

BMJ Open Adductor Strengthening Programme is successfully adopted but frequently modified in Norwegian male professional football teams: a cross-sectional study

Joakim Stensø ¹, Thor Einar Andersen ^{2,3}, Joar Harøy ^{2,3}

To cite: Stensø J, Andersen TE, Harøy J. Adductor Strengthening Programme is successfully adopted but frequently modified in Norwegian male professional football teams: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e060611. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060611

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060611>).

Received 07 January 2022
Accepted 14 July 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sports Sciences, Oslo, Norway

²Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sports Sciences, Oslo, Norway

³Medical Clinic, Norwegian FA, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to

Joakim Stensø;
joakim.s.stenso@hotmail.no

ABSTRACT

Objectives Groin injuries represent a substantial problem in male football, with the Adductor Strengthening Programme (ASP) being the only exercise programme demonstrated to significantly reduce the risk of groin problems. We aimed first, to use the Reach Adoption Effectiveness Implementation Maintenance (RE-AIM) framework to investigate attitudes, beliefs and behaviour to the ASP among primary delivery agents of injury prevention exercises in Norwegian male professional football teams. Second, we aimed to identify a real-world application of the ASP protocol used in a professional team setting.

Design A descriptive cross-sectional survey, using a questionnaire designed to cover all five dimensions of the RE-AIM framework.

Setting The top two divisions of Norwegian male professional football.

Participants 32 primary injury prevention delivery agents.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Primarily, the proportion of respondents being aware of the ASP and its effect; having adopted it; having implemented it as intended; and considering maintaining using it. Secondary, the most often used ASP modifications.

Results Twenty-nine (91%) participants responded. All (100%) respondents were aware of the ASP and its injury preventive effect. The two most stated reasons for using the ASP were its injury preventive effect and that it does not require equipment. The ASP was adopted by all (100%) delivery agents, but only 10% used it in accordance with the original protocol. The main modifications were that the players in 72% of the teams were instructed to perform a non-progressive number of repetitions during pre-season, and in 86% of the teams instructed to perform more sets, but fewer repetitions per set, during in season. In total, 97% of the delivery agents planned to continue using the ASP.

Conclusion The delivery agents have positive attitudes and beliefs to the ASP, but they frequently modify it. We identified and reported a real-world application of the ASP protocol.

INTRODUCTION

Groin problems represent a substantial problem in football. They account for 4%–19% and 2%–11% of all time-loss injuries in male and female football, respectively.¹

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The questionnaire was pilot tested by delivery agents with relevant experience.
- ⇒ Thorough data collection process leading to a high response rate.
- ⇒ The internal validity of the questionnaire was not systematically explored.
- ⇒ Some of the questionnaire's questions are prone to recall bias as the survey was conducted towards the end of the competitive season.

Moreover, the average weekly proportion of male players with any groin problem causing pain and/or reduced performance is 21% in a full competitive season,² and 29% in periods with match congestion.³

In a clinical trial, the Adductor Strengthening Programme (ASP) showed a significant 41% reduction in risk of groin problems in male semi-professional players performing the programme during one full season.² Consequently, dissemination and widespread implementation of the ASP in football training seems beneficial.^{2,4} The ASP is based on a single exercise, the Copenhagen Adduction (CA) exercise,⁴ structured with three progression levels and a protocol with a pre-season and in-season exercise prescription. In the clinical trial, players completed on average about 70% of the recommended exercise prescription, demonstrating a considerably higher compliance than previous groin injury prevention programmes.^{5,6} The high compliance is an important strength of the ASP, as only injury prevention programmes that are successfully implemented (ie, widely adopted, complied with and maintained over time) will reach effectiveness outside controlled clinical trials.⁷

Gaining knowledge on attitudes, beliefs and behaviour to injury prevention exercises are important when evaluating their implementation in the real-world setting.⁷ For this purpose, integrating the Reach Effectiveness Adoption Implementation Maintenance

(RE-AIM) framework^{8 9} is recommended, ideally evaluated across all levels of the sport setting hierarchy.⁹ In brief, the framework evaluates the proportion of a targeted population that is aware of a given intervention (Reach), the interventions positive outcomes (Effectiveness), the proportions that has adopted the intervention (Adoption) and implemented it as intended (Implementation), and the extent to which it is sustained (Maintenance).^{8 9} Note that the specific RE-AIM implementation dimension refers to the extent to which an exercise or a programme is used as intended in the real-world setting.⁹ The general term implementation also used in this article, however, refers to all initiatives applied to put an exercise or a programme into practice.¹⁰

Attitudes and beliefs towards the ASP is previously investigated among players participating in the clinical ASP trial.¹¹ The study revealed that only 31% of the players anticipated to continue using the ASP in accordance with the original protocol.¹¹ Also, a recent study on the CA among coaches in international male professional teams reported that 72% were aware of the exercise, while 94% of those had adopted it.¹² These findings are consistent with previous research emphasising that evidence-based injury prevention exercises can be challenging to apply in the real-world settings.¹³ To enhance knowledge, we believed it was important to conduct a survey among team staff, specifically among those having the main responsibility for implementing and conducting injury prevention exercises (hereafter referred to as 'delivery agents').

Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to use the RE-AIM framework to investigate attitudes, beliefs and behaviour to the ASP among delivery agents of injury prevention exercises in Norwegian male professional football teams. The secondary aim was to identify a real-world application of the ASP protocol used in a professional team setting, which to our knowledge, previously has not been conducted for any single-exercise injury prevention programme.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in September and October 2020. Participants were the primary delivery agent in each team in the top two divisions of Norwegian male professional football (n=32): Eliteserien (n=16) and OBOS-ligaen (n=16). The study is described according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement checklist for cross-sectional studies.¹⁴

Survey

A new questionnaire designed to cover all dimensions of the RE-AIM⁸ framework was developed, based on previous questionnaires used in studies investigating implementation of preventative training in elite and subelite sport's settings.^{11 15} The final version consisted of 38 questions, primarily closed ended. The questionnaire was developed

and delivered in Norwegian; however, a translated English version is provided as an appendix to this paper (online supplemental file 1).

Data collection

We collected contact information to the delivery agents either through our network of contacts or by contacting the team's directly. All delivery agents received an email with detailed information about the study and a link with access to an online survey software (SurveyXact, Rambøll Management Consulting AS, Oslo). We distributed the questionnaire during an international break in September 2020. Weekly reminders were sent to non-responders by email for 4 weeks, and after 5 weeks, non-responders were contacted by telephone.

Analysis

We performed statistical analysis using SPSS statistical software (SPSS V.24, IBM Corporation). Data consisted of categorical nominal variables, presented as proportions, including for the specific RE-AIM dimensions. Open-ended text responses were analysed with a quantitative content analysis,¹⁶ using a structured code form counting frequencies of variables mentioned. The code form was also used to categorise whether the participants had a positive, negative or neutral attitude.

Patient and public involvement

Three experienced delivery agents (two physiotherapists and one football coach) not involved as participants did pilot test the questionnaire and gave feedback on its understanding and readability. Patients and/or the public were not involved in any other part of the conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

RESULTS

Participant characteristic

Twenty-nine (91%) of the 32 delivery agents participated in the survey (14 from Eliteserien and 15 from OBOS-ligaen). The non-responders gave no specific reasons for not participating. Twenty-three (79%) of the respondents were physiotherapists, five (17%) were strength and conditioning coaches and one (3%) was a naprapath. Respondents' experience as delivery agents in football is shown in table 1.

Table 1 Years of experience as delivery agents of injury prevention exercises in football

Years of experience as delivery agent	n (%)
0–4 years	5 (17)
5–9 years	13 (45)
10–14 years	7 (24)
15–19 years	3 (10)
≥20 years	1 (3)




Figure 1 Beliefs regarding whether Adductor Strengthening Programme can influence availability of players in training and match play. *No respondent replied some decrease, large decrease or don't know.

Attitudes to groin injury risk and importance of injury mitigation

Football players risk of getting a groin problem was assumed to be high or moderate by 19 (66%) and 9 (31%) delivery agents, respectively, while one respondent considered the risk to be low. All (100%) respondents thought prevention exercises to mitigate groin problems was important, replied by 27 (93%) as highly important and by 2 (7%) as moderately important.

Reach and effectiveness of the ASP

All (100%) respondents were aware of either one or both of ASP and the CA. All (100%) delivery agents thought the ASP has potential to successfully mitigate the burden of groin problems, with 11 (38%) perceiving the groin problem mitigation as large and 18 (62%) perceiving it as moderate. Beliefs about the ASP's effect on player availability can be viewed in [figure 1](#).

Adoption and implementation of the ASP

All (100%) delivery agents had adopted the ASP in their team the current season, of which three (10%) replied that their usage was in accordance with the original ASP

protocol. How the teams reported the usage of the ASP in terms of exercise frequency, sets and repetitions is shown in [tables 2 and 3](#) for pre-season and in-season, respectively.

The most often-used ASP modifications are summed up in [table 4](#), which is the identified real-world application of the ASP protocol used in a professional team setting.

Maintenance of the ASP

Twenty-eight (97%) delivery agents planned to continue using the ASP in the subsequent season, of which 20 (71%) planned to use a modified protocol.

Facilitators and barriers to implementation of the ASP

The most often stated reasons to use the ASP were first, the documented preventive effect of the ASP (100%, both in current and subsequent season) and second, that no additional equipment is needed (52% in current and 43% in subsequent season) ([figure 2](#)). On an open-ended non-mandatory question, four respondents (27%) defined an indirect performance enhancing effect as an additional positive effect of ASP. Five (31%) respondents described the ASP progression levels as being too demanding, while four (25%) thought it was likely to cause muscle soreness.

Table 2 Overview of reported training volume of the Adductor Strengthening Programme (ASP) during pre-season*

"How often were the players instructed to perform the ASP?"	n (%)
More than 3 times a week	2 (7)
3 times a week	4 (14)
Twice a week	16 (55)
Once a week	5 (17)
We carried out the programme, but less than once a week	2 (7)
"How many sets were the players instructed to perform per side?"	n (%)
More than 2 sets per side	8 (28)
2 sets per side	17 (59)
1 set per side	4 (14)
"How many repetitions were the players instructed to perform per set?"	n (%)
More than 15 repetitions each week	1 (3)
12–15 repetitions each week	3 (10)
7–10 repetitions each week	16 (55)
3–5 repetitions each week	1 (3)
3–15 repetitions, weekly progressive as in protocol	3 (10)
3–15 repetitions, weekly progressive as own modification	5 (17)

*Specified as under normal circumstances, for example, not influenced by COVID-19.

Table 3 Overview of reported training volume of the Adductor Strengthening Programme (ASP) during in-season*

“How often were the players instructed to perform the ASP?”		n (%)
More than once a week		9 (31)
Once a week		16 (55)
Once every 2 weeks		2 (7)
We carried out the programme, but less than once every 2 weeks		2 (7)
“How many sets were the players instructed to perform per side?”		n (%)
More than 2 sets per side		7 (24)
2 sets per side		18 (62)
1 set per side		4 (14)
“How many repetitions were the players instructed to perform per set?”		n (%)
More than 15 repetitions		1 (3)
12–15 repetitions		6 (21)
8–11 repetitions		14 (48)
4–7 repetitions		8 (28)

*Specified as under normal circumstances, for example, not influenced by COVID-19.

Two of these four respondents indicated soreness was the reason for modifying the original ASP protocol.

DISCUSSION

The primary aim of the present study was to use the RE-AIM framework to investigate attitudes, beliefs and behaviour regarding the ASP among delivery agents of injury prevention exercises in Norwegian male professional football teams. A secondary aim was to identify a real-world application of the ASP used in a professional team setting. The main findings were that all delivery agents were aware of the ASP, all thought the programme can mitigate the burden of groin problems, all stated to use the ASP in their team the current season and, almost everyone planned to continue using it in the subsequent season. However, only 10% used the ASP in accordance with the original ASP protocol.

Reach and effectiveness

Having a targeted population to recognise injury risk, to be aware of relevant injury prevention exercises or programmes and to acknowledge the exercise's or programme's ability to mitigate the injury risk are vital for successful real-world implementation of effective injury prevention exercise programmes.^{9 17–20} The surveyed delivery agents' belief that players are at moderate to great risk of groin problems aligns well

with epidemiological data.^{1 3 21} The reported awareness level of ASP on the other hand is higher than previously reported for the CA¹² and the injury prevention exercise programme, FIFA 11+.²² Discrepancies in awareness levels between members of the team around the players may be due to, unlike the current study surveying mostly physiotherapists, comparable studies having primarily surveyed head coaches which clearly also have other responsibilities besides being updated on injury prevention exercises and measures.

All delivery agents considering the ASP as capable of mitigating the burden of groin problems aligns with its evidence-based effect, and coincides with previously reported perceptions of the CA.¹² Moreover, the high ASP awareness level and the positive attitude towards its efficacy implies that the ASP dissemination strategies have been successful within this specific population of clinicians.

Adoption

All respondents reported using the ASP throughout the season. This is a similar finding to the adoption rate seen for the CA¹² in male professional football, when only accounting for users being aware of the exercise. Compared with what has been reported for the Nordic Hamstring (NH) exercise²³ in male professional football however, the ASP adoption rate is substantially higher. Interestingly, all respondents stated

Table 4 Adductor Strengthening Programme real-world application in Norwegian male professional football teams

Adductor Strengthening Programme – real-world application			
Week	Sessions per week	Sets per side	Repetitions per side
Pre-season – week 1–8	2	2	7–10
In-season – all weeks	1	2	8–11




Figure 2 Reasons for choosing to use the Adductor Strengthening Programme this season and reasons for planning using the programme the following season.

that the evidence-based efficacy of the ASP was an important reason for choosing to adopt the programme. The discrepancy in ASP and NH adoption rates is interesting, as they share the same exercise characteristics, and both were originally studied in clinical trials including Norwegian male football teams.^{2,23} One variation, however, that may explain some of the discrepancy in adoption rates is the 6 year's difference between our data collection and the data collection of the NH adoption.²⁴ This is likely due to evidence-based efforts to prevent injuries having improved among practitioners in elite teams in recent years.²⁵

Implementation

When implementing the programme, the current study shows that delivery agents in professional football usually modify the ASP to fit their team's training philosophy and schedule. Similar findings have been demonstrated for the NH^{24,26} and the FIFA 11+.^{27,28} So far, no other studies on specific modifications of single-exercise injury prevention programmes exist.

The original ASP protocol² prescribes a pre-season strengthening phase containing a detailed 8-week progression, and an in-season maintenance phase with a continuous number of repetitions. The intention is first, to provide hip adductor muscle strength gains, and second, to maintain the increased muscle strength, as reduced hip adductor muscle strength is the only consistently reported risk factor for groin injury in sports.²⁹

Compared with the original programme, in total, the delivery agents usually prescribed slightly more repetitions per session, but divided into two sets, especially during in-season. Furthermore, they generally conducted fewer sessions per week during pre-season, and the vast majority did not adopt the 8-week progression recommended for pre-season.

We did not investigate why the delivery agents modified the ASP. However, a potential reason for non-progression during pre-season strengthening phase might be that the delivery agents consider most professional players to already have gained, and maintained, adequate hip adductor muscle strength. This would limit the delivery agent's perceived need for players to commence a progressive strengthening phase. Another reason for the modifications of the ASP could also be lack of support

and acceptance from players and/or coaches. Such support is considered a key facilitator in the implementation process,^{9,22} and motivation to comply with the original ASP protocol has already been shown to be low among players.¹¹ A reason for modifying previous injury prevention strengthening exercises has been attributed to a possible fear of muscle soreness.^{13,30} However, only two respondents reported to have modified the ASP partly due to such fear, and there is evidence that even the most strenuous level of the ASP barely caused any reported muscle soreness if the number of repetitions was progressed gradually.^{31,32} Consequently, fear of muscle soreness seems to not be an important barrier to optimal ASP implementation in the real-world setting.

Effectiveness of the real-world application of the ASP

An important aspect is that the delivery agents modify the ASP without knowing the impact. As mentioned, the ASP aims to mitigate groin problems by targeting hip adductor muscle strength. There is compelling evidence that muscle strength effects are dose dependent,³³ which also has been suggested for the CA.³⁴ The reported used pre-season ASP exercise volume is approximately 640 repetitions during 8 weeks, which, interestingly, is a higher volume than what the evidence-based original ASP protocol prescribes (470 repetitions).² Moreover, it accommodates a suggested minimum of 500–800 repetitions during 8 weeks, when aiming to facilitate meaningful hip adductor muscle strength gains.³⁴ Since the reported used weekly in-season ASP exercise volume is almost equal to pre-season, it is reasonable to assume that players somewhat maintain their hip adductor muscle strength during in-season.

Beyond volume considerations, progression seems required to elicit the greatest strength training gains.³⁵ As the ASP consists of a bodyweight exercise, weekly increase in the number of repetitions is the main progression variable. A critical assessment is therefore whether the reported lack of pre-season progression can reduce the ASP's effectiveness in groin problem mitigation. Additionally, muscle strength gains also depends on recruitment of high-threshold motor units, through accumulation of neuromuscular fatigue induced when performing sets to at least somewhat near neuromuscular failure.³⁶ Therefore, another critical assessment would be whether more sets but fewer repetitions per set, as respondents have reported, affect the ASP's effectiveness.

So far, changes in physiological characteristics when performing the ASP, such as effects on muscle cross-sectional area and architecture, musculotendinous stiffness, and motor unit recruitment and synchronisation,³⁵ have not been scientifically investigated. Similarly, the exact dose–response relationship between ASP exercise volume and hip adductor muscle strength gains, and between ASP exercise volume and groin injury mitigation rates also remains to be investigated. And lastly, the importance of a progression strengthening phase(s) when aiming to mitigate groin problems is unknown.

Discussions around the most often-used modification's impact on the ASP's effectiveness are therefore currently theoretical, only.

Consequently, we will argue that there is no convincing evidence claiming that the ASP modifications applied by the delivery agents affect the mitigation of groin problems in male professional players, compared with the original protocol. Additionally, considerations on ASP exercise volume and other modifications are subordinated to the fact that no injury prevention programme will reach its full potential unless it is implemented, adopted and maintained, by teams in the real-world setting.¹⁹

Maintenance

To be successful, the final step of any injury prevention exercise implemented in the real-world setting is that the exercise or the programme is maintained over multiple seasons. In our study, nearly all respondents planned to continue using the ASP in the subsequent season, representing a considerably higher maintenance level than previously reported.¹¹ A particular challenge, however, is that team staff members, including medical staff, are frequently replaced when managers are replaced, increasing the risk of preventative measures not being persistently maintained over time.¹⁹ It is yet to be confirmed whether ASP has been established as part of the teams' or clubs' sports plans or policies on injury prevention measures.

Methodological considerations

The high response rate (91%) is a strength of this study. However, it is uncertain whether our results can be generalised to other delivery agents and professional football settings outside Norway. Especially, considering that the original ASP intervention study was conducted among Norwegian male football teams. This may have led to a 'word of mouth' effect in the Norwegian football community, which to some extent can explain the higher ASP awareness level and adoption rates in this study.

A further strength of the current study is the pilot testing of the questionnaire ensuring valuable input to the final questionnaire. A limitation is that the internal validity of the questionnaire was not systematically explored, which is a prerequisite to draw firm valid conclusions.³⁷ The pilot study ensured, however, some degree of internal validity, by providing adequate understanding and readability of the questionnaire dimensions. Furthermore, questions related to the 'implementation' dimensions, especially regarding the pre-season application of the ASP, are prone to some degree of recall bias as the survey was conducted towards the end of the competitive season.³⁸ Therefore, this study describes how the teams in overall perform the ASP, only, while it is likely that the programme was individualised depending on players previous injury record and experience with specific strength exercises. Moreover, this study did not include a question about delivery agents' perceived involvement

in and support from players and coaches, which is considered a key facilitator to successful implementation in the real-world football setting.⁹

Importantly, 79% of the respondents had a defined team staff role as a physiotherapist. This contrasts with previous studies, where surveyed delivery agents were either strength and conditioning coaches, head coaches or medical doctors.^{22 26 30 39 40} In contrast to the other members of the medical and coaching staff, physiotherapists are educated and trained in health science with special emphasis on injury prevention and rehabilitation. Therefore, it is not unlikely that some of the variations in attitudes, beliefs and behaviour between the present and previous studies are due to differences in the participant's formal team staff role and educational background.

Regarding data collection methods, we chose to develop and conduct a survey for the following reasons. First, a survey is an appropriate tool to collect responses from individuals living in a widespread geographical area. Second, it is suitable when investigating several variables at the same time, such as all the RE-AIM dimensions, and third, a survey provides a cost-effective and relatively seamless data collection method. Therefore, a survey using a questionnaire was considered appropriate to accommodate the research questions in our study.

Perspectives

The delivery agents are aware of the ASP, they have adopted it, and they anticipate maintaining the usage. The implementation of the programme, however, is slightly different in each team. Further studies are warranted to acquire knowledge about why the ASP is being modified, and the impact of the modifications on the ASP's effectiveness. As this in previous studies primarily has been conducted in male adult teams, future studies should include women's and youth football, too. Also, widespread dissemination of the ASP outside the Scandinavian countries is needed to achieve reach worldwide. Finally, as recommended,⁹ similar investigations of attitudes, beliefs and behaviour to the ASP among other stakeholder, for example, coaches, club officials and relevant sporting organisations, are needed in order to further explore the complexity of introducing preventative measures in the real-world professional setting.

CONCLUSION

The present study found that delivery agents of injury prevention exercises in Norwegian male professional football teams have positive attitudes and beliefs to the ASP, using it frequently and planning to maintain the usage of it in the subsequent season. Most delivery agents, however, instructed players to complete the ASP with modifications. Therefore, we have identified a real-world application of the ASP protocol used in a professional team setting.

Twitter Joakim Stensø @j_stenso

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Ben Clarsen for review of and helpful comments on the manuscript. The authors would also like to thank all the delivery agents for their participation in the study.

Contributors All authors conceived the idea for, and planned the study. JS conducted the data collection and the statistical analysis. All authors have been involved in the drafting and the revisions of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final draft. JS is the guarantor of the overall content.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Ethics approval The study was approved by the ethics board at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences (134-130820) and by the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD 2020/837286). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. All deidentified data are available upon reasonable request. Suitability of data request and access to data will be determined by all authors collectively.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Joakim Stensø <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3433-3941>

Thor Einar Andersen <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4172-4518>

Joar Harøy <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0475-637X>

REFERENCES

- Waldén M, Häggglund M, Ekstrand J. The epidemiology of groin injury in senior football: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:792–7.
- Harøy J, Clarsen B, Wiger EG, et al. The adductor strengthening programme prevents groin problems among male football players: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;53:150–7.
- Harøy J, Clarsen B, Thorborg K, et al. Groin problems in male soccer players are more common than previously reported. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:1304–8.
- Serner A, Jakobsen MD, Andersen LL, et al. Emg evaluation of hip adduction exercises for soccer players: implications for exercise selection in prevention and treatment of groin injuries. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:1108–14.
- Engelbrechtsen AH, Myklebust G, Holme I, et al. Prevention of injuries among male soccer players: a prospective, randomized intervention study targeting players with previous injuries or reduced function. *Am J Sports Med* 2008;36:1052–60.
- Hölmich P, Larsen K, Krogsgaard K, et al. Exercise program for prevention of groin pain in football players: a cluster-randomized trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010;20:814–21.
- O'Brien J, Donaldson A, Finch CF. It will take more than an existing exercise programme to prevent injury. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:264–5.
- Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. *Am J Public Health* 1999;89:1322–7.
- Finch CF, Donaldson A. A sports setting matrix for understanding the implementation context for community sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2010;44:973–8.
- Fixsen D, Naoom S, Blase K. Implementation research: a synthesis of the literature. In: *The National implementation research network*. 97, 2005.
- Harøy J, Wiger EG, Bahr R, et al. Implementation of the Adductor Strengthening Programme: Players primed for adoption but reluctant to maintain – A cross-sectional study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2019;29:1092–100.
- Al Attar W, Husain M, Qasem A. The Copenhagen adduction exercise is not applied by the majority of professional and Semi-Professional soccer players and coaches. *Annals of Applied Sport Science* 2021:e983.
- McCall A, Pruna R, Van der Horst N, et al. Exercise-Based strategies to prevent muscle injury in male elite footballers: an Expert-Led Delphi survey of 21 practitioners belonging to 18 teams from the Big-5 European Leagues. *Sports Med* 2020;50:1667–81.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;85:867–72.
- Andersson SH, Bahr R, Clarsen B, et al. Preventing overuse shoulder injuries among throwing athletes: a cluster-randomised controlled trial in 660 elite handball players. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51:1073–80.
- Canhoto A, Rose S, Spinks N. Management research – applying the principles; 2014.
- Finch CF, White P, Twomey D, et al. Implementing an exercise-training programme to prevent lower-limb injuries: considerations for the development of a randomised controlled trial intervention delivery plan. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:791–6.
- Forman J, Heisler M, Damschroder LJ, et al. Development and application of the RE-AIM quest mixed methods framework for program evaluation. *Prev Med Rep* 2017;6:322–8.
- O'Brien J, Häggglund M, Bizzini M. Implementing injury prevention. In: *The Rocky road from RCT to real-world injury reduction*, 2018.
- Richmond SA, Donaldson A, Macpherson A, et al. Facilitators and barriers to the implementation of ISPRINT: a sport injury prevention program in junior high schools. *Clin J Sport Med* 2020;30:231–8.
- Werner J, Häggglund M, Ekstrand J, et al. Hip and groin time-loss injuries decreased slightly but injury burden remained constant in men's professional football: the 15-year prospective UEFA elite Club injury study. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;53:539–46.
- O'Brien J, Finch CF. Injury prevention exercise programmes in professional youth soccer: understanding the perceptions of programme deliverers. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2016;2:e000075.
- Arnason A, Andersen TE, Holme I, et al. Prevention of hamstring strains in elite soccer: an intervention study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2008;18:40–8.
- Bahr R, Thorborg K, Ekstrand J. Evidence-based hamstring injury prevention is not adopted by the majority of champions League or Norwegian premier League football teams: the Nordic hamstring survey. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:1466–71.
- O'Brien J, Finch CF. The implementation of musculoskeletal injury-prevention exercise programmes in team ball sports: a systematic review employing the RE-AIM framework. *Sports Med* 2014;44:1305–18.
- Al Attar WSA, Soomro N, Sinclair PJ, et al. Implementation of an evidence-based injury prevention program in professional and semi-professional soccer. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2018;13:113–21.
- O'Brien J, Young W, Finch CF. The use and modification of injury prevention exercises by professional youth soccer teams. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2017;27:1337–46.
- Shamlaye J, Tomšovský L, Fulcher ML. Attitudes beliefs and factors influencing football coaches' adherence to the 11+ injury prevention programme. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2020;6:e000830.
- Whittaker JL, Small C, Maffey L, et al. Risk factors for groin injury in sport: an updated systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:803–9.
- McCall A, Dupont G, Ekstrand J. Injury prevention strategies, coach compliance and player adherence of 33 of the UEFA elite Club injury study teams: a survey of teams' head medical officers. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:725–30.
- Harøy J, Thorborg K, Serner A, et al. Including the Copenhagen adduction exercise in the FIFA 11+ provides missing eccentric hip adduction strength effect in male soccer players: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2017;45:3052–9.
- Polglass G, Burrows A, Willett M. Impact of a modified progressive Copenhagen adduction exercise programme on hip adduction strength and postexercise muscle soreness in professional footballers. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2019;5, :e000570.

- 33 Ralston GW, Kilgore L, Wyatt FB, *et al.* The effect of Weekly set volume on strength gain: a meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2017;47:2585–601.
- 34 Ishøi L, Thorborg K. Copenhagen adduction exercise can increase eccentric strength and mitigate the risk of groin problems: but how much is enough! *Br J Sports Med* 2021pp.:bjssports-2020-103564.
- 35 Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, Bellon CR, *et al.* The importance of muscular strength: training considerations. *Sports Med* 2018;48:765–85.
- 36 Pescatello LS, Riebe D, Thompson PD. *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2014.
- 37 Pripp AH. Validitet. *Tidsskrift for Den norske legeforening* 2019;138.
- 38 Porta M. *A dictionary of epidemiology*. Oxford University Press (in English), 2014.
- 39 McCall A, Carling C, Nedelec M, *et al.* Risk factors, testing and preventative strategies for non-contact injuries in professional football: current perceptions and practices of 44 teams from various premier leagues. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:1352–7.
- 40 McCall A, Davison M, Andersen TE, *et al.* Injury prevention strategies at the FIFA 2014 world cup: perceptions and practices of the physicians from the 32 participating national teams. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:603–8.

Questionnaire

Have you read and approved the informed consent?

☐ Yes

1. What is your age?

- ☐ 18-30 years
- ☐ 31-45 years
- ☐ 46-60 years
- ☐ More than 60 years

2. At what level does the team where you are employed play?

- ☐ Eliteserien (Norwegian Premier League)
- ☐ OBOS-ligaen (Norwegian First Division)

3. What is your role in the team staff where you are employed?

- ☐ Head coach
- ☐ Assistant coach
- ☐ Fitness coach
- ☐ Physiotherapist
- ☐ Medical doctor
- ☐ Other healthcare profession (specify) _____
- ☐ Other position (specify) _____

4. What education and / or courses do you have?

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ UEFA PRO License
- ☐ UEFA A License
- ☐ UEFA B License
- ☐ One-year study in sport science
- ☐ Bachelor's degree in sport science
- ☐ Master's degree in sport science
- ☐ Bachelor's degree in a health profession
- ☐ Master's degree in a health profession
- ☐ Other education and/or courses (specify) _____

5. How many years of experience do you have as delivery agent of preventative training for football players?
- ☐ 0-4 years
 - ☐ 5-9 years
 - ☐ 10-14 years
 - ☐ 15-20 years
 - ☐ More than 20 years

Further, you will get two questions that deal with groin problems.

By groin problems is meant any pain, ache, stiffness, clicking/catching or other complaints related to the groin, or reduced training participation, training volume or performance due to groin problems.

6. How much risk do you think football players have getting groin problems?
- ☐ Great risk
 - ☐ Moderate risk
 - ☐ Small risk
 - ☐ No risk
 - ☐ Don't know
7. How important do you think it is to perform preventative training to mitigate groin problems?
- ☐ Greatly important
 - ☐ Moderately important
 - ☐ A little important
 - ☐ Not important
 - ☐ Don't know
8. Were you aware of the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” and/or the “Copenhagen Adduction” exercise prior to reading the information in the introduction to this questionnaire?
- ☐ Yes
 - ☐ No
 - ☐ Don't know

9. Where did you get information about the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” and/or the “Copenhagen Adduction Exercise”?

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ “Skadefri” website
- ☐ “Skadefri” application
- ☐ Article in the British Journal of Sports Medicine
- ☐ Conference/course
- ☐ Infographics
- ☐ Social media (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram etc.)
- ☐ Other (specify) _____
- ☐ Don’t know

10. Check if you are aware that you can find information about the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” and/or the «Copenhagen Adduction Exercise» in these relevant places:

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ “Skadefri” website
- ☐ “Skadefri” application
- ☐ Article in the British Journal of Sports Medicine
- ☐ Infographics
- ☐ Social media (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram etc.)
- ☐ Other (specify) _____

Further, you will get two questions that deal with groin problems.

By groin problems is meant any pain, ache, stiffness, clicking/catching or other complaints related to the groin, or reduced training participation, training volume or performance due to groin problems.

11. Do you think that the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” can influence the burden of groin problems?

- ☐ Yes, the program can greatly mitigate the burden
- ☐ Yes, the program can moderately mitigate the burden
- ☐ No, the program cannot have an effect on the burden
- ☐ Yes, the program can moderately aggravate the burden
- ☐ Yes, the program can greatly aggravate the burden
- ☐ Don’t know

12. Do you think that the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” can influence football performance?

- ☐ Yes, the program can greatly increase performance
- ☐ Yes, the program can moderately increase performance
- ☐ No, the program cannot have an effect on performance
- ☐ Yes, the program can moderately decrease performance
- ☐ Yes, the program can greatly decrease performance
- ☐ Don't know

How do you think the following of the players' physical skills may be affected by doing the “Adductor Strengthening Programme”?

13. Linear acceleration?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

14. Top speed?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

15. Change of direction?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

16. Vertical jump ability?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

17. Duelling power?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

How do you think other factors can may be affected by doing the “Adductor Strengthening Programme”:

18. Availability of players for match?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

19. Availability of players for training?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

20. Chance of winning a match?

- ☐ Large increase
- ☐ Some increase
- ☐ Unchanged
- ☐ Some decrease
- ☐ Large decrease
- ☐ Don't know

21. What other positive characteristics / achievements / consequences do you think the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” can provide? Describe in your own words.

22. What other negative characteristics / achievements / consequences do you think the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” can provide? Describe in your own words.

23. Do you use the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in your team?

- ☐ Yes, as described in the protocol
- ☐ Yes, as modified version
- ☐ No
- ☐ Don’t know

24. How do you use the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in your training schedule?

- ☐ As part of organised football training
- ☐ As part of organised strength training
- ☐ As an independent preparation in the locker room or strength room before training
- ☐ As guided preparation in the locker room or strength room before training
- ☐ As independent training in a separate strength training session
- ☐ Other way (specify) _____

When using the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in season (under normal circumstances, not influenced by covid-19):

25. How often did the players perform the program?

- ☐ More than once a week
- ☐ Once a week
- ☐ Once every two weeks
- ☐ We carried out the program, but less than once every two weeks

26. How many sets did the players perform?

- ☐ More than 2 sets per side
- ☐ 2 sets per side

- ☐ 1 set per side

27. How many repetitions did the players perform in each set?

- ☐ More than 15 repetitions per side
- ☐ 12-15 repetitions per side
- ☐ 8-11 repetitions per side
- ☐ 4-7 repetitions per side
- ☐ Less than 4 repetitions per side

When using the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in preseason (under normal circumstances, not influenced by covid-19):

28. How often did the players perform the program?

- ☐ More than 3 times a week
- ☐ 3 times a week
- ☐ Twice a week
- ☐ Once a week
- ☐ We carried out the program, but less than once a week

29. How many sets did the players perform?

- ☐ More than 2 sets per side
- ☐ 2 sets per side
- ☐ 1 set per side

30. How many repetitions did the players perform in each set?

- ☐ More than 15 repetitions per set each week
- ☐ 12-15 repetitions per set each week
- ☐ 7-10 repetitions per set each week
- ☐ 3-5 repetitions per set each week
- ☐ 3-15 repetitions per set, weekly progressive (as in protocol)
- ☐ 3-15 repetitions per set, weekly progressive (as own modification)

31. What has been important for you in choosing to use the “Adductor Strengthening Programme”?

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ The program's injury prevention effect
- ☐ The time spent on the program
- ☐ The programme consists of one exercise
- ☐ The programme consists of three progression levels
- ☐ The programme is a partner exercise
- ☐ The programme does not require exercise equipment
- ☐ Other (specify) _____

32. Do you use other preventative training in addition to the “Adductor Strengthening Programme”, with the intention to mitigate the burden of groin problems?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Don't know

33. What training do you use in addition to, or instead of, the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” to mitigate the burden of groin problems? Describe in your own words as detailed as possible which exercise (s), how they are performed, dosage (series, repetitions, intensity), and anything else you consider relevant.

34. Why did you choose to do what is described in the previous answer, and who participated in the decision? Describe in your own words.

35. Do you anticipate using the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in your team the following season?

- ☐ Yes, as described in the protocol
- ☐ Yes, as an own modification
- ☐ No
- ☐ Don't know

36. What is the reason why you anticipate using the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in your team in the following season?

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ The program's injury prevention effect
- ☐ The time spent on the program
- ☐ The program consists of one exercise
- ☐ The program consists of three progression levels
- ☐ The program can be performed as a partner exercise
- ☐ The program does not require exercise equipment
- ☐ Other (specify) _____

37. What is the reason why you do not anticipate using the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” in your team in the following season?

It is possible to check several options

- ☐ The program's lack of injury prevention effect
- ☐ The time spent on the program
- ☐ The program consists of only one exercise
- ☐ The program consists of only three levels of difficulty
- ☐ The program can be performed as a partner exercise
- ☐ The program does not require exercise equipment
- ☐ Other (specify) _____

38. Do you have any suggestions for changes to the “Adductor Strengthening Programme” that may make it more relevant to use the program? Describe in your own words.