

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

#### Prior health-related behaviours in children (2014-2020) and association with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test during adolescence (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061344
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jan-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Marchant, Emily ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Lowthian, Emily; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Crick, Tom; Swansea University, Department of Education & Childhood Studies Griffiths, Lucy ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Fry, Richard; Swansea University, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Dadaczynski, Kevin; Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Department of Nursing and Health Science; Leuphana University Luneburg Center of Applied Health Sciences Okan, Orkan; Technical University of Munich James, M; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Cowley, Laura; Public Health Wales, Research and Evaluation Division Torabi, Fatemeh; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Data Science Kennedy, Jonathan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Lyons, Ronan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School
Keywords:	Community child health < PAEDIATRICS, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	SCHULARUNE
0	Manuscripts
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
4Z	
43	
44 45	
45 46	
40 47	
47 78	
40	
49 50	
50	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

R. O.

#### **BMJ** Open

Prior health-related behaviours in children (2014-2020) and association with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test during adolescence (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK Emily Marchant<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Emily Lowthian<sup>1</sup>, Tom Crick<sup>3</sup>, Lucy Griffiths<sup>1</sup>, Richard Fry<sup>1,2</sup>, Kevin Dadaczynski<sup>4,5</sup>, Orkan Okan<sup>6</sup>, Michaela James<sup>1,2</sup>, Laura Cowley<sup>2,7</sup> Fatemeh Torabi<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Kennedy<sup>1,2</sup>, Ashley Akbari<sup>1</sup>, Ronan Lyons<sup>1</sup>, Sinead Brophy<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Population Data Science, Medical School, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup> National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup> Department of Education & Childhood Studies, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> Department of Nursing and Health Science, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda, 36037, Germany <sup>5</sup> Center for Applied Health Science, Leuphana University Lueneburg, Lueneburg, 21335, Germany <sup>6</sup> Chair Health Literacy, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University Munich, Uptown München-Campus D, 4. OG, OfficeL412, Georg-Brauchle-Ring 60/62, 80992 München, Germany <sup>7</sup> Research and Evaluation Division, Public Health Knowledge and Research Directorate, Public Health Wales, 2 Capital Quarter, Cardiff, CF10 4BZ, United Kingdom \* Corresponding author: Emily Marchant <sup>1,2</sup>, email: E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk Word count: 3,809

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# ABSTRACT

## **Objectives**

Examine if prior health-related behaviours during primary school are associated with being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive during adolescence.

## Design

Retrospective cohort study using an online cohort survey (1 April 2014 to 28 February 2020) linked to routine PCR SARS-CoV-2 test results (1 March 2020 to 31 August 2021)

## Setting

Children attending primary schools in Wales (2014-2020), UK who were part of the HAPPEN schools network.

## Participants

Complete linked records of eligible participants were obtained for n=6,891 individuals. 43.2% (n=3,021) were tested (baseline age 12.3 $\pm$ 2.0, 48% boys) and 11.2% (n=774) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (baseline age 12.8 $\pm$ 2.1, 43.9% boys).

#### Main outcome measures

Logistic regression of health-related behaviours and sex, age, deprivation, clustered by school was used to determine Odds Ratios (OR) of factors associated with being tested for or testing positive for SARS-CoV-2.

#### Results

Sleeping 9+ hours (OR=1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.29), participating in 3+ out of school clubs (OR=1.15, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.31), able to swim (OR=1.29, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.52) and ride a bike (OR=1.16, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.37, p<0.1) were associated with being tested for SARS-CoV-2. Participating in 3+ out of school clubs (OR=1.12, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.56), able to ride a bike (OR=1.36, 95% CI 0.97 to 1.92, p<0.1), sex (girl; OR=1.25, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.47) and baseline age (OR=1.16, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.22) were associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive (OR=1.16, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.22).

### Conclusions

Actions associated with a child being PCR-tested and identified as positive may be related to parental health literacy e.g. parents recognising symptoms, knowledge of testing services. Identification of adolescent positive cases may be highly skewed towards children whose parents have higher health literacy. As those not accessing testing services remain undetected true rates of COVID-19 are not known in adolescence.

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- First study to investigate association of prior child health-related behaviour measures with subsequent SARS-CoV-2 testing and infection during adolescence.
- Reporting of multiple child health behaviours linked at an individual-level to routine records of SARS-CoV-2 testing data through the SAIL Databank.

- Child-reported health behaviours were measured before the COVID-19 pandemic and represent historical health behaviour which may not reflect behaviours during COVID-19.
- Health behaviours captured through the national-scale HAPPEN survey represent children attending schools that engaged with the HAPPEN Wales primary school network which may not be representative of the whole population of Wales.
- The period of study for PCR-testing for and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 includes a time frame with varying prevalence rates, different variants, approaches to testing children, public health measures and restrictions which were not measured in this study.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in widespread disruption to the lives of children across the world, defined by the *Convention on the Rights of the Child* as a person under the age of 18 years[1]. This has impacted on their education and health-related behaviours such as nutrition and physical activity[2]. While a growing body of literature suggests children display fewer clinical symptoms[3], the COVID-19 pandemic has contributed to widened inequalities in children's health, wellbeing and education[4,5]. Positive SARS-CoV-2 tests require periods of self-isolation, impacting children's physical health and wellbeing, limiting opportunities for children to engage in health-promoting behaviours such as regular physical activity[6].

Childhood is a critical developmental period during which healthy habits are formed which transcend into adolescence, recognised by the World Health Organization as those aged between 10 and 19 years (early; 10-14 years, middle; 15-17 years, late; 18+), and into adulthood[7]. It is important to minimise the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in children and adolescents to prevent further exacerbation of pre-existing inequalities and safeguard their health, wellbeing and education, alongside reducing wider societal transmission.

Evidence has demonstrated the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children's health-related behaviours including reduced physical activity, increased sedentary behaviour and poorer nutrition[4,6]. However, it is unclear if this association is bidirectional, that is, whether these health behaviours may also be associated with risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Evidence suggests a plausible relationship between health risk behaviours such as

#### **BMJ** Open

physical inactivity, poor nutrition and inadequate sleep with SARS-CoV-2 infection and severity of disease, attributed to immune system function and cardiometabolic health[8–11]. However, the focus of research to date has been adult populations, exploring single health behaviours or examining those with severe COVID-19 infection and hospitalisation[12,13].

Profiling research within the childhood population has generally centred on identifying the clinical characterisation of infection, with further attention to those with serious infection requiring hospital admission[14,15]. Whilst serious COVID-19 illness in children is relatively rare, mild or asymptomatic infection is common[16]. Furthermore, while there is a rollout of vaccination programmes throughout the adult population in the UK, the vaccination programme for 12–15-year-olds is currently in its early stages. Children below the age of 12 that are not clinically vulnerable are yet to be offered the first dose of vaccination in the UK as of January 2022.

Identifying the prior health-related behavioural characteristics of children and adolescents subsequently requiring a SARS-CoV-2 test or testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection could yield insight into the clustering of health behaviours during childhood and adolescence and subsequent infection risk during the current COVID-19 pandemic and future pandemic/endemic scenarios. This can also allow targeted intervention to minimise transmission risk that complements national public health measures and guidelines, and importantly, mitigate the disruption to children's lives. In Wales, one of the four nations of the UK, approaches to performing PCR tests on children include the presence of COVID-19 symptoms, if identified as a close contact to a positive case (e.g. household contacts), or following a positive lateral flow test (e.g. showing symptoms and having a positive lateral flow test performed in the home).

#### **BMJ** Open

This study investigates the association of prior health-related behaviours self-reported by children aged 8-11 years during primary school before the COVID-19 pandemic between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020, with the odds of having a test and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 during adolescence (aged 10-19). We aim to examine whether these self-reported markers of health-related behaviours during primary school are associated with likelihood of; i) being tested for SARS-CoV-2 (e.g. presence of symptoms) and ii) testing positive for SARSt peı. CoV-2 during the adolescent period (aged 10-19 years), between 1 March 2020 and 31 August

2021.

# **METHODS**

#### Study design

This study was conducted through the HAPPEN primary school network (Health and Attainment of Pupils in a Primary Education Network)[17]. HAPPEN was established in Wales, UK in 2014, following research with headteachers who advocated for increased collaboration to prioritise pupils' health and wellbeing [18,19]. The network brings together primary schools with research and runs up to the current date. School participation in HAPPEN is voluntary and is either once, annually or bi-annually (e.g. to evaluate school-based interventions). Through HAPPEN, children aged 8-11 (years 4 to 6) complete the HAPPEN survey, an online cohort survey that captures a range of validated self-reported health behaviours including physical activity, nutrition and sleep[20]. Retrospective health-related behaviour data were obtained from responses from the HAPPEN survey between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020. These retrospective survey responses were linked with polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

SARS-CoV-2 test results obtained from the Pathology COVID-19 Daily (PATD) routine dataset between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Linkage was performed using the SAIL (*Secure Anonymised Information Linkage*) Databank[21–23]. Data were linked at the individual level using an Anonymous Linkage Field (ALF) to identify participants and link SARS-CoV-2 test results (figure 1). The RECORD checklist[24] for this study is presented in online supplemental appendix 1.

#### **Ethics**

Ethical approval was granted by the Swansea University Medical School Research Ethics Committee (2017-0033H). Electronic data (survey responses) were stored in passwordprotected files only accessible to the research team. The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank and are subject to review by an independent Information Governance Review Panel (IGRP), to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. Before any data can be accessed, approval must be received from the IGRP. When access has been approved, it is accessed through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed by anyone who would like to access data. This study has been approved by the SAIL IGRP (project reference: 0911).

#### The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data

Primary schools in Wales, UK were invited to participate in the HAPPEN survey between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020 via a number of methods including email, social

#### **BMJ** Open

media promotion and through stakeholders in health and education (including local authority health and wellbeing teams, regional education consortia). Schools were invited to share details of the survey with parents/guardians (including information sheets). To participate in the HAPPEN survey and link data to routine records, child assent was required in addition to parental consent (between 2014 to 2018) and opt-out parental consent (2019 onwards).

The HAPPEN survey is completed by children aged 8-11 as a self-guided activity within the school setting as a classroom activity with supervision from a teacher/teaching assistant. The survey takes approximately 30 minutes to complete and includes validated self-report measures of typical health behaviours including physical activity, screen time, nutrition, sleep and wellbeing[20]. A full copy of the survey can be found in online supplemental appendix 2 and items, response categories and the coding framework included within analyses in online supplemental appendix 3.

The process of data coding involved two researchers. The first (MJ) cleaned the raw data (including checking for duplicate entries), removed identifiable information and generated a unique participant ID number to protect participants' anonymity. The second (EM) researcher coded the anonymised raw dataset using STATA (version 16) to produce a dataset for analyses. This HAPPEN dataset was uploaded to the SAIL Databank, a trusted research environment (TRE) containing individual-level anonymised population-scale data sources about the population of Wales that enables secure data linkage and analysis for research, to be linked with SARS-CoV-2 testing data from the PATD dataset. To link the data, the person-based identifiable data are separated from the survey data and sent to a trusted third party, Digital Health and Care Wales (the national organisation that designs and builds digital services for health and social care in Wales). The survey data is sent to SAIL using a secure file upload. A unique Anonymous Linking Field (ALF) is assigned to the person-based

record before it is joined to clinical data via a system linking field. The ALF was used to link records at the individual level between the HAPPEN dataset and PATD dataset containing PCR testing data. This dataset was accessible to authors listed from the Population Data Science group, Swansea University.

#### Quantitative analysis

The primary outcomes were i) whether the child was PCR tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus and ii) whether the child had a positive SARS-CoV-2 test between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Eligibility criteria (see cohort flow diagram, Figure 1) within final analyses models were any unique participant with complete linked survey and routine records, aged at least 10 years on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest) (n=6,891). Inclusion dates of survey responses for analyses were between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020. Logistic regression analyses, adjusting for confounding variables (sex, age on 1 March 2020, area-level deprivation using the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD)[25] (version 2019) and clustered by school (to account for differences between schools), determined Odds Ratios (OR) for i) being PCR tested for SARS-CoV-2 virus (1; tested at least once for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021, 0; no evidence of SARS-CoV-2 test during period of interest) and ii) having a positive PCR SARS-CoV-2 test (1; testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, 0; no evidence of PCR test).

Independent variables as measures of typical health-related behaviours included within analyses were obtained retrospectively from the HAPPEN survey, completed between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020 (online supplemental appendix 3). Health-related

Page 13 of 54

#### **BMJ** Open

behaviour measures included in multivariable analyses related to the behaviours from the previous day (ate breakfast, travel actively to and/or from school, ate at least five portions of fruit/vegetables, twice-daily toothbrushing, slept at least nine hours), behaviours every day the previous seven days (physically active at least 60 minutes, sedentary/screen time at least two hours, felt tired, ate a sugary snack), participate in at least three out of school clubs, can ride a bike and can swim 25 metres[20]. For the purpose of analyses, survey items with multiple category responses (e.g. active travel to school response categories: walked, on bike, ran/jogged, scooter, skateboard/rollerblade assigned as binary active travel) or continuous numerical values (e.g. out of school clubs assigned as binary value indicating participation in at least three clubs) were assigned binary values. A list of variables included in analyses, coding response categories and a coding framework is presented in online supplemental appendix 3. Independent variables were first entered concurrently and examined for association with outcomes. Then a process of backward stepwise selection was manually followed to build the final regression models. This involved the inclusion of all variables within the initial model, followed by the individual removal of the least significant variables until no nonsignificant variables at the 10% level remained within the model.

Figure 1: Cohort flow diagram

#### Patient and public involvement

The SAIL Databank has a Consumer Panel that provides the public's perspective on data linkage research. The Panel members are involved in all elements of the SAIL Databank

process, from developing ideas, advising on bids through approval processes (via the independent Information Governance Review Panel), to disseminating research findings. For more information visit https://saildatabank.com/about-us/public-engagement/.

Survey responses were obtained from n=11,339 participants (figure 1), of which n=1,101 were duplicate participants (occasions of multiple survey entries). In the case of duplicates, the first instance of survey participation was used and later responses excluded to create a dataset consisting of unique participants (n=10,238). Inclusion dates of survey responses for analyses were between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020. Participants with survey responses outside the period of interest were excluded (n=248 excluded, March 2020). Age criteria within final analyses was aged at least 10 years on 1 March 2020 (n=3,099 excluded, aged <10 years). Complete linked unique records of participants meeting eligibility criteria were obtained for n=6,891 individuals. Of the total sample, 43.2% (n=3,021) were PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 (table 1) and 11.2% (n=774) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (table 2). The mean age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest) was 12.3 (±2.0) for those PCRtested (table 1) and 12.8 (±2.1) for those who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (table 2). As the adolescence period spans a range of ages, we have presented a breakdown of distribution of developmental stage on 1 March 2020 for testing for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 in table 1 and table 2 respectively. Of the total sample, 86% (n=5,927) were aged between 10-14 (early adolescence) 14% aged 15-17 (middle adolescence) and 0% in late adolescence. Complete case analyses are presented.

	Tested for SARS-	Not tested for
	CoV-2	SARS-CoV-2
	n (%)	n (%)
Sample	43.8% (3,021)	56.2% (3,870)

Age at the time HAPPEN survey		$10.5\pm0.6$	$10.5\pm0.6$
Age on 1 March 2020 (start		$12.3\pm2.0$	$12.2\pm1.9$
of period of interest)			
Age on 1 March 2020 (start		$12.3\pm2.0$	$\textbf{12.2} \pm \textbf{1.9}$
of period of interest)			
	Early	85.1% (2,570)	86.7% (3 <i>,</i> 357)
	adolescence		
	(10-14 years)	4.4.00( (45.4)	40.00/ (540)
		14.9% (451)	13.3% (513)
	adolescence		
	(15-17 years)	0	0
	Late	0	0
Cau	(18+ years)	49.00/ (1.440)	40 40/ (1 071)
JEX	Girl	48.0% (1,449)	40.4% (1,8/1)
	Missing	3U.3% (1,53/)	50.9% (1,970)
W/MAD yordian 2010	iviissing	1.2% (35)	U.0% (29)
winvid version 2019	I (most	22.3% (0/5)	23.8% (919)
quintiles		16 20/ (400)	15.00/ (614)
	2	10.2% (488)	13.9% (014)
	3	10.1% (487)	11.5% (0/8)
			11.0% (440)
	<b>5</b> (least	21.7% (055)	19.9% (770)
	Missing	11 70/ (220)	11 10/ (111)
	Broviou	11.2% (556)	11.4% (441)
Ato broakfast		91.0% (2.750)	90 1% (3 520)
Ale breukjust	No	7 50/ (2,750)	7 69/ (202)
	Missing	1.5% (227)	1.0% (292)
Active travel to school	Vos	20 5% (1 101)	1.5% (56)
Active traver to school	Tes	59.5% (1,194)	41.8% (1,017)
	No	58.9% (1,779)	56.7% (2,194)
	Missing	1.6% (48)	1.5% (59)
Active travel from school	Yes	44.7% (1,349)	45.8% (1,771)
	No	53.8% (1,626)	52.7% (2,040)
	Missing	1.5% (46)	1.5% (59)
Toothbrush ≥two times	Yes	79.3% (2,396)	78.2% (3,025)
	No	19.1% (577)	20.2% (782)
	Missing	1.6% (48)	1.6% (63)
Consumed ≥five fruit & veg	Yes	23.7% (717)	24.0% (930)
	No	74.8% (2,261)	74.4% (1.6%)
	Missing	1.4% (43)	1.6% (61)
Sleep ≥nine hours	Yes	78.0% (2,357)	76.6% (2,963)
	No	19.8% (599)	21.4% (829)
	Missing	2.2% (65)	2.0% (78)

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20 21
∠ I 22
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
21
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

Previous 7 days					
Physically active ≥60 mins	Yes	21.2% (640)	20.8% (805)		
every day	No	77.3% (2,335)	77.7% (3,008)		
	Missing	1.5% (46)	1.5% (57)		
Sedentary/screen time ≥2	Yes	30.5% (922)	32.4% (1,252)		
hours every day	No	68.0% (2,053)	66.2% (2,561)		
	Missing	1.5% (46)	1.5% (57)		
Tired every day	Yes	15.2% (460)	15.1% (584)		
	No	83.3% (2,515)	83.4% (3,229)		
	Missing	1.5% (46)	1.5% (57)		
Sugary snack every day	Yes	18.0% (545)	18.4% (710)		
	No	80.4% (2,430)	80.2% (3,103)		
	Missing	1.5% (46)	1.5% (57)		
General					
Participate in $\geq$ three out of	Yes	35.9% (1,083)	32.0% (1,239)		
school clubs per week	No	57.2% (1,729)	61.6% (2,382)		
	Missing	6.9% (209)	6.4% (249)		
Can ride a bike	Yes	89.7% (2,711)	87.7% (3,394)		
	No	8.5% (257)	10.6% (409)		
	Missing	1.8% (53)	1.7% (67)		
Can swim 25m	Yes	81.0% (2,446)	76.4% (2,955)		
	No	17.3% (523)	22.0% (853)		
	Missing	1.7% (52)	1.6% (62)		

See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook.

# Table 2: Descriptive statistics of study sample by PCR-tested positive for SARS-CoV-2.

		Tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 n (%)	No evidence of positive SARS- CoV-2 test n (%)
Sample		11.2% (774)	88.8% (6,117)
Age at the time HAPPEN survey		$10.5\pm0.7$	$10.5\pm0.6$
Age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest)		$12.8\pm2.1$	$12.2\pm1.9$
Sex	Воу	43.9% (340)	48.7% (2,980)
	Girl	55.6% (430)	50.3% (3 <i>,</i> 077)
	Missing	0.5% (<5)	1.0% (60)
WIMD version 2019 quintiles	<b>1</b> (most deprived)	25.7% (199)	22.8% (1,395)
	2	13.7% (106)	16.3% (996)
	3	18.7% (145)	16.7% (1,020)
	4	9.8% (76)	12.3% (750)

2
з
1
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
27
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
27
5Z
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40
47
48
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
50

	5 (least	22.0% (170)	20.5% (1,255)
	deprived)		
	Missing	10.1% (78)	11.5% (701)
	Previous da	ay	
Ate breakfast	Yes	91.5% (708)	90.9% (5,562)
	No	6.7% (52)	7.6% (467)
	Missing	1.8% (14)	1.4% (88)
Active travel to school	Yes	37.9% (293)	41.2% (2,518)
	No	60.2% (466)	57.3% (3,07)
	Missing	1.9% (15)	1.5% (92)
Active travel from school	Yes	43.7% (338)	45.5% (2,782)
	No	54.3% (420)	53.1% (3,246)
	Missing	2.1% (16)	1.5% (89)
Toothbrush≥two times	Yes	80.5% (623)	78.4% (4,798)
	No	17.6% (136)	20.0% (1,223)
	Missing	1.9% (15)	1.6% (96)
Consumed ≥five fruit & veg	Yes	26.2% (203)	23.6% (1,444)
	No	72.0% (557)	74.9% (4,583)
	Missing	1.8% (14)	1.5% (90)
Sleep ≥nine hours	Yes	78.4% (607)	77.1% (4,713)
	No	18.6% (144)	21.0 (1,284)
	Missing	3.0% (23)	2.0% (120)
	Previous 7 d	ays	
Physically active ≥60 mins	Yes	21.8% (169)	20.9% (1,276)
every day	No	76.1% (589)	77.7% (4,754)
	Missing	2.1% (16)	1.4% (87)
Sedentary/screen time $\geq 2$	Yes	30.5% (236)	31.7% (1,938)
hours every day	No	67.4% (522)	66.9% (4,092)
	Missing	2.1% (16)	1.4% (87)
Tired every day	Yes	12.4% (96)	15.5% (948)
	No	85.5% (662)	83.1% (5,082)
	Missing	2.1% (16)	1.4% (87)
Sugary snack every day	Yes	17.3% (134)	18.3% (1,121)
	No	80.6% (624)	80.3% (4,909)
	Missing	2.1% (16)	1.4% (87)
	General		
Participate in $\geq$ three out of	Yes	39.0% (302)	33.0% (2,020)
school clubs per week	No	53.1% (411)	60.5% (3,700)
	Missing	7.9% (61)	6.5% (397)
Can ride a bike	Yes	90.8% (703)	88.3% (5,402)
	No	6.9% (53)	10.0% (613)
	Missing	2.3% (18)	1.7% (102)
Can swim 25m	Yes	82.2% (636)	77.9% (4,765)
	No	15.5% (120)	20.5% (1,256)
	Missing	2.3% (18)	1.6% (96)
	-		. ,

See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook.

Children reporting to sleep at least nine hours (OR=1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.29), participate in at least three out of school clubs (OR=1.15, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.31), able to ride a bike (10% significance level) and able to swim 25 metres (OR=1.29, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.52) showed an increased likelihood of being tested for SARS-CoV-2 (table 3). The model showed a low goodness-of-fit ( $R^2$ =0.006). See online supplemental appendix 4 for multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of being PCR-testing for SARS-

CoV-2.

Table 3: Backward stepwise logistic regression model of significant (p<0.1) health behaviour markers and probability of being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.

PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 (0; no, 1; yes) Number of obs=5,581 R <sup>2</sup> =0.006	OR	Р	95% CI	
Sleep ≥ nine hours	1.15	0.028	1.01 to 1.29	
Participate in ≥three out of school clubs per week	1.15	0.023	1.02 to 1.31	
Can ride a bike	1.16	0.095	0.98 to 1.37	
Can swim 25m	1.29	0.002	1.10 to 1.52	
Age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest)	1.02	0.328	0.98 to 1.05	
Sex (girl)	0.97	0.591	0.86 to 1.09	
WIMD quintile 2	1.10	0.303	0.92 to 1.31	
3	0.96	0.626	0.80 to 1.14	
4	1.10	0.394	🔨 0.89 to 1.36	
5 (least deprived)	1.04	0.577	0.90 to 1.22	

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals. See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook. Low correlation between variables (coefficients -0.10 to 0.21).

Children who participated in at least three out of school clubs (OR=1.12, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.56) and being able to ride a bike (OR=1.36, 95% Cl 0.97 to 1.92, p<0.1) were associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, whilst reporting to feel tired (OR=0.78, 95% Cl 0.61 to 0.98) was associated with a reduced likelihood (table 4). Age on 1 March 2020 (OR=1.16, 95% Cl 1.10 to 1.22) and sex (girl; OR=1.25, 95% Cl 1.06 to 1.47) was associated

with an increased likelihood of testing positive (table 4). Those in WIMD quintile 2 (OR=0.80, 95% CI 0.62 to 1.03) and quintile 4 (OR=0.78, 95% C 0.58 to 1.04) were less likely (p<0.1) to test positive compared to quintile 1 (most deprived) (table 4). The model showed a low goodness-of-fit ( $R^2$ =0.02). There was very low correlation between independent variables in backward stepwise regression models (table 3 and table 4) with coefficient values ranging from -0.10 to 0.21 and -0.08 to 0.15 respectively. See online supplemental appendix 5 for multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-testing positive for SARS-CoV-2.

Table 4: Backward stepwise logistic regression model of significant (p<0.1) health behaviour markers and probability PCR-testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.

PCR-test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (0; no, 1; yes) Number of obs=5,616 R <sup>2</sup> =0.02	OR	Ρ	95% CI
Tired every day previous week	0.78	0.032	0.61 to 0.98
Participate in $\geq$ three out of school clubs per week	1.26	0.031	1.02 to 1.56
Can ride a bike	1.36	0.079	0.97 to 1.92
Age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest)	1.16	0.000	1.10 to 1.22
Sex (girl)	1.25	0.005	1.06 to 1.47
WIMD quintile 2	0.80	0.084	0.62 to 1.03
3	1.05	0.686	0.82 to 1.34
4	0.78	0.093	0.58 to 1.04
5 (least deprived)	0.86	0.193	0.68 to 1.08

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals. See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook. Low correlation between variables (coefficients -0.08 to 0.15).

# DISCUSSION

This study aims to examine whether markers of health-related behaviours reported by children during primary school between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020 is associated with the likelihood of being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 (e.g. presence of symptoms) and testing positive between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021 during adolescence (10-19 years). This study did not find evidence that reporting positive health-related behaviours is associated with a reduced odds of being tested or testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Findings suggest that reporting the recommended level of sleep (at least nine hours), participating in at least three out of school clubs, being able to ride a bike and being able to swim 25 metres were associated with an increased likelihood of being tested for SARS-CoV-2. Participating in at least three out of school clubs and being able to ride a were associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, whilst reporting to feel tired every day was associated with a reduced likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Girls and older age were was associated with increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Those living in WIMD quintiles 2 and 4 were less likely to test positive compared to those living in the most deprived quintile (quintile 1) (10% significance level).

Detecting positive SARS-CoV-2 cases through testing and adhering to self-isolation is an important strategy in reducing community transmission[26]. The majority of children in this study (86%) were in the early adolescence stage (10-14) at the baseline date, with the remaining 14% in middle adolescence (15-17 years). The detection of child positive cases using routine PCR testing data in this study requires a parent/guardian to take the child for testing and thus relies on parental/caregiver influence and involvement. We find associations between child-reported health-related behaviours with both PCR-testing for SARS-CoV-2 and

#### **BMJ** Open

testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. We theorise that parents who have higher levels of health literacy are more likely to take their child for a SARS-CoV-2 test and are then more likely to be detected as positive. Parenting is an important contributor to promoting positive health behaviours in children, and is represented by a constellation of attitudes, behaviours and values for the child. Indeed, monitoring behaviours occur and our study suggests that these actions associated with a parent taking a child for a SARS-CoV-2 test represent parental health literacy, for example through ensuring the child has a sleep routine[27]. The clustering of physically active behaviours represented by the association of being able to swim and ride a bike may represent underlying parental involvement and modelling behaviour, including involvement in leisure time activities, providing financial and transport provision to attend organised activities such as access to swimming lessons and the provision of equipment[28]. This may also have a socioeconomic component, building on the ideas of Bourdieu in terms of social capital, and access to classes and health enhancing material items[29].

The detection of positive child cases also relies on parents recognising symptoms, knowledge of how to access testing services, ability to access services (e.g. transport) and willingness to provide personal information for test and trace services. This is likely influenced by parental health literacy, recognised as the ability to access, understand, interpret and apply medical information and make informed decisions regarding medical advice, issues or guidelines[30]. Parental health literacy impacts the decision a parent makes relating to their child[31] and is correlated with a number of health indicators including knowledge of health and health services, and the parent and child engaging in health-promoting behaviours[30,32]. Therefore, these findings suggest that the tracking and reporting of SARS-CoV-2 in children and adolescents may be highly skewed towards children whose parents are health literate, and those not accessing testing services remain undetected.

#### **BMJ** Open

In addition, it will also be important to consider the mechanism of parental involvement and health literacy in the context of child vaccination. The COVID-19 vaccine has been approved to children aged 12 and above in the UK, with trials currently underway to examine the vaccine response within children aged 5-11 (approved in for example the USA and Israel)[33]. High population-level vaccine uptake is a primary strategy for many governments globally. Thus, the findings in this study suggest future investment should be made to address parental health literacy and its influence on child COVID-19 vaccine uptake. This research has implications for informing public health practice and emerging policy by integrating the views of parents, children and young people to the design of testing services and future vaccination programmes.

Previous research examining transmission in school-aged children found SARS-CoV-2 infections within the household to be the strongest predictor for a subsequent positive SARS-CoV-2 test[34]. Findings in this study that girls are more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 may suggest sex differences between household contact patterns including more repeated, extended contact with household members by girls. This is supported by a study in the United States that finds sex differences between patterns of social interaction in the home, with adolescent females (15 to 19 years) having higher mean number of household member contacts and mean total contact duration[35]. Previous research also demonstrates assortative mixing patterns by age, with the highest frequency of contacts by those aged 10-19 with individuals of the same age group, though this is not stratified by sex[36]. Further research is required in the context of COVID-19 to examine sex differences of adolescent contact patterns in areas of high frequency such as the school setting.

Regarding the association of increasing age with likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 in this study, a systematic review and meta-analysis by Viner and colleagues determined that whilst children younger than 10 to 14 years have lower susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 transmission, susceptibility by adolescents may be similar to that of adults[3]. Our findings to do not show an area-level social gradient. Those in WIMD quintile 2 and 4 were less likely (10% significance level) to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared to the most deprived quintile. Whilst it is possible that children mixing in the school setting are in contact with children residing in different area-level quintiles, this finding may reflect community prevalence which was not captured in the current study.

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

Strengths of this study include the reporting of multiple child health behaviours, and the use of individual-level linked routine records of SARS-CoV-2 testing data through the SAIL Databank. This is the first study to investigate association of prior child health-related behaviour measures with subsequent SARS-CoV-2 testing and infection during adolescence in Wales and highlights the importance of targeting and improving parental health literacy to increase uptake of appropriate testing and vaccinations among children. The child-reported health behaviours captured in this study were measured before the COVID-19 pandemic and represent historical health behaviour which may not reflect the child's lifestyle behaviours during COVID-19. It is possible that these behaviours were disrupted due to public health measures in place to reduce transmission. Health behaviours captured through the nationalscale HAPPEN survey represent children attending schools that engaged with the HAPPEN Wales primary school network. This may not be representative of the whole population of Wales. The period of study for PCR-testing for and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 includes a time frame with varying approaches to testing children, public health measures and

**BMJ** Open

restrictions such as prolonged school closures, schools reopening and other measures to minimise contacts which were not measured in this study. As a result, contacts with infectious people and risk of transmission are likely to have varied. Rates of SARS-CoV-2 transmission also varied during this study, and this study does not differentiate between variants with higher or lower transmissibility, or vaccination status.

# CONCLUSION

This study did not find evidence that reporting health-promoting behaviours (e.g. fruit and vegetable consumption, regular physical activity or meeting sleep guidelines) prior to the COVID-19 pandemic reduced the likelihood of having symptoms of COVID-19 (measured as being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2) or having a positive test. Instead, this study suggests that actions associated with a child being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and being identified as positive related to health-promoting behaviours may be a proxy of parental health literacy and monitoring behaviours. Further research is required to examine parental health literacy and monitoring behaviours in the context of testing for SARS-CoV-2. Adhering to public health guidance, social distancing, reducing number of contacts and having the vaccine remain the primary means of minimising infection risk. The first vaccine doses are currently being offered to children aged 12-15. Children below the age of 12 are not currently offered first doses. In order to minimise the widespread disruption to children's lives through COVID-19 infection, expanding the vaccination programme to primary school-aged children, community testing, accompanied by following public health guidance reflective of current community transmission rates is important. Based on the proposed theory in this study of the influence parental health literacy on uptake of SARS-CoV-2 testing and the detection of positive cases,

it is also important to consider this in the context of vaccinating children. This study suggests that we do not know the true rates of COVID-19 in children and adolescents as they are dependent on their parent taking them for testing and this may be determined by the parent's health literacy and understanding.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participating primary schools and pupils that took part in this study. This work was supported by the National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research through the HAPPEN network. This study makes use of anonymised data held in the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank. We would like to acknowledge all the data providers who make anonymised data available for research. We would also like to thank Dr Annemarie Docherty and Dr Olivia Swann from The University of Edinburgh for providing informal peer review input to the final draft.

#### Availability for data and materials

The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank at Swansea University, Swansea, UK. All proposals to use SAIL data are subject to review by an IGRP. Before any data can be accessed, approval must be given by the IGRP. The IGRP gives careful consideration to each project to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. When access has been approved, it is gained through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed

**BMJ** Open

by anyone who would like to access data via SAIL <u>https://www.saildatabank.com/application-</u> process. This study has been approved by the IGRP as project 0911.

## Funding

The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) funded the development of the HAPPEN network (grant number: ES/J500197/1) which this research was conducted through. The National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research (NCPHWR) funded by Health and Care Research Wales provided infrastructural support for this work. This work was supported by the Con-COV team funded by the Medical Research Council (grant number: MR/V028367/1). This work was supported by Health Data Research UK, which receives its funding from HDR UK Ltd (HDR-9006) funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation (BHF) and the Wellcome Trust. This work was a collaboration with the ADR Wales programme of work. ADR Wales is part of the Economic and Social Research Council (part of UK Research and Innovation) funded ADR UK (grant ES/S007393/1). This work was supported by the Wales COVID-19 Evidence Centre, funded by Health and Care Research Wales and by the COVID-19 Longitudinal Health and Wellbeing National Core Study funded by the Medical Research Council (MC PC 20030).

#### **Transparency statement**

The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Contributorship statement

EM and SB conceptualised the study design. EM and JK curated the data. EM performed the statistical analysis, undertook the initial interpretation of the data and was responsible for the original draft. EL and SB contributed to the writing of the manuscript. EL, LC, JK, RL and SB provided critical interpretation of the data. The manuscript was critically reviewed and edited by all authors. EM is the guarantor. AD, RL and OS critically reviewed the final manuscript.

### References

 UNICEF. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. 2004. doi:10.1093/tropej/fmp024
 OECD. Combatting COVID-19's effect on children - OECD. 2020.https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/combatting-covid-19-s-

#### **BMJ** Open

2		
3		effect-on-children-2e1f3b2f/ (accessed 1 Oct 2021).
5		
6 7	3	Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection among
8 9		Children and Adolescents Compared with Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-
10 11 12		analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2020. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573
13 14	4	James M, Marchant E, Defeyter MA, et al. Impact of school closures on the health and
15 16 17		well-being of primary school children in Wales UK: a routine data linkage study using
18 19		the HAPPEN Survey (2018-2020). BMJ Open 2021;11. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2021-
20 21 22		051574
23 24	5	Marchant E, Todd C, James M, et al. Primary school staff perspectives of school closures
25 26 27		due to COVID-19, experiences of schools reopening and recommendations for the
27 28 29		future: A qualitative survey in Wales. <i>PLoS One</i> 2021; <b>16</b> :e0260396.
30 31		doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0260396
32 33 34	6	Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on
35 36		movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. Int
37 38 39		J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8
40 41	7	Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, et al. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from
42 43 44		childhood to adulthood: A systematic review. <i>Maturitas</i> 2011; <b>70</b> :266–84.
45 46		doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.08.005
47 48 40	8	Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing
50 51		Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020; <b>15</b> :58. doi:10.5334/gh.879
52 53	9	Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, et al. Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initial findings on
54 55 56		the pandemic Journal of Health Monitoring 2. J Heal Monit 2020;2020:1-14.
57 58		doi:10.25646/7055
59 60	10	Childs CE, Calder PC, Miles EA. Diet and Immune Function. Nutrients 2019;11.

**BMJ** Open

doi:10.3390/nu11081933

- 11 Lange KW, Nakamura Y. Lifestyle factors in the prevention of COVID-19. *Glob Heal J* 2020;**4**:146–52. doi:10.1016/J.GLOHJ.2020.11.002
- Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale C, *et al.* Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory mechanisms, and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based cohort study of 387,109 adults in UK. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;87:184–7. doi:10.1016/J.BBI.2020.05.059
- 13 Lee SW, Lee J, Moon SY, *et al.* Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;**0**:18. doi:10.1136/BJSPORTS-2021-104203
- Zhou B, Yuan Y, Wang S, *et al.* Risk profiles of severe illness in children with COVID-19:
  a meta-analysis of individual patients. *Pediatr Res 2021 902* 2021;90:347–52.
  doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01429-2
- 15 Shekerdemian LS, Mahmood NR, Wolfe KK, *et al.* Characteristics and Outcomes of Children With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection Admitted to US and Canadian Pediatric Intensive Care Units. *JAMA Pediatr* 2020;**174**:868–73. doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1948
- Castagnoli R, Votto M, Licari A, *et al.* Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
  (SARS-CoV-2) Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Pediatr* 2020;**174**:882–9. doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1467
- 17 Todd, C., Christian, D., Tyler, R., Stratton, G., Brophy S. Developing HAPPEN (Health and Attainment of Pupils involved in a Primary Education Network): working in partnership to improve child health and education. *Perspect Public Health* 2016;**136**:115–6.
- 18 Christian D, Todd C, Davies H, *et al.* Community led active schools programme (CLASP) exploring the implementation of health interventions in primary schools:

**BMJ** Open

2 3 4		headteachers' perspectives. BMC Public Health 2015;15:238. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-
5 6 7		1557-0
8 9	19	Todd C, Christian D, Davies H, et al. Headteachers' prior beliefs on child health and their
10 11 12		engagement in school based health interventions: a qualitative study. BMC Res Notes
13 14		2015; <b>18</b> :161. doi:10.1186/s13104-015-1091-2
15 16	20	Everson B, Mackintosh KA, McNarry MA, et al. Can Wearable Cameras be Used to
17 18 19		Validate School-Aged Children's Lifestyle Behaviours? Children 2019;6:20.
20 21		doi:10.3390/children6020020
22 23 24	21	Ford D V., Jones KH, Verplancke JP, et al. The SAIL Databank: Building a national
25 26		architecture for e-health research and evaluation. BMC Health Serv Res 2009;9.
27 28		doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-157
29 30 31	22	Jones KH, Ford DV, Thompson S, et al. A Profile of the SAIL Databank on the UK Secure
32 33		Research Platform. Int J Popul Data Sci 2019;4. doi:10.23889/ijpds.v4i2.1134
34 35 36	23	Lyons J, Akbari A, Torabi F, et al. Understanding and responding to COVID-19 in Wales:
37 38		protocol for a privacy-protecting data platform for enhanced epidemiology and
39 40 41		evaluation of interventions. BMJ Open 2020;10:43010. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-
42 43		043010
44 45	24	Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, et al. The REporting of studies Conducted using
40 47 48		Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. PLOS Med
49 50		2015; <b>12</b> :e1001885. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1001885
51 52 53	25	Welsh Government. Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation.
54 55		2019.https://gov.wales/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation-full-index-update-ranks-
56 57		2019
59 60	26	Mercer TR, Salit M. Testing at scale during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nat Rev Genet 2021

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

227 2021;22:415-26. doi:10.1038/s41576-021-00360-w

- 27 Pyper E, Harrington D, Manson H. Do parents' support behaviours predict whether or not their children get sufficient sleep? A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2017;**17**. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4334-4
- 28 Edwardson CL, Gorely T. Parental influences on different types and intensities of physical activity in youth: A systematic review. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2010;**11**:522–35. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001
- 29 Bourdieu P. The Forms of Capital. In: *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: : Greenwood 1986. 241–58.
- 30 Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, *et al.* Health literacy and public health: A systematic review and integration of definitions and models. Published Online First: 2012. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-80
- 31 Morrison AK, Glick A, Yin HS. Health Literacy: Implications for Child Health. *Pediatr Rev* 2019;**40**:263–77. doi:10.1542/PIR.2018-0027
- 32 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, *et al.* Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. *Health Promot Int* 2021;**2021**:1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154
- Pfizer. Pfizer and BioNTech Announce Positive Topline Results From Pivotal Trial of
  COVID-19 Vaccine in Children 5 to 11 Years | Pfizer.
  2021.https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-and biontech-announce-positive-topline-results (accessed 10 Dec 2021).
- 34 Thompson DA, Abbasizanjani H, Fry R, *et al.* Staff-pupil SARS-CoV-2 infection pathways in schools in Wales: A population-level linked data approach. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2021;**5**:1049. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001049

1 2		
3 4	35	Dorélien AM, Ramen A, Swanson I, et al. Analyzing the demographic, spatial, and
5 6		temporal factors influencing social contact patterns in U.S. and implications for
7 8 9		infectious disease spread. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21:1-19. doi:10.1186/S12879-021-
9 10 11		06610-W/FIGURES/10
12 13	36	Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, et al. Social contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the
14 15		spread of infectious diseases <i>PLoS Med</i> Published Online First <sup>,</sup> 2008
16 17		dei:10.1271 /isourced.0050074
18 19 20		doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050074
20 21 22		
23 24	Figure	e 1: Cohort flow diagram
25 26		
27 28		
29 30		
31 32 33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
45 46		
47 48		





153x187mm (300 x 300 DPI)
BMJ Open Online supplemental appendix 1: RECORD statement The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items <sup>4</sup> on <sup>7</sup> Septen	Location in manuscript where items are reported
Title and abstract	t			b e	
	1	<ul> <li>(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b)</li> <li>Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found</li> </ul>		RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable the	<ul> <li>1.1: Title and abstract (page 1-2)</li> <li>1.2: Title and abstract (page 1-2)</li> </ul>
		what was found	rrevie	geographic region and timefgame within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between	abstract (page 1- 2)
			.6	this should be clearly stated in the title	
Introduction	1	I			
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported		ebruary 23	Background (page 5-7)
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses		, 2023 by g	Background (page 5-7)
Methods				lues	
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper		t. Prote	Methods - Study design (page 7)
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including		cted by	Methods - Study design (page 7)

			BMJ Open	njope	Page
nline supplementa	l appendix	1: RECORD statement		en-2	
		periods of recruitment, exposure,		022-	
		follow-up, and data collection		06	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the	RECORD 6.1: The me	ethods of study	6.1: Figure 1:
		eligibility criteria, and the	population selection (s	such as codes or	Cohort Flow
		sources and methods of selection	algorithms used to iden	ntify subjects)	Diagram (page
		of participants. Describe	should be listed in deta	ail. If this is not	11)
		methods of follow-up	possible, an explanation	on sho <b>g</b> ild be	
		Case-control study - Give the	provided.	nbe	6.3: Figure 1:
		eligibility criteria, and the	-	r 20	Cohort Flow
		sources and methods of case	RECORD 6.2: Any va	lidation studies	Diagram (page
		ascertainment and control	of the codes or algorith	nms used to	11)
		selection. Give the rationale for	select the population s	hould≸e	
		the choice of cases and controls	referenced. If validation	on wasconducted	
		Cross-sectional study - Give the	for this study and not p	oublished	
		eligibility criteria, and the	elsewhere, detailed me	ethods and results	
		sources and methods of selection	should be provided.	n ht	
		of participants	<u>_</u>	tp://	
			RECORD 6.3: If the st	tudy involved	
		(b) Cohort study - For matched	linkage of databases, c	consider use of a	
		studies, give matching criteria	flow diagram or other	graphical display	
		and number of exposed and	to demonstrate the data	a linkæge	
		unexposed	process, including the	numb <mark>e</mark> r of	
		Case-control study - For	individuals with linked	data at each	
		matched studies, give matching	stage.	ר ד	
		criteria and the number of		ebru	
		controls per case		lary	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes,	RECORD 7.1: A comp	plete list of codes	7.1: Supplementa
		exposures, predictors, potential	and algorithms used to	classify	appendix 3:
		confounders, and effect	exposures, outcomes, o	confounders, and	HAPPEN survey
		modifiers. Give diagnostic	effect modifiers should	d be provided. If	variable codeboo
		criteria, if applicable.	these cannot be reported	ed, ang	
			explanation should be	proviđed.	
Data sources/	8	For each variable of interest,		Prote	Methods - The
measurement		give sources of data and details		ecte	HAPPEN survey
		of methods of assessment		ă b	and linked SAIL
		(measurement).		Ž O	data (Page 8-9)

Online supplementa	l appendix	1: RECORD statement	
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	2-06134
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9 Methods - 7 Quantitative 8 analysis (page 9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Figure 1: Coho Filow diagram (page 11)
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Normal Sector No
Statistical methods	12	<ul> <li>(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding</li> <li>(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions</li> <li>(c) Explain how missing data were addressed</li> <li>(d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed</li> <li><i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed</li> <li><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable methods taking account of sampling strategy</li> <li>(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses</li> </ul>	Methods - Quantitative analysis (page 23, 2023 by guest. Protected by

Online supplemental appendix 1: RECORD 12.1: Authors should cleaning methods        RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.       12.1: Methor The HAPPP survey and SAL data ( 8-9)         Linkage        RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the fata cleaning methods used in the fata provide information on the fata cleaning methods used in the fata study included person-level. get across two or more database the study included person-level. get across two or more database the study included person-level. get across two or more database the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study oppulation should be provided.       13.1: Metho SAL data ( 8-9)         Record 13.1: Describe in factual the survey and J SAL data ( 8-9)       13.1: Metho SAL data ( 8-9)         Record 13.1: Describe in factual the survey and J SAL data ( 8-9)       13.1: Metho Quantitative study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population factual the selection of the persons included persons can be described in the txt and get by means of the study flow diagram. (b) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential conformeders       Results - Ta and table 2 Descriptive statistics (p 12-15)				BMJ Open	5/bmjopen	Page 3
Descriptive data       14       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (participants configured) (c) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (participants configured) (c) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (participants configured) (c) Give reasons for study (c) configured (c)	Online supplemental a	ppendix 1	L: RECORD statement		RECORD 12 1: Authors should	12 1. Methods -
Image       Image <td< td=""><td>cleaning methods</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>describe the extent to which the</td><td>The HAPPEN</td></td<>	cleaning methods				describe the extent to which the	The HAPPEN
Results       Image of the study (e.g., numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, included in the study (e.g., numbers potential) the selection of included pessons can be described in the text and be the selection of and analysed)       Image:	C C				investigators had access to the database	survey and linked
Image       Imagee       Imagee <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>population used to create the study</td><td>SAIL data (page</td></t<>					population used to create the study	SAIL data (page
Linkage        RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study.       12.2: Figure Cohort flow diagram (page 7)         Linkage        RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level g institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databased. The methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.       12.3: Methor Study design (page 7)         Results       Results       13       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study (completing follow-up, and analysed)       RECORD 13.1: Describe in aletail the selection of included persons included in the study (or garant and stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram. participants are data stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (page 1)       13.1: Methor (page 1)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       garanteristics (page 1)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       garanteristics (page 1)         Bescriptive data       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>population.</td> <td>8-9)</td>					population.	8-9)
Results       Image Index of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)       RECORD 13.1: Describe indetail the study analysis (page 7)         Results       Image Interstep Interste						
Linkage        RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level gas institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more database. The methods of linkage quality evaluation signale be survey and linkage quality evaluations signale be survey and linkage quality evaluations signale be survey and linkage quality evaluation signale be survey and linkage quality evaluation signale be survey and linkage quality evaluation signale be survey and linkage quality evaluations detection of the persons included persons can be described in the text and grow diagram.       13.1: Metho Quantitative and the selection of included persons can be described in the text and grow diagram.       13.1: Metho Quantitative and table 2					RECORD 12.2: Authors should	12.2: Figure 1 –
Linkage        Record to the study included person-level grace institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databased. The methods of linkage and linkage. It is study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligiblity, confirmed eligible, included in the study (i.e., study population glection) and analysed (b) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (page 11)       Is and table 2         Descriptive data       14       (a) (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       Results - Ta and table 2         gene       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information o					provide information on the data	Cohort flow
Linkäge        RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level 3 institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and linkage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram linkage (c) Consider	T ' 1				cleaning methods used in the study.	diagram (page 11)
Results       Image: Study included person-level of the study design institutional-level, or other data linkage (page 7) institution-level, or other data linkage (page 7) institutional-l	Linkage				RECORD 12.3: State whether the $\nabla$	12.3: Methods -
Results       individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study (i.e., study population gelection) including filtering based on data analysis (page 1)       13.1: Method SAIL data (B -9)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow diagram       14       (a) Give characteristics of study eligible at an of the study flow eligible at an of the study eligible at an of the study flow eligible at an of the study flow eligible at an of the study e					study included person-level,	Study design
ResultsImage: Construct on the cataloaded of the study of the cataloaded of the study of the study of the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and information on exposures and potential confoundersRECORD 13.1: Describe in gletail the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and information on exposures and potential confounders13.(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and information on exposures and potential confoundersRECORD 13.1: Describe in gletail the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and information on exposures and potential confounders13.1: Metho Quantitative analysis ( <i>p.e.</i> )Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, confoundersThe study flow diagram of the study flow diagramThe study flow diagram of the study flow diagram					across two or more detabased. The	(page 7)
Results       Iteration and analysed       Iteration and analysed       Iteration and analysed         Participants       13       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study (i.e., study population gelection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and inkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and gr by means of the study flow diagram.       13.1: Method Quantitative analysis (paint and analysed)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential conformation       Mesults - Ta and table 2         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential conformation       Mesults - Ta and table 2         Bescriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential conformation       Mesults - Ta and table 2         Bescriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information       Mesults - Ta and table 2         Bescriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information       Mesults - Ta and table 2         Bescriptive data </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>methods of linkage and methods of</td> <td>The HAPPEN</td>					methods of linkage and methods of	The HAPPEN
Results       Image quark for matter is provided.       SAIL data ( 8-9)         Participants       13       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)       RECORD 13.1: Describe in adetail the study (i.e., study population gelection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and the variable.       13.1: Metho Quantitative analysis (pa the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)         (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram       The selection of included persons can be described in the text and or by diagram.       Figure 1: Co flow diagram.         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       SAIL data ( SAIL data ( RECORD 13.1: Describe in adetail the study (i.e., study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and the study flow diagram.       13.1: Metho Quantitative analysis (pa the study flow diagram.         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       The selection of the persons participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential       The selection of the study flow diagram.       The selection of the study flow diagram.         g       The selection of the study flow diagram.       The selection					linkage quality evaluation should be	survey and linked
Results       8-9)         Participants       13       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study ( <i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on glata quality, data availability and tinkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/gr by means of the study flow diagram.       Figure 1: Cc flow diagram.         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confirmed eligible, confounders       Results - Ta and table 2					provided	SAIL data (nage
Results       Results         Participants       13       (a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability and the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)       13       13.1: Method Quantitative analysis (participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram       11)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram       The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.       Figure 1: Cc flow diagram.         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participantic (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       The selection of include persons included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.       Results - Ta and table 2					provided.	8-9)
Results       RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the study (e.g., numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study (i.e., study population selection) included persons can data analysed       13       13.1: Method Quantitative analysis (pa including filtering based on data quality, data availability andfinkage.         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       Results - Ta and table 2         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       Results - Ta and table 2					/bm	
Participants13(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramRECORD 13.1: Describe indetail the selection of the persons included in the study (i.e., study population gelection) including filtering based on gata quality, data availability andriinkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.13.1: Method Quantitative analysis (pa 11)Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersResults - Ta and table 2 Descriptive gg	Results				o p	
individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramselection of the persons included in the study (i.e., study population gelection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and thinkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and gr by means of the study flow diagram.Quantitative analysis (pa 11)Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersResults - Ta and table 2 DescriptiveBescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersge	Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of		RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the	13.1: Methods -
study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramstudy (i.e., study population §election) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and \$\vec{b}{r}\$ by flow diagramFigure 1: Co flow diagramDescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersResults - Ta and table 2 participation generationBescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersBescriptive generationResults - Ta and table 2 person and table 2 			individuals at each stage of the		selection of the persons included in the	Quantitative
eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramincluding filtering based on data quality, data availability and finkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and or by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagram.Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersggggImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersggImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersImage: ConfoundersImage: Confounders			study (e.g., numbers potentially		study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection)	analysis (page 10-
confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramquality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and link by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagramDescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersResults - Ta and table 2 Descriptive			eligible, examined for eligibility,		including filtering based on data	11)
the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramThe selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagram.Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersThe selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagram.Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersThe selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagram.Descriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersThe selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.Figure 1: Co flow diagram.Descriptive of			confirmed eligible, included in		quality, data availability and linkage.	
and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagrambe described in the text and/gr by means of the study flow diagram.flow diagramDescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersstatistics (pa tatistics (pa tatisti			the study, completing follow-up,		The selection of included persons can	Figure 1: Cohort
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage.       (c) Consider use of a flow diagram.       (page 11)         Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       P       Results - Ta and table 2         0       0       0       0       0       0       0         0       12-15)       0       0       0       0			and analysed)		be described in the text and/or by	flow diagram
participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramparticipation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramparticipation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramparticipation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagramDescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersResults - Ta and table 2 Descriptive statistics (pa 12-15)			(b) Give reasons for non-		means of the study flow diagram.	(page 11)
Image: Consider use of a flow diagramImage: Consider use of a flow diagramImage: Consider use of a flow diagramDescriptive data14(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow diagramImage: Consider use of a flow diagramImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confoundersImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of a flow getImage: Consider use of the flow to the flow <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>participation at each stage.</td><td></td><td>202</td><td></td></b<>			participation at each stage.		202	
Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       Results - Ta and table 2         Bescriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       Bescriptive data       12-15)			(c) Consider use of a flow		3 by	
Descriptive data       14       (a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders       information information information       information information       information information       information information       information information       information       informatio	Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study		<u> </u>	Results - Table 1
clinical, social) and information     Image: Clinical, social) and information     Image: Clinical, social) and information     Image: Clinical, social)     Image: Clinical, social, social, social)     Image: Clinical, social, social) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>narticipants (<math>\rho q</math> demographic</td> <td></td> <td>yst.</td> <td>and table ?</td>			narticipants ( $\rho q$ demographic		yst.	and table ?
on exposures and potential confounders			clinical social) and information		Pro	Descriptive
confounders			on exposures and potential		tect	statistics (page
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			confounders		ed t	12-15)
Here is a second se					. <u>х</u>	,
YI YI					yydc	
For noor review only http://hmicrosphmicrom/cite/shout/cuidelines.yhtml			Eor poor rouiou orbe br	to://bmionon.hmi.com/site	/about/guidalings yhtml	

Online supplemental	appendix	1: RECORD statement			n-20	
		<ul> <li>(b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest</li> <li>(c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time (<i>e.g.</i>, average and total amount)</li> </ul>			)22-061344 on 7 Se	
Outcome data	15	Cohort study - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Case-control study - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures			ptember 2022. Downloaded from ht	Results - Tab and table 2, Descriptive statistics (pay 12-15)
Main results	16	<ul> <li>(a) Give unadjusted estimates</li> <li>and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their</li> <li>precision (e.g., 95% confidence</li> <li>interval). Make clear which</li> <li>confounders were adjusted for</li> <li>and why they were included</li> <li>(b) Report category boundaries</li> <li>when continuous variables were</li> <li>categorized</li> <li>(c) If relevant, consider</li> <li>translating estimates of relative</li> <li>risk into absolute risk for a</li> <li>meaningful time period</li> </ul>	revie	2071	ttp://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on February 23, 2023 by g	Results – Tal and table 4 (p 16-17)
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done— e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses			luest. Protecte	Results (page
Discussion					ç p	
					' copy	

niine supplemental	appendix			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with	22-(	Results (page 12-
<b>-</b> • • •	10	reference to study objectives		18)
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study,	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the $\frac{8}{4}$	Strengths and
		taking into account sources of	implications of using data that were n	ot limitations (page
		potential bias or imprecision.	created or collected to answer the	21-22)
		Discuss both direction and	specific research question(s) Include	
		magnitude of any potential bias	discussion of misclassification bias,	
			unmeasured confounding, missing	
			data, and changing eligibility over	
			time, as they pertain to the study bein	g
τ	20		reported.	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall	nlog	Discussion (page
		considering objectives	đe e	10-21),
		limitations multiplicity of	d fro	22 23)
		analyses results from similar	ă T	22-23)
		studies and other relevant	<u>ਜ਼</u>	
		evidence	//bn	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability		Discussion (page
Conclusionerity		(external validity) of the study	en.t	18-21).
		results		Conclusion (page
			<u>S</u>	22-23), Strengths
			20	and limitations
				(page 21-22)
<b>Other Informati</b>	on		bru se	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and	ary	Funding (page 24
		the role of the funders for the	,23 Z	
		present study and, if applicable,	202	
		for the original study on which		
		the present article is based	ې و	
Accessibility of			RECORD 22.1: Authors should	Availability for
protocol, raw			provide information on how to access	data and material
data, and			any supplemental information such as	(page 23)
programming			the study protocol, raw data $\frac{9}{5}$ or	
code			programming code.	
			<u>8</u>	

A1 of 54 Online supplemental appendix 1: RECORD statement \*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langun SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) statement. PLoS Medicine 2015; rt ribution (CC BY) lic, in press. 344 on 7 September 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on February 23, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

BMJ Open









		용MJ Open 역 Pa
	22. 10. What time did you wake up TODAY (to the nearest half hour)? *	26. 11d. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel like you could consect rate/pay attention well in class?*
		Mark only one oval.
1		
י ר		
2		Stodays 24
3		7 days
4		
5		27 Ite In the last 2 days, how many days did you drink at least one fizzy wisk (e.g. coke fanta sprite) *
6	Mark only one oval.	Mark talk one wal
7	5:00am	
/	5:30am	
8	6:00am	3-4 days OC
9	C 200m	
10	7:30am	C 7 days C N
11	0 8:00am	22.
12	8:30um	28. 11f. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary bock (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets) *
12		Mark only one oval.
13		
14	IHE LASI WEEK	
15	NOW think about what you did in the last 7 days	34 anys Q
16		Trans
17		
10		29. 11a. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat take away foods (e_AMACDonalds, KFC, chinese) *
10	🤼 📖 🖓 🏽 🛡 🕷 🖉 🧾	Mark only one oval.
19		
20		
21	23. 11a. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat	
22	raster, you breathed faster and you telt warmer?*	
23	Mark only one oval.	
23	O days	
24	G 34 days	sport and Activity O
25	5 6 days	V c
26	7 days	
27		
28	24. 11b. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?*	
20	Mark only one oval.	
29	🔘 D days	C The N
30	○ 1-2 days	
31	34 days     56 days	220
32	7 days	223
33		30. 12. These questions are going to ask you how you feel about physical activity (This includes any activity where your heart beats faster, you breathe faster and you feel warmen)*
34	25 11c in the last 7 days how many days did you feel fired? *	D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
35	20. The in the last 2 days, new many days and you reen med :	Strongly Agree Disagree 🔂 Strongly
20		agree S intragree
50	C 12 days	✓ ✓ × ♀ ×
37	3-4 days	Mark only one oval per row.
38	S 5 days	Strongly agree Agree Diopree Strongly disagree
39	) / days	I want to take part in physical activity
40		I feel confident to take part in lots of
<u>4</u> 1		I am good at lots of different physical
1		
4Z		I understand why taking part in physical
43	For peer review only - http://bmic	pen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
44		
45		
46		

\_



jopen

022-061344

ġ

 $\overline{}$ 

September 2022

Downloaded

l from

http://bmjopen.bmj

.com/

on February

23,

2023

à

Protected by copyright.

4

ふ



Page 48 of 54





Page 51 of 54

# Online supplemental appendix 3: HAPPEN survey variable codebook

Exposures	HAPPEN Survey item	Responses	Analyses coding
Ate breakfast	13. What did you eat for breakfast yesterday?	Categorical: Nothing Cereal Snacks Fruit Toast Cooked breakfast Yoghurt	Binarvý 0 = Næthing 1 = Cæeal; Snacks; Fruit; Foast; Cooked breakfast; Yoghu No
Active travel to school	14. How did you get to school yesterday morning?	Categorical: On the bus In the car/taxi Walked On bike Ran/jogged Scooter Skateboarded/rollerbladed	Binary 0 = Orgethe bus; In the car/taxi 1 = Walked; On bike; Ran/jogged; Scooter; Skateboarded/rollerbladed
Active travel from school	18. How did you get home yesterday?	Categorical: On the bus In the car/taxi Walked On bike Ran/jogged Scooter Skateboarded/rollerbladed	Binary 0 = Or the bus; In the car/taxi 1 = Walked; On bike; Ran/jogged; Scooter; Skateboarded/rollerbladed
Toothbrush 2+ per day	20. How many times did you brush your teeth yesterday?	Continuous: 0 – 3	Binary $0 = 0, \pm 1$ $1 = 2, \pm 3$

BMJ Open

jopen-2022-061

Online supplemental appendix 3: HAPPEN survey variable codebook

			<b>4</b>
5+ fruit and veg	19. How many portions of fruit and vegetables did you eat yesterday?	Continuous: 0 – 8	Binary $0 = 0 \xrightarrow{4} 4$ $1 = \ge \frac{6}{5}$
Sleep 9+ hours	21. What time did you fall asleep last night	Categorical: (30 min intervals) 7:00pm – 4:00am	Binary B
	22. What time did you wake up this morning?	Categorical: (30 min intervals) 5:00am – 9:00am	$1 = \ge 9$ hours
Physically active 60+ mins every day previous 7 days	23. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat faster, you breathed faster and you felt warmer	Categorical: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Binary 0 = 0 ays; 1 – 2 days; 3 – 4 days, 5 – 6 days 1 = 7 ays
Sedentary/screen time 2 hours every day previous 7 days	24. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?	Categorical: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Binary 0 = 0 days; $1 - 2$ days; $3 - 4$ days, $5 - 6days1 = 7$ days 23, 2023 by guest. Protec
	For peer review only - http://bmiop	en.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.x	ted by copyright.

Page 53 of 54

#### BMJ Open

	BN	1J Open	open-2
Online supplemental appen	dix 3: HAPPEN survey variable codebook		022-06134
Tired 7 days	25. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel tired?	Categorical: O days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Binaryg 0 = 0 days; 1 – 2 days; 3 – 4 days, 5 – 6 days - B 1 = 7 days 0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
Sugary snack 7 days	28. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary snack (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets)	Categorical: O days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Binary 0 = 0 Gays; 1 – 2 days; 3 – 4 days, 5 – 6 days a 1 = 7 Gays T
Participate in at least 3 out of school clubs	31. How many times do you take part in a sports club OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL each week?	Continuous: 0 - 10	Binary 0 = < 3 $1 = \ge 3$
Can ride a bike	35. Can you ride a bike without stabilisers?	Binary: No Yes	Binary $0 = N \Theta$ 1 = Y e S
Can swim 25m	36. Can you swim 25 metres without a float or armbands (This is 1 length of a standard swimming pool)	Binary No Yes	Binary $0 = Na_{a}$ 1 = Yes
Age on 01/03/2020	Decimal age on 1 March 2020	Continuous	Contil
Sex	Sex	Categorical Girl Boy	Binary 0 = Gh 1 = Boy
WIMD	<i>Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation</i> 2019		Coding framework from WIMD 2019[25]

copyright.

**Online supplemental appendix 4:** Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school

PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2	OR	Р	95% CI
(0: no. 1: ves)	•	•	
Number of obs=5,555			
R <sup>2</sup> =0.006			
Ate breakfast	0.93	0.504	0.76 to 1.14
Active travel to school	0.94	0.479	0.78 to 1.12
Active travel from school	0.99	0.938	0.85 to 1.16
Consumed ≥ five fruit & veg	0.90*	0.088	0.78 to 1.02
Toothbrush $\geq$ two times	1.00	0.962	0.89 to 1.13
Sleep ≥ nine hours	1.14**	0.044	1.00 to 1.30
Physically active $\geq$ 60 mins every day	1.02	0.831	0.88 to 1.18
previous seven days			
Sedentary/screen time $\geq$ 2 hours every day	0.96	0.494	0.86 to 1.08
previous seven days			
Tired every day previous seven days	1.08	0.285	0.93 to 1.26
Sugary snack every day previous seven days	1.03	0.713	0.87 to 1.22
Participate in $\geq$ three out of school clubs per	1.17**	0.020	1.03 to 1.34
week			
Can ride a bike	1.15*	0.095	0.98 to 1.36
Can swim 25m	1.30**	0.001	1.11 to 1.52
Age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of	1.02	0.300	0.98 to 1.05
interest)			
Sex (girl)	0.98	0.692	0.87 to 1.10
WIMD quintile 2	1.09	0.328	0.91 to 1.31
3	0.96	0.617	0.80 to 1.14
4	1.09	0.448	0.88 to 1.35
5 (least deprived)	1.05	0.576	0.89 to 1.23

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals; p<0.05\*\*, p<0.1\*. See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
4/	
48	
49	
50	

**Online supplemental appendix 5:** Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.

PCR-test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (0; not tested, negative test, 1; test positive)	OR	Ρ	95% CI
Number of obs=5,555 R <sup>2</sup> =0.02			
Ate breakfast	1.06	0.761	0.75 to 1.49
Active travel to school	0.92	0.494	0.73 to 1.17
Active travel from school	0.97	0.798	0.77 to 1.22
Consumed $\geq$ five fruit & veg	1.05	0.689	0.84 to 1.31
Toothbrush ≥ two times	1.03	0.802	0.83 to 1.28
Sleep ≥ nine hours	1.01	0.927	0.77 to 1.32
Physically active $\geq$ 60 mins every day previous seven days	0.98	0.870	0.8 to 1.21
Sedentary/screen time $\geq$ 2 hours every day previous seven days	1.08	0.427	0.90 to 1.30
Tired every day previous seven days	0.78**	0.035	0.62 to 0.98
Sugary snack every day previous seven days	1.03	0.827	0.81 to 1.31
Participate in $\geq$ three out of school clubs per week	1.23*	0.080	0.98 to 1.54
Can ride a bike	1.30	0.132	0.92 to 1.84
Can swim 25m	1.21	0.165	0.92 to 1.59
Age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest)	1.16**	0.000	1.10 to 1.22
Sex (girl)	1.23**	0.012	1.04 to 1.45
WIMD quintile 2	0.77*	0.053	0.60 to 1.00
3	0.99	0.945	0.78 to 1.27
4	0.72**	0.032	0.53 to 0.97
5 (least deprived)	0.80	0.075	0.62 to 1.02

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals; p<0.05\*\*, p<0.1\*. See online supplemental appendix 3 for variable codebook.

# **BMJ Open**

#### Pre-COVID-19 pandemic health-related behaviours in children (2018-2020) and association with being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061344.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Apr-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Marchant, Emily ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Lowthian, Emily; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Department of Education and Childhood Studies Crick, Tom; Swansea University, Department of Education & Childhood Studies Griffiths, Lucy ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Fry, Richard; Swansea University, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Dadaczynski, Kevin; Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Department of Nursing and Health Science; Leuphana University Luneburg Center of Applied Health Sciences Okan, Orkan; Technical University of Munich James, M; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Cowley, Laura; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Science Kennedy, Jonathan; Swansea University, Notional Centre for Population Data Science Kennedy, Jonathan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Torabi, Fatemeh; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Torabi, Schoal; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Kennedy, Jonathan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Public health

1		
2		
2		. 0
5		
4		
F		- [
5		
6		
_		
7		
Q		- P
0		
9		
10		1
10		
11		
12		
13		
15		
14		
15		
15		
16		
17		
18		
10		
19		
20		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
24		
25		
25		
26		
27		
27		
28		
20		
29		
30		
21		
31		
32		
52		
33		
34		
54		
35		
26		
50		
37		
20		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
чJ		
44		
45		
45		
46		
47		
47		
48		
40		
49		
50		
51		
50		
52		
53		
- A		
54		
55		
56		
57		
57		
58		
50		
59		

Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Infectious diseases, Paediatrics		
Keywords:	Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, COVID-19, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS		
	·		
	<b>SCHOLAR</b> ONE <sup>™</sup>		
	Manuscripts		



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

R. O.

#### **BMJ** Open

Pre-COVID-19 pandemic health-related behaviours in children (2018-2020) and association with being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK Emily Marchant<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Emily Lowthian<sup>1,3</sup>, Tom Crick<sup>3</sup>, Lucy Griffiths<sup>1</sup>, Richard Fry<sup>1,2</sup>, Kevin Dadaczynski<sup>4,5</sup>, Orkan Okan<sup>6</sup>, Michaela James<sup>1,2</sup>, Laura Cowley<sup>2,7</sup> Fatemeh Torabi<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Kennedy<sup>1,2</sup>, Ashley Akbari<sup>1</sup>, Ronan Lyons<sup>1</sup>, Sinead Brophy<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Population Data Science, Medical School, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research, Swansea University,

Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> Department of Education & Childhood Studies, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> Department of Nursing and Health Science, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda,

36037, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Center for Applied Health Science, Leuphana University Lueneburg, Lueneburg, 21335,

Germany

<sup>6</sup> Health Literacy, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University Munich,

Uptown München-Campus D, 4. OG, OfficeL412, Georg-Brauchle-Ring 60/62, 80992

München, Germany

<sup>7</sup> Research and Evaluation Division, Public Health Knowledge and Research Directorate,

Public Health Wales, 2 Capital Quarter, Cardiff, CF10 4BZ, United Kingdom

\* Corresponding author: Emily Marchant <sup>1,2</sup>, email: <u>E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk</u>

# ABSTRACT

#### **Objectives**

Examine if pre-COVID-19 pandemic (prior March 2020) health-related behaviours during primary school are associated with i) being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) testing positive between 1 March 2020 to 31 August 2021.

# Design

Retrospective cohort study using an online cohort survey (January 2018 to February 2020) linked to routine PCR SARS-CoV-2 test results.

# Setting

Children attending primary schools in Wales (2018-2020), UK who were part of the HAPPEN school network.

# **Participants**

Complete linked records of eligible participants were obtained for n=7,062 individuals. 39.1% (n=2,764) were tested (age 10.6 $\pm$ 0.9, 48.9% girls) and 8.1% (n=569) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (age 10.6 $\pm$ 1.0, 54.5% girls).

#### Main outcome measures

Logistic regression of health-related behaviours and demographics were used to determine Odds Ratios (OR) of factors associated with i) being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) testing positive for SARS-CoV-2.

#### Results

Consuming sugary snacks (1-2 days/week OR=1.24, 95% CI 1.04 – 1.49; 5-6 days/week 1.31, 1.07 - 1.61; reference 0 days) can swim 25m (1.21, 1.06 - 1.39) and age (1.25, 1.16 - 1.35) were associated with an increased likelihood of being tested for SARS-CoV-2. Eating breakfast (1.52, 1.01 - 2.27), weekly physical activity  $\geq 60$  mins (1-2 days 1.69, 1.04 - 2.74; 3-4 days 1.76, 1.10 - 2.82, reference 0 days), out of school club participation (1.06, 1.02 - 1.10), can ride a bike (1.39, 1.00 - 1.93), age (1.16, 1.05 - 1.28) and girls (1.21, 1.00 - 1.46) were associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (1.16, 1.10 - 1.22).

#### Conclusions

Associations may be related to parental health literacy and monitoring behaviours. Physically active behaviours may include co-participation with others, and exposure to SARS-CoV-2. A risk versus benefit approach must be considered given the importance of health-related behaviours for development.

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- Investigation of the association of pre-pandemic child health-related behaviour measures with subsequent SARS-CoV-2 testing and infection.
- Reporting of multiple child health behaviours linked at an individual-level to routine records of SARS-CoV-2 testing data through the SAIL Databank.

- Child-reported health behaviours were measured before the COVID-19 pandemic (1 January 2018 to 28 February 2020) which may not reflect behaviours during COVID-19.
- Health behaviours captured through the national-scale HAPPEN survey represent children attending schools that engaged with the HAPPEN Wales primary school network and may not be representative of the whole population of Wales.
- The period of study for PCR-testing for and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 includes a time frame with varying prevalence rates, approaches to testing children (targeted and mass testing) and restrictions which were not measured in this study.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in widespread disruption to the lives of children across the world, and has contributed to widened inequalities in children's health, wellbeing and education[1,2]. Childhood is a critical developmental period during which health behaviours are established which transcend into adolescence and adulthood[3]. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) recognised current trends in children's health, highlighting typical health behaviours of school-aged children that warrant further research in order to better design policies that improve children's health outcomes[4,5]. These include nutrition-related behaviours such as fruit and vegetable intake, consumption of sugary foods and breakfast consumption, physical activity and sedentary behaviours and sleep. The establishment of these health behaviours during childhood are highly influenced by parental mechanisms and monitoring behaviours, particularly in children aged under 12 [6–8].

Whilst evidence has demonstrated the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children's health-related behaviours including reduced physical activity, increased sedentary behaviour and poorer nutrition [1,9], it is unclear if this association is bidirectional. That is, whether these health behaviours are associated with risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Within the adult population, emerging evidence suggests a plausible relationship between pre-pandemic health risk behaviours such as physical inactivity and poor nutrition with SARS-CoV-2 infection and severity of disease[10–13], and increased risk of other infectious diseases[14]. This is attributed to the important role health behaviours play in shaping cardiometabolic health and immune system function. Indeed, research shows links to the early years including critical

BMJ Open

early developmental stages with subsequent risk of developing chronic inflammation, which
is associated with non-communicable disease risk and mortality during adulthood [15]. Health
behaviours such as adequate nutrient intake[16] and physical activity[17] are required for the
regulation and function of the immune system.

As a result, academics have advocated for consideration to be placed on the role of these health behaviours in future endemic/pandemic scenarios[17]. However, research to date has concentrated on adults, explored single health behaviours or examined those with severe COVID-19 infection and hospitalisation[18,19]. The focus of research within the childhood population has principally been placed on clinical outcomes as opposed to lifestyle outcomes, including identifying the clinical characteristics of severe infection, the presence of comorbidities, common symptoms such as cough and clinical biomarkers[20,21]. Whilst serious COVID-19 illness in children is relatively rare, mild or asymptomatic infection is common[22]. Positive SARS-CoV-2 tests require periods of self-isolation, impacting children's physical health and wellbeing, limiting opportunities for children to engage in healthpromoting behaviours essential for optimal development such as regular physical activity[9,23]. Therefore, research examining the role of these health behaviours in a childhood population within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic is warranted.

Identifying the pre-pandemic health-related behavioural characteristics of children
requiring a SARS-CoV-2 test or testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection and hypothesising
potential mechanisms through which these may operate, including exposures, sociodemographic and parental influences could yield insight to inform the current COVID-19
pandemic and future pandemic/endemic scenarios. This can also allow targeted intervention
to minimise transmission risk that complements national public health measures and

#### **BMJ** Open

guidelines, and importantly, mitigates the disruption to children's lives and prevent further exacerbation of pre-existing inequalities, safeguarding their health, wellbeing and education. In Wales, one of the four nations of the UK, approaches to performing Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tests on children during the period of study included the presence of COVID-19 symptoms, if identified as a close contact to a positive case (e.g. household contacts), or as a follow-up PCR test as encouraged in guidance at the time following a positive Lateral Flow Test (LFT) (e.g. showing symptoms or a close contact and having a positive LFT performed in the home)[24]. Uptake of testing within the childhood population requires parental monitoring behaviours, for example, providing transport to testing facilities and parental health literacy through identification of symptoms. 

This study investigates the association of pre-pandemic (prior to 1 March 2020) health-related behaviours self-reported by children aged 8-11 years during primary school before the COVID-19 pandemic between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020, with two outcomes; the odds of ever having a SARS-CoV-2 PCR test and the odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 during the period of study. We aim to examine whether these self-reported markers of health-related behaviours reported pre-pandemic are associated with the likelihood of; i) ever being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) ever testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021.

### **METHODS**

#### 67 Study design

This retrospective cohort study was conducted through the HAPPEN primary school network (Health and Attainment of Pupils in a Primary Education Network)[25]. HAPPEN was established in Wales, UK in 2014, following research with headteachers who advocated for increased collaboration to prioritise pupils' health and wellbeing[26,27]. The network brings together primary schools with research and runs up to the current date. School participation in HAPPEN is voluntary and is either once, annually or bi-annually (e.g. to evaluate schoolbased interventions). Through HAPPEN, children aged 8-11 (years 4 to 6) complete the HAPPEN survey, an online cohort survey that captures a range of validated self-reported health behaviours including physical activity, nutrition and sleep[28]. Retrospective health-related behaviour data were obtained from responses from the HAPPEN survey completed pre-pandemic between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020. These retrospective survey responses were linked with PCR SARS-CoV-2 test results

obtained from the Pathology COVID-19 Daily (PATD) routine dataset between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. The PATD dataset contains pillar 1 (swab testing in Public Health England (PHE) labs, NHS Wales labs and NHS hospitals for those with a clinical need, and health and care workers) and pillar 2 (swab testing for the wider population, as set out in government guidance) individual results from PCR tests (negative (suspected), positive (confirmed) for SARS-CoV-2[29]. The period of interest (1 March 2020 to 31 August 2021) includes a time frame of varying approaches to testing children, documented in timeline format in online supplemental appendix 1[29]. This includes targeted (i.e. symptomatic and suspected positive

#### **BMJ** Open

case, identified as a close contact of a positive case) and mass testing (i.e. between February
2021 and April 2021 the use of LFTs in the school setting for pupils aged 11 and above
(secondary school age) to identify asymptomatic positive cases, with guidance for positive
LFTs encouraging follow up PCR tests).

Linkage was performed using the SAIL (*Secure Anonymised Information Linkage*) Databank[30–32]. Data were linked at the individual level using an Anonymous Linkage Field (ALF) to identify participants and link SARS-CoV-2 test results (figure 1). The RECORD checklist[33] for this study is presented in online supplemental appendix 2.

#### 97 Ethics

Ethical approval was granted by the Swansea University Medical School Research Ethics Committee (2017-0033H). Electronic data (survey responses) were stored in password-protected files only accessible to the research team. The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank and are subject to review by an independent Information Governance Review Panel (IGRP), to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. Before any data can be accessed, approval must be received from the IGRP. When access has been approved, it is accessed through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed by anyone who would like to access data. This study has been approved by the SAIL IGRP (project reference: 0911).

### 109 The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data

All primary schools (n=1,203) in Wales, UK were invited to participate in the HAPPEN survey between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020 via a number of methods including email, social media promotion and through stakeholders in health and education (including local authority health and wellbeing teams, regional education consortia). Prior to 2018, HAPPEN was established in three of the local authorities (total n=22) in Wales. From 2018 to the period of interest, HAPPEN began its expansion to primary schools across Wales. Participating in HAPPEN is voluntary and this study comprises of a convenience sample of children attending n=129 primary schools from 16 local authorities that participated in the HAPPEN survey during the period of interest (1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020). Schools were invited to share details of the survey with parents/guardians (including information sheets). To participate in the HAPPEN survey and link data to routine records, child assent was required in addition to parental consent (between 2014 to 2018) and opt-out parental consent (2019 onwards).

The HAPPEN survey is completed by children aged 8-11 as a self-guided activity within
the school setting as a classroom activity with supervision from a teacher/teaching assistant.
The survey takes approximately 30 minutes to complete and includes validated self-report
measures of typical health behaviours including physical activity, screen time, nutrition, sleep
and wellbeing[28]. A full copy of the survey can be found in online supplemental appendix 3
and items, response categories and the coding framework included within analyses in online
supplemental appendix 4.

The process of data coding involved two researchers. The first (MJ) cleaned the raw The process of data coding involved two researchers. The first (MJ) cleaned the raw the raw data (including checking for duplicate entries), removed identifiable information and Page 13 of 61

#### **BMJ** Open

generated a unique participant ID number to protect participants' anonymity. The second (EM) researcher coded the anonymised raw dataset using STATA (version 16) to produce a dataset for analyses. This HAPPEN dataset was uploaded to the SAIL Databank, a trusted research environment (TRE) containing individual-level anonymised population-scale data sources about the population of Wales that enables secure data linkage and analysis for research, to be linked with SARS-CoV-2 testing data from the PATD dataset. To link the data, the person-based identifiable data are separated from the survey data and sent to a trusted third party, Digital Health and Care Wales (the national organisation that designs and builds digital services for health and social care in Wales). The survey data is sent to SAIL using a secure file upload. A unique Anonymous Linking Field (ALF) is assigned to the person-based record before it is joined to clinical data via a system linking field. The ALF was used to link records at the individual level between the HAPPEN dataset and PATD dataset containing PCR testing data. This dataset was accessible to authors listed from the Population Data Science group, Swansea University.

147 Quantitative analysis

148The primary outcomes were i) whether the child was PCR tested for the SARS-CoV-2149virus and ii) whether the child had a positive SARS-CoV-2 test between 1 March 2020 and 31150August 2021. Participants were assigned a binary code for SARS-CoV-2 test during period of151interest (1: tested at least once for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021,1520: no evidence of PCR SARS-CoV-2 test) and again for a positive SARS-CoV-2 test during period153of interest (1; testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021, 0;154testing negative, 0; no evidence of PCR test). Participants were assumed to have remained in
Wales during the period of interest. Eligibility criteria (see cohort flow diagram, Figure 1) within final analyses models were any unique participant with complete linked survey and routine records. Inclusion dates of survey responses for analyses were between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020. Multivariable logistic regression analyses, adjusting for confounding variables (sex, age on 1 March 2020, area-level deprivation using the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD)[34] (version 2019) and clustered by school (to account for differences between schools), determined Odds Ratios (OR) for i) ever being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 virus and ii) ever having a positive PCR SARS-CoV-2 test during the period of interest.

Independent variables as measures of typical pre-pandemic health-related behaviours included within analyses were obtained retrospectively from the HAPPEN survey, completed between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020 (online supplemental appendix 4). Health-related behaviour measures included in multivariable analyses are recognised by the OECD as typical health behaviour trends during childhood that warrant research[4,5]. These related to the behaviours from the previous day (ate breakfast, travel actively to and/or from school, number of fruit/vegetables portions consumed, number of times teeth brushed, hours of sleep), frequency of behaviours every day the previous seven days (physically active  $\geq 60$ minutes, sedentary/screen time  $\geq$  two hours, felt tired, ate a sugary snack), and general items including participation in number of out of school clubs, can ride a bike and can swim 25 metres. A list of variables included in analyses, coding response categories and coding framework is presented in online supplemental appendix 4. Independent variables were entered concurrently and examined for association with the outcomes i) ever PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) ever tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. 

2 3 4	179
5 6 7	180
/ 8 9 10 11	181
12 13 14 15	182
16 17 18	183
19 20	184
21 22 22	185
23 24 25	186
26 27	187
28 29 30 31 32	188
33 34 35	189
36 37 38	190
39 40	191
41 42 43	192
44 45	193
46 47 48	194
49 50	195
51 52	196
53 54 55	197
56 57	198
58 59 60	199

# 82 Patient and public involvement

Figure 1: Cohort flow diagram

The SAIL Databank has a Consumer Panel that provides the public's perspective on data linkage research. The Panel members are involved in all elements of the SAIL Databank process, from developing ideas, advising on bids through approval processes (via the independent Information Governance Review Panel), to disseminating research findings. For more information visit <u>https://saildatabank.com/about-us/public-engagement/</u>.

# **RESULTS**

Survey responses were obtained from n=11,339 participants (figure 1). Survey 89 90 responses outside the period of interest (before 01 January 2018 and after 28 February 2020) 91 were excluded (n=3,698), followed by duplicate participants (occasions of multiple survey 92 entries, n=579). In the case of duplicates, the most recent instance of survey participation was used. Complete linked unique records of participants meeting eligibility criteria were 93 obtained for n=7,062 individuals. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the study 94 95 sample by ever PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ever tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 96 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Of the total sample, 39.1% (n=2,764) were PCR-tested for 97 SARS-CoV-2 and 8.1% (n=569) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The mean age on 1 March 2020 (start of period of interest) was 10.6 ( $\pm$  0.9) for those PCR-tested (table 1) and 10.6 ( $\pm$  1.0) for 98 those tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (table 2). Complete case analyses are presented. 99

200 Unadjusted multivariable logistic regression analyses are presented in online supplemental

201 appendix 5.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of study sample by PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and PCR test

203 positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021.

		~	Tested for SARS-CoV-2 n (%)	Not tested for SARS-CoV-2 n (%)	Tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 n (%)	No evidence of positive SARS-CoV-2 test n (%)
	Sample		39.1% (2,764)	60.9% (4,298)	8.1% (569)	91.9% (6,498)
	Age at time of HAPPEN survey		$10.1 \pm 0.8$	9.9 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.8
	Age on 01/03/2020 (start of period of interest)		10.6 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 1.1	10.6 ± 1.0	10.4 ± 1.0
	Sex	Boy	49 3% (1 363)	46 7% (2 005)	44 3% (252)	48.0% (3.116)
	UCA .	Girl	48.9% (1.352)	51.8% (2.226)	54.5% (310)	50.3% (3.268)
		Missina	1.8% (49)	1.5% (67)	1.2% (7)	1.7% (109)
	WIMD 2019	1 (most	24.3% (672)	23.9% (1,025)	28.5% (162)	23.6% (1,535)
	quintiles	2	10.0% (551)	10 0.2% (826)	10 7% (112)	10 5% (1 265)
		2	19.9% (331)	17.02% (820)	17.6% (112)	19.3%(1,203)
		<u>з</u> л	15.6% (433)	17.4% (748)	1/.0% (100)	17.0% (1,103)
		F (loost	19.0% (451)	15.8% (078)	14.1% (80)	13.3%(1,023)
		deprived)	18.070 (497)	10.8% (771)	10.576 (94)	17.370 (1,124)
		Missing	5.7% (158)	7.0% (300)	3.7% (21)	6.7% (5437)
204 205 206	See online supplemen presented in online su	tal appendi) pplemental a	< 4 for variable ( appendix 5.	codebook. Full d	escriptive statist	ics table
207	Table 2 presents the n	nultivariable	logistic regressi	on for children e	ever PCR-tested f	or SARS-
208	CoV-2 between 1 Mar	ch 2020 and	31 August 2021.	The model show	ved a low goodn	ess-of-fit
209	$(R^2=0.02)$ . Children that	at reported t	to eat breakfast	(OR=1.16, 95% (	Cl 0.99 – 1.36, re	eference:
210	did not eat breakfast,	p<0.1), cons	ume sugary snac	ks on 1-2 days (2	1.24, 1.04 – 1.49	) and 5-6
211	days (1.31, 1.07 – 1.63	1) compared	l to 0 days, parti	icipate in more d	out of school clu	bs (1.02,

60

3 4	212	1.00 – 1.04), able to ride a bike (1.15, 0.98 -	- 11.35, referen	ce: cannot r	ide a bike, p<0.1) and
5 6 7	213	able to swim 25m (1.21, 1.06 – 1.39, refer	ence: cannot sv	wim 25m) w	vere more likely to be
7 8 9	214	PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2. Older children (	1.25, 1.16 – 1.3	5) were also	more likely to be PCR-
10 11	215	tested for SARS-CoV-2, and compared to qu	iintile 1 (most c	leprived) the	ose in WIMD quintiles
12 13 14	216	3 (0.85, 0.70 – 1.03, p<0.1) and 5 (0.85, 0.7	2 – 1.02, p<0.1)	were less li	ikely to be PCR-tested
15 16	217	for SARS-CoV-2. Unadjusted multivariable lo	gistic regressio	n analyses a	re presented in online
17 18 19	218	supplemental appendix 6.			
20 21	219				
22	220	Table 2: Multivariable logistic regression m	odel of significa	nt haalth h	ehaviour markers and
23 24	220	nrobability of over being DCP tested for SA	PS CoV 2 botw	nn neann b	a 2020 and 21 August
25	221	2021 accounting for baseline age sev and c	Apprivation and	l clustarad h	v school
26	222	2021, accounting for baseline age, sex and t		i ciustereu t	
27		PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2	OR	<i>p</i> value	95% CI
28 29		(n=6,403, R <sup>2</sup> =0.02)			
30		Ate breakfast	<b>1.16</b> *	0.067	0.99 – 1.36
31		Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
32		Active travel to school	0.93	0.339	0.80 - 1.08
33		Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
34 25		Active travel from school	1.01	0.901	0.86 - 1.19
35 36		Reference: did not active travel from	1.00		
37		school			
38		Number of fruit/vegetable portions	1.00	0.959	0.97 – 1.03
39		Reference: 0 fruit/vegetable portions	1.00		
40		Number of times teeth brushed	0.94	0 229	0 86 - 1 04
41 42		Reference: did not hrush teeth	1 00	0.225	0.00 1.01
42		Sleen hours	1.00	0.682	0 97 – 1 0/
44		Reference: 0 days physically active > 60	1.01	0.002	0.57 1.04
45		mine (pravious seven days)			
46		1.2 days physically active > 60 mins	1 1 /	0.250	0.01 1.41
4/ 10		1-2 days physically active 2 60 mins	1.14	0.250	0.91 - 1.41
40 49		3-4 days physically active 2 60 mins	1.13	0.257	0.91 - 1.39
50		5-6 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.16	0.217	0.92 - 1.45
51		7 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.10	0.451	0.86 - 1.39
52		Reference: 0 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.00		
53		(previous seven days)			
54		1-2 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.20	0.141	0.94 – 1.54
55 56		3-4 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.18	0.198	0.92 – 1.52
57		5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.16	0.333	0.86 - 1.56
58		7 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.16	0.243	0.90 - 1.48
59		<u>-</u>			

1 2					
3 4		Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous seven days)	1.00		
5 6		1-2 days felt tired	0.97	0.686	0.85 – 1.12
7		3-4 days felt tired	1.00	0.963	0.85 - 1.16
8		5-6 days felt tired	1.07	0.528	0.86 - 1.33
9		7 days felt tired	0.97	0.728	0.93 - 1.14
10		Reference: O days consumed sugary snack	1.00	0.720	0.55 1.14
11 12		(nrevious seven days)	1.00		
13		1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1 7//**	0.018	1 0/ - 1 /9
14		3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.24	0.010	1.04  1.43
15		5-4 days consumed sugary snack	1 21**	0.301	0.91 - 1.57
16 17		7 days consumed sugary snack	1.51	0.000	1.07 - 1.01
17		Number of out of school clubs	1.10	0.170	0.94 - 1.45
19		Number of out of school clubs	1.02	0.099	1.00 - 1.04
20		Con ride a bike	1 1 5 *	0.000	0.00 1.25
21		Can ride a bike	1.15*	0.086	0.98 - 1.35
22		Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
25 24		Can swim 25m	1.21**	0.006	1.06 - 1.39
25		Reference: cannot swim 25m	1.00		
26		Age 01/03/2020	1.25**	0.000	1.16 – 1.35
27		Sex (girl)	0.92	0.161	0.81 - 1.04
28		Reference: sex (boy)	1.00		
29 30		Reference: WIMD 2019 quintile 1 (most	1.00		
31		deprived)			
32		WIMD 2019 quintile 2	0.95	0.600	0.80 - 1.14
33		WIMD 2019 quintile 3	0.85*	0.090	0.70 - 1.03
34 25		WIMD 2019 quintile 4	0.87	0.131	0.73 – 1.04
35 36		WIMD 2019 quintile 5 (least deprived)	0.85*	0.078	0.72 – 1.02
37	223	OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence inter	vals; **p<0.05,	*p<0.1. See	e online supplemental
38	224	appendix 4 for variable codebook. Low	to moderate	correlatior	n between variables
39	225	(coefficients -0.19 to 0.71).			
40 41	226	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
42 43	227	Table 3 presents the multivariable logistic reg	gression for chil	dren ever P	CR-tested positive for
44 45	228	SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31	August 2021. (	Children we	re more likely to test
46 47 48	229	positive for SARS-CoV-2 if reporting to eat broken	eakfast (OR=1.5	52, 95% CI 1	.01 – 2.27, reference:
49 50	230	did not eat breakfast), be physically active for	or ≥ 60 mins on	1-2 days (1	1.69, 1.04 – 2.74), 3-4
51 52 53	231	days (1.76, 1.10 – 2.82) and 5-6 days (1.59, 0.9	93 <b>- 2</b> .73, p<0.1	.) compared	l to 0 days, participate
54 55	232	in more out of school clubs (1.06, 1.02 – 1.	.10) and able t	o ride a bil	ke (1.39, 1.00 – 1.93,
56 57 58	233	reference: cannot ride a bike). Older childre	en (1.16, 1.05 ·	– 1.28) wei	re more likely to test
59 60	234	positive for SARS-CoV-2. Compared to boys,	girls were more	e likely to te	st positive (1.21, 1.00

**BMJ** Open

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
37	
22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
-+0 //7	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50	
20	
59	

60

-1.46), and compared to the most deprived quintile 1, those living in the least deprived quintiles 4 (0.64, 0.46 – 0.90) and 5 (0.64, 0.46 – 0.89) were less likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2. The model showed a low goodness-of-fit (R<sup>2</sup>=0.02). Unadjusted multivariable logistic

regression analyses are presented in online supplemental appendix 6.

Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression model of significant health behaviour markers and
probability of ever PCR-testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August
2021, accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.

PCR test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (n=6,403, R <sup>2</sup> =0.02)	OR	<i>p</i> value	95% CI
Ate breakfast	1.52**	0.043	1.01 – 2.27
Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
Active travel to school 🛛 🖊 🖊	0.91	0.481	0.70 - 1.18
Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
Active travel from school	0.98	0.910	0.72 – 1.33
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		
Number of fruit/vegetable portions	0.98	0.461	0.94 - 1.03
Reference: 0 fruit/vegetable portions	1.00		
Number of times teeth brushed	1.05	0.542	0.90 - 1.21
Reference: did not brush teeth	1.00		
Sleep hours	0.97	0.345	0.92 - 1.03
Reference: 0 days physically active ≥ 60 mins (previous seven days)	1.00		
1-2 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.69**	0.035	1.04 – 2.74
3-4 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.76**	0.018	1.10 - 2.82
5-6 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.59*	0.091	0.93 – 2.73
7 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.50	0.158	0.85 – 2.65
Reference: 0 days sedentary ≥ two hours (previous seven days)	1.00	1	
1-2 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.96	0.847	0.63 – 1.47
3-4 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.94	0.789	0.59 – 1.50
5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.93	0.803	0.51 – 1.68
7 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.02	0.946	0.63 – 1.65
Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous seven days)	1.00		
1-2 days felt tired	1.17	0.207	0.91 – 1.51
3-4 days felt tired	1.17	0.232	0.91 – 1.50
5-6 days felt tired	1.19	0.243	0.89 – 1.60
7 days felt tired	0.89	0.390	0.68 – 1.16

2					
3		Reference: 0 days consumed sugary snack	1.00		
4 5		(previous seven days)			
6		1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.13	0.523	0.77 – 1.65
7		3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.06	0.783	0.70 - 1.61
8 9		5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1.36	0.159	0.89 – 2.08
10		7 days consumed sugary snack	1.08	0.727	0.71 – 1.63
11		Number of out of school clubs	1.06**	0.002	1.02 - 1.10
12 13		participation			
14		Can ride a bike	1.39**	0.049	1.00 - 1.93
15		Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
16 17		Can swim 25m	1.14	0.324	0.88 - 1.48
18		Reference: cannot swim 25m			
19		Age 01/03/2020	1.16**	0.003	1.05 – 1.28
20 21		Sex (girl)	1.21**	0.046	1.00 - 1.46
22		Reference: sex (boy)	1.00		
23		Reference: WIMD 2019 quintile 1 (most	1.00		
24 25		deprived)			
26		WIMD 2019 quintile 2	0.79	0.113	0.59 – 1.06
27		WIMD 2019 quintile 3	0.79	0.128	0.59 – 1.07
28 29		WIMD 2019 quintile 4	0.64**	0.009	0.46 - 0.90
30		WIMD 2019 quintile 5	0.64**	0.007	0.46 - 0.89
31	243	OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence inter	vals; **p<0.05,	*p<0.1. See (	online supplemental
32 33	244	appendix 4 for variable codebook. Low	to moderate	correlation	between variables
34	245	(coefficients -0.19 to 0.71).			
35	246				
36 37	247				
38					
39	248	DISCUSSION			
40					
41					
43 44	249	This study examines whether mark	ers of health-r	elated beha	viours reported by
45 46	250	primary school-aged children between Janua	ary 2018 and Fe	bruary 2020	are associated with

the likelihood of being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Findings suggest that reporting to eat breakfast, weekly sugary snack consumption (both low and high), participating in more out of school clubs, being able to ride a bike and being able to swim 25 metres were associated with an increased likelihood of being

tested for SARS-CoV-2. Health behaviours associated with an increased likelihood of testing 

positive for SARS-CoV-2 were eating breakfast, engaging in higher weekly physical activity,

### **BMJ** Open

participating in more out of school clubs and riding a bike. Boys were more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 than girls, and those living in a less deprived area less likely to test positive that those residing in the most deprived area.

This study encompasses a period of both targeted and mass PCR testing, and detecting child positive cases using routine PCR testing data in this study requires a parent/guardian to take the child for testing. We find associations between child-reported health-related behaviours with both PCR-testing for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Through this, we theorise that because health behaviours are largely guided and facilitated by parents, our associations are likely to be reflecting health literacy among parents, along with monitoring behaviours. In the case of symptomatic testing, the detection of positive child cases relies on parents recognising symptoms and communication with their child. For asymptomatic testing through the use of LFT (e.g. asymptomatic school testing between February and April 2021), guidance encouraged positive LFTs to be followed up with PCR-testing, requiring knowledge of how to access testing services and ability to access services (e.g. transport). These behaviours form a level of health literacy, recognised as the ability to access, understand, interpret and apply medical information and make informed decisions regarding medical advice, issues or guidelines[35]. Parental health literacy impacts the decision a parent makes relating to their child[36] and is correlated with a number of health indicators including knowledge of health and health services, and the parent and child engaging in health-promoting behaviours[8,35].

Parenting is an important contributor to promoting positive health behaviours in children, and is represented by a constellation of attitudes, behaviours and values for the child. The presence of multiple physically active behaviours represented by the association of being able to swim, ride a bike and participation in more out of school clubs may represent 

underlying parental involvement and modelling behaviour, including involvement in leisure
time activities, providing financial and transport provision to attend organised activities such
as access to swimming lessons and the provision of equipment[7]. This may also have a
socioeconomic component, building on the ideas of Bourdieu in terms of social capital, and
access health enhancing material items[37].

Diet-related findings of eating breakfast and restrictive weekly sugary snack consumption (1-2 days per week) may indicate higher parental monitoring, supporting our theory. In comparison, higher weekly sugary snack consumption (5-6 days per week) may represent less restrictive parental monitoring and more autonomy and choice for the child. We posit that as parental behaviours are often driven by underlying styles of parenting[38], the associations could be depicting varying levels of control; for instance, those snacking 1-2 times perhaps have parents with greater control, vs. those snacking 5-6 times with parents with less controlling styles. This theory may well transcend into other behaviours, including limits and freedom in socialising with others, placing a greater risk for infection of illness – including COVID-19.

While evidence recognises the importance of adequate nutrition[16] and physical activity[17] for cardiometabolic health and immune system function, the findings in the current study draw attention to another potential mechanism of increased contacts and exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Engagement in physically active behaviours such as out of school clubs, higher frequency of physically active days in a week and riding a bike may increase the number of social contacts of the child. Indeed, there is a wealth of evidence demonstrating that childhood physical activity participation is highly influenced by their social environment and co-participation with peers[39]. It is therefore possible that physically active children had

**BMJ** Open

increased social contacts and exposure to SARS-CoV-2 through co-participation of activity andplay opportunities.

However, it is important to note that physical activity is an essential health behaviour required for optimal development and a range of health and wellbeing outcomes. These findings must be considered in balance with the importance of encouraging these behaviours and providing physically active opportunities during childhood. This viewpoint was also reflected in Government guidance and risk assessments during the COVID-19 pandemic through the reopening of children's playgrounds and outdoor play spaces, with explicit reference to outdoor play and physical activity as fundamental for children's development and wellbeing[40].

Contact patterns may also explain sex differences observed in this study, as we found girls are more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2. In addition to age assortative mixing patterns of children, there is a developmental tendency by children to socially interact with members of the same sex and engage in gender-typed activity[41]. For girls, the location of play preferences are more likely to be indoors and in contact with supervising adults, where exposure to SARS-CoV-2 is possibly greater[42]. The findings of association between increasing age and likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 in this study are supported by wider literature which suggests increasing susceptibility of infection in the adolescent age group compared to younger than 10 to 14 years[43].

323 Our findings also show an area-level social gradient. Those living in the least deprived 324 WIMD quintiles 4 and 5 were less likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared to the most 325 deprived quintile, which may reflect deprivation-related exposure patterns to SARS-CoV-2. 326 Indeed, research conducted using the WIMD and English area-level deprivation indictors 327 found adults living in the most deprived areas demonstrated differential exposures to SARS-

CoV-2[44]. This included patterns of public activities such as attending work or education outside of the household, using public transport and car sharing with non-household members. This, and considerations of the deprivation-related disparities in the built environment including access to open spaces highlight the inequalities that persist in risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, whilst it is likely that children mix with others from similar demographic areas, the finding in our study may also reflect community prevalence which was not captured. 

#### **CONCLUSION**

We theorise that health-promoting behaviours associated with a child being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and being identified as positive may be a proxy of higher parental health literacy and monitoring behaviours. Furthermore, co-participation in physically active behaviours with peers may increase exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This must be considered from a risk versus benefit approach in relation to the importance of physically active behaviours for children's development and wellbeing.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participating primary schools and pupils that took part in this study. This work was supported by the National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research through the HAPPEN network. This study makes use of anonymised data

**BMJ** Open

4	349
5 6 7	350
8 9	351
10 11	352
12 13 14	353
15 16 17 18	354
19 20 21	355
22 23	356
24 25 26	357
20 27 28	358
29 30 21	359
32 33	360
34 35	361
36 37 38	362
39 40 41	363
42 43 44	364
45 46 47	365
48 49	366
50 51	367
52 53 54	368
55 56	369
57 58 59	370

60

held in the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank. We would like to acknowledge all the data providers who make anonymised data available for research. We would also like to thank Dr Annemarie Docherty and Dr Olivia Swann from The University of Edinburgh for providing informal peer review input to the final draft.

355 The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank at Swansea University, 356 Swansea, UK. All proposals to use SAIL data are subject to review by an IGRP. Before any data 357 can be accessed, approval must be given by the IGRP. The IGRP gives careful consideration to 358 each project to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. When access has been 359 approved, it is gained through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system 360 referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed 361 by anyone who would like to access data via SAIL https://www.saildatabank.com/application-362 process. This study has been approved by the IGRP as project 0911.

Availability for data and materials

364 Funding

The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) funded the development of the HAPPEN network (grant number: ES/J500197/1) which this research was conducted through. The National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research (NCPHWR) funded by Health and Care Research Wales provided infrastructural support for this work. This work was supported by the Con-COV team funded by the Medical Research Council (grant number: MR/V028367/1). This work was supported by Health Data Research UK, which receives its

funding from HDR UK Ltd (HDR-9006) funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation (BHF) and the Wellcome Trust. This work was a collaboration with the ADR Wales programme of work. ADR Wales is part of the Economic and Social Research Council (part of UK Research and Innovation) funded ADR UK (grant ES/S007393/1). This work was supported by the Wales COVID-19 Evidence Centre, funded by Health and Care Research Wales and by the COVID-19 Longitudinal Health and Wellbeing National Core Study funded by the Medical Research Council (MC PC 20030). 

## 383 Transparency statement

The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

## **Competing interests**

389 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

2	
4 5 6	391
7 8	392
9 10 11	393
12 13	394
14 15 16	395
17 18	396
19 20 21	397
21 22 23	398
24 25	399
26 27 28	400
29 30	401
31 32 33 34	402
35 36 37	403
38 39	404
40 41 42	405
43 44	406
45 46 47	407
48 49	408
50 51	409
52 53 54	410
55 56	411
57 58 59	412

#### Contributorship statement )1

2 EM and SB conceptualised the study design. EM and MJ acquired the data, and EM and JK 13 were responsible for data curation. EM performed the statistical analysis, undertook the )4 initial interpretation of the data and wrote the initial draft. EL and SB contributed to the 95 writing of the manuscript and provided statistical guidance. EL, JK, SB, LC and RL provided 6 critical interpretation of the data. The manuscript was critically reviewed and edited by EL, )7 TC, LG, RF, KD, OO, MJ, LC, FT, JK, AA, RL and SB. SB provided supervision and TC and LG 8 provided mentorship. EM is the guarantor. AD and OS critically reviewed the initial 9 manuscript. EM, EL, TC, LG, RF, KD, OO, MJ, LC, FT, JK, AA, RL and SB approved the final 0 manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

References )2

1 James M, Marchant E, Defeyter MA, et al. Impact of school closures on the health and )4 )5 well-being of primary school children in Wales UK: a routine data linkage study using )6 the HAPPEN Survey (2018-2020). BMJ Open 2021;11. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2021-)7 051574

é le

8 2 Marchant E, Todd C, James M, et al. Primary school staff perspectives of school closures 9 due to COVID-19, experiences of schools reopening and recommendations for the 0 future: A qualitative Wales. PLoS One 2021;16:e0260396. survey in 1 doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0260396

2 3 Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, et al. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from

Page 28 of 61

BMJ Open

1

2 3 4	413		childhood to adulthood: A systematic review. <i>Maturitas</i> 2011; <b>70</b> :266–84.
5 6 7	414		doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.08.005
7 8 9	415	4	OECD. 'Are children active and physically healthy?',. In: Measuring What Matters for
10 11 12	416		Child Well-Being and Policies. Paris: : OECD Publishing 2021. 1–295.
13 14	417		doi:10.1787/e82fded1-en
15 16 17	418	5	OECD. Education in the Digital Age. In: Burns T, Gottschalk F, eds. Education in the
17 18 19	419		<i>Digital Age</i> . OECD 2020. 1–218. doi:10.1787/1209166A-EN
20 21 22	420	6	Pyper E, Harrington D, Manson H. The impact of different types of parental support
22 23 24	421		behaviours on child physical activity, healthy eating, and screen time: a cross-sectional
25 26	422		study. Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3245-0
27 28 29	423	7	Edwardson CL, Gorely T. Parental influences on different types and intensities of
30 31	424		physical activity in youth: A systematic review. <i>Psychol Sport Exerc</i> 2010; <b>11</b> :522–35.
32 33	425		doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001
32 33 34 35 36	425 426	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	425 426 427	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	425 426 427 428	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	425 426 427 428 429	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	425 426 427 428 429 430	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	425 426 427 428 429 430 431	8	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i> <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432	8 9 10	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i> <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8 Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433	8 9 10	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i> <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8 Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020; <b>15</b> :58. doi:10.5334/gh.879
<ul> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> <li>55</li> </ul>	425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434	8 9 10 11	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i> <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8 Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020; <b>15</b> :58. doi:10.5334/gh.879 Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, <i>et al.</i> Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initial findings on
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435	8 9 10 11	doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001 Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy with health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154 Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. <i>Int</i> <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8 Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020; <b>15</b> :58. doi:10.5334/gh.879 Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, <i>et al.</i> Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initial findings on the pandemic Journal of Health Monitoring 2. <i>J Heal Monit</i> 2020; <b>2020</b> :1–14.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 29 of 61

1 2 BMJ Open

3 4	437	12	Childs CE, Calder PC, Miles EA. Diet and Immune Function. Nutrients 2019;11.
5 6 7	438		doi:10.3390/nu11081933
7 8 9	439	13	Lange KW, Nakamura Y. Lifestyle factors in the prevention of COVID-19. Glob Heal J
10 11	440		2020; <b>4</b> :146–52. doi:10.1016/J.GLOHJ.2020.11.002
12 13 14	441	14	Chastin SFM, Abaraogu U, Bourgois JG, et al. Effects of Regular Physical Activity on the
15 16	442		Immune System, Vaccination and Risk of Community-Acquired Infectious Disease in the
17 18	443		General Population: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med 2021;51:1673.
20 21	444		doi:10.1007/S40279-021-01466-1
22 23	445	15	Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease
24 25 26	446		across the life span. Nat Med 2019 2512 2019;25:1822-32. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-
27 28	447		0675-0
29 30 31	448	16	Wintergerst ES, Maggini S, Hornig DH. Contribution of selected vitamins and trace
32 33	449		elements to immune function. Ann Nutr Metab 2007; <b>51</b> :301–23.
34 35 26	450		doi:10.1159/000107673
37 38	451	17	Laddu DR, Lavie CJ, Phillips SA, et al. Physical activity for immunity protection:
39 40	452		Inoculating populations with healthy living medicine in preparation for the next
41 42 43	453		pandemic. <i>Prog Cardiovasc Dis</i> 2021; <b>64</b> :102–4. doi:10.1016/J.PCAD.2020.04.006
44 45	454	18	Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale C, et al. Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory mechanisms,
46 47 48	455		and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based cohort study of 387,109 adults in
49 50	456		UK. <i>Brain Behav Immun</i> 2020; <b>87</b> :184–7. doi:10.1016/J.BBI.2020.05.059
51 52 53	457	19	Lee SW, Lee J, Moon SY, et al. Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection,
54 55	458		severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide
56 57	459		cohort study. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2021; <b>0</b> :18. doi:10.1136/BJSPORTS-2021-104203
58 59 60	460	20	Zhou B, Yuan Y, Wang S, et al. Risk profiles of severe illness in children with COVID-19:

Page 30 of 61

BMJ Open

1

2 3	461		a meta-analysis of individual patients. <i>Pediatr Res 2021 902</i> 2021; <b>90</b> :347–52.
4 5 6	462		doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01429-2
7	102		
8 9	463	21	Shekerdemian LS, Mahmood NR, Wolfe KK, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of
10 11 12	464		Children With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection Admitted to US and
13 14	465		Canadian Pediatric Intensive Care Units. JAMA Pediatr 2020;174:868–73.
15 16 17	466		doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1948
17 18 19	467	22	Castagnoli R, Votto M, Licari A, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
20 21 22	468		2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. JAMA
22 23 24	469		Pediatr 2020; <b>174</b> :882–9. doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1467
25 26	470	23	OECD. Combatting COVID-19's effect on children - OECD.
27 28 29	471		2020.https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/combatting-covid-19-s-
30 31	472		effect-on-children-2e1f3b2f/ (accessed 1 Oct 2021).
32 33	473	24	Senedd Research. Coronavirus timeline: Welsh and UK governments' response – IN
34 35 36	474		BRIEF. 2020.https://seneddresearch.blog/2020/03/19/covid-19-timeline-welsh-and-
37 38	475		uk-governments-response/ (accessed 30 Mar 2022).
39 40 41	476	25	Todd, C., Christian, D., Tyler, R., Stratton, G., Brophy S. Developing HAPPEN (Health and
42 43	477		Attainment of Pupils involved in a Primary Education Network): working in partnership
44 45 46	478		to improve child health and education. <i>Perspect Public Health</i> 2016; <b>136</b> :115–6.
40 47 48	479	26	Christian D, Todd C, Davies H, et al. Community led active schools programme (CLASP)
49 50	480		exploring the implementation of health interventions in primary schools:
51 52 53	481		headteachers' perspectives. BMC Public Health 2015;15:238. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-
54 55	482		1557-0
56 57 58	483	27	Todd C, Christian D, Davies H, et al. Headteachers' prior beliefs on child health and their
59 60	484		engagement in school based health interventions: a qualitative study. BMC Res Notes

Page 31 of 61

BMJ Open

1 2			
3 4	485		2015; <b>18</b> :161. doi:10.1186/s13104-015-1091-2
5 6 7	486	28	Everson B, Mackintosh KA, McNarry MA, et al. Can Wearable Cameras be Used to
7 8 9	487		Validate School-Aged Children's Lifestyle Behaviours? Children 2019;6:20.
10 11	488		doi:10.3390/children6020020
12 13 14	489	29	Department of Health & Social Care. COVID-19 testing data: methodology note -
14 15 16	490		GOV.UK. 2020.https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-
17 18	491		testing-data-methodology/covid-19-testing-data-methodology-note (accessed 30 Mar
19 20 21	492		2022).
22 23	493	30	Ford D V., Jones KH, Verplancke JP, et al. The SAIL Databank: Building a national
24 25 26	494		architecture for e-health research and evaluation. BMC Health Serv Res 2009;9.
27 28	495		doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-157
29 30 31	496	31	Jones KH, Ford DV, Thompson S, et al. A Profile of the SAIL Databank on the UK Secure
32 33	497		Research Platform. Int J Popul Data Sci 2019;4. doi:10.23889/ijpds.v4i2.1134
34 35	498	32	Lyons J, Akbari A, Torabi F, et al. Understanding and responding to COVID-19 in Wales:
36 37 38	499		protocol for a privacy-protecting data platform for enhanced epidemiology and
39 40	500		evaluation of interventions. BMJ Open 2020;10:43010. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-
41 42 43	501		043010
43 44 45	502	33	Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, <i>et al.</i> The REporting of studies Conducted using
46 47	503		Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. PLOS Med
48 49 50	504		2015; <b>12</b> :e1001885. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1001885
51 52	505	34	Welsh Government. Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation.
53 54	506		2019.https://gov.wales/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation-full-index-update-ranks-
55 56 57	507		2019
58 59	508	35	Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S. Fullam J. <i>et al.</i> Health literacy and public health: A
00			

Page 32 of 61

1 2			
3 4	509		systematic review and integration of definitions and models. Published Online First:
5 6 7	510		2012. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-80
7 8 9	511	36	Morrison AK, Glick A, Yin HS. Health Literacy: Implications for Child Health. Pediatr Rev
10 11	512		2019; <b>40</b> :263–77. doi:10.1542/PIR.2018-0027
12 13 14	513	37	Bourdieu P. The Forms of Capital. In: Handbook of Theory and Research for the
15 16	514		Sociology of Education. New York: : Greenwood 1986. 241–58.
17 18 10	515	38	Darling N, Steinberg L. Parenting Style as Context: An Integrative Model. Psychol Bull
20 21	516		1993; <b>113</b> :487–96. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487
22 23	517	39	Li L, Moosbrugger ME. Correlations between Physical Activity Participation and the
24 25 26	518		Environment in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
27 28	519		Using Ecological Frameworks. Int J Environ Res Public Heal 2021, Vol 18, Page 9080
29 30 31	520		2021; <b>18</b> :9080. doi:10.3390/IJERPH18179080
32 33	521	40	Welsh Government. Reopening children's playgrounds and outdoor play areas:
34 35 36	522		coronavirus. Guidance for those in charge of playgrounds or outdoor play areas to keep
37 38	523		children safe during the coronavirus pandemic. 2020. https://gov.wales/reopening-
39 40 41	524		childrens-playgrounds-and-outdoor-play-areas-coronavirus-html (accessed 25 Apr
41 42 43	525		2022).
44 45	526	41	Mehta CM, Strough JN. Sex segregation in friendships and normative contexts across
46 47 48	527	7	the life span. <i>Dev Rev</i> 2009; <b>29</b> :201–20. doi:10.1016/J.DR.2009.06.001
49 50	528	42	Edwards CP, Knoche L, Kumru A. Play Patterns and Gender. Encycl women Gend Sex
51 52 53	529		similarities Differ impact Soc Gend 2001;:809–
54 55	530		15.http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/psychfacpub/607/ (accessed 25 Apr 2022).
56 57 58	531	43	Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection among
59 60	532		Children and Adolescents Compared with Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-

1 2			
2 3 4	533		analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2020. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573
5 6 7	534	44	Beale S, Braithwaite I, Navaratnam AM, et al. Deprivation and exposure to public
, 8 9	535		activities during the COVID-19 pandemic in England and Wales. J Epidemiol Community
10 11 12	536		<i>Heal</i> 2022; <b>76</b> :319–26. doi:10.1136/jech-2021-217076
12 13 14 15 16	537		
	538	Figur	e 1: Cohort flow diagram
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 32 33 35 36 37 89 40 41 42 43 45 46 78 90 122 34 55 57 89 60	539		





For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 35 of 61

BMJ Open

Online s 1 1 March 2020	uppleme	ental appendix 1: see [24] — Start of study period.
2 3 20 March 2020	+	<ul> <li>All schools across Wales closed, with the exception of provision of vulnerable children and children of critical workers.</li> </ul>
5 28 April 2020	-	<ul> <li>More drive-through testing centres.</li> </ul>
6 7 13 May 2020 8	+	Test, Trace, Protect published including testing strategy to expand from testing workers in hospitals and care comes to symptomatic community testing.
9 18 May 2020	+	<ul> <li>Home testing rolled out, enabling symptomatic people to request home coronavirus test.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 1 June 2020	+	<ul> <li>Contract tracing began in Wales. Anyone who tested positive for coronavirus contacted by contact tracer and asked to provide details of everyone they had been in close contact with. Those close contacts contacted and asked to self-isolate for 14 days.</li> </ul>
15 29 June 2020 17	+	<ul> <li>Schools opened to pupils from all year groups for limited periods during the week, with only a third of pupils in school at any one time.</li> </ul>
18 15 July 2020		<ul> <li>New Wales coronavirus testing strategy released.</li> </ul>
20 17–24 July 2020	+	<ul> <li>All schools in Wales closed for the summer holidays.</li> </ul>
22 18 August 2020 23 24 25	+	<ul> <li>Further investment in testing. Welsh Government announced £32 million funding to improve coronavirus testing including speed of processing tests and ensuring robust testing and contract tracing system for anticipated second wave.</li> </ul>
26 1 September 2020	+	<ul> <li>Some schools operated a phased return with flexibility to priority groups.</li> <li>Schools opened to all pupils in Wales.</li> </ul>
28 28		Schools opened to all pupils in wales.
2917 September 2020 30	+	<ul> <li>Testing update. The Health Minister provided an update on Wales' response to current challenges with coronavirus testing, including a significant increase in demand for testing.</li> </ul>
31 24 September 2020 32 33	+	NHS COVID-19 app launched for people aged 16 and over, including increased capacity for identifying contacts of those tested positive for coronavirus.
34 29 September 2020 35 36 37 38	†	Wales' Minister for Health and Social Care sets out the prioritisation for Covid-19 testing in Wales as the Welsh Government move into a new phase of its response. The Minster set out six priorities for testing, with those working in education or childcare settings the fifth priority group and all symptomatic individuals being sixth.
<sup>39</sup> 19–30 October 2020 40	+	<ul> <li>Autumn half term holiday (three local authorities had 2 week half term)</li> </ul>
41 42 2 November 2020	+	<ul> <li>Pupils in year 9 and above not expected to be present in school due to firebreak in Wales.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>43 16 November 2020</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ul>	+	<ul> <li>New financial support scheme launched for people who need to self-isolate due to a positive coronavirus test result or those asked to do so by NHS Wales Test, Trace, Protect.</li> </ul>
46 18 November 2020 47 48 49	+	<ul> <li>Merthyr Tydfil (one of 22 local authorities) to be first whole area testing pilot in Wales.</li> <li>Everyone offered Covid-19 testing, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic. The mass testing programme used LFTs.</li> </ul>
50 27 November 2020		<ul> <li>Mass testing extended (use of LFTs).</li> </ul>
51 52 14 December 2020 53 54	+	<ul> <li>All secondary schools in Wales moved to online remove learning for last week of term before Christmas (14-18 December 2020). Many primary schools also closed.</li> </ul>
55 14 December 2020 56 57	+	<ul> <li>Plans for serial testing in schools. The Welsh Government announces plans to roll out serial testing in schools and colleges from January 2021.</li> </ul>
58 18 December 2020 59 60	+	Testing infrastructure developments to support mass testing of symptomatic people across the Welsh population. In addition to increasing testing infrastructure for people with symptoms, also developing approach and support for people without symptoms to access lateral flow tests (LFT).

Online supplemental appendix 1: see [24]



Page	37	of	61
------	----	----	----

 37 of 61
 BMJ Open

 Online supplemental appendix 2: RECORD statement

 The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported by observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items <sup>4</sup> on <sup>7</sup> Septen	Location in manuscript where items are reported
Title and abstract	-	•	•	b be	
	1	<ul><li>(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b)</li><li>Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and</li></ul>		RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable the	<ul><li>1.1: Title and abstract (page 1-2)</li><li>1.2: Title and</li></ul>
		what was found	or revi	geographic region and timetgame within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between	abstract (page 1- 2)
			.6	databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract. $\underline{\circ}$	
Introduction			•		
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported		bruary 23	Background (page 5-7)
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses		2023 by g	Background (page 5-7)
Methods				lues	
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper		t. Prote	Methods - Study design (page 7)
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including		cted by	Methods - Study design (page 7)

			ърел	
nline supplementa	al appendix	c 2: RECORD statement		T
		periods of recruitment, exposure,	2 2-	
		follow-up, and data collection	O	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study	6.1: Figure 1:
		eligibility criteria, and the	population selection (such as codes or	Cohort Flow
		sources and methods of selection	algorithms used to identify subjects)	Diagram (page
		of participants. Describe	should be listed in detail. If this is not	12)
		methods of follow-up	possible, an explanation should be	
		<i>Case-control study</i> - Give the	provided.	6.3: Figure 1:
		eligibility criteria, and the	r 20	Cohort Flow
		sources and methods of case	RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies	Diagram (page
		ascertainment and control	of the codes or algorithms used to	12)
		selection. Give the rationale for	select the population should be	
		the choice of cases and controls	referenced. If validation wasconducted	
		Cross-sectional study - Give the	for this study and not published	
		eligibility criteria, and the	elsewhere, detailed methods and results	
		sources and methods of selection	should be provided. $\vec{z}$	
		of participants	्र इ.स. १९	
			RECORD 6.3: If the study involved	
		(b) Cohort study - For matched	linkage of databases, consider use of a	
		studies, give matching criteria	flow diagram or other graphical display	
		and number of exposed and	to demonstrate the data linkage	
		unexposed	process, including the number of	
		<i>Case-control study</i> - For	individuals with linked data at each	
		matched studies, give matching	stage.	
		criteria and the number of	Br	
		controls per case	lary	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes,	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes	7.1: Supplementa
		exposures, predictors, potential	and algorithms used to classify	appendix 4:
		confounders, and effect	exposures, outcomes, confounders, and	HAPPEN survey
		modifiers. Give diagnostic	effect modifiers should be provided. If	variable codeboo
		criteria, if applicable.	these cannot be reported, and	
			explanation should be provided.	
Data sources/	8	For each variable of interest,		Methods - The
measurement		give sources of data and details	ecte	HAPPEN survey
		of methods of assessment	<u>م</u>	and linked SAIL
		(measurement).	Š O	data (Page 8-9)

Online supplementa	al appendix	2: RECORD statement       Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is	-2022-06
		more than one group	134
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Methods - Quantitative analysis (page
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Figure 1: Coho Filow diagram (page 12)
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	N Methods - Quantitative analysis (page
Statistical methods	12	<ul> <li>(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding</li> <li>(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions</li> <li>(c) Explain how missing data were addressed</li> <li>(d) Cohort study - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed</li> <li>Case-control study - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed</li> <li>Cross-sectional study - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy</li> <li>(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses</li> </ul>	Methods - Quantitative analysis (page 23, 2023 by guest. Protected b

Inline sunnlemental a	mendiv 2	· RECORD statement	BIND Obeu	njopen-	Page
Data access and cleaning methods				RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.	12.1: Methods - The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data (page 9-11) 12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow
Linkage				RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	<ul> <li>diagram (page 12</li> <li>12.3: Methods -</li> <li>Study design (page 7-9)</li> <li>The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data (page 9-11)</li> </ul>
Results			-	ope	
Participants	13	<ul> <li>(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (<i>e.g.</i>, numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed)</li> <li>(b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage.</li> <li>(c) Consider use of a flow diagram</li> </ul>		RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.	13.1: Methods - Quantitative analysis (page 11- 12) Figure 1: Cohort flow diagram (page 12)
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders		uest. Protected by copyr	Results - Table 1 Descriptive statistics (page 13-14) Full descriptive statistics table: Online

Online supplemental	appendix	2: RECORD statement		en-2	
		(b) Indicate the number of		022-0	supplemental
		for each variable of interest		613	appendix 5
		(a) Cohort study summarisa		44	
		(c) Conort study - summarise		on .	
		tonow-up time (e.g., average and		7 S	
0	15	total amount)		epte	Describe Tab
Outcome data	15	<i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers		emt	Results - Tab
		of outcome events or summary		ber	Descriptive
		measures over time		202	statistics (pag
		Case-control study - Report		12	13-14)
		numbers in each exposure		Dov	Full descripti
		category, or summary measures		vnlo	statistics table
		of exposure		bad	Online
		Cross-sectional study - Report		ed f	supplemental
		numbers of outcome events or		ron	appendix 6
		summary measures		n ht	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates	1 1	tp://	Results – Tab
		and, if applicable, confounder-		bm m	and table 4 (p
		adjusted estimates and their		jop	16-17)
		precision (e.g., 95% confidence		en.t	,
		interval). Make clear which		<u>, ă</u>	Online
		confounders were adjusted for		ğ	supplemental
		and why they were included		<u>م/ د</u>	appendix 6:
		(b) Report category boundaries		n F	Unadjusted
		when continuous variables were		ebr	multivariable
		categorized		uar	logistic regree
		(c) If relevant consider		y 2	analyses
		translating estimates of relative		, Э	anaryses
		risk into absolute risk for a		2022	
		magningful time period		3 bj	
Quita a ser a la ser a s	17	Depart of the period		g	D 1 (
Other analyses	1/	Report other analyses done—		est.	results (page
		e.g., analyses of subgroups and		P	18)
		interactions, and sensitivity		ote	
<b></b>		analyses		ctec	
Discussion				d by	
				сор	
				ÿri	

nline supplemental	appendix	2: RECORD statement	-1-2	
Key results	18	Summarise key results with	)222-	Results (page 13-
		reference to study objectives	061	18)
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study,	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the $\frac{1}{2}$	Strengths and
		taking into account sources of	implications of using data that were not	limitations (page
		potential bias or imprecision.	created or collected to answer the	3-4)
		Discuss both direction and	specific research question(s) Include	
		magnitude of any potential bias	discussion of misclassificatign bias,	
			unmeasured confounding, massing	
			data, and changing eligibilitgover	
			time, as they pertain to the study being	
			reported.	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall	nlo:	Discussion (page
		interpretation of results	ade	18-21),
		considering objectives,	d fre	Conclusion (page
		initiations, multiplicity of	M M	22-23)
		studios, and other relevant	nttp://	
		evidence	line in the second s	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability	<u></u>  	Discussion (page
Concrambuomity	-1	(external validity) of the study	en.t	18-21).
		results	, mj.	Conclusion (page
			CO CO	21-22), Strengths
			7 01	and limitations
				(page 3-4)
<b>Other Informati</b>	on		, bru	-
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and	ary	Funding (page 23
		the role of the funders for the	23,	
		present study and, if applicable,	202	
		for the original study on which	13 b	
		the present article is based	ې م	
Accessibility of	1		RECORD 22.1: Authors should	Availability for
protocol, raw			provide information on how to access	data and material
data, and	1		any supplemental information such as	(page 22)
programming			the study protocol, raw datagor	
code	1		 programming code.	
			сор	

BMJ Open Online supplemental appendix 2: RECORD statement \*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langun SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) statement. PLoS Medicine 2015; ribution (<u>CC BY</u>) lic. in press. 344 on 7 September 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on February 23, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.









		BMJ Open B	Page
	22. 10. What time did you wake up TODAY (to the nearest half hour)? *	26. 11d. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel like you could connect rate/pay attention well in class?*	
		Mark only one oval.	
1		O D days	
י ר			
2		Sédays 4	
3		□ 7 days 4	
4		n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	
5		7 1e lo the last 7 days how many days did you drink at least one firzy diale (e.g. cycle, fanta, sprite).*	
6	Mark only one oval.		
7	5.00am		
/	5.30am	l u ays l u ay	
8	600am	34 days <b>P</b>	
9	C 530am	56 days 2	
10	7.30am	<sup>7</sup> days ON	
11	8:00am	2	
10	830am	28. 11f. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary work (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets)*	
12	U xuuan	Mark only one oval.	
13			
14	THE LAST WEEK	1.2 days Q	
15	NOW think about what you did in the last 7 days	→ 3-4 days → 5-6 days O	
16		Control of the second sec	
17		and a second	
17		20. Sto to the left 7 days have many days distance this ways for $d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (Benerick VEC, chinase).	
18		2.7 The in the fact range, from their y says and you bear take analy to use (compositional, in c., thinkset) Mark ratio and and	
19			
20			
21	23. 11a. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat	O 34 days	
22	faster, you breathed faster and you felt warmer?*		
22	Mark only one oval.	, rays	
23	O days		
24	34 days	sport and Activity O	
25	5 6 days		
26	O 7 days		
27			
28	24. 11b. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?*		
20	Mark only one oval.		
20	💭 0 days		
50			
31		No 20	
32	7 days		
33		<ol> <li>I.2. Inese questions are going to ask you now you reel about physical activity (Inis includes any activity where your heart beats faster, you breathe faster and you ree warmen)*</li> </ol>	л
34	25. 11c. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel tired? *	Q Q	
35	Mark only one oval.	Strongly Agree Designee 55 Strongly	
36	◯ 0 days	agree 😤 dicagree	
20		✓ ✓ × Pa ×	
3/	3.4 days	Mark only one oval per row.	
38	o bo days	Strongly agree Agree Dimpree Strongly disagree	
39			
40		different physical activities	
41		I am good at lots of different physical	
42		acumues	
43		activity is good for me	
ΔΛ	For peer review only - http://bmjo	open.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 🗧 🕂	
 //			
40			

\_
















Page 53	of 61
---------	-------

jopen-2022-061

Exposures	HAPPEN Survey item	Responses	Analyses codiĝg
Ate breakfast	13. What did you eat for	Nothing	Binary: 7
	breakfast yesterday?	Cereal	1 = Cereal; Snecks;
		Snacks	Fruit; Toast; C
		Fruit	0 = Nothing ष्षे
		Toast	202
		Cooked breakfast	N.
		Yoghurt	Dow
Active travel to school	14. How did you get to school	On the bus	Binary:
	yesterday morning?	In the car/taxi	1 = Walked; Oर्षे bike; Ran/jogged;
		Walked	Scooter; Skate, oarded/rollerbladed
		On bike	0 = On the bus $\frac{3}{2}$ In the car/taxi
		Ran/jogged	ttp:/
		Scooter	/bm
		Skateboarded/rollerbladed	
Active travel from	18. How did you get home	On the bus	Binary:
school	yesterday?	In the car/taxi	1 = Walked; Op bike; Ran/jogged;
		Walked	Scooter; Skateboarded/rollerbladed
		On bike	0 = On the buse In the car/taxi
		Ran/jogged	ν Fe
		Scooter	bru
		Skateboarded/rollerbladed	ary :
Toothbrush 2+ per day	20. How many times did you	0-3	Continuous:
	brush your teeth yesterday?		0-3
			ω by
5+ fruit and veg	19. How many portions of	0-8	Continuous: 🚊
	fruit and vegetables did you		0 – 8 <sup>8</sup> :
	eat yesterday?		Pro
			otect
			d d
			by c
			ору
	- · · ·		righ
	For peer review only -	nttp://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/g	juidelines.xhtml 🕂

		BMJ Open	pen-2
nline supplemental app	endix 4: HAPPEN survey variable	e codebook	022-0613
Sleep 9+ hours	21. What time did you fall asleep last night	(30 min intervals) 7:00pm – 4:00am	Continuous: م Sleep hours calculated from item 2: and 22 مو
	22. What time did you wake up this morning?	(30 min intervals) 5:00am – 9:00am	- nber 202
Physically active 60+ mins every day previous 7 days	23. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat faster, you breathed faster and you felt warmer	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: $\stackrel{\text{N}}{=}$ Downloaded from http://bn
Sedentary/screen time 2 hours every day previous 7 days	24. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0  days 1 - 2  days 3 - 4  days 5 - 6  days 7  days February 23, 2023
Tired 7 days	25. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel tired?	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days by 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1			BMJ Open	open-2	
C	Online supplemental app	endix 4: HAPPEN survey variable	e codebook	2022-0613	
_	Sugary snack 7 days	28. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary snack (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets)	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	
_	Participate in at least 3 out of school clubs	31. How many times do you take part in a sports club OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL each week?	0 - 10	Continuous: <sup>2.</sup> Downloade	
_	Can ride a bike	35. Can you ride a bike without stabilisers?	No Yes	Binary: from http://br	
	Can swim 25m	36. Can you swim 25 metres without a float or armbands (This is 1 length of a standard swimming pool)	No Yes	Binary: 1 = Yes 0 = No 	
_	Age on 01/03/2020	Decimal age on 1 March 2020	Continuous	Continuous	
_	Sex	Sex	Girl Boy	Binary: February 0 = Girl Uary 1 = Boy	
_	WIMD	Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2019		Coding framework 2019[34]	ork from WIMD
		For peer review only -	http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/	/guidelines.xhtml	

		Tested for SARS- CoV-2 n (%)	Not tested for SARS-CoV-2 n (%)	Tested positive for SARS-Cove 2 n (%)	No evidence of positive SARS- CoV-2 test n (%)
Sample		39.1% (2,764)	60.9% (4,298)	8.1% (56%)	91.9% (6,498)
Age at time of HAPPEN survey	4	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.8
Age on 01/03/2020 (start of period of interest)	D'D	10.6 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 1.1	10.6 ± 1.0 ded	10.4 ± 1.0
Sex	Воу	49.3% (1,363)	46.7% (2,005)	44.3% (252)	48.0% (3,116)
	Girl	48.9% (1,352)	51.8% (2,226)	54.5% (310)	50.3% (3,268)
	Missing	1.8% (49)	1.5% (67)	1.2% (7) 😴	1.7% (109)
WIMD 2019 quintiles	1 (most deprived)	24.3% (672)	23.9% (1,025)	28.5% (162)	23.6% (1,535)
	2	19.9% (551)	19.02% (826)	19.7% (12)	19.5% (1,265)
	3	16.5% (455)	17.4% (748)	17.6% (100)	17.0% (1,103)
	4	15.6% (431)	15.8% (678)	14.1% (80)	15.9% (1,029)
	5 (least deprived)	18.0% (497)	16.8% (771)	16.5% (94) Z	17.3% (1,124)
	Missing	5.7% (158)	7.0% (300)	3.7% (21) <sup>№</sup>	6.7% (5437)
		Previous da	y	202	
Ate breakfast	Yes	93.0% (2,571)	92.1% (3,797)	93.4% (5월)	92% (6,012)
	No	7% (193)	7.3% (319)	5.6% (31)e	7.3% (481)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% <sup>es</sup> t.	0%
Active travel to school	Yes	38.5% (1,065)	39.8% (1,710)	37.6% (214)	39.4% (2,561)
	No	61 5% (1 699)	60.2% (2.588)	62.4% (3\$)	60.6% (3.932)

jopen-2022-061344 ; **A**...I:. . .. .. . . . r . . ... - .. . . . . . . . . .

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 57 of 61

3 4

### BMJ Open

	Missina	0%	0%	<u> </u>	0%
Active travel from school	Yes	43.0% (1,187)	43.0% (1,846)	<u>42.4% (241)</u>	43.0% (2,792
	Νο	57.0% (1,577)	57.0% (2,452)	<del>8</del> 57.6% (3 <b>2</b> \$)	57.0% (3,70)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% ber	0%
Toothbrush continuous	0	3.3% (91)	3.4% (146)	1.9% (11) <sup>20</sup>	3.5% (227)
	1	20.0% (552)	21.0% (903)	18.6% (10)	20.6% (1,35
	2	67.1% (1,854)	65.2% (2,802)	69.6% (3)	65.2% (4,294
	3	9.6% (265)	10.3% (446)	9.5% (54) <del>ខ</del> ្លី	10.0% (659)
	Missing	0.1% (<5)	<0.1% (<5)	0.4% (<5) <sup>2</sup>	<0.1%% (<5)
Fruit/veg portions (continuous)	0	14.3% (395)	15.3% (657)	12.5% (7 <b>1</b> )	15.1% (981)
	1	16.1% (445)	17.4% (749)	15.8% (90)	17.0% (1,104
	2	17.7% (489)	17.5% (754)	19.5% (1 <mark>1</mark> 1)	17.4% (1,13
	3	17.5% (484)	16.5% (711)	16.7% (95	16.9% (1,110
	4	12.7% (351)	11.9% (510)	13.5% (7 <mark>2</mark>	12.1% (784)
	5	10.5% (291)	10.6% (455)	11.8% (67	10.4% (679)
	6	4.5% (123)	4.3% (186)	2.8% (16)	4.5% (293)
	7	2.3% (63)	2.1% (92)	4.2% (24)	2.0% (131)
	8	4.5% (123)	4.3% (184)	3.2% (18)	4.5% (289)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% 33	0%
Sleep hours		9.4 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.6 🕅	9.4 ± 1.6
Number of days physically active ≥ 60 minutes	0	6.5% (179)	7.9% (339)	4.0% (23)	7.6% (495)
	1-2 days	27.9% (772)	29.0% (1,246)	27.8% (15 <sup>4</sup> / <sub>8</sub> )	28.7% (1,86
	3-4 days	27.5% (761)	26.2% (1,128)	30.9% (1充)	26.4% (1,712
	5-6 davs	18.3% (505)	17.0% (731)	18.1% (105)	17.5% (1.13)

		BMJ Ope	n	open	
				-202	
				2-06	
				6134	
	7 days	19.8% (557)	19.9% (854)	19.2% (1🕸)	19.9% (1,292)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% 7	0%
Number of days sedentary/screen time ≥ two hours	0	5.2% (144)	6.1% (262)	5.5% (31) efembe	5.8% (375)
	1-2 days	24.2% (674)	23.5% (1,011)	24.8% (14 3	23.8% (1,544)
	3-4 days	21.7% (599)	20.6% (886)	21.1% (120)	21.0% (1,365)
	5-6 days	14.0% (386)	13.8% (593)	13.9% (79	13.9% (900)
	7 days	34.8% (961)	36.0% (1,546)	34.8% (19)	35.6% (2,309)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% de	0%
Number of days tired	0	21.0% (582)	21.0% (903)	19.2% (109) ទ័	21.2% (1,376)
	1-2 days	32.4% (895)	32.0% (1,377)	35.7% (2🕏)	31.9% (2,069)
	3-4 days	17.6% (487)	17.5% (754)	18.8% (1🙀)	17.5% (1,134)
	5-6 days	10.0% (276)	9.3% (399)	10.5% (6🙀	9.5% (615)
	7 days	19.0% (524)	20.1% (865)	15.8% (90)	20.0% (1,299)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% <u>ä</u>	0%
Number of days sugary snack	0	6.5% (179)	7.7% (332)	6.3% (36) <mark>9</mark> 9	7.3% (475)
	1-2 days	34.9% (964)	32.7% (1,407)	35.0% (1👾)	33.5% (2,172)
	3-4 days	25.3% (698)	26.7% (1,146)	25.1% (1Å)	26.2% (1,701)
	5-6 days	13.4% (371)	12.0% (515)	15.3% (87	12.3% (799)
	7 days	20.0% (552)	20.9% (898)	18.3% (104)	20.7% (1,346)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% 202	0%
		General		3 by	
Number of out of school clubs	0	27.7% (766)	32.3% (1,387)	25.1% (1年3)	31.0% (2,010)
	1	17.9% (495)	16.9% (726)	16.0% (91)	17.4% (1,130)
	2	16.0% (443)	15.1% (650)	14.9% (85)	15.5% (1,008)
	3	11.1% (308)	10.4% (446)	13.3% (7෯	10.4% (678)
				у соругі	

Page	59	of	61
------	----	----	----

jopen-202:

				2-061	
	4	7.4% (204)	7.3% (313)	<u>₩</u> 7.6% (43)₀ <sup>4</sup>	7.3% (474)
	5	6.2% (171)	5.8% (251)	5.8% (33)	6.0% (389)
	6	3.4% (95)	2.5% (109)	5.1% (29)8	2.7% (175)
	7	3.3% (91)	2.5% (107)	5.1% (29)	2.6% (169)
	8	1.1% (29)	0.8% (33)	1.8% (10)	0.8% (52)
	9	0.9% (24)	0.7% (32)	1.2% (7)	0.8% (49)
	10	3.9% (107)	4.0% (174)	3.3% (19)	4.0% (262)
	Missing	1.1% (31)	1.6% (70)	0.7% (<5)≦	1.5% (97)
Can ride a bike	Yes	88.8% (2,444)	86.0% (3,696)	91.4% (520)	86.7% (5,64
	No	11.2% (309)	14.0% (602)	8.6% (49)	13.3% (862)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% for	0%
Can swim 25m	Yes	78.9% (2,180)	72.9% (3,134)	80.3% (457)	74.8% (4,85
	No	21.1% (584)	27.1% (1,164)	19.7% (12)	25.2% (1,63
	Missing	0%	0%	0% <u>3</u>	0%
				iom/ on	
				February 23, 2023 by guest. Protected	
				by c	

Page 60 of 61

# Online supplemental appendix 6:

Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-test without confounders.

**BMJ** Open

PCR tested for SARS-CoV-2 (n=6,958, R <sup>2</sup> =0.01)	OR	p value	95% CI
Ate breakfast	1.05	0.632	0.87 – 1.27
Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
Active travel to school	0.92	0.238	0.80 - 1.06
Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
Active travel from school	1.08	0.273	0.94 - 1.24
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		
Number of fruit/vegetable portions	1.00	0.941	0.98 - 1.03
Reference: 0 fruit/vegetable portions	1.00		
Number of times teeth brushed	0.97	0.474	0.90 - 1.05
Reference: did not brush teeth	1.00		
Sleep hours	0.99	0.654	0.96 - 1.02
Reference: 0 days physically active $\geq$ 60	1.00		
mins (previous seven days)			
1-2 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.12	0.276	0.91 - 1.38
3-4 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins 🥢	1.14	0.221	0.92 – 1.42
5-6 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.17	0.177	0.93 – 1.47
7 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.09	0.475	0.87 – 1.37
Reference: 0 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.00		
(previous seven days)			
1-2 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.16	0.209	0.92 – 1.46
3-4 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.18	0.166	0.93 – 1.49
5-6 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.15	0.275	0.90 - 1.47
7 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.14	0.256	0.91 - 1.44
Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous seven days)	1.00	2/	
1-2 days felt tired	0.98	0.791	0.86 - 1.13
3-4 days felt tired	0.99	0.881	0.84 - 1.16
5-6 days felt tired	1.04	0.667	0.86 – 1.26
7 days felt tired	0.97	0.730	0.83 - 1.14
Reference: 0 days consumed sugary snack (previous seven days)			
1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.21*	0.062	0.99 – 1.49
3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.08	0.489	0.87 – 1.33
5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1.29**	0.034	1.02 – 1.63
7 days consumed sugary snack	1.12	0.314	0.90 - 1.39
Number of out of school clubs	1.02	0.121	1.00 to 1.04
Can ride a bike	1.16*	0.064	0.99 – 1.35

Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
Can swim 25m	1.30**	0.000	1.15 – 1.4
Reference: cannot swim 25m	1.00		
OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence	e intervals; p<0.05*	**, p<0.1*. Se	e online sup
appendix 4 for variable codebook.			

Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-test positive without confounders.

PCR test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (n=6,958, R <sup>2</sup> =0.01)	OR	p value	95% CI
Ate breakfast	1.30	0.170	0.89 - 1.91
Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
Active travel to school	0.91	0.451	0.71 - 1.17
Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
Active travel from school	1.07	0.614	0.83 - 1.36
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		
Number of fruit/vegetable portions	0.99	0.574	0.94 - 1.03
Reference: 0 fruit/vegetable portions	1.00		
Number of times teeth brushed	1.07	0.385	0.92 - 1.24
Reference: did not brush teeth	1.00		
Sleep hours	0.97	0.266	0.92 – 1.02
Reference: 0 days physically active $\geq 60$	1.00		
mins (previous seven days)			
1-2 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.71	0.023	1.08 – 2.73
3-4 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.87	0.009	1.17 – 2.99
5-6 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.61	0.059	0.98 – 2.63
7 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.49	0.117	0.91 – 2.43
Reference: 0 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.00		
(previous seven days)			
1-2 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.03	0.877	0.68 – 1.57
3-4 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.00	0.983	0.66 – 1.54
5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.01	0.958	0.65 – 1.59
7 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.10	0.660	0.72 – 1.66
Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous seven days)	1.00	2/	
1-2 days felt tired	1.21	0.125	0.95 – 1.55
3-4 days felt tired	1.17	0.278	0.88 – 1.55
5-6 days felt tired	1.21	0.273	0.86 - 1.69
7 days felt tired	0.92	0.600	0.69 – 1.24
Reference: 0 days consumed sugary snack	1.00		
1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.14	0.499	0.78 – 1.67
3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.03	0.873	0.70 - 1.57
5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1 38	0 131	0.91 - 2.11
7 days consumed sugary shack	1.00	0.867	0.69 - 1.56
Number of out of school clubs	1.05	0.007	1.01 – 1.09
Can ride a bike	1.40	0.032	1.03 – 1.92

Page	63	of	61
------	----	----	----

Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
Can swim 25m	1.16	0.207	0.92 – 1.45
Reference: cannot swim 25m	1.00		
	1.00		

# Pre-COVID-19 pandemic health-related behaviours in children (2018-2020) and association with being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-061344.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Jul-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Marchant, Emily ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Department of Education and Childhood Studies Lowthian, Emily; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, Department of Education and Childhood Studies Crick, Tom; Swansea University, Department of Education & Childhood Studies Griffiths, Lucy ; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Fry, Richard; Swansea University, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Dadaczynski, Kevin; Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Department of Nursing and Health Science; Leuphana University Luneburg Center of Applied Health Sciences Okan, Orkan; Technical University of Munich James, M; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School; Swansea University, National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research Cowley, Laura; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Torabi, Fatemeh; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Health and Wellbeing Research Akbari, Ashley; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Health and Wellbeing Research Akbari, Ashley; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Lyons, Ronan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Lyons, Ronan; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School Brophy, Sinead; Swansea University, Population Data Science, Medical School
<pre><b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:</pre>	Public health

1			
2			
3			П
5			- 1
4			
~			1
5			- 1
6			- 1
_			- 1
- 7			- 1
Q			- P
0			
9			
10	、		L 1
IU	)		
11			
12	2		
13	2		
15	,		
14	ŀ		
10			
10	)		
16	5		
	,		
17			
18	3		
19	)		
20	<b>`</b>		
20	,		
21			
22	<u>'</u>		
27	2		
23			
24	ŀ		
25	-		
23	)		
26	5		
27	,		
27			
28	3		
20	、		
29	,		
30	)		
21			
31			
32	)		
	-		
33	5		
34	L		
5			
35	5		
26			
30	)		
37	7		
20	,		
35	5		
39	)		
40			
40	)		
41			
42	<u>'</u>		
43	2		
44	ŀ		
45			
7.	,		
- 46	5		
47	,		
47			
48	3		
10	<b>`</b>		
49	,		
50	)		
51			
52	)		
52	-		
53	3		
54	F		
55	;		
56	)		
57	,		
58	5		
50	)		
55			

Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Infectious diseases, Paediatrics
Keywords:	Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH, Public health < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, COVID-19, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS
	·
	<b>SCHOLAR</b> ONE <sup>™</sup>
	Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

R. O.

#### **BMJ** Open

Pre-COVID-19 pandemic health-related behaviours in children (2018-2020) and association with being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (2020-2021): a retrospective cohort study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales, UK

Emily Marchant<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Emily Lowthian<sup>1,2</sup>, Tom Crick<sup>3</sup>, Lucy Griffiths<sup>1</sup>, Richard Fry<sup>1,3</sup>, Kevin Dadaczynski<sup>4,5</sup>, Orkan Okan<sup>6</sup>, Michaela James<sup>1,3</sup>, Laura Cowley<sup>3,7</sup> Fatemeh Torabi<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Kennedy<sup>1,3</sup>, Ashley Akbari<sup>1</sup>, Ronan Lyons<sup>1</sup>, Sinead Brophy<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Population Data Science, Medical School, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> Department of Education & Childhood Studies, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research, Swansea University,

Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> Department of Nursing and Health Science, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Fulda,

36037, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Center for Applied Health Science, Leuphana University Lueneburg, Lueneburg, 21335, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Health Literacy, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University Munich, Uptown München-Campus D, 4. OG, OfficeL412, Georg-Brauchle-Ring 60/62, 80992 München, Germany

<sup>7</sup> Research and Evaluation Division, Public Health Knowledge and Research Directorate,

Public Health Wales, 2 Capital Quarter, Cardiff, CF10 4BZ, United Kingdom

\* Corresponding author: Emily Marchant <sup>1,2</sup>, email: <u>E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk</u>

# ABSTRACT

## Objectives

Examine if pre-COVID-19 pandemic (prior March 2020) health-related behaviours during primary school are associated with i) being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) testing positive between 1 March 2020 to 31 August 2021.

# Design

Retrospective cohort study using an online cohort survey (January 2018 to February 2020) linked to routine PCR SARS-CoV-2 test results.

# Setting

Children attending primary schools in Wales (2018-2020), UK, who were part of the *HAPPEN* school network.

# **Participants**

Complete linked records of eligible participants were obtained for n=7,062 individuals. 39.1% (n=2,764) were tested (age 10.6±0.9, 48.9% girls) and 8.1% (n=569) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (age 10.6±1.0, 54.5% girls).

Logistic regression of health-related behaviours and demographics were used to determine Odds Ratios (OR) of factors associated with i) being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) testing positive for SARS-CoV-2.

### Results

Consuming sugary snacks (1-2 days/week OR=1.24, 95% CI 1.04–1.49; 5-6 days/week 1.31, 1.07–1.61; reference 0 days) can swim 25m (1.21, 1.06–1.39) and age (1.25, 1.16–1.35) were associated with an increased likelihood of being tested for SARS-CoV-2. Eating breakfast (1.52, 1.01–2.27), weekly physical activity  $\geq$  60 mins (1-2 days 1.69, 1.04–2.74; 3-4 days 1.76, 1.10–2.82, reference 0 days), out of school club participation (1.06, 1.02–1.10), can ride a bike (1.39, 1.00–1.93), age (1.16, 1.05–1.28) and girls (1.21, 1.00–1.46) were associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Living in least deprived quintiles 4 (0.64, 0.46–0.90) and 5 (0.64, 0.46–0.89) compared to the most deprived quintile was associated with a decreased likelihood.

### Conclusions

Associations may be related to parental health literacy and monitoring behaviours. Physically active behaviours may include co-participation with others, and exposure to SARS-CoV-2. A risk-versus-benefit approach must be considered in relation to promoting these health behaviours, given the importance of health-related behaviours such as childhood physical activity for development.

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- Investigation of the association of pre-pandemic child health-related behaviour measures with subsequent SARS-CoV-2 testing and infection.
- Reporting of multiple child health behaviours linked at an individual-level to routine records of SARS-CoV-2 testing data through the SAIL Databank, using complete case analysis.
- Child-reported health behaviours were measured before the COVID-19 pandemic (1 January 2018 to 28 February 2020) which may not reflect behaviours during COVID-19.
- Health behaviours captured through the national-scale *HAPPEN* survey represent children attending schools that engaged with the *HAPPEN* Wales primary school network and may not be representative of the whole population of Wales.
- The period of study for PCR-testing includes a time frame with varying prevalence rates, approaches to testing children (targeted and mass testing) and restrictions which were not measured in this study.

# BACKGROUND

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in widespread disruption to the lives of children across the world, and has contributed to widened inequalities in children's health, wellbeing and education[1,2]. Childhood is a critical developmental period during which health behaviours are established which transcend into adolescence and adulthood[3]. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) recognised current trends in children's health, highlighting typical health behaviours of school-aged children that warrant further research in order to better design policies that improve children's health outcomes[4,5]. These include nutrition-related behaviours such as fruit and vegetable intake, consumption of sugary foods and breakfast consumption, physical activity and sedentary behaviours and sleep. The establishment of these health behaviours during childhood are highly influenced by parental mechanisms and monitoring behaviours, particularly in children aged under 12[6–8].

Whilst evidence has demonstrated the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on children's health-related behaviours including reduced physical activity, increased sedentary behaviour and poorer nutrition [1,9], it is unclear if this association is bidirectional. That is, whether these health behaviours are associated with likelihood of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Within the adult population, emerging evidence suggests a plausible relationship between pre-pandemic health risk behaviours such as physical inactivity and poor nutrition with SARS-CoV-2 infection and severity of disease[10–13], and increased risk of other infectious diseases[14]. This is attributed to the important role health behaviours play in shaping cardiometabolic health and immune system function. Indeed, research shows links to the

Page 8 of 61

#### **BMJ** Open

early years including critical early developmental stages with subsequent risk of developing
chronic inflammation, which is associated with non-communicable disease risk and mortality
during adulthood[15]. Health behaviours such as adequate nutrient intake[16] and physical
activity[17] are required for the regulation and function of the immune system.

As a result, researchers have advocated for consideration to be placed on the role of these health behaviours in future endemic/pandemic scenarios[17]. However, research to date has concentrated on adults, explored single health behaviours or examined those with severe COVID-19 infection and hospitalisation[18,19]. The focus of research within the childhood population has principally been placed on clinical outcomes as opposed to lifestyle outcomes, including identifying the clinical characteristics of severe infection, the presence of comorbidities, common symptoms such as a cough and clinical biomarkers[20,21]. Whilst serious COVID-19 illness in children is relatively rare, mild or asymptomatic infection is common[22]. Positive SARS-CoV-2 tests require periods of self-isolation, impacting children's physical health and wellbeing, limiting opportunities for children to engage in healthpromoting behaviours essential for optimal development such as regular physical activity[9,23]. Therefore, research examining the role of these health behaviours in a childhood population within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic is warranted.

Identifying the pre-pandemic health-related behavioural characteristics of children
requiring a SARS-CoV-2 test or testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection and hypothesising
potential mechanisms through which these may operate, including exposures, sociodemographic and parental influences could yield insight to inform the current COVID-19
pandemic and future pandemic/endemic scenarios. This can also allow targeted intervention
to minimise transmission risk that complements national public health measures and

Page 9 of 61

### **BMJ** Open

guidelines, and importantly, mitigates the disruption to children's lives and prevent further exacerbation of pre-existing inequalities, safeguarding their health, wellbeing and education. In Wales (one of the four nations of the UK, with devolved health and social care policies), approaches to performing Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tests on children during the period of study included the presence of COVID-19 symptoms, if identified as a close contact to a positive case (e.g. household contacts), or as a follow-up PCR test as encouraged in guidance at the time following a positive Lateral Flow Test (LFT) (e.g. showing symptoms or a close contact and having a positive LFT performed in the home)[24]. Uptake of testing within the childhood population requires parental monitoring behaviours; for example, providing transport to testing facilities and parental health literacy through identification of symptoms. This study investigates the association of pre-pandemic (prior to 1 March 2020) health-related behaviours self-reported by children aged 8-11 years during primary school before the COVID-19 pandemic between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020, with two outcomes; the odds of ever having a SARS-CoV-2 PCR test and the odds of ever testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 during the period of study. We aim to examine whether these self-reported markers of health-related behaviours reported pre-pandemic are associated with the likelihood of; i) ever being tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ii) ever testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study design

This retrospective cohort study was conducted through the HAPPEN (Health and Attainment of Pupils in a Primary Education Network) primary school network[25]. HAPPEN was established in Wales, UK in 2014, following research with headteachers who advocated for increased collaboration to prioritise pupils' health and wellbeing[26,27], and is a platform for conducting school-based research [2,28–30]. The network brings together primary schools with research and runs up to the current date. School participation in HAPPEN is voluntary and is either once, annually or bi-annually (e.g. to evaluate school-based interventions). Through HAPPEN, children aged 8-11 (years 4 to 6) complete the HAPPEN survey, an online cohort survey that captures a range of validated self-reported health behaviours including physical activity, nutrition and sleep[31]. Retrospective health-related behaviour data were obtained from responses from the HAPPEN survey completed pre-pandemic between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020.

These retrospective survey responses were linked with PCR SARS-CoV-2 test results obtained from the Pathology COVID-19 Daily (PATD) routine dataset between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. The PATD dataset contains pillar 1 (swab testing in Public Health England (PHE) labs, NHS Wales labs and NHS hospitals for those with a clinical need, and health and care workers) and pillar 2 (swab testing for the wider population, as set out in government guidance) individual results from PCR tests (negative (suspected), positive (confirmed) for SARS-CoV-2[32]. The period of interest (1 March 2020 to 31 August 2021) includes a time frame of varying approaches to testing children, documented in timeline format in online

#### **BMJ** Open

supplemental appendix 1[32]. This includes targeted (i.e. symptomatic and suspected positive
case, identified as a close contact of a positive case) and mass testing (i.e. between February
2021 and April 2021 the use of LFTs in the school setting for pupils aged 11 and above
(secondary school age) to identify asymptomatic positive cases, with guidance for positive
LFTs encouraging follow up PCR tests).

Linkage was performed using the SAIL (*Secure Anonymised Information Linkage*)
Databank[33–35]. Data were linked at the individual level using an Anonymous Linkage Field
(ALF) to identify participants and link SARS-CoV-2 test results (Figure 1). The RECORD
checklist[36] for this study is presented in online supplemental appendix 2.

# 

### 98 Ethics

Ethical approval was granted by the Swansea University Medical School Research Ethics Committee (2017-0033H). Electronic data (survey responses) were stored in secure files only accessible to the research team. The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank and are subject to review by an independent Information Governance Review Panel (IGRP), to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. Before any data can be accessed, approval must be received from the IGRP. When access has been approved, it is accessed through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed by anyone who would like to access data. This study has been approved by the SAIL IGRP (project reference: 0911). 

110 The HAPPEN survey and linked SAIL data

All primary schools (n=1,203) in Wales, UK were invited to participate in the HAPPEN survey between 1 April 2014 and 28 February 2020 via a number of methods including email, social media promotion and through stakeholders in health and education (including local authority health and wellbeing teams, regional education consortia). Prior to 2018, HAPPEN was established in three of the local authorities (total n=22) in Wales. From 2018 to the period of interest, HAPPEN began its expansion to primary schools across Wales. Between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020, there were n=305 primary schools registered with HAPPEN (25% of primary schools in Wales). Participating in HAPPEN is voluntary and this study comprises of a convenience sample of children attending n=129 primary schools (representing a 42% response rate of registered HAPPEN primary schools) from 16 out of 22 local authorities that participated in the HAPPEN survey during the period of interest (1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020). Schools were invited to share details of the survey with parents/guardians (including information sheets). To participate in the HAPPEN survey and link data to routine records, child assent was required in addition to parental consent (between 2014 to 2018) and opt-out parental consent (2019 onwards).

126The HAPPEN survey is completed by children aged 8-11 as a self-guided activity within127the school setting as a classroom activity with supervision from a teacher/teaching assistant.128The survey takes approximately 30 minutes to complete and includes validated self-report129measures of typical health behaviours including physical activity, screen time, nutrition, sleep130and wellbeing[31]. A full copy of the survey can be found in online supplemental appendix 3131and items, response categories and the coding framework included within analyses in online132supplemental appendix 4.

Page 13 of 61

### **BMJ** Open

The process of data coding involved two researchers. The first (MJ) cleaned the raw data (including checking for duplicate entries), removed identifiable information and generated a unique participant ID number to protect participants' anonymity. The second (EM) researcher coded the anonymised raw dataset using STATA (version 16) to produce a dataset for analyses. This HAPPEN dataset was uploaded to the SAIL Databank, a trusted research environment containing individual-level anonymised population-scale data sources about the population of Wales that enables secure data linkage and analysis for research, to be linked with SARS-CoV-2 testing data from the PATD dataset. To link the data, the personbased identifiable data are separated from the survey data and sent to a trusted third party, Digital Health and Care Wales (the national organisation that designs and builds digital services for health and social care in Wales). The survey data is sent to SAIL using a secure file upload. A unique Anonymous Linking Field (ALF) is assigned to the person-based record before it is joined to clinical data via a system linking field. The ALF was used to link records at the individual level between the HAPPEN dataset and PATD dataset containing PCR testing data. This dataset was accessible to authors listed from the Population Data Science group, Swansea University.

150 Quantitative analysis

The primary outcomes were i) whether the child was ever PCR-tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus and ii) whether the child had any positive SARS-CoV-2 test between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Participants were assigned a binary code for any SARS-CoV-2 test during the period of interest (1: PCR-tested at least once for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021; 0: no PCR SARS-CoV-2 test) and again for any positive SARS-CoV-2 test during

> period of interest (1: any positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021; 0: negative PCR test for SARS-CoV-2; 0: not PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 (unknown)). In the case of multiple PCR tests, the first occurrence was used. Participants were assumed to have remained in Wales during the period of interest. Eligibility criteria (see cohort flow diagram, Figure 1) within final analyses models were any unique participant with complete linked survey and routine records. Inclusion dates of survey responses for analyses were between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020. Complete case multivariable logistic regression analyses, adjusting for confounding variables (sex, age on 1 March 2020, area-level deprivation using the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD)[37] (version 2019) and clustered by school (using sandwich estimator to account for differences between schools), determined Odds Ratios (OR) for i) ever being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 virus and ii) ever having a positive PCR SARS-CoV-2 test during the period of interest. Missing categories of data (sex and WIMD data obtained through the SAIL Databank) were tested to see if they significantly predicted any outcomes.

Independent variables as measures of typical pre-pandemic health-related behaviours included within analyses were obtained retrospectively from the HAPPEN survey, completed between 1 January 2018 and 28 February 2020 (online supplemental appendix 4). Health-related behaviour measures included in multivariable analyses are recognised by the OECD as typical health behaviour trends during childhood that warrant research[4,5]. These related to the behaviours from the previous day (ate breakfast, travel actively to and/or from school, number of fruit/vegetables portions consumed, number of times teeth brushed, hours of sleep), frequency of behaviours every day the previous seven days (physically active  $\geq 60$ minutes, sedentary/screen time  $\geq$  two hours, felt tired, ate a sugary snack), and general items including participation in number of out of school clubs, can ride a bike and can swim 25

1 2		
3 4	180	metres. A list of variables included in analyses, coding response categories and coding
5 6 7	181	framework is presented in online supplemental appendix 4. Independent variables were
, 8 9	182	entered concurrently and examined for association with the outcomes i) ever PCR-tested for
10 11 12	183	SARS-CoV-2 and ii) ever tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August
12 13 14	184	2021.
15 16	185	
17 18 19	186	Figure 1: Cohort flow diagram
20 21	187	
22 23 24		
24 25 26	188	Patient and public involvement
27		
28 29 30	189	The SAIL Databank has a Consumer Panel that provides the public's perspective on
31 32	190	data linkage research. The Panel members are involved in all elements of the SAIL Databank
33 34 35	191	process, from developing ideas, advising on bids through approval processes (via the
36 37	192	independent Information Governance Review Panel), to disseminating research findings. For
38 39 40	193	more information visit https://saildatabank.com/about-us/public-engagement/.
40		
42 43 44	194	RESULTS
45		
46 47 48	195	Survey responses were obtained from n=11,339 participants (Figure 1). Survey
49 50	196	responses outside the period of interest (before 1 January 2018 and after 28 February 2020)
51 52	197	were excluded (n=3,698), followed by duplicate participants (occasions of multiple survey
53 54 55	198	entries, n=579). In the case of duplicates, the most recent instance of survey participation
56 57	199	was used. Complete linked unique records of participants meeting eligibility criteria were
58 59 60	200	obtained for n=7,062 individuals. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the study

2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
,	
8	
9	
10	
11	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
27	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
ד∠ ⊿ר	
43	
44	
45	
46	
17	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
20	
57	
58	
59	

1

sample by ever PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ever tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between
1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Of the total sample, 39.1% (n=2,764) were PCR-tested for
SARS-CoV-2 and 8.1% (n=569) tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The mean age on 1 March 2020
(start of period of interest) was 10.6 ( $\pm$ 0.9) for those PCR-tested (Table 1) and 10.6 ( $\pm$ 1.0) for
those tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Table 2). The time between the HAPPEN survey date
and SARS-CoV-2 PCR test date (median number of days (interquartile range)) was 588 (385 –
685) days for being PCR-tested and 672 (599 – 715) days for PCR testing positive for SARS-
CoV-2. Complete case analyses are presented. The maximum missing data was 7% (see Table
1). We tested if missing categories of data (sex and WIMD obtained through the SAIL
Databank) significantly predicted any outcomes and found that no missing categories
significantly predicted the outcomes. Therefore, missing data were assumed to be at random
through data linkage[38]. Unadjusted multivariable logistic regression analyses are presented
in online supplemental appendix 5.

5 214

60

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of study sample by PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and PCR test positive for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021.

	Tested for SARS-CoV-2 % (n)	Not tested for SARS-CoV-2 % (n)	Tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 % (n)	Tested negative/not tested (unknown) for SARS-CoV- 2 % (n)
Sample	39.1% (2,764)	60.9% (4,298)	8.1% (569)	91.9% (6,493)
Age at time of	$10.1 \pm 0.8$	9.9 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.8
HAPPEN survey				
Age on 01/03/2020 (start of period of interest)	10.6 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 1.1	10.6 ± 1.0	10.4 ± 1.0
Number of days between <i>HAPPEN</i>	588 (385 – 685)		672 (599 – 715)	

2							
3		survey and SARS-Co	V-				
4 5		2 test					
6		(median (IQR))					
7		Sex	Воу	49.3% (1,363)	46.7% (2,005)	44.3% (252)	48.0% (3,116)
8			Girl	48.9% (1,352)	51.8% (2,226)	54.5% (310)	50.3% (3,268)
9			Missing	1.8% (49)	1.5% (67)	1.2% (7)	1.7% (109)
10 11		WIMD 2019	1 (most	24.3% (672)	23.9% (1,025)	28.5% (162)	23.6% (1,535)
12		quintiles	deprived)				
13			2	19.9% (551)	19.02% (826)	19.7% (112)	19.5% (1,265)
14			3	16.5% (455)	17.4% (748)	17.6% (100)	17.0% (1,103)
15 16			4	15.6% (431)	15.8% (678)	14.1% (80)	15.9% (1,029)
17			5 (least	18.0% (497)	16.8% (771)	16.5% (94)	17.3% (1,124)
18			deprived)				
19			Missing	5.7% (158)	7.0% (300)	3.7% (21)	6.7% (437)
20	217	See online supplem	nental appendi	x 4 for variable	codebook. Full c	lescriptive stati	stics table
22	218	presented in online	supplemental	appendix 5. IQR	(interquartile rai	nge).	
23	219	-					
24							
25 26	220	Table 2 presents th	e multivariable	e logistic regressi	ion for children e	ever PCR-tested	l for SARS-
20 27							
28	221	CoV-2 between 1 N	larch 2020 and	31 August 2021	. The model show	wed a low good	ness-of-fit
29							
30	222	( <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> =0.02). Children	that reported	to eat breakfast	(OR=1.16, 95%	CI 0.99 – 1.36,	reference:
31 32							
33	223	did not eat breakfas	st, p<0.1), cons	sume sugary sna	cks on 1-2 days (	1.24, 1.04 – 1.4	9) and 5-6
34							
35	224	days (1.31, 1.07 – 1	L.61) compared	d to 0 days, part	icipate in more	out of school c	lubs (1.02,
36 37							
38	225	1.00 – 1.04), able to	o ride a bike (1.	.15, 0.98 – 11.35	, reference: canr	ot ride a bike, j	o<0.1) and
39							
40	226	able to swim 25m (	(1.21, 1.06 – 1	.39, reference: c	annot swim 25n	n) were more li	kely to be
41 42							
42 43	227	PCR-tested for SARS	G-CoV-2. Older	children (1.25 <i>,</i> 1.	16 – 1.35) were a	also more likely	to be PCR-
44							
45	228	tested for SARS-Co	/-2, and compa	ared to quintile 1	L (most deprived	) those in WIMI	D quintiles
46							
47 48	229	3 (0.85, 0.70 – 1.03	, p<0.1) and 5	(0.85, 0.72 – 1.0	2, p<0.1) were le	ess likely to be F	PCR-tested
49							
50	230	for SARS-CoV-2. Una	adjusted multiv	variable logistic r	egression analys	es are presente	d in online
51							
52 52	231	supplemental appe	ndix 6.				
55 54							
55	232						
56							
57	233	Table 2: Multivariat	ole logistic reg	ression model of	significant healt	h behaviour m	arkers and
58	224	probability of over	haing DCD tast	ad for CADE Cal	1 ) hotwoon 1 M	arch 2020 and	21 August

probability of ever being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021, accounting for baseline age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
~~ 72	
∠⊃ 24	
∠4 ว⊑	
25	
26	
2/	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
40	
40 // 1	
41	
42	
45	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50	
20	
59	
60	

PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 (n=6,403, R <sup>2</sup> =0.02)	OR	<i>p</i> value	95% CI
Ate breakfast	1.16*	0.067	0.99 – 1.36
Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
Active travel to school	0.93	0.339	0.80 - 1.08
Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
Active travel from school	1.01	0.901	0.86 - 1.19
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		
Number of fruit/vegetable portions	1.00	0.959	0.97 – 1.03
Number of times teeth brushed	0.94	0.229	0.86 - 1.04
Sleep hours	1.01	0.682	0.97 - 1.04
Reference: 0 days physically active $\geq 60$			
mins (previous seven days)			
1-2 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.14	0.250	0.91 - 1.41
3-4 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.13	0.257	0.91 – 1.39
5-6 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.16	0.217	0.92 – 1.45
7 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.10	0.451	0.86 - 1.39
Reference: 0 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.00		
(previous seven days)			
1-2 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.20	0.141	0.94 – 1.54
3-4 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.18	0.198	0.92 – 1.52
5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.16	0.333	0.86 - 1.56
7 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.16	0.243	0.90 - 1.48
Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous	1.00		
seven days)			
1-2 days felt tired	0.97	0.686	0.85 - 1.12
3-4 days felt tired	1.00	0.963	0.85 – 1.16
5-6 days felt tired	1.07	0.528	0.86 - 1.33
7 days felt tired	0.97	0.728	0.93 - 1.14
Reference: 0 days consumed sugary	1.00	~	
snack (previous seven days)			
1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.24**	0.018	1.04 - 1.49
3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.12	0.301	0.91 – 1.37
5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1.31**	0.008	1.07 – 1.61
7 days consumed sugary snack	1.16	0.170	0.94 - 1.43
Number of out of school clubs	1.02*	0.099	1.00 - 1.04
participation			
Can ride a bike	1.15*	0.086	0.98 - 1.35
Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
Can swim 25m	1.21**	0.006	1.06 - 1.39
Reference: cannot swim 25m	1.00		
Age 01/03/2020	1.25**	< 0.001	1.16 - 1.35
Sex (girl)	0.92	0.161	0.81 - 1.04

2									
3 4 5		<i>Reference: WIMD 2019 quintile 1 (most deprived)</i>	1.00						
5 6		WIMD 2019 guintile 2	0.95	0.600	0.80 - 1.14				
7		WIMD 2019 guintile 3	0.85*	0.090	0.70 - 1.03				
8		WIMD 2019 guintile 4	0.87	0.131	0.73 – 1.04				
9 10		WIMD 2019 quintile 5 (least deprived)	0.85*	0.078	0.72 – 1.02				
11	236	OR: Odds Ratio: 95% CI: 95% confidence inte	ervals: **p < 0.05	5. *p<0.1. See	online supplemental				
12	237	appendix 4 for variable codebook. Low	, to moderate	correlation	between variables				
13	238 (coefficients -0.19 to 0.71). Complete case analysis.								
14 15	239								
13 16 17	240	<ul> <li>Table 3 presents the multivariable logistic regression for children ever PCR-tested positive for</li> <li>SARS-CoV-2 between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Children were more likely to test</li> </ul>							
18 19	241								
20 21 22	242	2 positive for SARS-CoV-2 if reporting to eat breakfast (OR=1.52, 95% CI 1.01 – 2.27, reference:							
23 24	243	243 did not eat breakfast), be physically active for $\geq$ 60 mins on 1-2 days (1.69, 1.04 – 2.74), 3							
25 26 27	244	days (1.76, 1.10 – 2.82) and 5-6 days (1.59, 0.93 – 2.73, p<0.1) compared to 0 days, participate							
27 28 29	245	5 in more out of school clubs (1.06, $1.02 - 1.10$ ) and able to ride a bike (1.39, $1.00 - 1.9$							
30 31 32	246	reference: cannot ride a bike). Older children (1.16, 1.05 – 1.28) were more likely to test							
32 33 34	247	positive for SARS-CoV-2. Compared to boys, girls were more likely to test positive (1.21, 1.00							
35 36	248	– 1.46), and compared to the most deprived quintile 1, those living in the least deprived							
37 38 39	249	quintiles 4 (0.64, 0.46 – 0.90) and 5 (0.64, 0.46 – 0.89) were less likely to test positive for SARS-							
40 41	250	CoV-2. The model showed a low goodness-	of-fit (R <sup>2</sup> =0.02).	Unadjusted i	multivariable logistic				
42 43 44	251	regression analyses are presented in online supplemental appendix 6.							
45	252								
46	252	Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression m	odel of significa	ant health hel	naviour markers and				
47	255	probability of ever PCR-testing positive for SAPS CoV 2 between 1 March 2020 and 21 August							
48 ⊿o	255	2021 accounting for baseline age sevand	denrivation and	l clustered hv	school				
マン	200	2021, accounting for basenine age, sex and deprivation, and clustered by school.							

PCR test positive for SARS-CoV-2 (n=6,403, R <sup>2</sup> =0.02)	OR	<i>p</i> value	95% CI
Ate breakfast	1.52**	0.043	1.01 – 2.27
Reference: did not eat breakfast	1.00		
Active travel to school	0.91	0.481	0.70 - 1.18
Reference: did not active travel to school	1.00		
Active travel from school	0.98	0.910	0.72 – 1.33
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		

(

1	
2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
75 76	
-+0 /-7	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

Number of fruit/vegetable portions	0.98	0.461	0.94 – 1.03
Number of times teeth brushed	1.05	0.542	0.90 - 1.21
Sleep hours	0.97	0.345	0.92 – 1.03
Reference: 0 days physically active $\geq 60$	1.00		
mins (previous seven days)	4 60**	0.005	4.04 0.74
1-2 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.69**	0.035	1.04 - 2.74
3-4 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.76**	0.018	1.10 - 2.82
5-6 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.59*	0.091	0.93 – 2.73
7 days physically active ≥ 60 mins	1.50	0.158	0.85 – 2.65
Reference: 0 days sedentary ≥ two hours (previous seven days)	1.00		
1-2 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.96	0.847	0.63 – 1.47
3-4 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.94	0.789	0.59 – 1.50
5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	0.93	0.803	0.51 - 1.68
7 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.02	0.946	0.63 – 1.65
<i>Reference: O days felt tired (previous seven days)</i>	1.00		
1-2 days felt tired	1.17	0.207	0.91 – 1.51
3-4 days felt tired	1.17	0.232	0.91 – 1.50
5-6 days felt tired	1.19	0.243	0.89 - 1.60
7 days felt tired	0.89	0.390	0.68 - 1.16
Reference: 0 days consumed sugary snack	1.00		
1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.13	0.523	0.77 – 1.65
3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.06	0.783	0.70 - 1.61
5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1.36	0.159	0.89 – 2.08
7 days consumed sugary snack	1.08	0.727	0.71 – 1.63
Number of out of school clubs	1.06**	0.002	1.02 - 1.10
participation			
Can ride a bike	1.39**	0.049	1.00 - 1.93
Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
Can swim 25m	1.14	0.324	0.88 - 1.48
Reference: cannot swim 25m			
Age 01/03/2020	1.16**	0.003	1.05 – 1.28
Sex (girl)	1.21**	0.046	1.00 - 1.46
Reference: sex (boy)	1.00		
<i>Reference: WIMD 2019 quintile 1 (most deprived)</i>	1.00		
WIMD 2019 quintile 2	0.79	0.113	0.59 – 1.06
WIMD 2019 quintile 3	0.79	0.128	0.59 – 1.07
WIMD 2019 quintile 4	0.64**	0.009	0.46 - 0.90
•			

**BMJ** Open

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals; \*\*p<0.05, \*p<0.1. See online supplemental</li>
appendix 4 for variable codebook. Low to moderate correlation between variables
(coefficients -0.19 to 0.71). Complete case analysis.

# **DISCUSSION**

This study examines whether markers of health-related behaviours reported by primary school-aged children between January 2018 and February 2020 are associated with the likelihood of ever being PCR-tested for SARS-CoV-2 and ever testing positive between 1 March 2020 and 31 August 2021. Findings suggest that reporting to eat breakfast, weekly sugary snack consumption (both low and high), participating in more out of school clubs, being able to ride a bike and being able to swim 25 metres were associated with an increased likelihood of being tested for SARS-CoV-2. Health behaviours associated with an increased likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 were eating breakfast, engaging in higher weekly physical activity, participating in more out of school clubs and riding a bike. Boys were more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 than girls, and those living in a less deprived area less likely to test positive that those residing in the most deprived area. 

This study encompasses a period of both targeted and mass PCR testing, and detecting child positive cases using routine PCR testing data in this study requires a parent/guardian to take the child for testing. We find associations between child-reported health-related behaviours with both PCR-testing for SARS-CoV-2 and testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. Through this, we theorise that because health behaviours are largely guided and facilitated by parents, our associations are likely to be reflecting health literacy among parents, along with monitoring behaviours. In the case of symptomatic testing, the detection of positive child cases relies on parents recognising symptoms and communication with their child. For
> asymptomatic testing through the use of LFT (e.g. asymptomatic school testing between February and April 2021), guidance encouraged positive LFTs to be followed up with PCR testing, requiring knowledge of how to access testing services and ability to access services (e.g. transport). These behaviours form a level of health literacy, recognised as the ability to access, understand, interpret and apply medical information and make informed decisions regarding medical advice, issues or guidelines[39]. Parental health literacy impacts the decision a parent makes relating to their child[40] and is correlated with a number of health indicators including knowledge of health and health services, and the parent and child engaging in health-promoting behaviours[8,39].

Parenting is an important contributor to promoting positive health behaviours in children, and is represented by a constellation of attitudes, behaviours and values for the child. The presence of multiple physically active behaviours represented by the association of being able to swim, ride a bike and participation in more out of school clubs may represent underlying parental involvement and modelling behaviour, including involvement in leisure-time activities, providing financial and transport provision to attend organised activities such as access to swimming lessons and the provision of equipment[7]. This may also have a socioeconomic component, building on the ideas of Bourdieu in terms of social capital, and accessing health-enhancing material items[41].

Diet-related findings of eating breakfast and restrictive weekly sugary snack
 300 consumption (1-2 days per week) may indicate higher parental monitoring, supporting our
 301 theory. In comparison, higher weekly sugary snack consumption (5-6 days per week) may
 302 represent less restrictive parental monitoring and more autonomy and choice for the child.
 303 We posit that as parental behaviours are often driven by underlying styles of parenting[42],
 304 the associations could be depicting varying levels of control; for instance, those snacking 1-2

Page 23 of 61

1

## **BMJ** Open

- 3 ⊿	
5	
6	
7 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
10 17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36	
37 38	
39	
40	
41 42	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
40 47	
48	
49	
50 51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

times perhaps have parents with greater control, versus those snacking 5-6 times with
parents with less controlling styles. This theory may well transcend into other behaviours,
including limits and freedom in socialising with others, placing a greater likelihood of infection
of illness – including COVID-19.

309 While evidence recognises the importance of adequate nutrition[16] and physical 310 activity[17] for cardiometabolic health and immune system function, the findings in the 311 current study draw attention to another potential mechanism of increased contacts and 312 exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Engagement in physically active behaviours such as out of school clubs, higher frequency of physically active days in a week and riding a bike may increase the 313 314 number of social contacts of the child. Indeed, there is a wealth of evidence demonstrating 315 that childhood physical activity participation is highly influenced by their social environment 316 and co-participation with peers[43]. It is therefore possible that physically active children had 317 increased social contacts and exposure to SARS-CoV-2 through co-participation of activity and 318 play opportunities.

However, it is important to note that physical activity is an essential health behaviour 319 320 required for optimal development and a range of health and wellbeing outcomes. These 321 findings must be considered in balance with the importance of encouraging these behaviours 322 and providing physically active opportunities during childhood. This viewpoint was also 323 reflected in Government guidance and risk assessments during the COVID-19 pandemic 324 through the reopening of children's playgrounds and outdoor play spaces, with explicit 325 reference to outdoor play and physical activity as fundamental for children's development 326 and wellbeing[44].

S27 Contact patterns may also explain sex differences observed in this study, as we found
 S28 girls are more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2. In addition to age assortative mixing

> patterns of children, there is a developmental tendency by children to socially interact with members of the same sex and engage in gender-typed activity[45]. For girls, the location of play preferences are more likely to be indoors and in contact with supervising adults, where exposure to SARS-CoV-2 is possibly greater[46]. The findings of association between increasing age and likelihood of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 in this study are supported by wider literature which suggests increasing susceptibility of infection in the adolescent age group compared to younger than 10 to 14 years[47].

Our findings also show an area-level social gradient. Those living in the least deprived WIMD guintiles 4 and 5 were less likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared to the most deprived quintile, which may reflect deprivation-related exposure patterns to SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, research conducted using the WIMD and English area-level deprivation indictors found adults living in the most deprived areas demonstrated differential exposures to SARS-CoV-2[48]. This included patterns of public activities such as attending work or education outside of the household, using public transport and car sharing with non-household members. This, and considerations of the deprivation-related disparities in the built environment including access to open spaces highlight the inequalities that persist in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, whilst it is likely that children mix with others from similar demographic areas, the finding in our study may also reflect community prevalence which was not captured.

- - 349 CONCLUSION

350 We theorise that health-promoting behaviours associated with a child being tested 351 for SARS-CoV-2 and being identified as positive may be a proxy of higher parental health

## **BMJ** Open

literacy and monitoring behaviours. Furthermore, co-participation in physically active behaviours with peers may increase exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This must be considered from a risk-versus-benefit approach in relation to promoting these health behaviours, given the importance of health-related behaviours such as physical activity during childhood for development and wellbeing. This national-level case study using survey data linked with routine health data in Wales provide insight into these issues from a devolved policymaking context, with the potential for replicability and portability to other jurisdictions. 

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank all participating primary schools and pupils that took part in this study. This work was supported by the National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research through the HAPPEN network. This study makes use of anonymised data held in the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank. We would like to acknowledge all the data providers who make anonymised data available for research. We would also like to thank Dr Annemarie Docherty and Dr Olivia Swann from The University of Edinburgh for providing informal peer review input to the final draft.

#### Availability for data and materials

The routine data used in this study are available in the SAIL Databank at Swansea University, Swansea, UK. All proposals to use SAIL data are subject to review by an IGRP. Before any data can be accessed, approval must be given by the IGRP. The IGRP gives careful consideration to 

each project to ensure proper and appropriate use of SAIL data. When access has been
approved, it is gained through a privacy-protecting safe haven and remote access system
referred to as the SAIL Gateway. SAIL has established an application process to be followed
by anyone who would like to access data via SAIL <a href="https://www.saildatabank.com/application-process">https://www.saildatabank.com/application-</a>
process. This study has been approved by the IGRP as project 0911.

380 Funding

The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) funded the development of the HAPPEN network (grant number: ES/J500197/1) which this research was conducted through. The National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research (NCPHWR) funded by Health and Care Research Wales provided infrastructural support for this work. This work was supported by the Con-COV team funded by the Medical Research Council (grant number: MR/V028367/1). This work was supported by Health Data Research UK, which receives its funding from HDR UK Ltd (HDR-9006) funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation (BHF) and the Wellcome Trust. This work was a collaboration with the ADR Wales programme of work. ADR Wales is part of the Economic and Social Research Council (part of UK Research and Innovation) funded ADR UK (grant ES/S007393/1). This work was supported by the Wales COVID-19 Evidence Centre, funded by Health and Care Research

1 2		
- 3 4	396	Wales and by the COVID-19 Longitudinal Health and Wellbeing National Core Study funded
5 6 7	397	by the Medical Research Council (MC_PC_20030).
7 8 9	398	
10 11 12 13	399	Transparency statement
14 15 16	400	The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account
17 18 19	401	of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and
19 20 21	402	that any discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.
22 23	403	
24 25 26 27	404	Competing interests
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	405	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
	406	
	407	Contributorship statement
	408	EM and SB conceptualised the study design. EM and MJ acquired the data, and EM and JK
	409	were responsible for data curation. EM performed the statistical analysis, undertook the
42 43 44	410	initial interpretation of the data and wrote the initial draft. EL and SB contributed to the
45 46	411	writing of the manuscript and provided statistical guidance. EL, JK, SB, LC and RL provided
47 48 49	412	critical interpretation of the data. The manuscript was critically reviewed and edited by EL,
50 51	413	TC, LG, RF, KD, OO, MJ, LC, FT, JK, AA, RL and SB. SB provided supervision and TC and LG
52 53	414	provided mentorship. EM is the guarantor. AD and OS critically reviewed the initial
54 55 56	415	manuscript. EM, EL, TC, LG, RF, KD, OO, MJ, LC, FT, JK, AA, RL and SB approved the final
57 58	416	manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
59	417	

418	References
-----	------------

2 3 4 5 6	418 References					
7 8	419					
9 10 11	420	1	James M, Marchant E, Defeyter MA, et al. Impact of school closures on the health and			
12 13	421		well-being of primary school children in Wales UK: a routine data linkage study using			
14 15 16	422		the HAPPEN Survey (2018-2020). BMJ Open 2021;11. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2021-			
10 17 18	423		051574			
19 20	424	2	Marchant E, Todd C, James M, et al. Primary school staff perspectives of school closures			
21 22 23	425		due to COVID-19, experiences of schools reopening and recommendations for the			
24 25	426		future: A qualitative survey in Wales. <i>PLoS One</i> 2021; <b>16</b> :e0260396.			
26 27 28	427		doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0260396			
29 30	428	3	Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, et al. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from			
31 32 22	429		childhood to adulthood: A systematic review. <i>Maturitas</i> 2011; <b>70</b> :266–84.			
33 34 35	430		doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.08.005			
36 37	431	4	OECD. 'Are children active and physically healthy?',. In: Measuring What Matters for			
38 39 40	432		Child Well-Being and Policies. Paris: : OECD Publishing 2021. 1–295.			
41 42	433		doi:10.1787/e82fded1-en			
43 44 45	434	5	OECD. Education in the Digital Age. In: Burns T, Gottschalk F, eds. Education in the			
46 47	435		<i>Digital Age</i> . OECD 2020. 1–218. doi:10.1787/1209166A-EN			
48 49 50	436	6	Pyper E, Harrington D, Manson H. The impact of different types of parental support			
50 51 52	437		behaviours on child physical activity, healthy eating, and screen time: a cross-sectional			
53 54	438		study. Published Online First: 2016. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3245-0			
55 56 57	439	7	Edwardson CL, Gorely T. Parental influences on different types and intensities of			
58 59 60	440		physical activity in youth: A systematic review. Psychol Sport Exerc 2010;11:522-35.			

1 2										
3 4	441		doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001							
5 6 7	442	<ul> <li>doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.001</li> <li>Pawellek M, Kopf FM, Egger N, <i>et al.</i> Pathways linking parental health literacy health behaviours directed at the child: a scoping review. <i>Health Promo</i> 2021;<b>2021</b>:1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154</li> <li>Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, <i>et al.</i> Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbree movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national surve <i>J Behav Nutr Phys Act</i> 2020;<b>17</b>. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8</li> <li>Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Red Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020;<b>15</b>:58. doi:10.5334/gh.879</li> <li>Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, <i>et al.</i> Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initial findin the pandemic Journal of Health Monitoring 2. <i>J Heal Monit</i> 2020;<b>2020</b>: doi:10.25646/7055</li> <li>Childs CE, Calder PC, Miles EA. Diet and Immune Function. <i>Nutrients</i> 201 doi:10.3390/nu11081933</li> <li>Lange KW, Nakamura Y. Lifestyle factors in the prevention of COVID-19. <i>Glob J</i> 2020;<b>4</b>:146–52. doi:10.1016/J.GLOHJ.2020.11.002</li> </ul>								
8 9	441doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2010.05.0016442864428744384439444104442021;2021:1-29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154114442021;2021:1-29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB1541244513445144451544616movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national171184471910101014101010111012448101011Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, et al. Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initia1245111Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, et al. Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initia1245213Lange KW, Nakamura Y. Lifestyle factors in the prevention of COVID-19.144562020;4:146-52. doi:10.1016/J.GLOHJ.2020.11.00214Chastin SFM, Abaraogu U, Bourgois JG, et al. Effects of Regular Physical Action1414141415141614171418141914191419141914191410151116121613161416151315<									
10 11 12	444		2021; <b>2021</b> :1–29. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAB154							
12 13 14	445	9	Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on							
15 16	446		movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: A national survey. Int							
17 18 19	447		J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2020; <b>17</b> . doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8							
20 21	448	10	Chowdhury R, Van Daalen KR, Franco OH. Cardiometabolic Health: Key in Reducing							
22 23 24	449		Adverse COVID-19 Outcomes. <i>Glob Heart</i> 2020; <b>15</b> :58. doi:10.5334/gh.879							
25 26	450	11	Koch R, Jordan S, Starker A, et al. Health behaviour and COVID-19: Initial findings on							
27 28 20	451		the pandemic Journal of Health Monitoring 2. J Heal Monit 2020;2020:1–14.							
30 31	452		doi:10.25646/7055							
32 33	453	12	Childs CE, Calder PC, Miles EA. Diet and Immune Function. Nutrients 2019;11.							
34 35 36	454		doi:10.3390/nu11081933							
37 38	455	13	Lange KW, Nakamura Y. Lifestyle factors in the prevention of COVID-19. Glob Heal J							
39 40 41	456		2020; <b>4</b> :146–52. doi:10.1016/J.GLOHJ.2020.11.002							
42 43	457	14	Chastin SFM, Abaraogu U, Bourgois JG, et al. Effects of Regular Physical Activity on the							
44 45 46	458		Immune System, Vaccination and Risk of Community-Acquired Infectious Disease in the							
47 48	459		General Population: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med 2021;51:1673.							
49 50	460		doi:10.1007/S40279-021-01466-1							
52 53	461	15	Furman D, Campisi J, Verdin E, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease							
54 55	462		across the life span. Nat Med 2019 2512 2019;25:1822-32. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-							
56 57 58	463		0675-0							
59 60	464	16	Wintergerst ES, Maggini S, Hornig DH. Contribution of selected vitamins and trace							

Page 30 of 61

BMJ Open

1 2

3 4	465		elements to immune function. Ann Nutr Metab 2007; <b>51</b> :301–23.
5 6 7	466		doi:10.1159/000107673
7 8 9	467	17	Laddu DR, Lavie CJ, Phillips SA, et al. Physical activity for immunity protection:
10 11	468		Inoculating populations with healthy living medicine in preparation for the next
12 13 14	469		pandemic. <i>Prog Cardiovasc Dis</i> 2021; <b>64</b> :102–4. doi:10.1016/J.PCAD.2020.04.006
15 16	470	18	Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale C, et al. Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory mechanisms,
17 18 10	471		and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based cohort study of 387,109 adults in
20 21	472		UK. Brain Behav Immun 2020; <b>87</b> :184–7. doi:10.1016/J.BBI.2020.05.059
22 23	473	19	Lee SW, Lee J, Moon SY, et al. Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection,
24 25 26	474		severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide
27 28	475		cohort study. Br J Sports Med 2021;0:18. doi:10.1136/BJSPORTS-2021-104203
29 30 31	476	20	Zhou B, Yuan Y, Wang S, et al. Risk profiles of severe illness in children with COVID-19:
32 33	477		a meta-analysis of individual patients. Pediatr Res 2021 902 2021;90:347–52.
34 35 36	478		doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01429-2
37 38	479	21	Shekerdemian LS, Mahmood NR, Wolfe KK, et al. Characteristics and Outcomes of
39 40	480		Children With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection Admitted to US and
41 42 43	481		Canadian Pediatric Intensive Care Units. JAMA Pediatr 2020;174:868–73.
44 45	482		doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1948
46 47 48	483	22	Castagnoli R, Votto M, Licari A, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
49 50	484		2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. JAMA
51 52 53	485		Pediatr 2020; <b>174</b> :882–9. doi:10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2020.1467
54 55	486	23	OECD. Combatting COVID-19's effect on children - OECD.
56 57 58	487		2020.https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/combatting-covid-19-s-
59 60	488		effect-on-children-2e1f3b2f/ (accessed 1 Oct 2021).

Page 31 of 61

BMJ Open

1 2			
3 4	489	24	Senedd Research. Coronavirus timeline: Welsh and UK governments' response – IN
5 6 7	490		BRIEF. 2020.https://seneddresearch.blog/2020/03/19/covid-19-timeline-welsh-and-
, 8 9	491		uk-governments-response/ (accessed 30 Mar 2022).
10 11	492	25	Todd, C., Christian, D., Tyler, R., Stratton, G., Brophy S. Developing HAPPEN (Health and
12 13 14	493		Attainment of Pupils involved in a Primary Education Network): working in partnership
15 16	494		to improve child health and education. <i>Perspect Public Health</i> 2016; <b>136</b> :115–6.
17 18 10	495	26	Christian D, Todd C, Davies H, et al. Community led active schools programme (CLASP)
20 21	496		exploring the implementation of health interventions in primary schools:
22 23 24	497		headteachers' perspectives. BMC Public Health 2015;15:238. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-
24 25 26	498		1557-0
27 28	499	27	Todd C, Christian D, Davies H, et al. Headteachers' prior beliefs on child health and their
29 30 31	500		engagement in school based health interventions: a qualitative study. BMC Res Notes
32 33	501		2015; <b>18</b> :161. doi:10.1186/s13104-015-1091-2
34 35 36	502	28	Marchant E, Todd C, Cooksey R, et al. Curriculum-based outdoor learning for children
37 38	503		aged 9-11: A qualitative analysis of pupils' and teachers' views. PLoS One 2019;14.
39 40 41	504		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212242
42 43	505	29	Marchant E, Todd C, Stratton G, et al. The Daily Mile: Whole-school recommendations
44 45	506		for implementation and sustainability. A mixed-methods study. PLoS One 2020;15.
40 47 48	507		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0228149
49 50	508	30	Marchant E, Griffiths L, Crick T, et al. COVID-19 mitigation measures in primary schools
51 52 53	509		and association with infection and school staff wellbeing: An observational survey
54 55	510		linked with routine data in Wales, UK. PLoS One 2022;17:e0264023.
56 57 58	511		doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0264023
59 60	512	31	Everson B, Mackintosh KA, McNarry MA, et al. Can Wearable Cameras be Used to

Page 32 of 61

BMJ Open

1 2

3 4	513		Validate School-Aged Children's Lifestyle Behaviours? Children 2019;6:20.						
5 6 7	514		doi:10.3390/children6020020						
7 8 9	515	32	Department of Health & Social Care. COVID-19 testing data: methodology note -						
10 11	516		GOV.UK. 2020.https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-						
12 13 14	517		testing-data-methodology/covid-19-testing-data-methodology-note (accessed 30 Mar						
15 16	2022).								
17 18 10	17 18 519 33 Ford D V., Jones KH, Verplancke JP, <i>et al.</i> The SAIL Databank: B								
20 21	520		architecture for e-health research and evaluation. BMC Health Serv Res 2009;9.						
22 23	521		doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-157						
24 25 26	522	34	Jones KH, Ford DV, Thompson S, et al. A Profile of the SAIL Databank on the UK Secure						
27 28	523		Research Platform. Int J Popul Data Sci 2019;4. doi:10.23889/ijpds.v4i2.1134						
29 30 31	524	35	Lyons J, Akbari A, Torabi F, et al. Understanding and responding to COVID-19 in Wales:						
32 33	525		protocol for a privacy-protecting data platform for enhanced epidemiology and						
34 35 36	526		evaluation of interventions. BMJ Open 2020;10:43010. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-						
37 38	527		043010						
39 40	528	36	Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, et al. The REporting of studies Conducted using						
41 42 43	529		Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. PLOS Med						
44 45	530		2015; <b>12</b> :e1001885. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1001885						
46 47 48	531	37	Welsh Government. Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation.						
49 50	532		2019.https://gov.wales/welsh-index-multiple-deprivation-full-index-update-ranks-						
51 52 53	533		2019						
54 55	534	38	Dong Y, Peng CYJ. Principled missing data methods for researchers. Springerplus						
56 57	535		2013; <b>2</b> :1–17. doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-222						
59 60	536	39	Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, et al. Health literacy and public health: A						

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 33 of 61

BMJ Open

1 2	1 2							
- 3 4	537		systematic review and integration of definitions and models. Published Online First:					
5 6 7	538		2012. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-80					
7 8 9	539	40	Morrison AK, Glick A, Yin HS. Health Literacy: Implications for Child Health. Pediatr Rev					
10 11	540		2019; <b>40</b> :263–77. doi:10.1542/PIR.2018-0027					
12 13 14	541	41	Bourdieu P. The Forms of Capital. In: Handbook of Theory and Research for the					
15 16	542		Sociology of Education. New York: : Greenwood 1986. 241–58.					
17 18 19	543	42	Darling N, Steinberg L. Parenting Style as Context: An Integrative Model. Psychol Bull					
20 21	544		1993; <b>113</b> :487–96. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.113.3.487					
22 23 24	545	43	Li L, Moosbrugger ME. Correlations between Physical Activity Participation and the					
24 25 26	546		Environment in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis					
27 28 20	547		Using Ecological Frameworks. Int J Environ Res Public Heal 2021, Vol 18, Page 9080					
29 30 31	548		2021; <b>18</b> :9080. doi:10.3390/IJERPH18179080					
32 33	549	44	Welsh Government. Reopening children's playgrounds and outdoor play areas:					
34 35 36	550		coronavirus. Guidance for those in charge of playgrounds or outdoor play areas to keep children safe during the coronavirus pandemic. 2020. https://gov.wales/reopening-					
37 38	551							
39 40 41	552		childrens-playgrounds-and-outdoor-play-areas-coronavirus-html (accessed 25 Apr					
42 43	553		2022).					
44 45 46	554	45	Mehta CM, Strough JN. Sex segregation in friendships and normative contexts across					
47 48	555		the life span. <i>Dev Rev</i> 2009; <b>29</b> :201–20. doi:10.1016/J.DR.2009.06.001					
49 50	556	46	Edwards CP, Knoche L, Kumru A. Play Patterns and Gender. Encycl women Gend Sex					
52 53	557		similarities Differ impact Soc Gend 2001;:809–					
54 55	558		15.http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/psychfacpub/607/ (accessed 25 Apr 2022).					
56 57 58	559	47	Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection among					
59 60	560		Children and Adolescents Compared with Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-					

1 2			
2 3 4	561		analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2020. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573
5 6 7	562	48	Beale S, Braithwaite I, Navaratnam AM, et al. Deprivation and exposure to public
7 8 9	563		activities during the COVID-19 pandemic in England and Wales. J Epidemiol Community
10 11 12	564		<i>Heal</i> 2022; <b>76</b> :319–26. doi:10.1136/jech-2021-217076
12 13 14	565		
15 16	566	Figur	e 1: Cohort flow diagram
17 18 19	567		
20 21			
22 23			
24 25			
26 27			
28 29			
30 31			
32 33			
34 35			
36 37			
38 39			
40 41			
42 43			
44 45			
46 47			
48 49			
50 51			
52 53			
54 55			
56 57			
58 59			
60			



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Online s	upple	ment	al appendix 1: see [24]
2 20 March 2020			All schools across Wales closed, with the exception of provision of vulnerable children
4 20 Warch 2020			and children of critical workers.
5 28 April 2020	_		More drive-through testing centres.
7 13 May 2020 8			Test, Trace, Protect published including testing strategy to expand from testing workers in hospitals and care comes to symptomatic community testing.
9 18 May 2020			Home testing rolled out, enabling symptomatic people to request home coronavirus test.
11 1 June 2020 13 14	_		Contract tracing began in Wales. Anyone who tested positive for coronavirus contacted by contact tracer and asked to provide details of everyone they had been in close contact with. Those close contacts contacted and asked to self-isolate for 14 days.
15 29 June 2020 16 17			Schools opened to pupils from all year groups for limited periods during the week, with only a third of pupils in school at any one time.
18 19 15 July 2020			New Wales coronavirus testing strategy released.
20 17–24 July 2020 21	—		All schools in Wales closed for the summer holidays.
22 18 August 2020 23 24 25	-		Further investment in testing. Welsh Government announced £32 million funding to improve coronavirus testing including speed of processing tests and ensuring robust testing and contract tracing system for anticipated second wave.
26 1 September 2020			Some schools operated a phased return with flexibility to priority groups.
27 14 September 2020 28			Schools opened to all pupils in Wales.
2917 September 2020 30	_		Testing update. The Health Minister provided an update on Wales' response to current challenges with coronavirus testing, including a significant increase in demand for testing.
<ul> <li><sup>31</sup> 24 September 2020</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> </ul>			NHS COVID-19 app launched for people aged 16 and over, including increased capacity for identifying contacts of those tested positive for coronavirus.
34 29 September 2020 35 36 37 38	_		Wales' Minister for Health and Social Care sets out the prioritisation for Covid-19 testing in Wales as the Welsh Government move into a new phase of its response. The Minster set out six priorities for testing, with those working in education or childcare settings the fifth priority group and all symptomatic individuals being sixth.
<sup>39</sup> 19–30 October 2020 40	_		Autumn half term holiday (three local authorities had 2 week half term)
41 42 2 November 2020	_		Pupils in year 9 and above not expected to be present in school due to firebreak in Wales.
<ul> <li>43 16 November 2020</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ul>			New financial support scheme launched for people who need to self-isolate due to a positive coronavirus test result or those asked to do so by NHS Wales Test, Trace, Protect.
46 18 November 2020 47 48	-		Merthyr Tydfil (one of 22 local authorities) to be first whole area testing pilot in Wales. Everyone offered Covid-19 testing, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic. The mass testing programme used LFTs.
50 27 November 2020	_		Mass testing extended (use of LFTs).
52 14 December 2020 53 54	-	_	All secondary schools in Wales moved to online remove learning for last week of term before Christmas (14-18 December 2020). Many primary schools also closed.
55 14 December 2020 56 57			Plans for serial testing in schools. The Welsh Government announces plans to roll out serial testing in schools and colleges from January 2021.
<ul> <li>58 18 December 2020</li> <li>59</li> <li>60</li> </ul>			Testing infrastructure developments to support mass testing of symptomatic people across the Welsh population. In addition to increasing testing infrastructure for people with symptoms, also developing approach and support for people without symptoms to access lateral flow tests (LFT).

Online supplemental appendix 1: see [24]



 BMJ Open
 Page 3

 Online supplemental appendix 2: RECORD statement
 Page 3

 The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported by observational studies using routinely collected health data.
 Page 3

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
Title and abstra	ct	•		be	
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found		RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timetrame within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	<ul><li>1.1: Title and abstract</li><li>1.2: Title and abstract</li></ul>
Introduction		1	F	Г е	T
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported		bruary 23	Background
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses		, 2023 by g	Background
Methods				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper		t. Prote	Methods - Study design
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including		cted by	Methods - Study design
		For peer review only - ht	tp://bmiopen.bmi.com/site	/about/guidelines.xhtml	

# Online supplemental appendix 2: RECORD statement

Online supplementa	l appendix	C2: RECORD statement	う - 2	
		periods of recruitment, exposure,	022-	
		follow-up, and data collection	061	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study	6.1: Figure 1:
		eligibility criteria, and the	population selection (such as codes or	Cohort Flow
		sources and methods of selection	algorithms used to identify subjects)	Diagram
		of participants. Describe	should be listed in detail. If this is not	
		methods of follow-up	possible, an explanation shogid be	6.3: Figure 1:
		<i>Case-control study</i> - Give the	provided.	Cohort Flow
		eligibility criteria, and the	20	Diagram
		sources and methods of case	RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies	
		ascertainment and control	of the codes or algorithms used to	
		selection. Give the rationale for	select the population should be	
		the choice of cases and controls	referenced. If validation wasconducted	
		Cross-sectional study - Give the	for this study and not published	
		eligibility criteria, and the	elsewhere, detailed methods and results	
		sources and methods of selection	should be provided.	
		of participants		
			RECORD 6.3: If the study involved	
		(b) Cohort study - For matched	linkage of databases, consider use of a	
		studies, give matching criteria	flow diagram or other graphical display	
		and number of exposed and	to demonstrate the data linkage	
		unexposed	process, including the number of	
		<i>Case-control study</i> - For	individuals with linked data at each	
		matched studies, give matching	stage.	
		criteria and the number of	bru bru	
		controls per case	ary	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes,	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes	7.1: Supplem
		exposures, predictors, potential	and algorithms used to classify	appendix 4:
		confounders, and effect	exposures, outcomes, confounders, and	HAPPEN sur
		modifiers. Give diagnostic	effect modifiers should be provided. If	variable code
		criteria, if applicable.	these cannot be reported, an මූ	
			explanation should be provided.	
Data sources/	8	For each variable of interest,	rote	Methods - Th
measurement		give sources of data and details	scte	HAPPEN sur
		of methods of assessment	<u>م</u> ح	and linked SA
		(measurement).	y c	data

Page	40	of	61

P 1 . 1			ым Орен	ijopen.	Fay
niine supplemental a	ppendix	Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group		.2022-06132	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias		14 on 7 Sep	Methods - Quantitative analysis
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at		tembe	Figure 1: Cohor flow diagram
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why		r 2022. Download	Methods - Quantitative analysis
Statistical methods	12	<ul> <li>(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding</li> <li>(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions</li> <li>(c) Explain how missing data were addressed</li> <li>(d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed</li> <li><i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed</li> <li><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy</li> <li>(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses</li> </ul>	erie	ded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on February 23, 2023 by guest. Protecte	Methods - Quantitative analysis
Data access and				RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the	12.1: Methods - The HAPPEN

l of 61		ВМЈ Ор	n <u>jo</u> g
Online supplemental a	ppendix	2: RECORD statement	en-20
			investigators had access to the database survey and l population used to create the study SAIL data population $\vec{\omega}$
			RECORD 12.2: Authors should Cohort flow
			provide information on the cata diagram cleaning methods used in the study.
Linkage			RECORD 12.3: State whether the 12.3: Metho study included person-level. Study design institutional-level, or other data linkage
		O <sub>r</sub>	across two or more databases. The The HAPPE methods of linkage and methods of survey and l
			linkage quality evaluation should be SAIL data provided.
Results	10		
Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study ( <i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility	selection of the persons included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) analysis
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up,	quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can flow diagram
		and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non- participation at each stage	be described in the text and/gr by means of the study flow diagram.
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	bruary
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information	SResults - TaNoDescriptiveNoStatistics
		on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of	Full descript statistics tab
		participants with missing data for each variable of interest	supplementa appendix 5
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time ( <i>e.g.</i> , average and total amount)	d by copy

			BMJ Open	omjopen	5 5 5	Page
online supplemental ap Outcome data	ppendix 15	2: RECORD statement Cohort study - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Case-control study - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study - Report numbers of outcome events or				Results - Table 1 Descriptive statistics Full descriptive statistics table: Online supplemental appendix 6
Main results	16	summary measures (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	revie			Results – Table 3 and table 4 Online supplemental appendix 6: Unadjusted multivariable logistic regressio analyses
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done— e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses				Results
Discussion				23	3	
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives		y gue:		Results
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data the created or collected to answe specific research question(s) discussion of misclassification	t were not t the Include n bias,	Strengths and limitations

3 of 61		BMJ Ope	n	mjope	
Online supplemental a	ppendix	2: RECORD statement		en-2	
			unmeasured co data, and chang time, as they pe reported.	nfounding, nhssing ging eligibilithover ertain to the sudy being	2
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence		n 7 September 2022. Do	Discussion Conclusion
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results		wnloaded fror	Discussion Conclusion Strengths and limitations
<b>Other Information</b>	n			⇒ <u></u>	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based		o://bmjopen.bmj.	Funding
Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code			RECORD 22.1 provide inform any supplemen the study proto programming c	: Authors should ation on howgo access tal information such as col, raw data code.	Availability fo data and mater
*Reference: Bench Committee. The R n press. *Checklist is protec	imol EI Eportin	, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Pete g of studies Conducted using Observational Routin ler Creative Commons Attribution ( <u>CC BY</u> ) license	rsen I, Sørensen HT, von ely-collected health Data	Elm E, Lang an SM, the (RECORD) Statement. guest Protected by o	e RECORD Worki <i>PLoS Medicine</i> 2









		BMJ Open B	Page
	22. 10. What time did you wake up TODAY (to the nearest half hour)? *	26. 11d. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel like you could connect rate/pay attention well in class?*	
		Mark only one oval.	
1		O D days	
י ר			
2		Sédays 4	
3		□ 7 days 4	
4		n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	
5		7 1e lo the last 7 days how many days did you drink at least one firzy diale (e.g. cycle, fanta, sprite).*	
6	Mark only one oval.		
7	5.00am		
/	5.30am	l u ays l u ay	
8	600am	34 days <b>P</b>	
9	C 530am	56 days 2	
10	7.30am	<sup>7</sup> days ON	
11	8:00am	2	
10	830am	28. 11f. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary work (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets)*	
12	U xuuan	Mark only one oval.	
13			
14	THE LAST WEEK	1.2 days Q	
15	NOW think about what you did in the last 7 days	→ 3-4 days → 5-6 days O	
16		Control of the second sec	
17		and a second	
17		20. Sto to the left 7 days have many days distance this ways for $d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (Benerick VEC, chinase).	
18		2.7 The in the fact range, from their y says and you bear take analy to use (compositional, in c., thinkset) Mark ratio and and	
19			
20			
21	23. 11a. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat	O 34 days	
22	faster, you breathed faster and you felt warmer?*		
23	Mark only one oval.	, rays	
23	O days		
24		sport and Activity O	
25	5 6 days		
26	O 7 days		
27			
28	24. 11b. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?*		
20	Mark only one oval.		
20	💭 0 days		
50			
31		No 20	
32	7 days		
33		<ol> <li>I.2. Inese questions are going to ask you now you reel about physical activity (Inis includes any activity where your heart beats faster, you breathe faster and you ree warmen)*</li> </ol>	л
34	25. 11c. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel tired? *	Q Q	
35	Mark only one oval.	Strongly Agree Designee 55 Strongly	
36	◯ 0 days	agree 😤 dicagree	
20		✓ ✓ × Pa ×	
3/	3.4 days	Mark only one oval per row.	
38	o bo days	Strongly agree Agree Dimpree Strongly disagree	
39			
40		different physical activities	
41		I am good at lots of different physical	
42		acumues	
43		activity is good for me	
ΔΛ	For peer review only - http://bmjo	open.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 🗧 🕂	
 //			
40			

\_

















Page 53	of 61
---------	-------

jopen-2022-061

Exposures	HAPPEN Survey item	Responses	Analyses codiĝg
Ate breakfast	13. What did you eat for	Nothing	Binary: 7
	breakfast yesterday?	Cereal	1 = Cereal; Snecks;
		Snacks	Fruit; Toast; C
		Fruit	0 = Nothing ष्षे
		Toast	202
		Cooked breakfast	N.
		Yoghurt	Dow
Active travel to school	14. How did you get to school	On the bus	Binary:
	yesterday morning?	In the car/taxi	1 = Walked; Oर्षे bike; Ran/jogged;
		Walked	Scooter; Skate, oarded/rollerbladed
		On bike	0 = On the bus $\frac{3}{2}$ In the car/taxi
		Ran/jogged	ttp:/
		Scooter	/bm
		Skateboarded/rollerbladed	
Active travel from	18. How did you get home	On the bus	Binary:
school	yesterday?	In the car/taxi	1 = Walked; Op bike; Ran/jogged;
		Walked	Scooter; Skateboarded/rollerbladed
		On bike	0 = On the buse In the car/taxi
		Ran/jogged	Γ Fe
		Scooter	bru
		Skateboarded/rollerbladed	ary :
Toothbrush 2+ per day	20. How many times did you	0-3	Continuous:
	brush your teeth yesterday?		0-3
			ω by
5+ fruit and veg	19. How many portions of	0-8	Continuous: 🚊
	fruit and vegetables did you		0 – 8 <sup>8</sup> :
	eat yesterday?		Pro
			otect
			d d
			by c
			ору
	- · · ·		righ
	For peer review only -	nttp://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/g	juidelines.xhtml 🕂

		BMJ Open	pen-2
nline supplemental app	endix 4: HAPPEN survey variable	e codebook	022-0613
Sleep 9+ hours	21. What time did you fall asleep last night	(30 min intervals) 7:00pm – 4:00am	Continuous: م Sleep hours calculated from item 2: and 22 مو
	22. What time did you wake up this morning?	(30 min intervals) 5:00am – 9:00am	- nber 202
Physically active 60+ mins every day previous 7 days	23. In the last 7 days, how many days did you do sports or exercise for at least 1 hour in total (This includes doing any activities or playing sports where your heart beat faster, you breathed faster and you felt warmer	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: $\stackrel{\text{N}}{=}$ Downloaded from http://bn
Sedentary/screen time 2 hours every day previous 7 days	24. In the last 7 days, how many days did you watch TV/play online games/use the internet etc. for 2 or more hours a day (in total)?	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0  days 1 - 2  days 3 - 4  days 5 - 6  days 7  days February 23, 2023
Tired 7 days	25. In the last 7 days, how many days did you feel tired?	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days by 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1			BMJ Open	open-2	
C	Online supplemental app	2022-0613			
_	Sugary snack 7 days	28. In the last 7 days, how many days did you eat at least one sugary snack (e.g. chocolate bar, sweets)	0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	Ordinal: 0 days 1 – 2 days 3 – 4 days 5 – 6 days 7 days	
_	Participate in at least 3 out of school clubs	31. How many times do you take part in a sports club OUTSIDE OF SCHOOL each week?	0 - 10	Continuous: <sup>2.</sup> Downloade	
_	Can ride a bike	35. Can you ride a bike without stabilisers?	No Yes	Binary: from http://br	
	Can swim 25m	36. Can you swim 25 metres without a float or armbands (This is 1 length of a standard swimming pool)	No Yes	Binary: 1 = Yes 0 = No 	
_	Age on 01/03/2020	Decimal age on 1 March 2020	Continuous	Continuous	
_	Sex	Sex	Girl Boy	Binary: February 0 = Girl Uary 1 = Boy	
_	WIMD	Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2019		Coding framework 2019[34]	ork from WIMD
		For peer review only -	http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/	/guidelines.xhtml	

24

44 45

		Tested for SARS- CoV-2 % (n)	Not tested for SARS-CoV-2 % (n)	Tested positive for SAR SARS-Cov 2 % (n)	Tested negative/not tested (unknown for SARS-CoV-2 % (n)
		LINKED DAT	A		
Sample		39.1% (2,764)	60.9% (4,298)	8.1% (569	91.9% (6,493)
Age at time of HAPPEN survey	Ur,	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 0.8
Age on 01/03/2020 (start of period of interest)		10.6 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 1.1	10.6 ± 1.0 http://bmjopen.bm	10.4 ± 1.0
			101	ıj. com	
Sex	Воу	49.3% (1,363)	46.7% (2,005)	44.3% (2552)	48.0% (3,116)
	Girl	48.9% (1,352)	51.8% (2,226)	54.5% (3ឆ្នឹ)	50.3% (3,268)
WIMD 2019 quintiles	Missing 1 (most deprived)	1.8% (49) 24.3% (672)	1.5% (67) 23.9% (1,025)	1.2% (7) 2 28.5% (162)	1.7% (109) 23.6% (1,535)
	2	19.9% (551)	19.02% (826)	19.7% (112)	19.5% (1.265)
	3	16.5% (455)	17.4% (748)	17.6% (100)	17.0% (1.103)
	4	15.6% (431)	15.8% (678)	14.1% (80)	15.9% (1,029)
		· /			17 20/ (1 124)
	5 (least deprived)	18.0% (497)	16.8% (771)	נאַץ (94 <u>אַ</u> ד	17.5% (1,124)

Page	57	of	61
------	----	----	----

jopen-2022

		Previous da	ay	4 or	
Ate breakfast	Yes	93.0% (2,571)	92.1% (3,797)	93.4% (538)	92% (6,012)
	No	7% (193)	7.3% (319)	5.6% (31) <u></u>	7.3% (481)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% mt	0%
Active travel to school	Yes	38.5% (1,065)	39.8% (1,710)	37.6% (2 <b>1</b> 4)	39.4% (2,561
	No	61.5% (1,699)	60.2% (2,588)	62.4% (355)	60.6% (3,932
	Missing	0%	0%	0% §	0%
Active travel from school	Yes	43.0% (1,187)	43.0% (1,846)	42.4% (24) g	43.0% (2,792
	No	57.0% (1,577)	57.0% (2,452)	57.6% (328)	57.0% (3,701
	Missing	0%	0%	0% 3	0%
Toothbrush continuous	0	3.3% (91)	3.4% (146)	1.9% (11)	3.5% (227)
	1	20.0% (552)	21.0% (903)	18.6% (10)	20.6% (1,358
	2	67.1% (1,854)	65.2% (2,802)	69.6% (3%)	65.2% (4,294
	3	9.6% (265)	10.3% (446)	9.5% (54)	10.0% (659)
	Missing	0.1% (<5)	<0.1% (<5)	0.4% (<5) <mark>ട്</mark> ട്	<0.1%% (<5)
Fruit/veg portions	0	14.3% (395)	15.3% (657)	12.5% (7 <u>1</u> )	15.1% (981)
(continuous)			ľ O	Febru	
	1	16.1% (445)	17.4% (749)	15.8% (90)	17.0% (1,104
	2	17.7% (489)	17.5% (754)	19.5% (1斗)	17.4% (1,132
	3	17.5% (484)	16.5% (711)	16.7% (9\$	16.9% (1,110
	4	12.7% (351)	11.9% (510)	13.5% (7 <b>‡</b> )	12.1% (784)
	5	10.5% (291)	10.6% (455)	11.8% (6월	10.4% (679)
	6	4.5% (123)	4.3% (186)	2.8% (16)	4.5% (293)
	7	2.3% (63)	2.1% (92)	4.2% (24) <sub>강</sub>	2.0% (131)
	8	4.5% (123)	4.3% (184)	3.2% (18) <u>§</u>	4.5% (289)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% <sup>®</sup>	0%
Page !	58 o	f 61			
--------	------	------			
--------	------	------			

		BMJ C	)pen	pen-2	
				2022-	
				06132	
Sleep hours		9.4 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.6 $\frac{4}{\circ}$	9.4 ± 1.6
Number of days physically active ≥ 60 minutes	0	6.5% (179)	7.9% (339)	4.0% (23) Sope	7.6% (495)
	1-2 days	27.9% (772)	29.0% (1,246)	<u></u>	28.7% (1,860)
	3-4 days	27.5% (761)	26.2% (1,128)	30.9% (1785)	26.4% (1,712)
	5-6 days	18.3% (505)	17.0% (731)	18.1% (103)	17.5% (1,133)
	7 days	19.8% (557)	19.9% (854)	19.2% (109)	19.9% (1,292)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% vnlo	0%
Number of days	0	5.2% (144)	6.1% (262)	5.5% (31) <del>ខ</del> ្ល	5.8% (375)
sedentary/screen time ≥ two hours		00		d from	
	1-2 days	24.2% (674)	23.5% (1,011)	24.8% (1🐴)	23.8% (1,544)
	3-4 days	21.7% (599)	20.6% (886)	21.1% (12)	21.0% (1,365)
	5-6 days	14.0% (386)	13.8% (593)	13.9% (7 <del>9</del>	13.9% (900)
	7 days	34.8% (961)	36.0% (1,546)	34.8% (198)	35.6% (2,309)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% <u>bi</u>	0%
Number of days tired	0	21.0% (582)	21.0% (903)	19.2% (1 <sup>0</sup> 9)	21.2% (1,376)
	1-2 days	32.4% (895)	32.0% (1,377)	35.7% (2O)	31.9% (2,069)
	3-4 days	17.6% (487)	17.5% (754)	18.8% (1∰7)	17.5% (1,134)
	5-6 days	10.0% (276)	9.3% (399)	10.5% (6)	9.5% (615)
	7 days	19.0% (524)	20.1% (865)	15.8% (9 <b>¢)</b>	20.0% (1,299)
	Missing	0%	0%	0% 202	0%
Number of days sugary snack	0	6.5% (179)	7.7% (332)	6.3% (36) <sup>20</sup>	7.3% (475)
	1-2 days	34.9% (964)	32.7% (1,407)	35.0% (1959)	33.5% (2,172)
	3-4 days	25.3% (698)	26.7% (1,146)	25.1% (143)	26.2% (1,701)
	5-6 days	13.4% (371)	12.0% (515)	15.3% (8 <del>万</del>	12.3% (799)
	7 days	20.0% (552)	20.9% (898)	18.3% (104)	20.7% (1,346)
				by copyrigh	

Page	59	of	61
------	----	----	----

3 4

Number of out of school clubs         0         27.7% (766)         32.3% (1,387)         25.1% (148)           1         17.9% (495)         16.9% (726)         16.0% (949)         16.9% (726)         16.0% (949)           2         16.0% (443)         15.1% (650)         14.9% (888)         14.9% (888)         14.9% (888)           3         11.1% (308)         10.4% (446)         13.3% (76)         14.9% (888)         14.9% (888)           4         7.4% (204)         7.3% (313)         7.6% (438)         15.1% (650)         14.9% (888)           6         3.4% (95)         2.5% (109)         5.1% (29)         5         6.2% (171)         5.8% (251)         5.8% (338)         16           6         3.4% (95)         2.5% (109)         5.1% (29)         5         6         3.3% (91)         2.5% (107)         5.1% (29)         10         3.3% (91)         2.5% (107)         5.1% (29)         10           9         0.9% (24)         0.7% (32)         1.2% (7)         10         3.3% (107)         4.0% (174)         3.3% (19)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (520)         4.0% (		11%
Number of out of school clubs         0         27.7% (766)         32.3% (1,387)         25.1% (148)           1         17.9% (495)         16.9% (726)         16.0% (949)           2         16.0% (443)         15.1% (650)         14.9% (85)           3         11.1% (308)         10.4% (446)         13.3% (76)           4         7.4% (204)         7.3% (313)         7.6% (43)           5         6.2% (171)         5.8% (251)         5.8% (33)           6         3.4% (95)         2.5% (109)         5.1% (29)           7         3.3% (91)         2.5% (107)         5.1% (29)           8         1.1% (29)         0.8% (33)         1.8% (10)           9         0.9% (24)         0.7% (32)         1.2% (7)           10         3.9% (107)         4.0% (174)         3.3% (19)           Missing         1.1% (31)         1.6% (70)         0.7% (<55)           No         11.2% (309)         14.0% (602)         8.6% (49)           Missing         0%         0%         0%         0%           Can ride a bike         Yes         78.9% (2.180)         72.9% (3.134)         80.3% (4\$7)	General	070
1 $17.9\% (495)$ $16.9\% (726)$ $16.0\% (9 fr)$ 2 $16.0\% (443)$ $15.1\% (650)$ $14.9\% (8 fr)$ 3 $11.1\% (308)$ $10.4\% (446)$ $13.3\% (76)$ 4 $7.4\% (204)$ $7.3\% (313)$ $7.6\% (43)$ 5 $6.2\% (171)$ $5.8\% (251)$ $5.8\% (33)$ 6 $3.4\% (95)$ $2.5\% (109)$ $5.1\% (29)$ 7 $3.3\% (91)$ $2.5\% (107)$ $5.1\% (29)$ 8 $1.1\% (29)$ $0.8\% (33)$ $1.8\% (10)$ 9 $0.9\% (24)$ $0.7\% (32)$ $1.2\% (7)$ 10 $3.9\% (107)$ $4.0\% (174)$ $3.3\% (19)$ Missing $1.1\% (31)$ $1.6\% (70)$ $0.7\% (<5)$ No $11.2\% (309)$ $14.0\% (602)$ $8.6\% (49)$ No $11.2\% (309)$ $14.0\% (602)$ $8.6\% (49)$ Can swim 25mYes $78.9\% (2.180)$ $72.9\% (3.134)$ $80.3\% (457)$	27.7% (766) 32.3% (1,387) 25.1% (143)	31.0% (2,0
2         16.0% (443)         15.1% (650)         14.9% (8\$)           3         11.1% (308)         10.4% (446)         13.3% (76)           4         7.4% (204)         7.3% (313)         7.6% (43))           5         6.2% (171)         5.8% (251)         5.8% (33))           6         3.4% (95)         2.5% (109)         5.1% (29))           7         3.3% (91)         2.5% (107)         5.1% (29))           8         1.1% (29)         0.8% (33)         1.8% (10)           9         0.9% (24)         0.7% (32)         1.2% (7)           10         3.9% (107)         4.0% (174)         3.3% (19)           Missing         1.1% (31)         1.6% (70)         0.7% (<5)           No         11.2% (309)         14.0% (602)         8.6% (49)           Missing         0%         0%         0%         0%           Can swim 25m         Yes         78.9% (2.180)         72.9% (3.134)         80.3% (4\$7)	17.9% (495) 16.9% (726) 16.0% (95	17.4% (1,1
311.1% (308)10.4% (446)13.3% (76)47.4% (204)7.3% (313)7.6% (43)56.2% (171)5.8% (251)5.8% (33)63.4% (95)2.5% (109)5.1% (29)73.3% (91)2.5% (107)5.1% (29)81.1% (29)0.8% (33)1.8% (10)90.9% (24)0.7% (32)1.2% (7)103.9% (107)4.0% (174)3.3% (19)Missing1.1% (31)1.6% (70)0.7% (<5)No11.2% (309)14.0% (602)8.6% (49)Missing0%0%0%	16.0% (443) 15.1% (650) 14.9% (8 <sup>5</sup> )	15.5% (1,0
4 $7.4\% (204)$ $7.3\% (313)$ $7.6\% (43)^{\circ}_{2}$ 5 $6.2\% (171)$ $5.8\% (251)$ $5.8\% (33)^{\circ}_{2}$ 6 $3.4\% (95)$ $2.5\% (109)$ $5.1\% (29)^{\circ}_{2}$ 7 $3.3\% (91)$ $2.5\% (107)$ $5.1\% (29)^{\circ}_{2}$ 8 $1.1\% (29)$ $0.8\% (33)$ $1.8\% (10)^{3}_{2}$ 9 $0.9\% (24)$ $0.7\% (32)$ $1.2\% (7)^{\circ}_{2}$ 10 $3.9\% (107)$ $4.0\% (174)$ $3.3\% (19)^{\circ}_{2}$ Missing $1.1\% (31)$ $1.6\% (70)$ $0.7\% (<5)^{\circ}_{2}$ No $11.2\% (309)$ $14.0\% (602)$ $8.6\% (49)^{\circ}_{2}$ Missing $0\%$ $0\%$ $0\%$ Can swim 25mYes $78.9\% (2.180)$ $72.9\% (3.134)$ $80.3\% (457)$	11.1% (308) 10.4% (446) 13.3% (76)	10.4% (678
5       6.2% (171)       5.8% (251)       5.8% (33)         6       3.4% (95)       2.5% (109)       5.1% (29)         7       3.3% (91)       2.5% (107)       5.1% (29)         8       1.1% (29)       0.8% (33)       1.8% (10)         9       0.9% (24)       0.7% (32)       1.2% (7)       10         10       3.9% (107)       4.0% (174)       3.3% (19)       10%         Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)	7.4% (204) 7.3% (313) 7.6% (43)	7.3% (474)
6       3.4% (95)       2.5% (109)       5.1% (29)         7       3.3% (91)       2.5% (107)       5.1% (29)         8       1.1% (29)       0.8% (33)       1.8% (10)         9       0.9% (24)       0.7% (32)       1.2% (7)         10       3.9% (107)       4.0% (174)       3.3% (19)         Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)	6.2% (171) 5.8% (251) 5.8% (33) ố	6.0% (389)
7 $3.3\% (91)$ $2.5\% (107)$ $5.1\% (29)^{2}$ 8 $1.1\% (29)$ $0.8\% (33)$ $1.8\% (10)^{2}$ 9 $0.9\% (24)$ $0.7\% (32)$ $1.2\% (7)^{2}$ 10 $3.9\% (107)$ $4.0\% (174)$ $3.3\% (19)^{2}$ Missing $1.1\% (31)$ $1.6\% (70)$ $0.7\% (<5)^{2}$ Can ride a bikeYes $88.8\% (2,444)$ $86.0\% (3,696)$ $91.4\% (520)$ No $11.2\% (309)$ $14.0\% (602)$ $8.6\% (49)^{2}$ Can swim 25mYes $78.9\% (2.180)$ $72.9\% (3.134)$ $80.3\% (4\%7)$	<u> </u>	2.7% (175)
8       1.1% (29)       0.8% (33)       1.8% (10) <sup>3</sup> 9       0.9% (24)       0.7% (32)       1.2% (7)       1.2% (7)         10       3.9% (107)       4.0% (174)       3.3% (19)       1.1% (31)         Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)       1.1% (520)         Can ride a bike       Yes       88.8% (2,444)       86.0% (3,696)       91.4% (520)       1.1% (520)         Mo       11.2% (309)       14.0% (602)       8.6% (49)       1.1% (30)       1.2% (309)       14.0% (602)       8.6% (49)       1.1% (30)         Can swim 25m       Yes       78.9% (2.180)       72.9% (3.134)       80.3% (4\$)       1.1% (37)	<b>3.3% (91) 2.5% (107) 5.1% (29)</b>	2.6% (169)
9       0.9% (24)       0.7% (32)       1.2% (7)         10       3.9% (107)       4.0% (174)       3.3% (19)         Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)	1.1% (29) 0.8% (33) 1.8% $(10)^{3}_{2}$	0.8% (52)
10       3.9% (107)       4.0% (174)       3.3% (19)         Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)         Can ride a bike       Yes       88.8% (2,444)       86.0% (3,696)       91.4% (520)         No       11.2% (309)       14.0% (602)       8.6% (49)       91.4% (520)         Missing       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%         Can swim 25m       Yes       78.9% (2.180)       72.9% (3.134)       80.3% (4\$)       80.3% (4\$)	0.9% (24) 0.7% (32) 1.2% (7) 👮	0.8% (49)
Missing       1.1% (31)       1.6% (70)       0.7% (<5)         Can ride a bike       Yes       88.8% (2,444)       86.0% (3,696)       91.4% (520)         No       11.2% (309)       14.0% (602)       8.6% (49)       91.4% (520)         Missing       0%	3.9% (107) 👝 4.0% (174) 3.3% (19) 🖻	4.0% (262)
Can ride a bike         Yes         88.8% (2,444)         86.0% (3,696)         91.4% (520)           No         11.2% (309)         14.0% (602)         8.6% (49)           Missing         0%	1.1% (31) 🔨 🚫 🖕 1.6% (70) 0.7% (<5) 🛱	1.5% (97)
No         11.2% (309)         14.0% (602)         8.6% (49)           Missing         0%         0%         0%         0%           Can swim 25m         Yes         78.9% (2.180)         72.9% (3.134)         80.3% (4%)	88.8% (2,444) 86.0% (3,696) 91.4% (520)	86.7% (5,6
Missing         0%         0%         2           Can swim 25m         Yes         78.9% (2.180)         72.9% (3.134)         80.3% (4\$7)	11.2% (309) 14.0% (602) 8.6% (49)	13.3% (862
<b>Can swim 25m Yes</b> 78.9% (2.180) 72.9% (3.134) 80.3% (4 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>5</sub> 7)	0% 0% 2	0%
	78.9% (2,180) 72.9% (3,134) 80.3% (4\$7)	74.8% (4,8
No 21.1% (584) 27.1% (1,164) 19.7% (12)	21.1% (584) 27.1% (1,164) 19.7% (1🖞)	25.2% (1,6
Missing 0% 0% 6	0% 0% G	0%

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## Online supplemental appendix 6:

Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-test without confounders.

1.05 1.00 0.92 1.00	0.632	0.87 – 1.27
1.05 1.00 0.92 1.00	0.632	0.87 – 1.27
1.00 0.92 1.00	0.238	
0.92	0.238	
1.00	0.200	0.80 - 1.06
1.08	0.273	0.94 – 1.24
1.00		
1.00	0.941	0.98 - 1.03
0.97	0.474	0.90 - 1.05
0.99	0.654	0.96 - 1.02
1.00		
1.12	0.276	0.91 - 1.38
1.14	0.221	0.92 - 1.42
1.17	0.177	0.93 – 1.47
1.09	0.475	0.87 – 1.37
1.00		
1.16	0.209	0.92 - 1.46
1.18	0.166	0.93 - 1.49
1.15	0.275	0.90 - 1.47
1.14	0.256	0.91 - 1.44
1.00	О,	
0.98	0.791	0.86 - 1.13
0.99	0.881	0.84 - 1.16
1.04	0.667	0.86 - 1.26
0.97	0.730	0.83 - 1.14
1.21*	0.062	0.99 - 1.49
1.08	0.489	0.87 – 1.33
1.29**	0.034	1.02 - 1.63
1.12	0.314	0.90 - 1.39
1.02	0.121	1.00 to 1.04
1.16*	0.064	0.99 – 1.35
1.00		
1.30**	< 0.001	1.15 - 1.46
	$1.03$ $1.08$ $1.00$ $1.00$ $0.97$ $0.99$ $1.00$ $1.12$ $1.14$ $1.17$ $1.09$ $1.00$ $1.16$ $1.16$ $1.14$ $1.00$ $0.98$ $0.99$ $1.04$ $0.97$ $1.21^*$ $1.08$ $1.29^{**}$ $1.16^*$ $1.00$ $1.30^{**}$	$1.03$ $0.273$ $1.00$ $0.941$ $0.97$ $0.474$ $0.99$ $0.654$ $1.00$ $1.12$ $1.12$ $0.276$ $1.14$ $0.221$ $1.17$ $0.177$ $1.09$ $0.475$ $1.00$ $1.16$ $1.16$ $0.209$ $1.18$ $0.166$ $1.15$ $0.275$ $1.14$ $0.256$ $1.00$ $0.98$ $0.791$ $0.99$ $0.98$ $0.791$ $0.99$ $0.881$ $1.04$ $0.667$ $0.97$ $0.730$ $1.21^*$ $0.062$ $1.08$ $0.489$ $1.29^{**}$ $0.034$ $1.12$ $0.314$ $1.02$ $0.121$ $1.16^*$ $0.064$ $1.00$ $1.30^{**}$

 Reference: cannot swim 25m

1.00

OR: Odds Ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence intervals; p<0.05\*\*, p<0.1\*. See online supplemental appendix 4 for variable codebook.

to beer teriewony

Multivariable logistic regression model of health behaviour markers and probability of PCR-test positive without confounders.

PCR test positive for SARS-CoV-2	OR	p value	95% CI
(n=6,958, R <sup>2</sup> =0.01)	4.20	0.470	0.00 1.01
Ate breakfast	1.30	0.170	0.89 - 1.91
Reference: ald not eat breakfast	1.00	0.451	071 117
	0.91	0.451	0.71 - 1.17
Reference: ald not active travel to school	1.00	0.644	0.00 4.00
Active travel from school	1.07	0.614	0.83 - 1.36
Reference: did not active travel from school	1.00		
Number of fruit/vegetable portions	0.99	0.574	0.94 – 1.03
Reference: 0 fruit/vegetable portions	1.00		
Number of times teeth brushed	1.07	0.385	0.92 – 1.24
Reference: did not brush teeth	1.00		
Sleep hours	0.97	0.266	0.92 – 1.02
Reference: 0 days physically active $\geq$ 60	1.00		
mins (previous seven days)			
1-2 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.71	0.023	1.08 – 2.73
3-4 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.87	0.009	1.17 – 2.99
5-6 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins (	1.61	0.059	0.98 – 2.63
7 days physically active $\geq$ 60 mins	1.49	0.117	0.91 – 2.43
Reference: 0 days sedentary ≥two hours	1.00		
(previous seven days)			
1-2 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.03	0.877	0.68 – 1.57
3-4 days sedentary $\geq$ two hours	1.00	0.983	0.66 – 1.54
5-6 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.01	0.958	0.65 – 1.59
7 days sedentary ≥ two hours	1.10	0.660	0.72 – 1.66
Reference: 0 days felt tired (previous seven days)	1.00	2	
1-2 days felt tired	1.21	0.125	0.95 – 1.55
3-4 days felt tired	1.17	0.278	0.88 – 1.55
5-6 days felt tired	1.21	0.273	0.86 - 1.69
7 days felt tired	0.92	0.600	0.69 - 1.24
Reference: 0 days consumed sugary spack	1.00	0.000	0.00 1.21
(previous seven days)	1.00		
1-2 days consumed sugary snack	1.14	0.499	0.78 – 1.67
3-4 days consumed sugary snack	1.03	0.873	0.70 – 1.53
5-6 days consumed sugary snack	1.38	0.131	0.91 – 2.11
7 days consumed sugary snack	1.04	0.867	0.69 - 1.56
Number of out of school clubs	1.05	0.007	1.01 – 1.09
participation Can ride a bike	1.40	0.032	1.03 – 1.92

Page	63	of	61
------	----	----	----

BMJ Open

Reference: cannot ride a bike	1.00		
Can swim 25m	1.16	0.207	0.92 – 1.45
Reference: cannot swim 25m	1.00		
Rejerence. cumot swim 25m	1.00		