PRISMA-P Completed Checklist for: The Use of Technology to Provide Mental Health Services to Youth Experiencing Homelessness: A Scoping Review Protocol (Lal, Elias, Sieu, Peredo, 2022)

## Reporting checklist for protocol of a systematic review and meta analysis.

Based on the PRISMA-P guidelines.

## Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the PRISMA-Preporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4(1):1.

|                |            |  | Page              |
|----------------|------------|--|-------------------|
|                |            | Reporting Item   | Number            |
| Title          |            |  |                   |
| Identification | <u>#1a</u> | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review                                   | 1                 |
| Update         | <u>#1b</u> | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such         | NA                |
| Registration   |            |  |                   |
|                | <u>#2</u>  | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number | Registered in OSF |
| Authors        |            |  |                   |

PRISMA-P Completed Checklist for: The Use of Technology to Provide Mental Health Services to

Youth Experiencing Homelessness: A Scoping Review Protocol (Lal, Elias, Sieu, Peredo, 2022) Contact Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of #3a 1 all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author Contribution Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify 8 #3b the guarantor of the review **Amendments** If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously NA #4 completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments Support Sources <u>#5a</u> Indicate sources of financial or other support for the 8 review Sponsor #5b Provide name for the review funder and / or sponsor 8 8 Role of sponsor or #5c Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and / or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol funder Introduction Rationale #6 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of 4 what is already known 5 Objectives #7 Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) **Methods** Eligibility criteria Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study 5-6 #8 design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review Information #9 Describe all intended information sources (such as 6 electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial sources

PRISMA-P Completed Checklist for: The Use of Technology to Provide Mental Health Services to Youth Experiencing Homelessness: A Scoping Review Protocol (Lal, Elias, Sieu, Peredo, 2022) registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least 6 Search strategy <u>#10</u> one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated Study records -#11a Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage 6 records and data throughout the review data management 6 Study records -#11b State the process that will be used for selecting studies selection process (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) Study records -#11c Describe planned method of extracting data from reports data collection (such as piloting forms, done independently, in process duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators Data items #12 List and define all variables for which data will be sought 7 (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications Outcomes and #13 List and define all outcomes for which data will be 7 prioritization sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale Risk of bias in #14 Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias 7 individual studies of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis NA Data synthesis #15a Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised Data synthesis #15b If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, NA describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from

| RISMA-P Completed Checklist for: The Use of Technology to Provide Mental Health Service fouth Experiencing Homelessness: A Scoping Review Protocol (Lal, Elias, Sieu, Peredo, 202 studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I2, Kendall's τ) |             |   |    |  |
|---|-------------|---|----|--|
| Data synthesis  | <u>#15c</u> | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)                         | NA |  |
| Data synthesis  | <u>#15d</u> | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned  | 7  |  |
| Meta-bias(es)   | <u>#16</u>  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) | NA |  |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence   | <u>#17</u>  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)  | 7  |  |

None The PRISMA-P elaboration and explanation paper is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <a href="https://www.goodreports.org/">https://www.goodreports.org/</a>, a tool made by the <a href="EQUATOR Network">EQUATOR Network</a> in collaboration with <a href="Penelope.ai">Penelope.ai</a>