Review title or ID	Hodgkin lymphoma survivor wellness: Development of a web-based intervention.
Study ID (surname of first author and year first full report of study was published e.g. Smith 2001)	Amweg et al. (2020)
Report ID	10.1188/20.CJON.284-289
Report ID of other reports of this study including errata or retractions	N/A
Notes: N/A	

General Information

Date form completed (dd/mm/yyyy)	12/10/2021
Name/ID of person extracting data	sc
Reference citation	Amweg LN, McReynolds J, Lansang K, Jones T, Snow C, Berry DL, Partridge AH, Underhill-Blazey ML. Hodgkin Lymphoma Survivor Wellness: Development of a Web-Based Intervention. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2020 Jun 1;24(3):284-289. doi: 10.1188/20.CJON.284-289. PMID: 32441674.
Study author contact details	Could not access
Publication type (e.g. full report, abstract, letter)	Full report
Notes: N/A	1

Study eligibility

Study Characteristics	Eligibility criteria (Insert inclusion criteria for each characteristic as defined in the Protocol)	Eligibility criteria met?			Location in text or source (pg &
		Yes	No	Unclear	¶/fig/table/other)
Type of study	Quantitative design				
	Qualitative design				
	Mixed methods design				Page 1 – Abstract Page 2 – methods – Focus groups – Development and usability testing
	Other (Please specify)				

Participants	Cancer (Specify what type)				Page 2 – Participant identification and recruitment
	Caregiver				
	Friends/family				
Types of intervention	Website/Internet-based cancer support programme				Page 2 – Design and setting
Type of data (quant and/or qual)	User experience/Needs/Preferences				Page 2 – Focus groups
(Reports primary data on any of the following)	Usability/Acceptability/Feasibility				Page 2 – Development and individual usability testing
	Functionality				
	Design on web				
	Internet-based support living with and affected by cancer.				
INCLUDE EXCLUDE					
Reason for exclusion					
Notes:					
The aim of this article was to adapt and evaluate a previously developed survivorship care website for Hodgkin Lymphoma survivors.					
A mixed methods design broken down into phases					
, ,	up interviews – user needs gn and user testing – usability				

DO NOT PROCEED IF STUDY EXCLUDED FROM REVIEW

Characteristics of included studies

Methods

	Descriptions as stated in report/paper	Location in text or source (pg & ¶/fig/table/other)	
Aim of study (e.g. efficacy, equivalence, pragmatic)	The aim of this article was to adapt and evaluate a previously developed survivorship care website for Hodgkin Lymphoma survivors.	Page 1 – Abstract Page 2 – Introduction – paragraph 2	
Participants (e.g. Type of cancer, caregiver role, family and friend's role)	Hodgekins Lymphoma survivors	Page 2 – Methods – Participant identification and recruitment	
Design (e.g. parallel, crossover, non-RCT, exploratory)	Mixed methods user centred design – two phases 1) Focus groups 2) Development and individual usability testing	Page 2 – Methods – Focus groups – Development and usability testing	
Outcomes (details of primary data e.g. user experience, usability, functionality, design etc)	User needs/preferences and usability of web-resource	Page 2 – Methods – Focus groups – Development and usability testing	
Start/End date Ethical approval needed/ obtained for study	Yes No Unclear The Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Centre Institutional Review Board approved all study procedures. The study was conducted from April 2017 through December 2018.	Page 2 – Methods – Design and setting.	

Notes:			