

## Appendix 4 Outcomes of included studies

### **GENEVA, 2010<sup>46-48</sup> (DEX vs Sham)**

#### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

<b>6 months</b>			
	<b>DEX 0.7mg (n=136)</b>	<b>DEX 0.35mg (n=154)</b>	<b>Sham (n=147)</b>
<b>BCVA (ETDRS letters)</b>	+0.1		-1.8
<b>p value</b>	<0.001 vs sham		
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	25 (18.4%)	11 (17%)	18 (12.2%)
<b>p value</b>	NS vs sham	NS vs sham	
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	19 (14.0%)		30 (20.4%)
<b>p value</b>	NS vs sham		
<b>CRT (µm )</b>	-118.2		-125.3
<b>p value</b>	NS vs sham		

<b>12 months</b>			
	<b>DEX 0.7mg (n=136)</b>	<b>DEX 0.35mg (n=154)</b>	<b>Sham (n=147)</b>
<b>BCVA (ETDRS letters)</b>	+2 (graph estimated)		-1.4 (ditto)
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	37 (27%)		31 (21%)

#### **Adverse events**

<b>6 months</b>			
	<b>DEX 0.7mg (n=133)</b>	<b>DEX 0.35mg (n=154)</b>	<b>Sham (n=147)</b>
<b>Overall of ocular AEs</b>	91 (68.4%)		73 (49.7%)
<b>IOP increased</b>	40 (30.1%)		2 (1.4%)
<b>Cataract AEs</b>	11 (8.3%)		7 (4.8%)

### **ROVO, 2013<sup>49</sup> (Tria vs Sham)**

#### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

<b>12 months</b>			
	<b>Tria 4mg (n=25)</b>	<b>RON (n=38)</b>	<b>Sham (n=20)</b>
<b>BCVA (ETDRS letters)</b>	-8	-35.5	0

p value	NS vs sham		
VA improvement	5 (20%)	18 (47.3%)	2 (10%)
p value	NS vs sham		
VA deterioration	NR	3 (7.9%)	7 (35%)
CRT (μm)	-235	-263	-206
p value	NS vs sham		
Adverse events			
12 months			
	Tria 4mg (n=25)	RON (n=38)	Sham (n=20)
IOP increased	8 (32%)		0
Cataract progression	6 (24%)	5 (13.2%)	3 (15%)
Neovascular glaucoma	3 (12%)	2 (5.3%)	3 (15%)
Rubeosis iridis	0		3 (15%)
SCORE, 2009 <sup>50-66</sup> (Tria vs sham)			
Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)			
6 months (weight mean and SD of 4 and 8 months)			
	Tria 4mg (n=85)	Tria 1mg(n=84)	Obs (n=75)
BCVA (letters)	-0.15±20.67	-3.93±23.42	-9.66±18.04
p value	NR	NR	
≥15 letters gained	17 (19.5%)	15(17.5%)	3 (4%)
p value	NR	NR	
≥15 letters lost	19 (20.5%)	21 (25.0%)	31 (35.5%)
p value	NR	NR	
12 months			
	Tria 4mg (n=82)	Tria 1mg(n=83)	Obs (n=73)
BCVA (letters, 95%CI)	-1.2±24.82 (-6.3 to +4.0)	-1.2±25.45 (-6.4 to +4.1)	-12.1±23.93 (-17.1 to -7.1)
p value	<0.05 vs obs	<0.05 vs obs	
≥15 letters gained	21 (25.6%)	22 (26.5%)	5 (6.8%)
p value	0.001 vs obs	0.001 vs obs	

<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	21 (25.6%)	21 (25.3%)	32 (43.8%)
<b>p value</b>	NR	NR	
<b>CRT (μm) (median, IQR)</b>	-261 (-407 to -79) n=78	-196 (-390 to -62) n=72	-277 (-418 to -40) n=68
<b>p value</b>	NR	NR	
<b>24 months</b>			
	<b>Tria 4mg (n=50)</b>	<b>Tria 1mg(n=55)</b>	<b>Obs (n=46)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters, 95%CI)</b>	-2.4±24.89 (-9.3 to +4.4)	-4.4±26.87 (-11.5 to +2.8)	-10.7±22.84 (-17.4 to -4.1)
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	13 (26%)	17 (30.9%)	4 (8.7%)
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	13 (26%)	17 (30.9%)	22 (47.8%)
<b>p value</b>	NS, p=0.06 tria vs obs		
<b>CRT (μm) (median, IQR)</b>	-236 (-421 to -63) n=45	-286 (-458 to -119) n=48	-304 (-465 to -108) n=43
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>Adverse events</b>			
<b>12 months</b>			
	<b>Tria 4mg (n=91)</b>	<b>Tria 1mg(n=92)</b>	<b>Obs (n=88)</b>
<b>Initiation of IOP-lowering medication</b>	32 (35.2%)	18 (19.6%)	7 (8.0%)
<b>Iris neovascularization or neovascular glaucoma</b>	4 (4.4%)	9 (9.8%)	2 (2.3%)
<b>Retinal neovascularization</b>	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)	4 (4.6%)
<b>Vitreous hemorrhage</b>	0	4 (4.3%)	4 (4.6%)
<b>CRUISE, 2010<sup>67-69</sup> (IVR vs sham)</b>			
<b>Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)</b>			
<b>6 months</b>			
	<b>IVR 0.3mg (n=132)</b>	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=130)</b>	<b>Sham (n=130)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters, 95%CI)</b>	+12.7±15.9 (9.9, 15.4)	+14.9±13.2 (12.6, 17.2)	+0.8±16.2 (-2.0, 3.6)
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs sham	<0.0001 vs sham	

<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	61 (46.2%)	62 (47.7%)	22 (16.9%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs sham	<0.0001 vs sham	
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	5 (3.8%)	2 (1.5%)	20 (15.4%)
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>CRT (μm, 95%CI)</b>	-433.7 (-484.9, -382.6) n=131	-452.3(-497.0, -407.6) n=130	-167.7 (-221.5, -114.0) n=129
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs sham	<0.0001 vs sham	
<b>NEI-VFQ (95%CI)</b>	+7.1 (5.2, 9.0)	+6.2 (4.3, 8.0)	+2.8 (0.8, 4.7)
<b>p value</b>	<0.05 vs sham	<0.05 vs sham	
<b>12 months (IVR PRN)</b>			
	<b>IVR 0.3mg (n=132)</b>	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=130)</b>	<b>Sham (n=130)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters, 95%CI)</b>	+13.9±15.2 (11.2, 16.5)	+13.9±14.2 (11.5, 16.4)	+7.3±15.9 (4.5, 10.0)
<b>p value</b>	0.0007 vs sham	0.0006 vs sham	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	62 (47.0%)	66 (50.8%)	43 (33.1%)
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	5 (3.8%)	3 (2.3%)	13 (10.0%)
<b>p value</b>	NR		
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-462.1	-452.8	-427.2
<b>p value</b>	NS vs sham	NS vs sham	
<b>NEI-VFQ</b>	+7.1	+6.6	+5.0
<b>p value</b>	NR	NR	
<b>Adverse events</b>			
<b>6 months</b>			
	<b>IVR 0.3mg (n=132)</b>	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=129)</b>	<b>Sham (n=129)</b>
<b>Any intraocular inflammation event</b>	3 (2.3%)	2 (1.6%)	5 (3.9%)
<b>Cataract</b>	2 (1.5%)	2 (1.6%)	0
<b>Neovascular glaucoma</b>	0	0	2 (1.6%)
<b>Vitreous haemorrhage</b>	5 (3.8%)	7 (5.4%)	9 (7.0%)
<b>12 months</b>			
	<b>IVR 0.3mg (n=132)</b>	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=129)</b>	<b>Sham (n=110)</b>

<b>Any intraocular inflammation event</b>	3 (2.3%)	2 (1.6%)	2 (1.8%)
<b>Cataract</b>	5 (3.8%)	9 (7.0%)	2 (1.8%)
<b>Neovascular glaucoma</b>	0	1 (0.8%)	0
<b>Vitreous haemorrhage</b>	7 (5.3%)	7 (5.4%)	2 (1.8%)
<b>Iris neovascularization</b>	2 (1.5%)	5 (3.9%)	2 (1.8%)
<b>Retinal tear</b>	0	2 (1.6%)	2 (1.8%)

### **ROCC, 2010<sup>70</sup> (IVR vs Sham)**

#### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

<b>6 months</b>		
	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=15)</b>	<b>Sham (n=14)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+12±20	-1±17
<b>p value</b>	0.067 vs sham	
<b>CRT (µm)</b>	-304±194	-151±205
<b>p value</b>	0.05 vs sham	

#### **Adverse events**

<b>6 months</b>		
	<b>IVR 0.5mg (n=15)</b>	<b>Sham (n=14)</b>
<b>Vitreous hemorrhage</b>	2 (13.3%)	0
<b>Retinal tear</b>	0	1 (7.1%)
<b>Neovascular disease</b>	0	1 (7.1%)

### **COPERNICUS, 2012<sup>71-72</sup> (IAI vs Sham)**

#### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

<b>6 months</b>		
	<b>IAI 2mg (n=114)</b>	<b>Sham (n=73)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+17.3±12.8	-4.0±18
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	64 (56.1%)	9 (12.3%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	

<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	2 (1.8%)	20 (27.4%)
<b>p value</b>	NR	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-457.2	-144.8
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	
<b>NEI VFQ-25</b>	+7.2±12.1	+0.8±9.8
<b>p value</b>	0.001	
<b>12 months (all IAI PRN)</b>		
	<b>IAI 2mg (n=114)</b>	<b>Sham (n=73)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+16.2	+3.8
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	63 (55.3%)	22 (30.1%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	6 (5.3%)	11 (15.1%)
<b>p value</b>	NR	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-413.0	-381.8
<b>p value</b>	NS	
<b>NEI VFQ-25</b>	+7.5	+5.1
<b>p value</b>	NS	
<b>Adverse events</b>		
<b>6 months</b>		
	<b>IAI 2mg (n=114)</b>	<b>Sham (n=74)</b>
<b>Patients with at least one serious adverse events</b>	4 (3.5%)	10 (13.5%)
<b>Vitreous hemorrhage</b>	0	4 (5.4%)
<b>Neovascular glaucoma</b>	0	2 (2.7%)
<b>Iris neovascularization</b>	0	2 (2.7%)
<b>Retinal hemorrhage</b>	0	2 (2.7%)
<b>Retinal tear</b>	0	1 (1.4%)
<b>Endophthalmitis</b>	1 (0.9%)	0
<b>6 to 12months</b>		

	IAI 2mg + PRN (n=110)	Sham + PRN (n=60)
<b>Patients with at least one serious adverse events</b>	3 (2.7%)	2 (3.3%)
<b>Vitreous hemorrhage</b>	1 (0.9%)	1 (1.7%)
<b>Glaucoma</b>	0	1 (1.7%)
<b>Retinal tear</b>	0	1 (1.7%)
<b>Cataract</b>	1 (0.9%)	1 (1.7%)

### **GALILEO, 2013<sup>73-74</sup> (IAI vs Sham)**

#### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

<b>6 months</b>		
	IAI 2mg (n=103)	Sham (n=68)
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+18.0±12.2	+3.3±14.1
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	62 (60.2%)	15 (22.1%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001	
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	8 (7.8%)	15 (22.1%)
<b>p value</b>	0.0033	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-448.6	-169.3
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001	
<b>NEI-VFQ-25</b>	+7.5	+3.5
<b>p value</b>	0.0013	

#### **Adverse events**

<b>6 months</b>		
	IAI 2mg (n=104)	Sham (n=68)
<b>Eye pain</b>	12 (11.5%)	3 (4.4%)
<b>Conjunctival haemorrhage</b>	9 (8.7%)	3 (4.4%)
<b>Ocular hyperaemia</b>	5 (4.8%)	4 (5.9%)
<b>Vitreous floaters</b>	5 (4.8%)	0
<b>Macular ischaemia</b>	4 (3.8%)	3 (4.4%)

Eye irritation	3 (2.9%)	7 (10.3%)
Retinal ischaemia	1 (1.0%)	3 (4.4%)
IOP increased	10 (9.6%)	4 (5.9%)

#### **Epstein, 2012<sup>75-76</sup> (IVB vs Sham)**

##### **Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

###### **6 months**

	<b>IVB 1.25mg (n=30)</b>	<b>Sham (n=30)</b>
BCVA (letters)	+14.1±18.7	-2.0±20.5
p value	<0.01	
≥15 letters gained	18 (60%)	6 (20%)
p value	0.003	
≥15 letters lost	2 (6.7%)	7 (23.3%)
p value	NS, 0.146	
CRT (μm)	-426	-102
p value	<0.0001	

###### **12 months**

	<b>IVB 1.25mg (n=30)</b>	<b>Sham (n=30)</b>
BCVA (letters)	+16.1	+4.6
p value	<0.05	
≥15 letters gained	18 (60%)	10 (33.3%)
p value	<0.05	
≥15 letters lost	2 (6.7%)	2 (6.7%)
p value	NS	
CRT (μm)	-435	-404
p value	>0.05	

##### **Adverse events**

###### **6 months**

	<b>IVB 1.25mg (n=30)</b>	<b>Sham (n=30)</b>
Iris rubeosis	0	5 (16.7%)



---

**Wroblewski, 2009<sup>23, 77-83</sup> (IVP vs Sham)**

---

**Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

---

**6 months (~30weeks)**

---

	<b>IVP 0.3mg (n=33)</b>	<b>IVP 1mg (n=33)</b>	<b>Sham (n=32)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+7.1	+9.9	-3.2
<b>p value</b>	0.09 vs sham	0.02 vs sham	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	12 (36.4%)	13 (36.1%)	9 (28.1%)
<b>p value</b>	0.48		
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	3 (9.1%)	2 (6.1%)	10 (31.3%)
<b>p value</b>	0.03 vs sham	0.01 vs sham	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-243	-179	-148
<b>p value</b>	0.13	0.06	

**12 months**

---

	<b>IVP 0.3mg (n=33)</b>	<b>IVP 1mg (n=33)</b>	<b>Sham (n=32)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+7.5	+6.3	-2.4
<b>p value</b>	NS vs sham	NS vs sham	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-295	-216	-183
<b>p value</b>	<0.05 vs sham		

**Adverse events**

---

No serious ocular adverse events up to 30 weeks. No evidence of increased risk of systemic adverse events up to 30 weeks.

---

---

**Ramezani, 2014<sup>84</sup> (IVB vs Tria)**

---

**Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)**

---

**6 months**

---

	<b>IVB (n=43)</b>	<b>Tria (n=43)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+23±11.5	+9.5±11.5
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	<0.001
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-151±122	-75±89
<b>p value</b>	<0.001	<0.001

---

**Adverse events****6 months**

	<b>IVB (n=43)</b>	<b>Tria (n=43)</b>
<b>IOP changes (mmHg)</b>	-1.0±2.2	+2.2±2.7

**COMRADE-C, 2016<sup>85</sup> (IVR vs DEX)****Efficiency outcomes (changes from baseline at follow-up time points)****6 months**

	<b>IVR (n=124)</b>	<b>DEX (n=119)</b>
<b>BCVA (letters)</b>	+16.9±13.6	-0.7±22.5
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs DEX	
<b>≥15 letters gained</b>	73 (58.9%)	22 (18.5%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs DEX	
<b>≥15 letters lost</b>	1 (0.8%)	31 (26.1%)
<b>p value</b>	<0.0001 vs DEX	
<b>CRT (μm)</b>	-376.7±274.9	-168.7±288.3
<b>p value</b>	NR	

**Adverse events****6 months**

	<b>IVR (n=124)</b>	<b>DEX (n=119)</b>
<b>IOP increased</b>	7 (5.6%)	38 (31.9%)
<b>Macular edema</b>	14 (11.3%)	21 (17.6%)
<b>Eye pain</b>	15 (12.1%)	15 (12.6%)
<b>VA reduced</b>	8 (6.5%)	22 (18.5%)
<b>Conjunctival hemorrhage</b>	16 (12.9%)	13 (10.9%)
<b>Vitreous floaters</b>	5 (4.0%)	11 (9.2%)
<b>Iris neovascularization</b>	0 (0.0%)	9 (7.6%)
<b>Dry eye</b>	4 (3.2%)	4 (3.4%)
<b>Glaucoma</b>	0 (0.0%)	8 (6.7%)
<b>Visual impairment</b>	2 (1.6%)	6 (5.0%)

<b>Vitreous detachment</b>	5 (4.0%)	3 (2.5%)
<b>Eye irritation</b>	4 (3.2%)	3 (2.5%)
<b>Retinal ischemia</b>	1 (0.8%)	6 (5.0%)
<b>Retinal vascular disorder</b>	2 (1.6%)	5 (4.2%)
<b>Ocular hypertension</b>	0	6 (5.0%)
<b>Retinal exudates</b>	2 (1.6%)	4 (3.4%)
<b>Optic disc vascular disorder</b>	5 (4.0)	0