

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020854
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Schess, Jaclyn; Yale University Jambhale, Abhijeet; Sangath Bhatia, Urvita; Sangath Velleman, R; University of Bath Nadkarni, Abhijit; Sangath,
Keywords:	scoping review, protocol, alcohol, policy, india

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Peer Review Only

Manuscript Title: The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

**Corresponding Author

1st Author Name	Jaclyn Schess
Department	Department of Economics
Institution or Affiliation:	Yale University
City	New Haven, CT
Country:	United States
Email:	Jaclyn.schess@yale.edu
2nd Author Name	Abhijeet Jambhale
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
Address:	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijeet.jambhale@sangath.in
3rd Author Name	Urvita Bhatia
Department:	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Urvita.bhatia@sangath.in
4th Author Name	Richard Velleman**
Department:	Department of Psychology
Institution or Affiliation:	University of Bath
Address:	Bell Tower House Fersfield Perrymead BATH BA2 5AR
Country:	UK
Email:	R.D.B.Velleman@bath.ac.uk

5th Author Name	Abhijit Nadkarni
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijit.Nadkarni@lshtm.ac.uk

Word count: 1771

Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Alcohol consumption is a cause of substantial preventable societal harm globally. In India, it contributes to significant burden of disease as well as economic costs. Yet, India still lacks a consolidated national alcohol policy, but instead attempts to implement disparate and poorly coordinated policy initiatives directed towards demand and supply reduction. In this context, we will aim to comprehensively map the policy environment relating to the societal harm caused by alcohol in India.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS: We will undertake a scoping review with policy relevant adaptations in order to map the alcohol related policy environment in India. Following the six step approach put forward by Arskey & O'Malley and refined by Levac, we will first undertake an academic scoping search to identify relevant knowledge already existing in the literature about the policy environment. We will then use the knowledge that appears in this search iteratively, as is true to the scoping method, to develop a more targeted search of grey literature and government websites for policy documents. These documents will be analysed using qualitative methods to synthesise the current alcohol policy environment.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION: This study will only use already published information and therefore does not require an ethics review. We will circulate this protocol and the final report to policy researchers in similar settings who could make use of our adaptation of the scoping review method for a low-resource setting. We will also publish our findings in a peer-review journal.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This is a novel review approach to reviewing a policy environment in a low resource setting where relevant policies can be difficult to identify through traditional search methods.
- This is the first review of its kind to attempt to consolidate the policy environment applicable to the societal harm related to alcohol in India.
- This review takes an iterative approach and therefore parts of the methods cannot be presented fully at this time.
- This protocol is informed by sources that may be seen as dated in comparison to other protocols, but this further emphasizes the necessity of investigating the research gap present.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol consumption is a cause of substantial preventable societal harm globally. In 2012, 5.1% of the global burden of disease was attributable to alcohol use and alcohol use disorders¹. In addition to the burden of ill health, alcohol consumption creates significant societal economic costs, equal to more than 1% of GDP in high and middle-income countries², through increased health costs and decreased economic productivity.

In India, alcohol use contributed 5.4% of the total burden of disease in 2009², with more than half of all drinkers drinking hazardously³. Further, alcohol use causes harm to people other than the drinker, for instance about one-fourth of abuse against women and children in India has been connected to chronic alcohol use⁴. In addition, approximately one-third of night-time road traffic injuries and mortalities involved a driver who had consumed alcohol⁴. Further, alcohol use contributes to homelessness⁵, absenteeism and unemployment⁶, high risk sexual behaviour⁷, and poor health outcomes for children⁸. Finally, the overall alcohol use-related economic costs to society in India, were estimated to be 244 billion Indian rupees (approximately 3.8 million current USD) in 2003-04^{6,9}.

While there is growing recognition of the preventable harm that alcohol use is leading to in India, the country still lacks a consolidated national alcohol policy. This is evident in the focus on prohibition-centered supply reduction, taxation and tertiary prevention³; all implemented as a set of disparate and poorly coordinated and implemented policy initiatives. An additional concern is the power of the 'alcohol lobby' and especially of multinational alcohol producer and distributor corporations, that view India's population as an emerging market of new and young drinkers, who, over time, are continuing to increase their consumption and demonstrate a reducing age of initiation; all of which make a national policy even timelier¹⁰.

Given the absence of a comprehensive national alcohol policy, we believe there is a necessity to comprehensively map the current policy environment surrounding alcohol and its use in India, something that has not been undertaken in the existing literature. A comprehensive examination of these policies would help researchers and practitioners better understand the exact policy environment with which alcohol-related societal harm is interacting in India, and also help policy makers get a clearer and more comprehensive picture of the current state of alcohol-related policies across India, in order to take steps toward reform.

METHODS

The methods for this review utilise the scoping review methodological framework put forward by Arskey & O'Malley¹¹ and refined by Levac¹². A scoping review, as compared to a systematic review, uses a 6-stage process of review and allows for covering of broad topics of research areas and iterative construction of research direction. Where systematic reviews have strictly defined questions and therefore eligibility criteria at the

1
2
3 outset, scoping reviews are iterative in nature¹² and eligibility parameters and search
4 strategies are therefore refined as the search identifies more relevant knowledge.
5
6

7 We considered the various methods available for conducting a policy review and
8 decided that a scoping review with relevant adaptations would be the most applicable
9 to reviewing inter-sectorial policy in an Indian context. Our strategy will start with an
10 academic search of peer reviewed literature to iteratively define search parameters for
11 grey literature and government website searches. In doing this, our search adapts the
12 scoping review method by adopting a tiered approach, an 'academic search tier'
13 followed by a 'grey literature search tier' in order to identify policy documents. The
14 detailed steps of our search strategy are as follows:
15
16

17 18 19 **Stage 1: Identifying the research question(s)**

20
21 Based on an iterative review of the literature and the previous experience of the
22 investigator group, our guiding research questions were developed. Our objective is to
23 comprehensively map Indian policies that relate to alcohol related societal harm. More
24 specifically we ask the following question:
25
26

27
28 *What are the policies currently in place in India that regulate demand for and*
29 *supply of alcohol and alcohol products, and the impact of access to, use and*
30 *misuse of alcohol on a range of societal outcomes.*

31 This includes alcohol use disorders (AUDs), as well as drink-driving, violence,
32 micro- and macroeconomic outcomes, comorbid health outcomes, family
33 member health outcomes and any other negative impacts which alcohol has on
34 Indian society.
35
36

37 38 **Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies**

39 The first tier of our study involves identifying relevant academic literature that discuss
40 policies which are relevant to our final search. In line with the iterative process of a
41 scoping review, this will help set the search terms for the grey literature search for
42 policy documents, using knowledge generated from the peer-review literature. For a
43 description of the objectives and inclusion and exclusion criteria at each tier of the
44 search, see Figure 1.
45
46

47 Electronic databases of peer-reviewed journals MEDLINE, PSYCInfo, Embase, Global
48 Health and IndMed (database of peer reviewed medical journals published from India)
49 will be searched. All search hits will be downloaded to a bibliographic management
50 software. Search terms that will be used will centre around the concepts of "alcohol,
51 "policy" and "India". The "alcohol" concept is built around synonyms and types of
52 alcohol (e.g. spirit, liquor, beer, illicit alcohol) and terms applying to drinking (e.g.
53 drinker, alcohol consumption, social drinking, bars) and alcohol misuse (e.g. addiction,
54 problematic alcohol use, alcohol dependence, drink driving). The "policy" concept will
55
56
57
58
59

1
2
3 be broad and include terms covering all dimensions of policy applicable to alcohol
4 including marketing, sales, production, importation, trade, treatment, and synonyms for
5 these terms (e.g. de-addiction, promotion, taxes, availability, minimum drinking age,
6 prohibition, labelling, prevention, and many others). The “India” concept includes terms
7 for India (e.g. Indian Union) and all of its states and union territories including historical
8 state names. The search terms under these three concepts will be used to search the
9 databases mentioned above using a title/abstract/keyword search as well as a subject
10 heading search where possible.
11
12
13

14 15 **Stage 3: Study selection**

16 Two reviewers (JS and AJ) will independently screen titles and abstracts resulting from
17 the search process and exclude any ineligible studies. The researchers will discuss their
18 selection of articles after this first round of screening, to arrive at preliminary consensus
19 on the list of eligible studies. They will then complete a full text screening of all selected
20 articles, removing any articles, which do not meet the inclusion criteria. They will again
21 discuss their selection of articles after this second round of screening, to arrive at a final
22 consensus list of eligible studies. Differences in opinion will be resolved through
23 consensus between the two reviewers with a third reviewer (AN) serving as arbiter in
24 case of disagreement. This systematic process will be reported using the PRISMA flow
25 chart ¹³.
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Stage 4: Charting the data**

32 Data extraction forms will be created and used to chart relevant data from included
33 studies. Two researchers (JS and AJ) will independently extract data. Data extraction will
34 be compared after the first ten documents to check for consistency between the two
35 researchers, and then again, after data extraction from all documents. Data extraction
36 at this stage will involve collecting knowledge about policy that will be used iteratively
37 to find policy documents in Tier 2 of the search.
38
39
40
41

42 **Stage 5: Collating, summarizing and reporting results**

43 Data from the academic search will be summarized into a list of policy names, a list of
44 possible search terms and a list of potentially relevant citations to follow up. The
45 research team will then discuss the list and make decisions for further iterative search
46 processes of grey literature, government websites, and other strategies to find policy
47 documents.
48
49
50
51

52 **Further Iteration and Isolation of policies: Tier 2**

53
54 The peer-review tier of our search will help generate knowledge of the parameters in
55 which to search the grey literature and government websites. Scanning of citations,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 isolating of policy names and descriptions, and knowledge gathering of relevant
4 vocabulary will all be used to iteratively develop the strategies to isolate policies. These
5 policy documents will be the data to be included in Tier 2. Following an iterative
6 approach, we will use the knowledge generated from stage one in combination with
7 researcher knowledge to help identify strategies for searching for policy documents.
8 Possible strategies to subsequently identify and gather policy documents include the
9 searching of grey literature through World Health Organization and World Bank
10 websites and use of Google and/or Google Scholar. Google and English language
11 websites of state and national Ministry websites, which are found to be relevant during
12 our academic search, will be hand-searched for policies. As more knowledge is obtained
13 through this process, we will continue to iteratively search through grey literature.
14 Through these steps, we will aim to obtain the key policy documents that create the
15 policy environment relating to alcohol related societal harm.
16
17
18
19

20 Tier 2 data will then be charted using a data extraction form. This form will aim to
21 gather data relating to policy name, department responsible, goal of policy, and other
22 details, but will developed by the team after the iterative grey literature stage has been
23 undertaken to ensure the chart is most useful. The developed data chart will be piloted
24 on 5-10 policy documents to ensure its usefulness once developed and changes will be
25 made accordingly.
26
27
28

29 Data from the charting of Tier 2 will be synthesized, summarized, discussed within the
30 team and compared with what was originally found in the academic literature. We will
31 analyse the data with a thematic analysis approach to present the themes that emerge
32 from the policies present and not present. This is in line with the scoping review
33 approach, which aims to present an overview of a research area instead of appraise the
34 quality of data^{11 12}.
35
36
37

38 **Stage 6: Consultation**

39 We will consult with leading researchers and policy makers in India, on our consolidated
40 list of policies and the thematic analysis of these policies to ensure that we have not
41 missed anything crucial in our search.
42
43

44 **DISSEMINATION**

45 The study was submitted for registration on PROSPERO, but investigators were informed
46 that scoping studies are not in scope for registration. We will be circulating the methods
47 used for this policy review to other policy researchers, particularly those researching in
48 low resource settings, as we believe this method is helpful in navigating intersectorial
49 policy spaces in low resource settings. We will publish our results in a peer-reviewed
50 journal.
51
52
53

54 **CONCLUSION**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This review will provide the first consolidation of the policy environment surrounding
4 alcohol related societal harm in India, giving researchers and policy makers a clearer
5 look towards future reforms. This review is also an important adaptation on the scoping
6 review method for policy reviews in low resource settings that may serve as an example
7 for other policy reviews in similar settings.
8
9

10
11 Authors' Contribution: All authors have made substantial intellectual contributions to
12 the development of this protocol. The search question was conceptualized by AN and
13 further developed by RV. The review approach and design was conceptualized by JS with
14 advice from AN. JS and AJ developed and tested search terms with input and revisions
15 from AN, RV and UB. JS initiated drafting of the manuscript followed by further
16 iterations after substantial input and appraisal from all authors. All authors approved
17 the final version of this manuscript.
18
19

20
21 Funding statement: JS was supported by funding from the Yale University Jackson
22 Institute of Foreign Affairs and the Yale University MacMillan Center for International
23 and Area Studies.
24
25

26
27 Competing interests statement: The authors declare no competing interests.
28
29

30
31 Ethics approval: This scoping study does not require ethics approval as it only uses
32 secondary data.
33
34

35 36 Bibliography

- 37
38 1. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health. Geneva,
39 2014.
- 40
41 2. Rehm J, Mathers C, Popova S, et al. Alcohol and Global Health 1 Global burden of
42 disease and injury and economic cost attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-
43 use disorders. *Lancet* 2009;**373**(9682):2223-33.
- 44
45 3. Benegal V. India: alcohol and public health. *Addiction* 2005;**100**(8):1051-6.
- 46
47 4. Gururaj G, Murthy P, Girish N, et al. Alcohol related harm: Implications for public
48 health and policy in India. Bangalore, India: NIMHANS, 2011.
- 49
50 5. Benegal V, Veleyudhan A, Jain S. The Social Cost of Alcoholism (Karnataka).
51 NIMHANS Journal 2000;**18**(1&2):67-76.
- 52
53 6. Gururaj G, N G, Benegal V. Alcohol control series 1: Burden and socio-economic
54 impact of alcohol- The Bangalore Study. New Delhi: World Health
55 Organisation, Regional Office for South East Asia, 2006.
- 56
57 7. Sarkar NN. Barriers to condom use. *The European Journal of Contraception and
58 Reproductive Health Care* 2008;**13**(2):114-22.
59
60

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 8. Nirmala M, George R, Benegal V. Children of alcoholics: the different dimensions.
5 NIMHANS De-addiction Quarterly 2000;**5**(4).
- 6 9. Bloomberg Markets. USD INR: Bloomberg; [Available from:
7 <https://www.bloomberg.com/quote/USDINR:CUR>.
- 8 10. Prasad R. Alcohol use on the rise in India. The Lancet 2009;**373**(9657).
- 9 11. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.
10 International Journal of Social Research Methodology 2005;**8**(1):19-32.
- 11 12. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.
12 Implementation Science : IS 2010;**5**:69-69.
- 13 13. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting
14 systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care
15 interventions: explanation and elaboration. Annals of internal medicine
16 2009;**151**(4):W65-94.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

Tier 1: Academic Search

Objective: Identify relevant knowledge in academic literature to create parameters and search terms for grey literature searching and website searching in Tier 2

Inclusion: Any academic literature identified through discussed methods that discusses Indian alcohol policy environment

**Tier 2: Policy Search**

Objective: Identify policy documents that describe the policy environment surrounding alcohol in India, methods developed iteratively as described in “further iteration and isolation of policies”

Inclusion: policy documents

Flow chart describing the tiered approach central to our scoping method

BMJ Open

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020854.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Schess, Jaclyn; Yale University, Department of Economics Jambhale, Abhijeet; Sangath, Addictions Research Group Bhatia, Urvita; Sangath, Addictions Research Group Velleman, R; University of Bath, Department of Psychology Nadkarni, Abhijit; Sangath, Addictions Research Group
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Addiction, Global health, Mental health, Research methods
Keywords:	scoping review, protocol, alcohol, policy, india

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Manuscript Title: The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

**Corresponding Author

1st Author Name	Jaclyn Schess
Department	Department of Economics
Institution or Affiliation:	Yale University
City	New Haven, CT
Country:	United States
Email:	Jaclyn.schess@yale.edu
2nd Author Name	Abhijeet Jambhale
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
Address:	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijeet.jambhale@sangath.in
3rd Author Name	Urvita Bhatia
Department:	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Urvita.bhatia@sangath.in
4th Author Name	Richard Velleman**
Department:	Department of Psychology
Institution or Affiliation:	University of Bath
Address:	Bell Tower House Fersfield Perrymead BATH BA2 5AR
Country:	UK
Email:	R.D.B.Velleman@bath.ac.uk

5th Author Name	Abhijit Nadkarni
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijit.Nadkarni@kcl.ac.uk

Word count: 1634

Abstract

INTRODUCTION:

The aim of this review is to provide the first consolidation of the policy environment surrounding alcohol-related societal harm in India giving researchers and policy makers a clearer base for future reforms. This review is also an important adaptation on the scoping review method for policy reviews in low resource settings that may serve as an example for other policy reviews in similar settings.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS: We will undertake a scoping review with policy relevant adaptations in order to map the alcohol-related policy environment in India. Following the six step approach put forward by Arskey & O'Malley and refined by Levac, we will first undertake an academic scoping search to identify relevant knowledge already existing in the literature about the policy environment in India. We will then use the knowledge that appears in this search iteratively, as is true to the scoping method, to develop a more targeted search of grey literature and Indian government websites for Indian policy documents. These documents will be analysed using qualitative methods to synthesise the current alcohol policy environment in India.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION: This study will only use already published information and therefore does not require an ethics review. We will circulate this protocol and the final report to policy researchers in similar settings who could make use of our adaptation of the scoping review method for a low-resource setting. We will also publish our findings in a peer-review journal.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This is a novel review approach to reviewing a policy environment in a low resource setting where relevant policies can be difficult to identify through traditional search methods.
- This is the first review of its kind to attempt to consolidate the policy environment applicable to the societal harm related to alcohol in India.
- Although the sources cited are dated they are the most recent, further emphasizing the necessity of investigating the research gap.
- This review seeks to investigate a policy area that is extensive in scope, and this may limit the ability of the search to explore deeply every policy area related to societal harm related to alcohol in India.
- The search is limited to texts in English.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol consumption is a cause of substantial preventable societal harm in Southeast Asia. In 2010, the prevalence of alcohol use disorders (AUDs) in South-East Asia was 2.2%¹. The alcohol attributable burden of disease accounted for an average 2.7% of DALYs in 2004 in southeast Asia², with prevalence continuing to rise in the region³. In addition to the burden of ill health, alcohol consumption creates significant societal economic costs, equal to more than 1% of GDP in high and middle-income countries², through increased health costs and decreased economic productivity. In India, alcohol use contributed 5.4% of the total burden of disease in 2004, significantly higher than the region average². By 2014, this estimate was up to 8.8%, connected to 1.4 million cases of 19 diseases⁴. In addition, consumption of alcohol has been steadily increasing in India, with recorded per capita liquor consumption increasing from 1.6 liters in 2003-2005 to 2.2 liters in 2010 to 4.3 liters in 2014⁴, and more than half of all drinkers are drinking hazardously⁵.

This paper will discuss societal harm caused by alcohol, defined as alcohol-related harm to the drinkers themselves and also the harmful consequences of drinking to those around the drinker – externalities of drinking – an element which receives very little policy focus^{6,7}. As demonstrated in India, about one-fourth of abuse against women and children has been connected to chronic alcohol use⁸. In addition, approximately one-third of night-time road traffic injuries and mortalities involved a driver who had consumed alcohol⁸. Further, alcohol use contributes to homelessness⁹, absenteeism and unemployment¹⁰, high risk sexual behaviour¹¹, and poor health outcomes for children¹². Finally, the yearly overall alcohol use-related economic costs to society in India, were estimated to be 2.1 trillion Indian rupees (30.8 billion United States Dollars) in 2013-14, or roughly 2.26% of GDP^{4,13}.

While there is growing recognition of the preventable harm that alcohol use is leading to in India, the country still lacks a consolidated national alcohol policy. This protocol will define policy as done by the United States' Centres for Disease Control: Policy is a law, regulation, procedure, administrative action, incentive, or voluntary practice of governments and other institutions¹⁴. This lack of consolidated policy is evident in the focus on prohibition-centered supply reduction, taxation and tertiary prevention⁵; all implemented as a set of disparate and poorly coordinated and implemented policy initiatives. An additional concern is the power of the 'alcohol lobby' and especially of multinational alcohol producer and distributor corporations, that view India's population as an emerging market of new and young drinkers, who, over time, are continuing to increase their consumption and demonstrate a reducing age of initiation; all of which make a national policy even timelier¹⁵. India is a key example of WHO-Director General Dr. Margaret Chan's warning: "alcohol consumption is expanding in precisely those countries that lack the regulatory and enforcement capacities to protect their populations."¹⁶

Given the absence of a comprehensive national alcohol policy, we believe there is a necessity to comprehensively map the current policy environment surrounding

alcohol and its use in India, something that has not been undertaken in the existing literature, and this is the goal of the review described herein.. A comprehensive mapping would allow for the examination of these policies, helping researchers and practitioners better understand the exact policy environment, or the total composition of all the policies in place, with which alcohol-related societal harm is interacting in India. This can also help policy makers, those whom are involved in any extent in making or changing policies in place, get a clearer and more comprehensive picture of the current state of alcohol-related policies across India, in order to take steps toward reform. In performing this mapping, this protocol also puts forward an important adaptation of the scoping review method for use for policy research in low resource settings.

METHODS

The methods for this review utilise the scoping review methodological framework put forward by Arskey & O'Malley¹⁷ and refined by Levac¹⁸. A scoping review, as compared to a systematic review, uses a 6-stage process of review and allows for covering of broad topics of research areas and iterative construction of research direction. Where systematic reviews have strictly defined questions and therefore eligibility criteria at the outset, scoping reviews are iterative in nature¹⁸ and eligibility parameters and search strategies are therefore refined as the search identifies more relevant knowledge.

We considered the various methods available for conducting a policy review and decided that a scoping review with relevant adaptations would be the most applicable to reviewing inter-sectorial policy in an Indian context. Our strategy will start with an academic search of peer reviewed literature to iteratively define search parameters for grey literature and government website searches. In doing this, our search adapts the scoping review method by adopting a tiered approach, an 'academic search tier' followed by a 'grey literature search tier' in order to identify policy documents. The detailed steps of our search strategy are as follows:

Stage 1: Identifying the research question(s)

Based on an iterative review of the literature and the previous experience of the investigator group in examining the burden of alcohol use disordered (AUDs) in India and developing interventions to reduce the harm due to AUDs¹⁹⁻²², our guiding research questions were developed. Our objective is to comprehensively map Indian policies that relate to alcohol-related societal harm and frame these in the context of international standards for alcohol policy. More specifically we ask the following questions:

What are the policies currently in place in India that regulate demand for and supply of alcohol and alcohol products?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

What are the policies currently in place in India that regulate the impact on a range of societal outcomes arising from access to, use and misuse of alcohol?.

This includes alcohol use disorders (AUDs), as well as drink-driving, violence, micro- and macroeconomic outcomes, comorbid health outcomes, family member health outcomes and any other negative impacts which alcohol has on Indian society. Our questions and scope remain broad in nature given the lack of current research and disparate policy environment expected in India where there is no national alcohol policy. We therefore leave our scope to include policies which may not be specific to alcohol but which include components that relate to alcohol use.

Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies

The first tier of our study involves identifying relevant academic literature that discuss policies which are relevant to our final search. In line with the iterative process of a scoping review, this will help set the search terms for the grey literature search for policy documents, using knowledge generated from the peer-review literature. For a description of the objectives and inclusion and exclusion criteria at each tier of the search, see Figure 1.

Electronic databases of peer-reviewed journals MEDLINE, PSYCInfo, Embase, Global Health and IndMed (database of peer reviewed medical journals published from India) were searched in August and September 2017. All search hits were downloaded to a bibliographic management software. Search terms used centred on the concepts of “alcohol”, “policy” and “India”. The “alcohol” concept was built around synonyms and types of alcohol (e.g. spirit, liquor, beer, illicit alcohol) and terms applying to drinking (e.g. drinker, alcohol consumption, social drinking, bars) and alcohol misuse (e.g. addiction, problematic alcohol use, alcohol dependence, drink driving). The “policy” concept was broad and included terms covering all dimensions of policy applicable to alcohol including marketing, sales, production, importation, trade, treatment, and synonyms for these terms (e.g. de-addiction, promotion, taxes, availability, minimum drinking age, prohibition, labelling, prevention, lobbying, industry and many others). The “India” concept included terms for India (e.g. Indian Union) and all of its states and union territories including historical state names. The search terms under these three concepts were used to search the databases mentioned above using a title/abstract/keyword search as well as a subject heading search where possible. The full search strategy can be found in Supplement 1.

Stage 3: Study selection

Two reviewers (JS and AJ) will independently screen titles and abstracts resulting from the search process and exclude any ineligible studies. This process is on going at the time of protocol submission. The researchers will discuss their selection of articles after

1
2
3 this first round of screening, to arrive at preliminary consensus on the list of eligible
4 studies. They will then complete a full text screening of all selected articles, removing
5 any articles that do not meet the inclusion criteria, as abstracts contain less detail than
6 the full text. Reviewers will again discuss their selection of articles after this second
7 round of screening, to arrive at a final consensus list of eligible studies. Differences in
8 opinion will be resolved through consensus between the two reviewers with a third
9 reviewer (AN) serving as arbiter in case of disagreement.
10
11

12
13 At both levels, the reviewers will use the following questions for guiding inclusion and
14 must answer yes to all three questions to include an article: 1) Does this article discuss
15 specific policies? 2) Does this article discuss specific policies relating to alcohol or
16 alcohol's externalities? 3) Does this article discuss policy specific to India?
17

18
19 This systematic process will be reported using the PRISMA flow chart ²³.
20

21 **Stage 4: Charting the data**

22 Data extraction forms will be created and used to chart relevant data from included
23 studies. Two researchers (JS and AJ) will independently extract data. Data extraction will
24 be compared after the first ten documents to check for consistency between the two
25 researchers and allow for form revision, and then again, after data extraction from all
26 documents. Data extraction at this stage will involve collecting knowledge about policy
27 that will be used iteratively to find policy documents in Tier 2 of the search. Data to be
28 charted may include but not be limited to title of publication, year of publication, policy
29 name, policy description, implementing jurisdiction, and date/year of policy
30 implementation.
31
32
33

34 **Stage 5: Collating, summarizing and reporting results**

35
36 Data from the academic search will be summarized into a list of policy names, a list of
37 possible search terms and a list of potentially relevant citations to follow up. The
38 research team will then discuss the list and make decisions for further iterative search
39 processes of grey literature, government websites, and other strategies to find policy
40 documents. For further analysis, the policies will be further mapped according to the
41 World Health Organization's (WHO) Global Strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of
42 Alcohol, which includes 10 strategy areas across different policy sectors²⁴.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Further Iteration and Isolation of policies: Tier 2**

49
50
51
52 The peer-review tier of our search will help generate knowledge of the parameters in
53 which to search the grey literature and government websites. Scanning of citations,
54 isolating of policy names and descriptions, and knowledge gathering of relevant
55 vocabulary will all be used to iteratively develop the strategies to isolate policies.
56
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 We will then consult with leading researchers and policy makers based on the collated
5 data from Tier 1 of the search to gain insight and scrutiny of our next steps for searching
6 the grey literature in order to ensure that we are not missing any important documents
7 in Tier 2.
8
9

10 These policy documents will be the data to be included in Tier 2 with planned coverage
11 in August-October 2018. Following an iterative approach, we will use the knowledge
12 generated from Tier 1 in combination with researcher knowledge to help identify
13 strategies for searching for policy documents. Possible strategies to subsequently
14 identify and gather policy documents include the searching of grey literature through
15 World Health Organization and World Bank websites and use of Google and/or Google
16 Scholar. Google and English language websites of state and national Ministry websites,
17 which are found to be relevant during our academic search, will be hand-searched for
18 policies. As more knowledge is obtained through this process, we will continue to
19 iteratively search through grey literature. Through these steps, we will aim to obtain the
20 key policy documents that create the policy environment relating to alcohol-related
21 societal harm.
22
23
24
25

26 Tier 2 data will then be charted using a data extraction form. This form will aim to
27 gather data relating to policy name, department responsible, goal of policy, and other
28 details, but will be developed by the team after the iterative grey literature stage has been
29 undertaken to ensure the chart is most useful. The developed data chart will be piloted
30 on 5-10 policy documents to ensure its usefulness once developed and changes will be
31 made accordingly.
32
33
34

35 Data from the charting of Tier 2 will be synthesized, summarized, discussed within the
36 team and compared with what was originally found in the academic literature. We will
37 analyse the data with a thematic analysis approach to present the themes that emerge
38 from the policies present. This is in line with the scoping review approach, which aims to
39 present an overview of a research area instead of appraise the quality of data^{17 18}. Data
40 will be further mapped according to the WHO Global Strategy. This will allow for global
41 comparisons and identification of gaps in policy according to the recommendations of
42 the WHO in the Global Strategy for best practices in reducing the harm of alcohol.
43
44
45

46 **Stage 6: Consultation**

47 We will consult with leading researchers and policy makers in India, on our consolidated
48 list of policies and the thematic analysis and mapping of these policies to ensure that we
49 have not missed any crucial policies in our search.
50
51

52 **Patients and Public Involvement:** No patients are involved in this review.
53

54 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 As a review of journal articles, grey literature, and policy documents, this study will only
4 utilize secondary material and therefore does not require ethical review.
5
6

7 The study was submitted for registration on PROSPERO, but investigators were informed
8 that scoping studies are not in scope for registration. We will be circulating the methods
9 used for this policy review to other policy researchers, particularly those researching in
10 low resource settings, as we believe this method is helpful in navigating intersectorial
11 policy spaces in low resource settings. We will publish our results in a peer-reviewed
12 journal and on relevant forums such as online global health networks.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Authors' Contribution: All authors have made substantial intellectual contributions to
22 the development of this protocol and its revisions. The search question was
23 conceptualized by AN and further developed by RV. The review approach and design
24 was conceptualized by JS with advice from AN. JS and AJ developed and tested search
25 terms with input and revisions from AN, RV and UB. JS initiated drafting of the
26 manuscript followed by further iterations after substantial input and appraisal from all
27 authors. All authors approved the final version of this manuscript.
28
29
30
31

32 Funding statement: JS was supported by funding from the Yale University Jackson
33 Institute of Foreign Affairs and the Yale University MacMillan Center for International
34 and Area Studies. The funders had no involvement in the development of this protocol.
35
36
37
38

39 Competing interests statement: The authors declare no competing interests.
40

41 Ethics approval: This scoping study does not require ethics approval as it only uses
42 secondary data.
43
44
45

46 Bibliography

47

- 48 1. World Health Organization. Global Information Systems on Alcohol and Health
49 (GISAH) 2018 [Available from: <http://www.who.int/gho/alcohol/en/>.
50
- 51 2. Rehm J, Mathers C, Popova S, et al. Alcohol and Global Health 1 Global burden of
52 disease and injury and economic cost attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-
53 use disorders. Lancet 2009; 373(9682). <Go to
54 ISI>://WOS:000267444500035 (accessed Jun-Jul).
55
56
57
58
59
60

3. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet* 2013; 382(9904).
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673613616116>
(accessed 2013/11/09/).
4. WHO Country Office for India. Assessment of Burden and Socioeconomic Cost Attributable to Alcohol Use in India. 2014.
http://www.searo.who.int/india/publications/assessment_burden_socioeconomic_cost.pdf.
5. Benegal V. India: alcohol and public health. *Addiction* 2005; 100(8).
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16042631> (accessed Aug).
6. Greenfield T, Ye Y, Kerr W, et al. Externalities from Alcohol Consumption in the 2005 US National Alcohol Survey: Implications for Policy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2009; 6(12).
<http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/6/12/3205>.
7. Room R, Ferris J, Laslett A-M, et al. The Drinker's Effect on the Social Environment: A Conceptual Framework for Studying Alcohol's Harm to Others. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2010; 7(4). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2872341/>
(accessed 04/21
01/22/received
04/11/revised
04/17/accepted).
8. Gururaj G, Murthy P, Girish N, et al. Alcohol related harm: Implications for public health and policy in India. 2011; (Publication No. 73).
<http://nimhans.ac.in/cam/sites/default/files/Publications/39.pdf>.
9. Benegal V, Veleyudhan A, Jain S. The Social Cost of Alcoholism (Karnataka). *NIMHANS Journal* 2000; 18(1&2).
10. Gururaj G, N G, Benegal V. Alcohol control series 1: Burden and socio-economic impact of alcohol- The Bangalore Study. 2006.
http://www.searo.who.int/entity/mental_health/documents/9290222727.pdf.
11. Sarkar NN. Barriers to condom use. *The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care* 2008; 13(2).
12. Nirmala M, George R, Benegal V. Children of alcoholics: the different dimensions. *NIMHANS De-addiction Quarterly* 2000; 5(4).
13. Bloomberg Markets. USD INR: Bloomberg; [Available from:
<https://www.bloomberg.com/quote/USDINR:CUR>.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Office of the Associate Director for Policy. Definition of Policy Atlanta, GA2015 [Available from:
<https://www.cdc.gov/policy/analysis/process/definition.html>.
15. Prasad R. Alcohol use on the rise in India. *The Lancet* 2009; 373(9657).
16. Jernigan D. Global developments in alcohol policies: Progress in implementation of the WHO global strategy to reduce the harmful use of alcohol since 2010. 2017.

- http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/activities/fadab/msb_adab_gas_progress_report.pdf?ua=1.
17. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 2005; 8(1).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616> (accessed 2005/02/01).
18. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science* : IS 2010; 5.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2954944/> (accessed 09/20 06/11/received 09/20/accepted).
19. Pillai A, Nayak MB, Greenfield TK, et al. Patterns of alcohol use, their correlates, and impact in male drinkers: a population-based survey from Goa, India. *Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2013; 48(2).
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=22752108>
http://wa4py6yj8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:medl&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1007%2Fs00127-012-0538-1&rft_id=info:pmid/22752108&rft.issn=0933-7954&rft.volume=48&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=275&rft.pages=275-82&rft.date=2013&rft.jtitle=Social+Psychiatry+%26+Psychiatric+Epidemiology&rft.atitle=Patterns+of+alcohol+use%2C+their+correlates%2C+and+impact+in+male+drinkers%3A+a+population-based+survey+from+Goa%2C+India.&rft.aula (accessed Feb).
20. Nadkarni A, Bhatia U, Velleman R, et al. Supporting addictions affected families effectively (SAFE): a mixed methods exploratory study of the 5-step method delivered in Goa, India, by lay counsellors. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2017.1394983>.
21. Nadkarni A, Weiss HA, Naik A, et al. The six-year outcome of alcohol use disorders in men: A population based study from India. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2016; 162.
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=26994665>
http://wa4py6yj8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:medl&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1016%2Fj.drugalcdep.2016.02.039&rft_id=info:pmid/26994665&rft.issn=0376-8716&rft.volume=162&rft.issue=&rft.spage=107&rft.pages=107-15&rft.date=2016&rft.jtitle=Drug+%26+Alcohol+Dependence&rft.atitle=The+six-year+outcome+of+alcohol+use+disorders+in+men%3A+A+population+based+study+from+India.&rft.aulast=Nadkarni (accessed May 01).
22. Nadkarni A, Weobong B, Weiss HA, et al. Counselling for Alcohol Problems (CAP), a lay counsellor-delivered brief psychological treatment for harmful drinking in men, in primary care in India: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* 2017; 389(10065).

- 1
2
3 [http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext](http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=psyc13&AN=2017-02639-007)
4 [&D=psyc13&AN=2017-02639-007](http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=psyc13&AN=2017-02639-007)
5
6 http://wa4py6yi8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:psyc13&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1016%2FS0140-6736%2816%2931590-2&rft_id=info:pmid/&rft.issn=0140-6736&rft.volume=389&rft.issue=10065&rft.spage=186&rft.pages=186-195&rft.date=2017&rft.jtitle=The+Lancet&rft.atitle=Counselling+for+Alcohol+Problems+%28CAP%29%2C+a+lay+counsellordelivered+brief+psychological+treatment+for+harmful+drinking+in+men%2C+in+primary+care+in+India (accessed Jan).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 23. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Annals of internal medicine* 2009; 151(4). (accessed Aug 18).
17
18
19
20
21 24. World Health Organization. Global strategy to reduce the harmful use of alcohol. 2010.
22
23 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44395/9789241599931](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44395/9789241599931_eng.pdf?sequence=1)
24 [_eng.pdf?sequence=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44395/9789241599931_eng.pdf?sequence=1).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tier 1: Academic Search

Objective: Identify relevant knowledge in academic literature to create parameters and search terms for grey literature searching and website searching in Tier 2

Included: Any academic literature identified through discussed methods that discusses Indian alcohol policy environment; no date limitation

Excluded: Non- English language texts



Tier 2: Policy Search

Objective: Identify policy document that describe the policy environment surrounding alcohol in India, methods developed iteratively as described in "Further Iteration and Isolation of Policies: Tier 2"

Included: Policy and grey literature documents as informed by academic search, policy documents which are most up to date (i.e. those which replace an older law)

Excluded: Non-English language texts, obsolete policy documents (those which have been replaced)

'Academic search tier' as it informs 'Policy search tier' including descriptions of the objective, inclusion and exclusion criteria of each

48x36mm (300 x 300 DPI)

A scoping review of the policy environment impacting alcohol related societal harm in India

List of authors: Jaclyn Schess, Abhijeet Jambhale, Urvita Bhatia, Richard Velleman, Abhijit Nadkarni

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for initial search

	Included	Excluded
Year	Any	
Language	English	
Population	Any	
Setting	India and any states or UTs of India	

Databases:

- 1) Medline
- 2) EMBASE
- 3) PsycINFO
- 4) Global Health

Table 2: Search concepts

Alcohol	Policy and alcohol related policy terms	India
Alcohol	Policy	India
Substance	Scheme	Individual Indian states
Addiction	De-addiction	
Drinking	Treatment	
Alcoholism	Plan	
Alcohol use disorder	Marketing	
Drink driving	Liberalization	
Liquor	Lobbying	

Blood Alcohol Content	Demand	
Beverage	Prevention	
Bar/Pub	Promotion	
Unhealthy commodity	Point of sale	
	Supply	
	Government	
	Ministry	
	Detoxification	
	Prohibition	
	Tax	
	Management	
	Regulation	
	Act	
	Program	
	Law	
	Legal	
	Legal drinking age	
	Dry Day	
	Constitution	
	Permit	
	Excise	
	Rules	
	Amendment	
	Bill	
	License	
	Section	
	Legislation	
	Advertising	
	Enforcement	

	Restriction	
	Trade	
	Importation	
	Illicit	
	Synthetic	
	Pricing	
	Limits	
	Open Container	
	Revenue	
	Industry	
	Agreement	
	Control	
	Treaty	
	Labeling	
	Reduction	
	Packaging	
	Distribution	

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies and guidelines

Two reviewers will independently inspect the abstracts of the studies identified through the academic search strategy described below: two lists will then be composed of potentially eligible studies. The two reviewers will then discuss their selections and in the case of any disagreement regarding inclusion, a third reviewer will be consulted for a final decision.

Data extraction

Following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, a record will be made of the number of papers retrieved, the number of papers excluded and the reasons for their exclusion. A formal data

1
2
3 extraction form will be designed for the papers to extract data relevant to the
4 study aims. Two reviewers will independently perform data collection and any
5 disagreements will be discussed and resolved.
6
7
8
9

10 **Medline Search Strategy:**

- 11 1. Alcohol*.tw
 - 12 2. Addict*.tw
 - 13 3. (Drink* NOT water).tw **
 - 14 4. Substance use*.tw
 - 15 5. Substance abuse*.tw
 - 16 6. Alcohol addict*.tw
 - 17 7. Alcohol abuse*.tw
 - 18 8. Alcohol dependen*.tw
 - 19 9. "Alcohol use disorder".tw
 - 20 10. Harmful drink*.tw
 - 21 11. Hazardous drink*.tw
 - 22 12. Social drink*.tw
 - 23 13. Dr?nk ADJ2 driv*.tw
 - 24 14. Liquor*.tw
 - 25 15. Liqueur*.tw
 - 26 16. Spirit*.tw
 - 27 17. "Blood alcohol content".tw
 - 28 18. BAC.tw
 - 29 19. Beverage*.tw
 - 30 20. Alcohol consum*.tw
 - 31 21. "Problematic alcohol use".tw
 - 32 22. Whiskey*.tw
 - 33 23. Rum.tw
 - 34 24. Beer*.tw
 - 35 25. Wine*.tw
 - 36 26. Vodka*.tw
- 37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 27. Unhealthy commodit*.tw
4

5 28. Bars.tw
6

7 29. Pubs.tw
8

9 30. "Illicit alcohol".tw
10

11 31. "synthetic alcohol".tw
12

13 **32. OR (1-31)**
14

15 33. Alcohol/
16

17 34. Addict/
18

19 35. Drinker/
20

21 36. Alcohol addict/
22

23 37. Alcohol abuse/
24

25 38. Alcohol dependence/
26

27 39. "Alcohol use disorder"/
28

29 40. Harmful drinking/
30

31 41. Hazardous drinking/
32

33 42. Drink driving/
34

35 43. Drunk driving/
36

37 44. Liquor/
38

39 45. Spirit/
40

41 46. "Blood alcohol content"/
42

43 47. Beverage/
44

45 48. Whiskey/
46

47 49. Rum/
48

49 50. Beer/
50

51 51. Wine/
52

53 52. Vodka/
54

55 **53. OR (33-52)**
56

57 **54. 32 or 53**
58

59 55. Polic*.tw
60

56 56. Scheme*.tw
57

58 57. De?addiction.tw
59
60

- 1
- 2
- 3 58. Plan*.tw
- 4
- 5 59. Government ADJ3 Polic*.tw
- 6
- 7 60. Government ADJ3 Scheme*.tw
- 8
- 9 61. Government ADJ3 Plan*.tw
- 10
- 11 62. Market*.tw
- 12
- 13 63. Liberaliz*.tw
- 14
- 15 64. Neoliberalism.tw
- 16
- 17 65. Lobby*.tw
- 18
- 19 66. Demand*.tw
- 20
- 21 67. Prevent*.tw
- 22
- 23 68. Promot*.tw
- 24
- 25 69. Suppl*.tw
- 26
- 27 70. Government*.tw
- 28
- 29 71. Ministr*.tw
- 30
- 31 72. Program*.tw
- 32
- 33 73. Tax*.tw
- 34
- 35 74. Detoxification.tw
- 36
- 37 75. Prohibit*.tw
- 38
- 39 76. Manag*.tw
- 40
- 41 77. Avail*.tw
- 42
- 43 78. Access*.tw
- 44
- 45 79. Regulat*.tw
- 46
- 47 80. Act.tw
- 48
- 49 81. Acts.tw
- 50
- 51 82. Law*.tw
- 52
- 53 83. Legal*.tw
- 54
- 55 84. Drinking age.tw
- 56
- 57 85. Legal drinking age.tw
- 58
- 59 86. Minimum drinking age.tw
- 60
87. Underage drink*.tw
88. Constitution*.tw

- 1
- 2
- 3 89. Dry day*.tw
- 4
- 5 90. Licen?*.tw
- 6
- 7 91. On-licen?e*.tw
- 8
- 9 92. Off-licen?e*.tw
- 10
- 11 93. Sale licen?*.tw
- 12
- 13 94. Resale.tw
- 14
- 15 95. Wholesale*.tw
- 16
- 17 96. Retail*.tw
- 18
- 19 97. Sale*.tw
- 20
- 21 98. Sales outlet*.tw
- 22
- 23 99. Excise.tw
- 24
- 25 100. Rule*.tw
- 26
- 27 101. Amend*.tw
- 28
- 29 102. Bill*.tw
- 30
- 31 103. Permit*.tw
- 32
- 33 104. Manufactur* permit*.tw
- 34
- 35 105. Section*.tw
- 36
- 37 106. Legislat*.tw
- 38
- 39 107. Advertis*.tw
- 40
- 41 108. Advertising restrict*.tw
- 42
- 43 109. Surrogate advertis*.tw
- 44
- 45 110. Media restrict*.tw
- 46
- 47 111. Billboard*.tw
- 48
- 49 112. Sponsorship*.tw
- 50
- 51 113. Partnership*.tw
- 52
- 53 114. Enforc*.tw
- 54
- 55 115. Public place*.tw
- 56
- 57 116. Quantity restrict*.tw
- 58
- 59 117. Restrict*.tw
- 60
118. Time restrict*.tw
119. Age restrict*.tw

- 1
- 2
- 3 120. Location restrict*.tw
- 4
- 5 121. Sale restrict*.tw
- 6
- 7 122. Point of sale.tw
- 8
- 9 123. Trade.tw
- 10
- 11 124. Trade agreement*.tw
- 12
- 13 125. Treaty.tw or treaties.tw or treatise.tw
- 14
- 15 126. Free trade.tw
- 16
- 17 127. "World Trade Organization".tw
- 18
- 19 128. "International Monetary Fund".tw
- 20
- 21 129. Import*.tw
- 22
- 23 130. Export*.tw
- 24
- 25 131. Tarrif*.tw
- 26
- 27 132. Price*.tw
- 28
- 29 133. Price setting.tw
- 30
- 31 134. Subsid*.tw
- 32
- 33 135. Limit*.tw
- 34
- 35 136. Open container*.tw
- 36
- 37 137. Revenue*.tw
- 38
- 39 138. Industr*.tw
- 40
- 41 139. Agreement*.tw
- 42
- 43 140. Control*.tw
- 44
- 45 141. Packag*.tw
- 46
- 47 142. Label*.tw
- 48
- 49 143. Reduc*.tw
- 50
- 51 144. Distribut*.tw
- 52
- 53 **145. OR (55-144)**
- 54
- 55 146. Policy/
- 56
- 57 147. Scheme/
- 58
- 59 148. Plan/
- 60
149. Marketing/
150. Liberalization/

- 1
- 2
- 3 151. Lobbying/
- 4 152. Demand/
- 5 153. Prevention/
- 6 154. Promotion/
- 7 155. Supply/
- 8 156. Government/
- 9 157. Program/
- 10 158. Taxes/
- 11 159. Detoxification/
- 12 160. Prohibition/
- 13 161. Management/
- 14 162. Regulation/
- 15 163. Act/
- 16 164. Law/
- 17 165. Legal/
- 18 166. Drinking age/
- 19 167. Legal drinking age/
- 20 168. Constitution/
- 21 169. License/
- 22 170. Rule/
- 23 171. Amendment/
- 24 172. Bill/
- 25 173. Permit/
- 26 174. Section/
- 27 175. Legislation/
- 28 176. Advertisement/
- 29 177. Billboard/
- 30 178. Sponsorship/
- 31 179. Partnership/
- 32 180. Enforcement/
- 33 181. Restriction/
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
- 2
- 3 182. Age restriction/
- 4
- 5 183. Trade/
- 6
- 7 184. Trade agreement/
- 8
- 9 185. Free trade/
- 10
- 11 186. Treaty/
- 12
- 13 187. "World Trade Organization"/
- 14
- 15 188. "International Monetary Fund"/
- 16
- 17 189. Import/
- 18
- 19 190. Export/
- 20
- 21 191. Tariff/
- 22
- 23 192. Subsidy/
- 24
- 25 193. Revenue/
- 26
- 27 194. Industry/
- 28
- 29 195. Agreement/
- 30
- 31 196. Control/
- 32
- 33 197. Packaging/
- 34
- 35 198. Labeling/
- 36
- 37 199. Reduction/
- 38
- 39 200. Distribution/
- 40
- 41 **201. OR (146-200)**
- 42 **202. 145 or 201**
- 43 **203. 54 AND 202**
- 44 204. India* not American.tw
- 45 205. "Indian union".tw
- 46 **206. OR (204-205)**
- 47 207. Andaman and Nicobar Island*.tw
- 48 208. Andhra Pradesh.tw
- 49 209. Arunachal Pradesh.tw
- 50 210. Assam.tw
- 51 211. Bihar.tw
- 52 212. Dadra and Nagar Haveli.tw
- 53 213. Chhattisgarh.tw
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 214. Daman and Diu.tw
- 5 215. National Capital Territory of New Delhi.tw
- 6 216. Delhi.tw
- 7
- 8 217. Goa.tw
- 9
- 10 218. Gujarat.tw
- 11 219. Haryana.tw
- 12 220. Himachal Pradesh.tw
- 13
- 14 221. Jammu and Kashmir.tw
- 15
- 16 222. Jammu.tw
- 17 223. Kashmir.tw
- 18
- 19 224. Jharkhand.tw
- 20 225. Karnataka.tw
- 21 226. Mysore.tw
- 22
- 23 227. Kerala.tw
- 24 228. Travancore-Cochin.tw
- 25
- 26 229. Madhya Pradesh.tw
- 27 230. Madhya Bharat.tw
- 28
- 29 231. Maharashtra.tw
- 30 232. Manipur.tw
- 31
- 32 233. Meghalaya.tw
- 33
- 34 234. Mizoram.tw
- 35 235. Nagaland.tw
- 36
- 37 236. Odisha.tw
- 38 237. Orissa.tw
- 39
- 40 238. Punjab.tw
- 41 239. Chandigarh.tw
- 42
- 43 240. Rajasthan.tw
- 44
- 45 241. Sikkim.tw
- 46 242. Tamil Nadu.tw
- 47 243. Madras State.tw
- 48
- 49 244. Telangana.tw
- 50
- 51 245. Tripura.tw
- 52 246. Uttarakhand.tw
- 53 247. Uttaranchal.tw
- 54
- 55 248. Uttar Pradesh.tw
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
2
3 249. United Provinces.tw
4
5 250. West Bengal.tw
6
7 251. Lakshadweep.tw
8
9 252. P#d#cherry.tw
10 **253. OR (207-252)**
11 **254. 206 OR 253**
12 **255. 203 AND 254**
13
14
15

16 For 'Medline' and 'Embase' databases, 'Text Word' and 'MeSH Subject Heading' were
17 used in the indexing field.

18 For 'Psyc-info' and 'Global health' databases, 'Abstract' and 'Subject heading' were
19 used correspondingly.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item		Page
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION				
Title:				
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	X	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A	N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	X	9
Authors:				
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	X	1,2
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	X	9
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	N/A	N/A
Support:				
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	X	10
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	N/A	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	X	10
INTRODUCTION				
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	X	4,5
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	X	6
METHODS				
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	X	Figure 1
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	X	6-9
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be	X	Supp 1

		repeated		
Study records:				
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	X	6
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	X	6
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	X	7,8
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	X	7
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	X	7
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	N/A	N/A
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	N/A	N/A
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	N/A	N/A
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	N/A	N/A
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	X	8,9
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	N/A	N/A
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	N/A	N/A

*** It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

BMJ Open

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020854.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Sep-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Schess, Jaclyn; Yale University, Department of Economics Jambhale, Abhijeet; Sangath, Addictions Research Group Bhatia, Urvita; Sangath, Addictions Research Group Velleman, R; University of Bath, Department of Psychology Nadkarni, Abhijit; Sangath,
Primary Subject Heading:	Health policy
Secondary Subject Heading:	Addiction, Global health, Mental health, Research methods
Keywords:	scoping review, protocol, alcohol, policy, india, STATISTICS & RESEARCH METHODS

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Manuscript Title: The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

**Corresponding Author

1st Author Name	Jaclyn Schess
Department	Department of Economics
Institution or Affiliation:	Yale University
City	New Haven, CT
Country:	United States
Email:	Jaclyn.schess@yale.edu
2nd Author Name	Abhijeet Jambhale
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
Address:	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijeet.jambhale@sangath.in
3rd Author Name	Urvita Bhatia
Department:	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Urvita.bhatia@sangath.in
4th Author Name	Richard Velleman**
Department:	Department of Psychology
Institution or Affiliation:	University of Bath
Address:	Bell Tower House Fersfield Perrymead BATH BA2 5AR
Country:	UK
Email:	R.D.B.Velleman@bath.ac.uk

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

5th Author Name	Abhijit Nadkarni
Department	Addictions Research Group
Institution or Affiliation:	Sangath
City	Porvorim, Goa
Country:	India
Email:	Abhijit.Nadkarni@kcl.ac.uk

Word count: 1634

For peer review only

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Abstract

INTRODUCTION:

The aim of this review is to provide the first consolidation of the policy environment surrounding alcohol-related societal harm in India giving researchers and policy makers a clearer base for future reforms. This review is also an important adaptation on the scoping review method for policy reviews in low resource settings that may serve as an example for other policy reviews in similar settings.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS: We will undertake a scoping review with policy relevant adaptations in order to map the alcohol-related policy environment in India. Following the six step approach put forward by Arskey & O'Malley and refined by Levac, we will first undertake an academic scoping search to identify relevant knowledge already existing in the literature about the policy environment in India. We will then use the knowledge that appears in this search iteratively, as is true to the scoping method, to develop a more targeted search of grey literature and Indian government websites for Indian policy documents. These documents will be analysed using qualitative methods to synthesise the current alcohol policy environment in India.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION: This study will only use already published information and therefore does not require an ethics review. We will circulate this protocol and the final report to policy researchers in similar settings who could make use of our adaptation of the scoping review method for a low-resource setting. We will also publish our findings in a peer-review journal.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This is a novel review approach to reviewing a policy environment in a low resource setting where relevant policies can be difficult to identify through traditional search methods.
- This is the first review of its kind to attempt to consolidate the policy environment applicable to the societal harm related to alcohol in India.
- Although the sources cited are dated they are the most recent, further emphasizing the necessity of investigating the research gap.
- This review seeks to investigate a policy area that is extensive in scope, and though this may limit the ability of the search to explore deeply every policy area related to societal harm related to alcohol in India, the review's intention is to identify and analyse, at the very minimum, existing key policies related to this topic.
- The search is limited to texts in English.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol consumption is a cause of substantial preventable societal harm in Southeast Asia. In 2010, the prevalence of alcohol use disorders (AUDs) in South-East Asia was 2.2%¹. The alcohol attributable burden of disease accounted for an average 2.7% of DALYs in 2004 in southeast Asia², with prevalence continuing to rise in the region³. In addition to the burden of ill health, alcohol consumption creates significant societal economic costs, equal to more than 1% of GDP in high and middle-income countries², through increased health costs and decreased economic productivity. In India, alcohol use contributed 5.4% of the total burden of disease in 2004, significantly higher than the region average². By 2014, this estimate was up to 8.8%, connected to 1.4 million cases of 19 diseases⁴. In addition, consumption of alcohol has been steadily increasing in India, with recorded per capita liquor consumption increasing from 1.6 litres in 2003-2005 to 2.2 litres in 2010 to 4.3 litres in 2014⁴, and more than half of all drinkers are drinking hazardously⁵. Total alcohol consumption is projected to reach 4.7 litres per capita by 2020⁶.

This paper will discuss societal harm caused by alcohol, defined as alcohol-related harm to the drinkers themselves and also the harmful consequences of drinking to those around the drinker – externalities of drinking – an element which receives very little policy focus^{7,8}. As demonstrated in India, about one-fourth of abuse against women and children has been connected to chronic alcohol use⁹. In addition, approximately one-third of night-time road traffic injuries and mortalities involved a driver who had consumed alcohol⁹. Further, alcohol use contributes to homelessness¹⁰, absenteeism and unemployment¹¹, high risk sexual behaviour¹², and poor health outcomes for children¹³. Finally, the yearly overall alcohol use-related economic costs to society in India, were estimated to be 2.1 trillion Indian rupees (30.8 billion United States Dollars) in 2013-14, or roughly 2.26% of GDP^{4,14}.

While there is growing recognition of the preventable harm that alcohol use is leading to in India, the country still lacks a consolidated national alcohol policy. This protocol will define policy as done by the United States' Centres for Disease Control: Policy is a law, regulation, procedure, administrative action, incentive, or voluntary practice of governments and other institutions¹⁵. This lack of consolidated policy is evident in the focus on prohibition-centered supply reduction, taxation and tertiary prevention⁵; all implemented as a set of disparate and poorly coordinated and implemented policy initiatives. An additional concern is the power of the 'alcohol lobby' and especially of multinational alcohol producer and distributor corporations, that view India's population as an emerging market of new and young drinkers, who, over time, are continuing to increase their consumption and demonstrate a reducing age of initiation; all of which make a national policy even timelier¹⁶. India is a key example of WHO-Director General Dr. Margaret Chan's warning: "alcohol consumption is expanding

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

in precisely those countries that lack the regulatory and enforcement capacities to protect their populations.”¹⁷

Given the absence of a comprehensive national alcohol policy, we believe there is a necessity to comprehensively map the current policy environment surrounding alcohol and its use in India, something that has not been undertaken in the existing literature, and this is the goal of the review described herein.. A comprehensive mapping would allow for the examination of these policies, helping researchers and practitioners better understand the exact policy environment, or the total composition of all the policies in place, with which alcohol-related societal harm is interacting in India. This can also help policy makers, those whom are involved in any extent in making or changing policies in place, get a clearer and more comprehensive picture of the current state of alcohol-related policies across India, in order to take steps toward reform. In performing this mapping, this protocol also puts forward an important adaptation of the scoping review method for use for policy research in low resource settings.

METHODS

The methods for this review utilise the scoping review methodological framework put forward by Arskey & O’Malley¹⁸ and refined by Levac¹⁹. A scoping review, as compared to a systematic review, uses a 6-stage process of review and allows for covering of broad topics of research areas and iterative construction of research direction. Where systematic reviews have strictly defined questions and therefore eligibility criteria at the outset, scoping reviews are iterative in nature¹⁹ and eligibility parameters and search strategies are therefore refined as the search identifies more relevant knowledge.

We considered the various methods available for conducting a policy review and decided that a scoping review with relevant adaptations would be the most applicable to reviewing inter-sectorial policy in an Indian context. Our strategy will start with an academic search of peer reviewed literature to iteratively define search parameters for grey literature and government website searches. In doing this, our search adapts the scoping review method by adopting a tiered approach, an ‘academic search tier’ followed by a ‘grey literature search tier’ in order to identify policy documents. The detailed steps of our search strategy are as follows:

Stage 1: Identifying the research question(s)

Based on an iterative review of the literature and the previous experience of the investigator group in examining the burden of alcohol use disordered (AUDs) in India and developing interventions to reduce the harm due to AUDs²⁰⁻²³, our guiding research questions were developed. Our objective is to comprehensively map Indian policies that

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

relate to alcohol-related societal harm and frame these in the context of international standards for alcohol policy. More specifically we ask the following questions:

What are the policies currently in place in India that regulate demand for and supply of alcohol and alcohol products?

What are the policies currently in place in India that regulate the impact on a range of societal outcomes arising from access to, use and misuse of alcohol?

This includes alcohol use disorders (AUDs), as well as drink-driving, violence, micro- and macroeconomic outcomes, comorbid health outcomes, family member health outcomes and any other negative impacts which alcohol has on Indian society. Our questions and scope remain broad in nature given the lack of current research and disparate policy environment expected in India where there is no national alcohol policy. We therefore leave our scope to include policies which may not be specific to alcohol but which include components that relate to alcohol use.

Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies

The first tier of our study involves identifying relevant academic literature that discuss policies which are relevant to our final search. In line with the iterative process of a scoping review, this will help set the search terms for the grey literature search for policy documents, using knowledge generated from the peer-review literature. For a description of the objectives and inclusion and exclusion criteria at each tier of the search, see Figure 1.

Electronic databases of peer-reviewed journals MEDLINE, PSYCInfo, Embase, Global Health and IndMed (database of peer reviewed medical journals published from India) were searched in August and September 2017. All search hits were downloaded to a bibliographic management software. Search terms used centred on the concepts of “alcohol”, “policy” and “India”. The “alcohol” concept was built around synonyms and types of alcohol (e.g. spirit, liquor, beer, illicit alcohol) and terms applying to drinking (e.g. drinker, alcohol consumption, social drinking, bars) and alcohol misuse (e.g. addiction, problematic alcohol use, alcohol dependence, drink driving). The “policy” concept was broad and included terms covering all dimensions of policy applicable to alcohol including marketing, sales, production, importation, trade, treatment, and synonyms for these terms (e.g. de-addiction, promotion, taxes, availability, minimum drinking age, prohibition, labelling, prevention, lobbying, industry and many others). The “India” concept included terms for India (e.g. Indian Union) and all of its states and union territories including historical state names. The search terms under these three concepts were used to search the databases mentioned above using a title/abstract/keyword search as well as a subject heading search where possible. The full search strategy can be found in Supplement 1.

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Stage 3: Study selection

Two reviewers (JS and AJ) will independently screen titles and abstracts resulting from the search process and exclude any ineligible studies. This process is on going at the time of protocol submission. The researchers will discuss their selection of articles after this first round of screening, to arrive at preliminary consensus on the list of eligible studies. They will then complete a full text screening of all selected articles, removing any articles that do not meet the inclusion criteria, as abstracts contain less detail than the full text. Reviewers will again discuss their selection of articles after this second round of screening, to arrive at a final consensus list of eligible studies. Differences in opinion will be resolved through consensus between the two reviewers with a third reviewer (AN) serving as arbiter in case of disagreement.

At both levels, the reviewers will use the following questions for guiding inclusion and must answer yes to all three questions to include an article: 1) Does this article discuss specific policies? 2) Does this article discuss specific policies relating to alcohol or alcohol's externalities? 3) Does this article discuss policy specific to India?

This systematic process will be reported using the PRISMA flow chart ²⁴.

Stage 4: Charting the data

Data extraction forms will be created and used to chart relevant data from included studies. Two researchers (JS and AJ) will independently extract data. Data extraction will be compared after the first ten documents to check for consistency between the two researchers and allow for form revision, and then again, after data extraction from all documents. Data extraction at this stage will involve collecting knowledge about policy that will be used iteratively to find policy documents in Tier 2 of the search. Data to be charted may include but not be limited to title of publication, year of publication, policy name, policy description, implementing jurisdiction, and date/year of policy implementation.

Stage 5: Collating, summarizing and reporting results

Data from the academic search will be summarized into a list of policy names, a list of possible search terms and a list of potentially relevant citations to follow up. The research team will then discuss the list and make decisions for further iterative search processes of grey literature, government websites, and other strategies to find policy documents. For further analysis, the policies will be further mapped according to the World Health Organization's (WHO) Global Strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol, which includes 10 strategy areas across different policy sectors²⁵.

Further Iteration and Isolation of policies: Tier 2

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

The peer-review tier of our search will help generate knowledge of the parameters in which to search the grey literature and government websites. Scanning of citations, isolating of policy names and descriptions, and knowledge gathering of relevant vocabulary will all be used to iteratively develop the strategies to isolate policies.

We will then consult with leading researchers and policy makers based on the collated data from Tier 1 of the search to gain insight and scrutiny of our next steps for searching the grey literature in order to ensure that we are not missing any important documents in Tier 2.

These policy documents will be the data to be included in Tier 2 with planned coverage in September-November 2018. Following an iterative approach, we will use the knowledge generated from Tier 1 in combination with researcher knowledge to help identify strategies for searching for policy documents. Possible strategies to subsequently identify and gather policy documents include the searching of grey literature through World Health Organization and World Bank websites and use of Google and/or Google Scholar. Google and English language websites of state and national Ministry websites, which are found to be relevant during our academic search, will be hand-searched for policies. As more knowledge is obtained through this process, we will continue to iteratively search through grey literature. Through these steps, we will aim to obtain the key policy documents that create the policy environment relating to alcohol-related societal harm.

Tier 2 data will then be charted using a data extraction form. This form will aim to gather data relating to policy name, department responsible, goal of policy, and other details, but will be developed by the team after the iterative grey literature stage has been undertaken to ensure the chart is most useful. The developed data chart will be piloted on 5-10 policy documents to ensure its usefulness once developed and changes will be made accordingly.

Data from the charting of Tier 2 will be synthesized, summarized, discussed within the team and compared with what was originally found in the academic literature. We will analyse the data with a thematic analysis approach to present the themes that emerge from the policies present. This is in line with the scoping review approach, which aims to present an overview of a research area instead of appraise the quality of data^{18 19}. Data will be further mapped according to the WHO Global Strategy. This will allow for global comparisons and identification of gaps in policy according to the recommendations of the WHO in the Global Strategy for best practices in reducing the harm of alcohol.

Stage 6: Consultation

We will consult with leading researchers and policy makers in India, on our consolidated list of policies and the thematic analysis and mapping of these policies to ensure that we have not missed any crucial policies in our search.

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Patients and Public Involvement: No patients are involved in this review.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

As a review of journal articles, grey literature, and policy documents, this study will only utilize secondary material and therefore does not require ethical review.

The study was submitted for registration on PROSPERO, but investigators were informed that scoping studies are not in scope for registration. We will be circulating the methods used for this policy review to other policy researchers, particularly those researching in low resource settings, as we believe this method is helpful in navigating intersectorial policy spaces in low resource settings. We will publish our results in a peer-reviewed journal and on relevant forums such as online global health networks.

Authors' Contribution: All authors have made substantial intellectual contributions to the development of this protocol and its revisions. The search question was conceptualized by AN and further developed by RV. The review approach and design was conceptualized by JS with advice from AN. JS and AJ developed and tested search terms with input and revisions from AN, RV and UB. JS initiated drafting of the manuscript followed by further iterations after substantial input and appraisal from all authors. All authors approved the final version of this manuscript.

Funding statement: JS was supported by funding from the Yale University Jackson Institute of Foreign Affairs and the Yale University MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies. The funders had no involvement in the development of this protocol.

Competing interests statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval: This scoping study does not require ethics approval as it only uses secondary data.

Bibliography

1. World Health Organization. Global Information Systems on Alcohol and Health (GISAH) 2018 [Available from: <http://www.who.int/gho/alcohol/en/>].

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

2. Rehm J, Mathers C, Popova S, et al. Alcohol and Global Health 1 Global burden of disease and injury and economic cost attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-use disorders. *Lancet* 2009; 373(9682). <Go to ISI>://WOS:000267444500035 (accessed Jun-Jul).
3. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet* 2013; 382(9904).
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673613616116> (accessed 2013/11/09/).
4. WHO Country Office for India. Assessment of Burden and Socioeconomic Cost Attributable to Alcohol Use in India. 2014.
http://www.searo.who.int/india/publications/assessment_burden_socioeconomic_cost.pdf.
5. Benegal V. India: alcohol and public health. *Addiction* 2005; 100(8).
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16042631> (accessed Aug).
6. World Health Organization. Total consumption, projections to 2025 by country 2016 [Available from:
<http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A1043?lang=en>.
7. Greenfield T, Ye Y, Kerr W, et al. Externalities from Alcohol Consumption in the 2005 US National Alcohol Survey: Implications for Policy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2009; 6(12).
<http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/6/12/3205>.
8. Room R, Ferris J, Laslett A-M, et al. The Drinker's Effect on the Social Environment: A Conceptual Framework for Studying Alcohol's Harm to Others. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2010; 7(4). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2872341/> (accessed 04/21 01/22/received 04/11/revised 04/17/accepted).
9. Gururaj G, Murthy P, Girish N, et al. Alcohol related harm: Implications for public health and policy in India. 2011; (Publication No. 73).
<http://nimhans.ac.in/cam/sites/default/files/Publications/39.pdf>.
10. Benegal V, Veleyudhan A, Jain S. The Social Cost of Alcoholism (Karnataka). *NIMHANS Journal* 2000; 18(1&2).
11. Gururaj G, N G, Benegal V. Alcohol control series 1: Burden and socio-economic impact of alcohol- The Bangalore Study. 2006.
http://www.searo.who.int/entity/mental_health/documents/9290222727.pdf.
12. Sarkar NN. Barriers to condom use. *The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care* 2008; 13(2).
13. Nirmala M, George R, Benegal V. Children of alcoholics: the different dimensions. *NIMHANS De-addiction Quarterly* 2000; 5(4).
14. Bloomberg Markets. USD INR: Bloomberg; [Available from:
<https://www.bloomberg.com/quote/USDINR:CUR>.

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Office of the Associate Director for Policy. Definition of Policy Atlanta, GA2015 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/policy/analysis/process/definition.html>].
16. Prasad R. Alcohol use on the rise in India. *The Lancet* 2009; 373(9657).
17. Jernigan D. Global developments in alcohol policies: Progress in implementation of the WHO global strategy to reduce the harmful use of alcohol since 2010. 2017. http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/activities/fadab/msb_adab_gas_progress_report.pdf?ua=1.
18. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 2005; 8(1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616> (accessed 2005/02/01).
19. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science : IS* 2010; 5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2954944/> (accessed 09/20 06/11/received 09/20/accepted).
20. Pillai A, Nayak MB, Greenfield TK, et al. Patterns of alcohol use, their correlates, and impact in male drinkers: a population-based survey from Goa, India. *Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2013; 48(2). <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=22752108> http://wa4py6yj8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:medl&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1007%2Fs00127-012-0538-1&rft_id=info:pmid/22752108&rft.issn=0933-7954&rft.volume=48&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=275&rft.pages=275-82&rft.date=2013&rft.jtitle=Social+Psychiatry+%26+Psychiatric+Epidemiology&rft.atitle=Patterns+of+alcohol+use%2C+their+correlates%2C+and+impact+in+male+drinkers%3A+a+population-based+survey+from+Goa%2C+India.&rft.aula (accessed Feb).
21. Nadkarni A, Bhatia U, Velleman R, et al. Supporting addictions affected families effectively (SAFE): a mixed methods exploratory study of the 5-step method delivered in Goa, India, by lay counsellors. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2017.1394983>.
22. Nadkarni A, Weiss HA, Naik A, et al. The six-year outcome of alcohol use disorders in men: A population based study from India. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2016; 162. <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=medl&AN=26994665> http://wa4py6yj8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:medl&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1016%2Fj.drugalcdep.2016.02.039&rft_id=info:pmid/26994665&rft.issn=0376-8716&rft.volume=162&rft.issue=&rft.spage=107&rft.pages=107-15&rft.date=2016&rft.jtitle=Drug+%26+Alcohol+Dependence&rft.atitle=The

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

- [+six-year+outcome+of+alcohol+use+disorders+in+men%3A+A+population+based+study+from+India.&rft.aulast=Nadkarni](#) (accessed May 01).
23. Nadkarni A, Weobong B, Weiss HA, et al. Counselling for Alcohol Problems (CAP), a lay counsellor delivered brief psychological treatment for harmful drinking in men, in primary care in India: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet* 2017; 389(10065).
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&D=psyc13&AN=2017-02639-007>
http://wa4py6yj8t.search.serialssolutions.com/?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft_id=info:sid/Ovid:psyc13&rft.genre=article&rft_id=info:doi/10.1016%2FS0140-6736%2816%2931590-2&rft_id=info:pmid/&rft.issn=0140-6736&rft.volume=389&rft.issue=10065&rft.spage=186&rft.pages=186-195&rft.date=2017&rft.jtitle=The+Lancet&rft.atitle=Counselling+for+Alcohol+Problems+%28CAP%29%2C+a+lay+counsellor+delivered+brief+psychological+treatment+for+harmful+drinking+in+men%2C+in+primary+care+in+India (accessed Jan).
24. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Annals of internal medicine* 2009; 151(4). (accessed Aug 18).
25. World Health Organization. Global strategy to reduce the harmful use of alcohol. 2010.
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44395/9789241599931_eng.pdf?sequence=1.

The policy environment impacting the societal harm caused by alcohol in India: Protocol for a scoping review

Figure 1: 'Academic search tier' as it informs 'Policy search tier' including descriptions of the objective, inclusion and exclusion criteria of each

For peer review only

Tier 1: Academic Search

Objective: Identify relevant knowledge in academic literature to create parameters and search terms for grey literature searching and website searching in Tier 2
Included: Any academic literature identified through discussed methods that discusses Indian alcohol policy environment; no date limitation
Excluded: Non- English language texts

**Tier 2: Policy Search**

Objective: Identify policy document that describe the policy environment surrounding alcohol in India, methods developed iteratively as described in "Further Iteration and Isolation of Policies: Tier 2"
Included: Policy and grey literature documents as informed by academic search, policy documents which are most up to date (i.e. those which replace an older law)
Excluded: Non-English language texts, obsolete policy documents (those which have been replaced)

'Academic search tier' as it informs 'Policy search tier' including descriptions of the objective, inclusion and exclusion criteria of each

215x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)

A scoping review of the policy environment impacting alcohol related societal harm in India

List of authors: Jaclyn Schess, Abhijeet Jambhale, Urvita Bhatia, Richard Velleman, Abhijit Nadkarni

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for initial search

	Included	Excluded
Year	Any	
Language	English	
Population	Any	
Setting	India and any states or UTs of India	

Databases:

- 1) Medline
- 2) EMBASE
- 3) PsycINFO
- 4) Global Health

Table 2: Search concepts

Alcohol	Policy and alcohol related policy terms	India
Alcohol	Policy	India
Substance	Scheme	Individual Indian states
Addiction	De-addiction	
Drinking	Treatment	
Alcoholism	Plan	
Alcohol use disorder	Marketing	
Drink driving	Liberalization	
Liquor	Lobbying	

Blood Alcohol Content	Demand	
Beverage	Prevention	
Bar/Pub	Promotion	
Unhealthy commodity	Point of sale	
	Supply	
	Government	
	Ministry	
	Detoxification	
	Prohibition	
	Tax	
	Management	
	Regulation	
	Act	
	Program	
	Law	
	Legal	
	Legal drinking age	
	Dry Day	
	Constitution	
	Permit	
	Excise	
	Rules	
	Amendment	
	Bill	
	License	
	Section	
	Legislation	
	Advertising	
	Enforcement	

	Restriction	
	Trade	
	Importation	
	Illicit	
	Synthetic	
	Pricing	
	Limits	
	Open Container	
	Revenue	
	Industry	
	Agreement	
	Control	
	Treaty	
	Labeling	
	Reduction	
	Packaging	
	Distribution	

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies and guidelines

Two reviewers will independently inspect the abstracts of the studies identified through the academic search strategy described below: two lists will then be composed of potentially eligible studies. The two reviewers will then discuss their selections and in the case of any disagreement regarding inclusion, a third reviewer will be consulted for a final decision.

Data extraction

Following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, a record will be made of the number of papers retrieved, the number of papers excluded and the reasons for their exclusion. A formal data

1
2
3 extraction form will be designed for the papers to extract data relevant to the
4 study aims. Two reviewers will independently perform data collection and any
5 disagreements will be discussed and resolved.
6
7
8
9

10 **Medline Search Strategy:**

- 11 1. Alcohol*.tw
 - 12 2. Addict*.tw
 - 13 3. (Drink* NOT water).tw **
 - 14 4. Substance use*.tw
 - 15 5. Substance abuse*.tw
 - 16 6. Alcohol addict*.tw
 - 17 7. Alcohol abuse*.tw
 - 18 8. Alcohol dependen*.tw
 - 19 9. "Alcohol use disorder".tw
 - 20 10. Harmful drink*.tw
 - 21 11. Hazardous drink*.tw
 - 22 12. Social drink*.tw
 - 23 13. Dr?nk ADJ2 driv*.tw
 - 24 14. Liquor*.tw
 - 25 15. Liqueur*.tw
 - 26 16. Spirit*.tw
 - 27 17. "Blood alcohol content".tw
 - 28 18. BAC.tw
 - 29 19. Beverage*.tw
 - 30 20. Alcohol consum*.tw
 - 31 21. "Problematic alcohol use".tw
 - 32 22. Whiskey*.tw
 - 33 23. Rum.tw
 - 34 24. Beer*.tw
 - 35 25. Wine*.tw
 - 36 26. Vodka*.tw
- 37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 27. Unhealthy commodit*.tw
4

5 28. Bars.tw

6 29. Pubs.tw

7
8 30. "Illicit alcohol".tw
9

10 31. "synthetic alcohol".tw

11
12 **32. OR (1-31)**

13 33. Alcohol/
14

15 34. Addict/
16

17 35. Drinker/
18

19 36. Alcohol addict/
20

21 37. Alcohol abuse/
22

23 38. Alcohol dependence/
24

25 39. "Alcohol use disorder"/
26

27 40. Harmful drinking/
28

29 41. Hazardous drinking/
30

31 42. Drink driving/
32

33 43. Drunk driving/
34

35 44. Liquor/
36

37 45. Spirit/
38

39 46. "Blood alcohol content"/
40

41 47. Beverage/
42

43 48. Whiskey/
44

45 49. Rum/
46

47 50. Beer/
48

49 51. Wine/
50

51 52. Vodka/
52

53 **53. OR (33-52)**

54 **54. 32 or 53**

55 55. Polic*.tw
56

57 56. Scheme*.tw
58

59 57. De?addiction.tw
60

- 1
- 2
- 3 58. Plan*.tw
- 4
- 5 59. Government ADJ3 Polic*.tw
- 6
- 7 60. Government ADJ3 Scheme*.tw
- 8
- 9 61. Government ADJ3 Plan*.tw
- 10
- 11 62. Market*.tw
- 12
- 13 63. Liberaliz*.tw
- 14
- 15 64. Neoliberalism.tw
- 16
- 17 65. Lobby*.tw
- 18
- 19 66. Demand*.tw
- 20
- 21 67. Prevent*.tw
- 22
- 23 68. Promot*.tw
- 24
- 25 69. Suppl*.tw
- 26
- 27 70. Government*.tw
- 28
- 29 71. Ministr*.tw
- 30
- 31 72. Program*.tw
- 32
- 33 73. Tax*.tw
- 34
- 35 74. Detoxification.tw
- 36
- 37 75. Prohibit*.tw
- 38
- 39 76. Manag*.tw
- 40
- 41 77. Avail*.tw
- 42
- 43 78. Access*.tw
- 44
- 45 79. Regulat*.tw
- 46
- 47 80. Act.tw
- 48
- 49 81. Acts.tw
- 50
- 51 82. Law*.tw
- 52
- 53 83. Legal*.tw
- 54
- 55 84. Drinking age.tw
- 56
- 57 85. Legal drinking age.tw
- 58
- 59 86. Minimum drinking age.tw
- 60
87. Underage drink*.tw
88. Constitution*.tw

- 1
- 2
- 3 89. Dry day*.tw
- 4
- 5 90. Licen?*.tw
- 6
- 7 91. On-licen?e*.tw
- 8
- 9 92. Off-licen?e*.tw
- 10
- 11 93. Sale licen?*.tw
- 12
- 13 94. Resale.tw
- 14
- 15 95. Wholesale*.tw
- 16
- 17 96. Retail*.tw
- 18
- 19 97. Sale*.tw
- 20
- 21 98. Sales outlet*.tw
- 22
- 23 99. Excise.tw
- 24
- 25 100. Rule*.tw
- 26
- 27 101. Amend*.tw
- 28
- 29 102. Bill*.tw
- 30
- 31 103. Permit*.tw
- 32
- 33 104. Manufactur* permit*.tw
- 34
- 35 105. Section*.tw
- 36
- 37 106. Legislat*.tw
- 38
- 39 107. Advertis*.tw
- 40
- 41 108. Advertising restrict*.tw
- 42
- 43 109. Surrogate advertis*.tw
- 44
- 45 110. Media restrict*.tw
- 46
- 47 111. Billboard*.tw
- 48
- 49 112. Sponsorship*.tw
- 50
- 51 113. Partnership*.tw
- 52
- 53 114. Enforc*.tw
- 54
- 55 115. Public place*.tw
- 56
- 57 116. Quantity restrict*.tw
- 58
- 59 117. Restrict*.tw
- 60
118. Time restrict*.tw
119. Age restrict*.tw

- 1
- 2
- 3 120. Location restrict*.tw
- 4
- 5 121. Sale restrict*.tw
- 6
- 7 122. Point of sale.tw
- 8
- 9 123. Trade.tw
- 10
- 11 124. Trade agreement*.tw
- 12
- 13 125. Treaty.tw or treaties.tw or treatise.tw
- 14
- 15 126. Free trade.tw
- 16
- 17 127. "World Trade Organization".tw
- 18
- 19 128. "International Monetary Fund".tw
- 20
- 21 129. Import*.tw
- 22
- 23 130. Export*.tw
- 24
- 25 131. Tarrif*.tw
- 26
- 27 132. Price*.tw
- 28
- 29 133. Price setting.tw
- 30
- 31 134. Subsid*.tw
- 32
- 33 135. Limit*.tw
- 34
- 35 136. Open container*.tw
- 36
- 37 137. Revenue*.tw
- 38
- 39 138. Industr*.tw
- 40
- 41 139. Agreement*.tw
- 42
- 43 140. Control*.tw
- 44
- 45 141. Packag*.tw
- 46
- 47 142. Label*.tw
- 48
- 49 143. Reduc*.tw
- 50
- 51 144. Distribut*.tw
- 52
- 53 **145. OR (55-144)**
- 54
- 55 146. Policy/
- 56
- 57 147. Scheme/
- 58
- 59 148. Plan/
- 60 149. Marketing/
150. Liberalization/

- 1
- 2
- 3 151. Lobbying/
- 4
- 5 152. Demand/
- 6
- 7 153. Prevention/
- 8
- 9 154. Promotion/
- 10
- 11 155. Supply/
- 12
- 13 156. Government/
- 14
- 15 157. Program/
- 16
- 17 158. Taxes/
- 18
- 19 159. Detoxification/
- 20
- 21 160. Prohibition/
- 22
- 23 161. Management/
- 24
- 25 162. Regulation/
- 26
- 27 163. Act/
- 28
- 29 164. Law/
- 30
- 31 165. Legal/
- 32
- 33 166. Drinking age/
- 34
- 35 167. Legal drinking age/
- 36
- 37 168. Constitution/
- 38
- 39 169. License/
- 40
- 41 170. Rule/
- 42
- 43 171. Amendment/
- 44
- 45 172. Bill/
- 46
- 47 173. Permit/
- 48
- 49 174. Section/
- 50
- 51 175. Legislation/
- 52
- 53 176. Advertisement/
- 54
- 55 177. Billboard/
- 56
- 57 178. Sponsorship/
- 58
- 59 179. Partnership/
- 60
180. Enforcement/
181. Restriction/

182. Age restriction/
183. Trade/
184. Trade agreement/
185. Free trade/
186. Treaty/
187. "World Trade Organization"/
188. "International Monetary Fund"/
189. Import/
190. Export/
191. Tariff/
192. Subsidy/
193. Revenue/
194. Industry/
195. Agreement/
196. Control/
197. Packaging/
198. Labeling/
199. Reduction/
200. Distribution/
- 201. OR (146-200)**
- 202. 145 or 201**
- 203. 54 AND 202**
204. India* not American.tw
205. "Indian union".tw
- 206. OR (204-205)**
207. Andaman and Nicobar Island*.tw
208. Andhra Pradesh.tw
209. Arunachal Pradesh.tw
210. Assam.tw
211. Bihar.tw
212. Dadra and Nagar Haveli.tw
213. Chhattisgarh.tw

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 214. Daman and Diu.tw
- 5 215. National Capital Territory of New Delhi.tw
- 6 216. Delhi.tw
- 7
- 8 217. Goa.tw
- 9
- 10 218. Gujarat.tw
- 11 219. Haryana.tw
- 12 220. Himachal Pradesh.tw
- 13
- 14 221. Jammu and Kashmir.tw
- 15
- 16 222. Jammu.tw
- 17 223. Kashmir.tw
- 18
- 19 224. Jharkhand.tw
- 20 225. Karnataka.tw
- 21 226. Mysore.tw
- 22
- 23 227. Kerala.tw
- 24 228. Travancore-Cochin.tw
- 25
- 26 229. Madhya Pradesh.tw
- 27 230. Madhya Bharat.tw
- 28
- 29 231. Maharashtra.tw
- 30 232. Manipur.tw
- 31
- 32 233. Meghalaya.tw
- 33
- 34 234. Mizoram.tw
- 35 235. Nagaland.tw
- 36
- 37 236. Odisha.tw
- 38 237. Orissa.tw
- 39
- 40 238. Punjab.tw
- 41 239. Chandigarh.tw
- 42
- 43 240. Rajasthan.tw
- 44
- 45 241. Sikkim.tw
- 46 242. Tamil Nadu.tw
- 47 243. Madras State.tw
- 48
- 49 244. Telangana.tw
- 50
- 51 245. Tripura.tw
- 52 246. Uttarakhand.tw
- 53 247. Uttaranchal.tw
- 54
- 55 248. Uttar Pradesh.tw
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
249. United Provinces.tw
250. West Bengal.tw
251. Lakshadweep.tw
252. P#d#cherry.tw
- 253. OR (207-252)**
- 254. 206 OR 253**
- 255. 203 AND 254**

For 'Medline' and 'Embase' databases, 'Text Word' and 'MeSH Subject Heading' were used in the indexing field.

For 'Psyc-info' and 'Global health' databases, 'Abstract' and 'Subject heading' were used correspondingly.

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item		Page
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION				
Title:				
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	X	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A	N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	X	9
Authors:				
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	X	1,2
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	X	9
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	N/A	N/A
Support:				
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	X	10
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	N/A	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	X	10
INTRODUCTION				
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	X	4,5
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	X	6
METHODS				
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	X	Figure 1
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	X	6-9
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be	X	Supp 1

		repeated		
Study records:				
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	X	6
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	X	6
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	X	7,8
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	X	7
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	X	7
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	N/A	N/A
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	N/A	N/A
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	N/A	N/A
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	N/A	N/A
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	X	8,9
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	N/A	N/A
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	N/A	N/A

*** It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.