effect of high-frequency bio-oxidative ozone therapy for masticatory muscle pain: a double-blind randomised clinical trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2017;44:442–51.
- 113 Checherita LE, Ciubara A, Burlea LS, et al. The impact of pharmacologic and prosthetic-aesthetic treatment in elderly with temporomandibular joint disorders and neuropsychiatric affection. *BRAIN* 2019;10 (Special issue):12–20.
- 114 Cho J, Israel H. Does the age of a patient affect the outcome of temporomandibular joint arthroscopic surgery? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75:1144–50.
- 115 Christidis N, Doepel M, Ekberg E, et al. Effectiveness of a prefabricated occlusal appliance in patients with temporomandibular joint pain: a randomized controlled multicenter study. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2014 ;28:128–37.
- 116 Christidis N, Omrani S, Fredriksson L, et al. Repeated tender point injections of granisetron alleviate chronic myofascial pain - a randomized, controlled, double-blinded trial. *J Headache Pain* 2015;16:104.

117 Cömert Kiliç S, Güngörmüş M. Is arthrocentesis plus platelet-rich plasma superior to arthrocentesis plus hyaluronic acid for the treatment of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;45:1538–44.

118 Connelly ST, Myung J, Gupta R, et al. Clinical outcomes of Botox injections for chronic temporomandibular disorders: do we understand how Botox works on muscle, pain, and the brain? *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;46:322–7.

119 Costa SAP, Florezi GP, Artes GE, et al. The analgesic effect of photobiomodulation therapy (830 nm) on the masticatory muscles: a randomized, double-blind study. *Braz Oral Res* 2017;18;31:e107.

120 Craane B, Dijkstra PU, Stappaerts K, et al. One-year evaluation of the effect of physical therapy for masticatory muscle pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16:737–47.

121 Doepel M, Nilner M, Ekberg E, et al. Long-term effectiveness of a prefabricated oral appliance for myofascial pain. *J Oral Rehabil* 2012;39:252–60.

122 Efeoglu C, Calis AS, Koca H, et al. A stepped approach for the management of symptomatic internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2018;47:33.

123 Elder C, Ritenbaugh C, Aickin M, et al. Reductions in pain medication use associated with traditional Chinese medicine for chronic pain. *Perm J* 2012;16:18–23.

124 Erixon CL, Ekberg E. Self-perceived effects of occlusal appliance therapy on TMD patients: an eight-year follow-up. *Swed Dent J* 2013;37:13–22.

125 Espejo-Antúnez L, Castro-Valenzuela E, Ribeiro F, et al. Immediate effects of hamstring stretching alone or combined with ischemic compression of the masseter muscle on hamstrings extensibility, active mouth opening and pain in athletes with temporomandibular dysfunction. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 2016;20:579–87.

126 Fernández Sanromán J, Fernández Ferro M, Costas López A, et al. Does injection of plasma rich in growth factors after temporomandibular joint arthroscopy improve outcomes in patients

with Wilkes stage IV internal derangement? A randomized prospective clinical study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;45:828–35.

127 Fernández-Ferro M, Fernández-Sanromán J, Blanco-Carrión A, et al. Comparison of intra-articular injection of plasma rich in growth factors versus hyaluronic acid following arthroscopy in the treatment of temporomandibular dysfunction: A randomised prospective study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2017;45:449–54.

128 Fouda AA. Change of site of intra-articular injection of hypertonic dextrose resulted in different effects of treatment. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;56:715–8.

129 Ganti S, Shriram P, Ansari AS, et al. Evaluation of effect of glucosamine-chondroitin sulfate, tramadol, and sodium hyaluronic acid on expression of cytokine levels in internal derangement of temporomandibular joint. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2018;19:1501–5

130 Gorrela H, Prameela J, Srinivas G, et al. Efficacy of temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis with sodium hyaluronate in the management of temporomandibular joint disorders: A prospective randomized control trial. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg* 2017;16:479–84.

131 Grossmann E, Poluha RL, Iwaki LCV, et al. Predictors of arthrocentesis outcome on joint effusion in patients with disk displacement without reduction. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2018;125:382–8.

132 Guarda-Nardini L, Cadorin C, Frizziero A, et al. Interrelationship between temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis (OA) and cervical spine pain: effects of intra-articular injection with hyaluronic acid. *Cranio* 2017;35:276–82.

133 Häggman-Henrikson B, Wiesinger B, Wänman A. The effect of supervised exercise on localized TMD pain and TMD pain associated with generalized pain. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2018;76:6–12.

134 Hossameldin RH, McCain JP. Outcomes of office-based temporomandibular joint arthroscopy: a 5-year retrospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;47:90–7.

135 Kalamir A, Bonello R, Graham P, et al. Intraoral myofascial therapy for chronic myogenous temporomandibular disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther* 2012;35:26–37.

136 Kalamir A, Graham PL, Vitiello AL, et al. Intra-oral myofascial therapy versus education and self-care in the treatment of chronic, myogenous temporomandibular disorder: a randomised, clinical trial. *Chiropr Man Therap* 2013;21:17.

137 Khawaja SN, Scrivani SJ, Holland N, et al. Effectiveness, safety, and predictors of response to botulinum toxin type A in refractory masticatory myalgia: A retrospective study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75:2307–15.

138 Kim H, Kim K, Kim M, et al. Clinical research on the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of Chuna manual therapy for temporomandibular disorder: A study protocol for a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Integr Med* 2019;27:27–33.

139 Kumar S, Kiran K, Yadav A. Temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis: A prospective study and audit of 500 joints of central India. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent* 2018;8:124–9.

140 Checherita LE, Burlea LS, Stamatina O, et al. Impact of Ibruprofen medication and prosthetic esthetical treatment in elderly patients with temporal mandibular joint REV. *BRAIN* 2018;69(4): 831–6.

141 Li C, Long X, Deng M, et al. Osteoarthritic changes after superior and inferior joint space injection of hyaluronic acid for the treatment of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis with anterior disc displacement without reduction: a cone-beam computed tomographic evaluation. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015;73:232–44.

142 Lopez-Martos R, Gonzalez-Perez LM, Ruiz-Canela-Mendez P, et al. Randomized, double-blind study comparing percutaneous electrolysis and dry needling for the management of temporomandibular myofascial pain. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2018;23:e454–62.

143 Loster JE, Wieczorek A. Assessment of the effectiveness of treatment for temporomandibular joint dysfunctions. *Dent Med Probl* 2014;51:72–8.

- 144 Machoň V, Sedý J, Klíma K, et al. Arthroscopic lysis and lavage in patients with temporomandibular anterior disc displacement without reduction. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;41:109–13.
- 145 Madani AS, Mirmortazavi A. Comparison of three treatment options for painful temporomandibular joint clicking. *J Oral Sci* 2011;53:349–54.
- 146 Marini I, Gatto MR, Bonetti GA. Effects of superpulsed low-level laser therapy on temporomandibular joint pain. *Clin J Pain* 2010;26:611–6.
- 147 Niemelä K, Korpela M, Raustia A, et al. Efficacy of stabilisation splint treatment on temporomandibular disorders. *J Oral Rehabil* 2012;39:799–804.
- 148 Nilsson H, Vallon D, Ekberg EC. Long-term efficacy of resilient appliance therapy in TMD pain patients: a randomised, controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2011;38:713–21.
- 149 Nitecka-Buchta A, Buchta P, Tabeńska-Bosakowska E, et al. Myorelaxant effect of bee venom topical skin application in patients with RDC/TMD Ia and RDC/TMD Ib: a randomized, double blinded study. *BioMed Res Int* 2014;2014:296053.
- 150 Oliveira LB, Lopes TS, Soares C, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation and exercises for treatment of chronic temporomandibular disorders: a blind randomised-controlled trial. *J Oral Rehabil* 2015;42:723–32.
- 151 Pihut M, Ferendiuk E, Szewczyk M, et al. The efficiency of botulinum toxin type A for the treatment of masseter muscle pain in patients with temporomandibular joint dysfunction and tension-type headache. *J Headache Pain* 2016;17:29.
- 152 Rai S, Ranjan V, Misra D, et al. Management of myofascial pain by therapeutic ultrasound and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: A comparative study. *Eur J Dent* 2016;10:46–53.
- 153 Rampello A, Saccucci M, Falisi G, et al. A new aid in temporomandibular joint disorders' therapy: the universal neuromuscular immediate relaxing appliance. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2013;27:1011–9.

- 154 Schiffman EL, Velly AM, Look JO, et al. Effects of four treatment strategies for temporomandibular joint closed lock. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;43:217–26.
- 155 Şentürk MF, Tüzüner-Öncül AM, Cambazoğlu M. Prospective short term comparison of outcomes after single or double puncture arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;54:26–9.
- 156 Şentürk MF, Yıldırım D, Bilgir E, et al. Long-term evaluation of single-puncture temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis in patients with unilateral temporomandibular disorders. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;47:98–102.
- 157 Shedden Mora MC, Weber D, Neff A, et al. Biofeedback-based cognitive-behavioral treatment compared with occlusal splint for temporomandibular disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin J Pain* 2013;29:1057–65.
- 158 Bilge Gökçen RÖHLİG, Selin KIPİRDİ, Uğur MERİÇ , et al. Masticatory Muscle Pain and Low-Level Laser Therapy:A Double-Blind and Placebo-Controlled Study. *Turk J Phys Med Rehab* 2011;57:31-7
- 159 Stasko J, Statelova D, Janickova M, et al. Hyaluronic acid application vs arthroscopy in treatment of internal temporomandibular joint disorders. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2020;121:352–7.
- 160 Sveshtarov V, Nencheva-Sveshtarova S, Grozdanova R, et al. Superluminous devices versus low-level laser for temporomandibular disorders. *Acta Medica Bulgarica* 2018; 45(1):11–15.
- 161 Tajima T, Kurita K, Yuasa H, et al. Mouth-opening exercise and patient control use of NSAIDs:preliminary study of disk displacement without reduction Journal of Dentistry, Oral Disorders and Therapy *J Dent Oral Disord Ther* 2013;1(1):1.
- 162 Talaat W, Ghoneim MM, Elsholkamy M. Single-needle arthrocentesis (Shepard cannula) vs. double-needle arthrocentesis for treating disc displacement without reduction. *Cranio* 2016;34:296–302.
- 163 Thomas H, Neelakantan RS, Thomas TK. Role of Arthrocentesis in the Management of Acute Closed Lock of TM Joint: A Pilot study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg* 2012;11:390–3.

164 Thomas NJ, Aronovich S. Does adjunctive botulinum toxin A reduce pain scores when combined with temporomandibular joint arthroscopy for the treatment of concomitant temporomandibular joint arthralgia and myofascial pain? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2017;75:2521–8.

165 Tuncer A, Nevin E, Karahan S. Temporomandibular disorders treatment: comparison of home exercise and manual therapy. *Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon* 2013;24(1):9–16.

166 Ucar M, Sarp Ü, Koca İ, et al. Effectiveness of a home exercise program in combination with ultrasound therapy for temporomandibular joint disorders. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2014;26:1847–9.

167 Vilanova LS, Gonçalves TM, Pimentel MJ, et al. Mastication movements and sleep quality of patients with myofascial pain: occlusal device therapy improvements. *J Prosthet Dent* 2014;112:1330–6.

168 Vos LM, Huddleston Slater JJ, Stegenga B. Arthrocentesis as initial treatment for temporomandibular joint arthropathy: a randomized controlled trial. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2014;42:e134–9.

169 Wahlund K, Nilsson IM, Larsson B. Treating temporomandibular disorders in adolescents: a randomized, controlled, sequential comparison of relaxation training and occlusal appliance therapy. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* 2015;29:41–50.

170 Yilmaz O, Candirli C, Balaban E, et al. Evaluation of success criteria for temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019 Feb;45:15–20. Erratum in: *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;45:299.

171 Yoshida H, Sakata T, Hayashi T, et al. Evaluation of mandibular condylar movement exercise for patients with internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint on initial presentation. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2011;49:310–3.

172 Zotelli VL, Grillo CM, Gil ML, et al. Acupuncture effect on pain, mouth opening limitation and on the energy meridians in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction: A randomized controlled trial. *J Acupunct Meridian Stud* 2017;10:351–9.

