BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

NHS GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020488
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	van der Werf, Esther; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Duncan, Lorna; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Von Flotow, Paschen; Sustainable Business Institute (SBI) Baars, Erik; Louis Bolk Instituut; University of Applied Sciences, Care
Primary Subject Heading :	General practice / Family practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases
Keywords:	Antimicrobial resistance, COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE, Integrative Medicine, Antibiotic prescription rate



Esther van der Werf¹, Lorna Duncan¹, Paschen von Flotow², Erik Baars^{3,4}

¹Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, United Kingdom; ² Sustainable Business Institute, Oestrich-Winkel, Germany; ³Louis Bolk Institute, Bunnik, The Netherlands; ⁴University of Applied Sciences Leiden, The Netherlands

Corresponding author

Esther van der Werf

Centre for Academic Primary Care

Population Health Sciences

Bristol Medical School

University of Bristol

- Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road
- Bristol, BS8 2PS
- United Kingdom
- Esther.vanderwerf@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

Objective

To determine differences in antibiotic prescription rates between conventional General Practice (GP) surgeries and GP surgeries employing General Practitioners (GPs) additionally trained in Integrative Medicine (IM) or Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) (referred to as IM GPs) working within NHS England.

Design

Retrospective study on antibiotic prescription rates per STAR-PU (Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weighting Related Prescribing Unit) using NHS digital data over 2016. Publicly available data were used on prevalence of relevant comorbidities, demographics of patient populations and deprivation scores.

Setting

Primary Care

Participants

7283 NHS GP surgeries in England

Primary outcome measure

The association between IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STARPU with the number of antibiotic prescriptions (total, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection separately (RTI/UTI)) as outcome.

Results

IM GPs were comparable to conventional GPs in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation scores and comorbidity prevalences. Despite the very small proportion of NHS IM GPs in England (n=9), negative binomial regression models showed that statistically significantly fewer total antibiotics (RR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.66 – 0.94) and RTI antibiotics (RR 0.73, 95% CI:0.60 – 0.89) were prescribed at IM GP surgeries compared with conventional NHS GP surgeries. In contrast, the number of antibiotics prescribed for UTI were similar between both practices (RR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.91 – 1.17).

Conclusion

Evidence from the IM GP surgeries shows that a further decrease in prescribing in conventional GP surgeries might be possible. However, future research should include the differences in consultation behaviour of patients self-selecting to consult a IM GP or conventional surgery, and its effect on antibiotic prescription. Additional treatment strategies for common primary care infections used by this small number of IM GPs should be explored to see if they could be used to assist in the fight against AMR.

Strengths and limitations

Use of NHS digital data on antibiotic prescription per STARPU provided a comprehensive insight into the prescribing practices of total antibiotics, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection

BMJ Open

separately in conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in Integrative Medicine (IM GPs).

- IM GP surgeries were comparable to conventional GP surgeries in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation scores and comorbidity prevalence.
- Jer

 uswely priva.

 x of data on 1) nt.

 . care.

 Accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice in England is very limited. IM/CAM • provision is currently almost exclusively private in the UK.
- Results are limited by the lack of data on 1) number of consultations, 2) individual deprivation • scores and 3) continuum of care.

Word count

4 Tables

N=3488

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the inappropriate use of antibiotics represent a serious threat to public health internationally.¹ Antibiotics are currently indispensable throughout the healthcare system, and the consequences of AMR, not only in primary care, but also in major surgery and cancer treatment for example, are dire. Fortunately, reductions in antibiotic use have been shown to be associated with a reduction in some resistance², and the reduction in the use of antibiotics, especially in primary care, to control the development of AMR is therefore a pressing national and international priority.¹³

In the UK, 74 percent of antibiotics are prescribed in primary care making this one of the most important contributors to the development of AMR.⁴ Antibiotics are commonly prescribed for respiratory tract infections (RTIs) in adults and children in primary care, and are the reason for 60% of all antibiotic prescribing in general practice in the UK.⁵ Several studies have shown that there is substantial overprescribing of antibiotics for, often viral and self-limiting, RTIs in primary care.⁶⁻⁸ Consequently, there is a large potential to reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs, potentially by using other treatment strategies that do not increase the development or spread of AMR. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common confirmed bacterial infection, with about half of all women experiencing one of more UTIs in their life time. ⁹ Most women with UTIs are currently treated with antibiotics, with longer duration and multiple courses associated with higher AMR rates.^{9 10} However, guidelines indicate that antibiotics should be prescribed for UTIs and overprescribing seems to be much less common than for RTIs¹¹. Consequently, it may be easier to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs than for UTIs in primary care.

There is great variability in the use of antimicrobial medications between countries, with the lowest prescription rates reported in northern European nations, and higher rates in southern Europe and the US. ¹²⁻¹⁴ Variations in the prescription of antibiotics both within and between countries may indicate poor practice with inappropriate use of antibiotics which increases the risk of adverse events for the patient⁹, wastes health care resources¹⁵ and contributes to the rise in antibiotic resistance. ¹³ Previous studies have shown that a complex array of factors influence antibiotic prescribing, which may explain the wide variety of antibiotic usage both at the clinician level and worldwide. The attitudes of both doctor¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and patient¹⁹⁻²¹ are shown to be of major significance in prescribing decisions.

GPs as a professional group are expected to react homogenously to external demands, basing their prescription on objective measures and (local) guidelines. However, it is possible that different views on medicalisation, differences in guidelines between countries and between specialists and the use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM)/integrative medicine (IM)²²⁻²⁴ could result in

BMJ Open

variations in antibiotic prescribing. The association between the use of CAM/IM by GPs and antibiotic prescribing has so far not been widely scrutinised.

The aim of this study is to determine the differences in antibiotic prescription rates between 'conventional GPs' and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in IM/CAM (hereafter referred to as Integrative medicine (IM) GPs), and the association between having an additional training in CAM/ IM and antibiotic prescription (measured as total antibiotics, respiratory tract infection (RTI) specific antibiotics and urinary tract infection (UTI) specific antibiotics) within the NHS in England.

Methods

IM GP surgeries

To identify NHS General Practices employing an IM GP in 2016, two sequential tasks were required. Firstly, IM GPs were identified and then a current working link was made to an NHS General Practice.

(i) Identification of NHS GPs registered with an additional CAM qualification

We included the 'Big 5' CAM therapies as defined in a report by the House of Lords in 2000²⁵ (chiropractic, osteopathy, acupuncture, herbal medicine and homoeopathy); as well as Anthroposophic Medicine (AM). AM is an extension of conventional medicine and incorporates a holistic approach to people and nature and to illness and healing and is established in 80 countries, mostly in Central Europe.²⁶ AM has been included so that future comparison with data in other European countries is possible.

In the UK each of these six therapies are either state regulated (osteopathy and chiropractic) or have voluntary regulation (including a voluntary regulatory body for mainstream healthcare practitioners in the case of acupuncture and homoeopathy). The regulatory bodies were therefore initially approached by email to check the best route of establishing which practitioners on their registers were trained as a conventional GP and trained in IM/CAM as well. Details of the organisations and methods by which IM GPs were identified are indicated in Table 1. Where organisations were not able to provide this information, searches were made of the online registers (between May and June 2017) for the following:

- Location the registers enabled us to search for practitioners either a country (England/ UK) limit or by county (in the latter case, all English counties were checked, including recent boundary changes).
- 2. Qualification in some databases, only healthcare professionals (e.g. practitioners qualified in biomedicine) were included, and GP status was specified. Where this was not the case, titles were given and the absence of the title 'Dr' was used to exclude practitioners from our study. Where qualifications were also identified, practitioners with PhDs but not being a GP could be excluded. And if no additional information was given on the register, online searches were made of the practitioner to establish their professional qualifications. In all cases, putative IM GPs were checked against the General Medical Council (GMC) register, to confirm whether they were currently permitted to work in medical practice in the UK.

(ii) Identification of NHS General Practices employing IM GPs

Practice location(s) were indicated for each GP registrant identified in the CAM registers indicated in Table 1. These workplaces were then checked against both the practice websites and the NHS website (www.nhs.uk), which lists all NHS practices and gives information such as staff lists. This

BMJ Open

acted to confirm the working location of the GP and whether this practice offered provision within NHS England.

Data

Monthly prescribing data was obtained from NHS Digital. NHS Digital collect data and information about a wide range of General Practice (GP) services, for many different organisations and purposes. It also collates all primary care prescribing data. This data is released for monthly download via the NHS Digital website (http://digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue). Data is released at the specific health care provider level and volumes are provided by full British National Formulation code (BNF code). To determine mean antibiotic prescription rates we used the total number of oral antibiotic prescriptions per general practice for the most recent calendar year for which antibiotic prescribing was publicly available via the NHS digital website (Jan 2016 – Dec 2016).

Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weighting Related Prescribing Units (STAR-PU)

STAR-PU have been used as the denominator instead of the number of registered patients as STAR-PUs allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by considering the types of people who will be receiving that treatment. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender category²⁷.

Comorbidities

The prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections, based on the conditions that indicate high-risk patients who qualify for the free seasonal influenza vaccination programme ²⁸, was also measured to identify potential case-mix differences that may explain different antibiotic prescribing rates. General practice-specific prevalence is available for the following high-risk comorbidities via the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) indicators at the NHS digital website: asthma, cancer, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), heart failure and diabetes. We extracted comorbidity prevalence for the financial year 2015-2016.

Indices of multiple deprivation

Previously it has been shown that indices of multiple deprivation are indicators of poor health in a population. Tosas Auguet et al.²⁹ for example, found that more deprived areas are at higher risk of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infection. The most recent index of multiple deprivation was calculated in 2015 and is available from the Department for Communities and Local Government. ³⁰ Deprivation scores are available at a lower-layer super output area (LSOA), which consists of approximately 1,500 residents each. Linkage of the data from NHS Digital was performed using a lookup table from NHS digital. Where a practice served multiple LSOAs, the average deprivation score for that practice was calculated, weighted by the number of patients in each LSOA.

The final dataset included only practices that were present in both the comorbidity and deprivation, and antibiotic prescribing files. We removed outliers based on practice size, since there were some doubts about the validity of this data (e.g. a practice with 157 patients registered). We removed the outer 2% of data based on practice size.

Statistical analysis

All analyses are performed on GP surgery level (hereafter referred to as GPs). Potential differences in antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU between the IM and conventional GPs were evaluated. NHS Digital defines a prescription item as: 'a prescription item is a single supply of a medicine, dressing or appliance written on a prescription form. If a prescription form includes three medicines, it is counted as three prescription items. We tested for between group differences using a random effects meta-analysis model for proportions (R package 'meta'). For continuous variables like the number of STAR-PU per practices Mann-Whitney U tests were used to test for statistically significant differences.

The association between the IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU was assessed using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and the natural logarithm of the number of STAR-PU per practice as an offset. A negative binomial regression model was used as this type of regression model can handle count data (number of antibiotic prescriptions), accounts for differences in the number of antibiotics purely caused by practice size (by including the offset), and can still provide valid results when the variance in antibiotic use does not equal the mean antibiotic use.³¹ Both crude results and results adjusted for additional variables to correct for potential confounding are presented.

We also evaluated associations between IM GPs and antibiotics commonly used for respiratory tract infection (RTI) (amoxicillin, amoxicillin and enzyme inhibitor, ampicillin, clarithromycin, doxycycline, erythromycin, and phenoxymethylpenicillin) and for urinary tract infection (UTI) (cephalexin, cefixime, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, pivmecillinam, and trimethoprim).³²

Results

Identification of NHS IM GPs in England

850 CAM practitioner records were checked against the various CAM registers (Table 1) to identify 21 GPs who are conventionally trained as a GP and also trained in CAM at 19 NHS GP surgeries in England (Table 2). It should be noted that the 850 registrants were not the total numbers of CAM practitioners on the registers, as (as previously described) some registers permitted limiting searches to medical practitioners, or more specifically to medically qualified doctors.

While some practices were publicly working in an IM structure, in others it was unknown whether the identified GP was using IM/CAM or indeed whether or the practice permitted this, as no mention was made of it on the websites (including no reference to privately available CAM clinics). General Practices were therefore classified into two subsets as indicated in Table 2. There were further IM GPs on the register who were either not practicing (or at least not in England), or working in private practice. As any level of IM/CAM activity in subset 2 practices could not be determined, it was decided to exclude these GP surgeries from further analysis. Table 2 also shows the CAM therapies for which each IM GP in our subsequent analysis was registered.

Antibiotic prescription rates

Table 3 presents the baseline characteristic of the NHS IM GP surgeries compared to those characteristic of NHS conventional GPs in England. It also shows the prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections and may consequently influence antibiotic prescribing. The patient populations of both kinds of practices were comparable for most of the listed comorbidities. Statistically significant differences (P<0.05) between the IM GP- and conventional GP surgery patient population were found in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease, cancer and diabetes, although absolute differences were relatively small. No statistical differences (P<0.05) were found in deprivation score between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries.

IM GP surgeries and antibiotic prescription rates

Overall within the NHS in England, the median prescription rates of IM GP surgeries were lower for 'any antibiotic' and for 'RTI specific antibiotic' compared to the rates of the conventional GP surgeries over 2016, while the median prescription rates of 'UTI specific antibiotic' per STAR-PU was comparable for the two groups (Table 4).

The Relative Risks (RR) in table 4 were obtained using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and taking into account differences in practice sizes. Our analysis show that IM GP surgeries were associated with lower prescriptions of 'any antibiotic' (RR: 0.79, 95%C.I: 0.66 – 0.94) and with lower prescriptions of 'RTI specific antibiotic' (RR: 0.73, 95%C.I: 0.60 – 0.89). Patients consulting an IM GP surgery were 21% less likely to get 'any antibiotic' prescription compared to those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. Receiving a RTI

specific antibiotic prescription was 27% less likely among those who consulted an IM GP surgery compared with those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. No statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was found in the number of prescriptions of UTI specific antibiotic prescriptions per STAR-PU between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries within the NHS in England.

Adjustment for deprivation score or diabetes resulted in virtually identical results. For 'any antibiotic' if adjusted for deprivation score the RR for IM GP surgeries remains virtually identical RR: 0.78 (95% CI: 0.64 - 0.97), and for diabetes RR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.65 - 0.99). This was the case regardless of which confounder was added to the model, however adjusting for multiple potential confounders was not possible due to the small number of cases.

tor oper texter only

BMJ Open

Discussion

There were 7274 conventional NHS General Practices included in our analyses. This puts into sharp focus the very small number of General Practitioners with an additional training in IM or CAM identified working in NHS General Practice in England. Despite the very small proportion of IM GP surgeries, our data shows that significantly fewer total and RTI antibiotics per STAR-PU were prescribed at IM GP surgeries compared to conventional GP surgeries within NHS England. No statistically significant differences were found in median prescription rate of 'UTI specific antibiotic' per STAR-PU between the two kind of NHS GP surgeries.

Our study shows that accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice is very limited, which limited the number of IM GPs included in our analysis. IM/CAM provision is currently almost exclusively private in the UK, which could be at least partly linked to the austerity measures currently imposed in the UK possibly resulting in NHS IM/CAM provision being withdrawn at a local level in recent years (and imminently nationally). It was seen on practice websites that there was a noticeable amount of 'private' CAM provision available at several NHS surgeries by non-GP CAM practitioners – in weekly clinics for example. However, these surgeries have not been included in our analysis as this study specifically aimed to determine the differences in antibiotic prescribing between conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries staffing GPs who were additionally trained in IM/CAM.

The small proportion of NHS IM GPs in England asks for careful interpretation of the results. We found that IM GP surgeries prescribed significantly fewer total- and RTI antibiotics compared to conventional NHS GP surgeries. Accounting for one other variable (e.g. deprivation or diabetes) did not change our results, however, due to the low number of cases it was not possible to similarly account for more variables.

Our data is also limited by the lack of information on 1) number of consultations, 2) individual deprivation scores and 3) continuum of care. Firstly, consultation rates might explain most of the variation in antibiotic prescribing. Using the current data, it is not clear whether patients consulting at IM GP surgeries consulted less in general. If this were the case, they may be equally likely to receive antibiotics when they do consult their GP. Besides, previous studies show that the consultation rate is also dependent on the previous likelihood to receive antibiotics for a RTI ³³⁻³⁵. Future studies should therefore include consultation behaviour/number of consultations as a confounding factor. Furthermore, no statistically significant differences were found in deprivation score on practice level. However, this may be partly because deprivation is area-based and not based on the individuals registered at the different practices. Finally, the data used for our analysis is based on the number of antibiotic 'prescriptions', which may differ from the numbers of antibiotics 'consumed' and does not include information on the continuum of care of patients (e.g. hospital

admissions/re-consultation). Future studies using clinical practice data taking continuum of care into account are warranted.

The lower antibiotic prescription rates of IM GPs are in line with the current national guidance aimed at reducing antibiotic usage and AMR.¹. IM GPs might possible comply more closely with this guidance. However, the difference found could also be partly explained by the fact that patients who consult IM GPs might demand less for antibiotics, and that GPs in IM surgeries have other avenues to offer to patients than antibiotics or that they are more confident to delay prescriptions and to assert themselves against the wishes of those patients who appear to want antibiotics. Our results are in line with a yet unpublished pilot study in The Netherlands in which the prescription of antimicrobials for systemic use in 23 unselected anthroposophic GP surgeries was compared to the national mean GP figures for the years 2012 - 2014. On average AM GPs in the Netherlands prescribed less antimicrobials: -13% (2012), -10% (2013) and -7% (2014).

Despite the differences we found in RTI antibiotics, no statistical significant differences were found in UTI antibiotics prescription rates between the two kind of NHS GP surgeries. This might reflect current UK GP clinical guidance. For the majority of RTIs it is recommended that antibiotics should be avoided or delayed, so that this is an area where the desired reduction in prescribing could take place. In the case of UTIs, antibiotics are advised more readily. ³² For several RTIs, including common colds, sore throat, sinusitis and acute bronchitis, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that antibiotics provide no, or negligible benefit compared to placebo³⁶⁻³⁹. As such, symptom management with paracetamol, ibuprofen or the use of CAM therapies for RTIs may safely reduce antibiotic prescribing among patients with a low risk for pneumonia. A recent RCT comparing ibuprofen with fosfomycin treatment for UTIs indicates that it may be more difficult to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for UTIs using a similar approach.⁴⁰

Attitudes of GPs to IM/CAM are extremely important for it to remain available within the NHS. A 2015 study of these attitudes in England, showed that, despite demand for CAM amongst the general public, GPs remain concerned about its limited evidence base as well as the lack of regulation of CAM practitioners. Nevertheless, those questioned continue to see a role for CAM in clinical practice.⁴¹ The impact which any one IM GP could have in terms of antibiotic prescribing may vary hugely between practices partly depending on their status at the practice - as a partner or a salaried employee for example, or as a full-time or part-time worker. In the presented analysis we did not include NHS GP practices that are offering NHS IM/CAM provision by a 'non-GP NHS CAM practitioner' or private IM/CAM practitioner. However, having even one CAM contact within a surgery might give the possibility for others to experience CAM perspectives either formally or informally from them, and for long-held attitudes to be perhaps modified.

In line with Hawker et al ⁴², our results suggest that a further decrease in prescribing in conventional surgeries might be possible. It may be that advice should be sought from this small number of

BMJ Open

Although this study found only a small number of NHS CAM practitioners working in England, the differences seen in prescribing rates at the IM GP surgeries warrant further study. In addition, analysis in comparison with other countries are indicated. As the clear majority of CAM practitioners (mainly non-GPs) work privately in England, there is also potential for research into non-antibiotic strategies in private practice, and to analysis of how these practitioners work with their patients' NHS GPs in this regard. However, as patients who self-select to consult IM GPs might be less likely to demand antibiotics, differences in lifestyle and the 'tranferabilty of lifestyle skills' need to be taken into account as well in future study design.

Contributor ship statement

- Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB •
- Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; EvdW/LD •
- Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB •
- Final approval of the version to be published: EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB •
- Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to • the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved: EvdW/LD/PvF/EB ier s

Competing interests

None declared

Funding

This research was supported in part by an unrestricted grant of WALA Heilmittel GmbH, Germany.

Data sharing statement

Data are available through NHS digital

Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the statistical support of Dr Koen Pouwels (Senior Mathematical/Economic Modeller)

60

1

References

- 1. Public Health England, English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). https://phe-newsroomprgloocom/resources/espaur-report-2016, 2016a.
- 2. McNulty CA, Nichols T, French DP, et al. Expectations for consultations and antibiotics for respiratory tract infection in primary care: the RTI clinical iceberg. Br J Gen Pract 2013;63(612):e429-36. doi: 10.3399/bjgp13X669149 [published Online First: 2013/07/10]
- 3. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9
- 4. Public health England. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). London, 2016.
- 5. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract 2006;56(524)
- 6. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26]
- 7. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04]
- 8. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. . Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7.
- 9. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096
- 10. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:i939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17]
- 11. England Ph. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017.
- 12. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. The Lancet 2005;365(9459):9.
- 13. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x
- 14. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2007;44(8):5.
- 15. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27(3):11.
- 16. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36
- 17. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect *Dis* 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]
- 18. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, et al. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 2013;41(3):203-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.09.003
- 19. Gualano MR, Gili R, Scaioli G, et al. General population's knowledge and attitudes about antibiotics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2015;24(1):2-10. doi: 10.1002/pds.3716 [published Online First: 2014/09/25]
- 20. Linder JA, Singer DE. Desire for Antibiotics and Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults with Upper Respiratory Tract Infections. J Gen Intern Med 2003;18:7.

0	
1	21. Moro ML, Marchi M, Gagliotti C, et al. Why do paediatricians prescribe antibiotics? Results of an
1 2	Italian regional project. BMC Pediatr 2009;9:69. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-9-69 [published
3	Online First: 2009/11/10]
4	22. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Begaud B, Rossignol M, et al. Management of upper respiratory tract
5	infections by different medical practices, including homeopathy, and consumption of
6	antibiotics in primary care: the EPI3 cohort study in France 2007-2008. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014;9(3):e89990. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089990
7 8	23. Hamre HJ, Glockmann A, Schwarz R, et al. Antibiotic Use in Children with Acute Respiratory or
8 9	Ear Infections: Prospective Observational Comparison of Anthroposophic and Conventional
10	Treatment under Routine Primary Care Conditions. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med
11	2014;2014:243801. doi: 10.1155/2014/243801
12	24. Taylor JA, Jacobs J. Homeopathic Ear Drops as an Adjunct in Reducing Antibiotic Usage in
13	Children With Acute Otitis Media. Glob Pediatr Health 2014;1:2333794X14559395. doi:
14	10.1177/2333794X14559395 [published Online First: 2014/01/01]
15 16	25. House of Lords. Science and Technology - Sixth Report. In: Lords Ho, ed., 2000.
17	26. Kienle GS, Albonico HU, Baars E, et al. Anthroposophic medicine: an integrative medical system
18	originating in europe. <i>Glob Adv Health Med</i> 2013;2(6):20-31. doi: 10.7453/gahmj.2012.087
19	[published Online First: 2014/01/15] 27. http://content.digital.nhs.uk/gpprescribingdata. [
20	28. Public Health England. Influenza: the Green Book, Chapter 192015.
21	29. Tosas Auguet O, Betley JR, Stabler RA, et al. Evidence for Community Transmission of
22 23	Community-Associated but Not Health-Care-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus
23	Aureus Strains Linked to Social and Material Deprivation: Spatial Analysis of Cross-sectional
25	Data. PLoS Med 2016;13(1):e1001944. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001944 [published
26	Online First: 2016/01/27]
27	30. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015.
28	2015
29	31. Hilbe JM. Negative Binomial Regression: Cambridge University Press 2012.
30 31	32. McNulty CA. Management of infection guidance for primary care for consultation and local
32	adaptation: Public Health England 2017. 33. Ashworth M, Charlton J, Ballard K, et al. Variations in antibiotic prescribing and consultation
33	rates for acute respiratory infection in UK general practices 1995-2000. Br J Gen Pract
34	2005;55(517):603-8. [published Online First: 2005/08/18]
35	34. Little P, Gould C, Williamson I, et al. Reattendance and complications in a randomised trial of
36	prescribing strategies for sore throat: the medicalising effect of prescribing antibiotics. BMJ
37 38	1997;315(7104):350-2. [published Online First: 1997/08/09]
30 39	35. Moore M, Little P, Rumsby K, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing strategies and an information
40	leaflet on longer-term reconsultation for acute lower respiratory tract infection. Br J Gen
41	<i>Pract</i> 2009;59(567):728-34. doi: 10.3399/bjgp09X472601 [published Online First:
42	2009/10/22]
43	36. Kenealy T, Arroll B. Antibiotics for the common cold and acute purulent rhinitis. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> 2013(6):CD000247. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000247.pub3 [published
44	Online First: 2013/06/05]
45 46	37. Little P, Stuart B, Moore M, et al. Amoxicillin for acute lower-respiratory-tract infection in
40 47	primary care when pneumonia is not suspected: a 12-country, randomised, placebo-
48	controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13(2):123-9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70300-6
49	[published Online First: 2012/12/26]
50	38. Smith SM, Fahey T, Smucny J, et al. Antibiotics for acute bronchitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
51	2017;6:CD000245. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000245.pub4 [published Online First:
52	2017/06/20]
53 54	39. Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
54 55	2013(11):CD000023. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000023.pub4 [published Online First:
56	2013/11/06]
57	
58	15
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

- 40. Gagyor I, Bleidorn J, Kochen MM, et al. Ibuprofen versus fosfomycin for uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2015;351:h6544. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h6544 [published Online First: 2015/12/25]
- 41. Jarvis A, Perry R, Smith D, et al. General practitioners' beliefs about the clinical utility of complementary and alternative medicine. Prim Health Care Res Dev 2015;16(3):246-53. doi: 10.1017/S146342361400022X [published Online First: 2014/06/04]
- r m ki Birdis ki di Trends Jo. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku. 42. Hawker JI, Smith S, Smith GE, et al. Trends in antibiotic prescribing in primary care for clinical

1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
19 20 21 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39 40	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58 50	

CAM specialism	Organisation	Website	Method for extracting
			GP information
Osteopathy	General Osteopathic	www.osteopathy.org.uk	Search of online
	Council		database
Chiropractic	General Chiropractic	www.gcc-uk.org	GCC staff identified GP
	Council (GCC)		registrants
Acupuncture	British Medical	www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk	Search of online
	Acupuncture Council		database
Herbal	College of Practitioners	www.thecpp.uk	CPP staff identified GP
Medicine	of Phytotherapy		registrants
	National Institute of	www.nimh.org.uk	NIMH staff identified
	Medical Herbalists		GP registrants
	The Register of Chinese	www.rchm.co.uk	Search of online
	Herbal Medicine in the		database
	UK		
	The United Register of	www.urhp.com	Search of online
	Herbal Practitioners		database
	The Association of	www.atcm.co.uk	Search of online
	Chinese Medicine and		database
	Acupuncture UK		
Homeopathy	British Homeopathic	www.britishhomeopathic.org	Search of online
	Association		database
	Faculty of Homeopathy	www.facultyofhomeopathy.org	Search of online
			database
Anthroposophic	Anthroposophic Health,	www.ahasc.org.uk	Search of online
Medicine	Education and Social		database
	Care Movement	<u> </u>	

1 2	
3 4	
5 6	
7 8	
9 10	
11	
12	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
17	
18 19	
20 21	
22	
23 24 25 26	
26 27	
27 28 29	
30	
31 32	
33 34 35 36	
35 36	
37 38	
39 40	
41 42	
43 44	
44 45 46	
47	
48 49	
50 51	

Table 2. IM GP surgeries subdivision	(based on website information)

	Apparent level of CAM practice	CAM (n)
Subset 1 (N=9)	General Practices where an integrative medicine (IM) approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4)	Homeopathy (1) Anthroposophic Medicine (2) Acupuncture (3)
	General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5)	Homeopathy (1) Acupuncture (4)
Subset 2 (N=10)	General practices mention a CAM therapy in the listing of the special interests of the GP, but no other information is given and it is unclear whether the GP practises this CAM therapy at	
	that site	

Table 3. Baseline characteristics of included NHS GP surgeries

	Conventional GP surgery	IM/CAM GP surgery (Subset 1) ^S
	median (25 th -75 th percentile)	median (25 th -75 th percentile)
	n=7274	n=9
Number of registered patients	6698 (4162-9942)	7088 (4037-9534)
Male (%)	49.7 (48.8-50.9)	49.3 (46.9-49.4)
Aged 0-17y (%)	20.5 (18.5-23.0)	21.2 (18.7-22.0)
Aged 18-64y (%)	61.2 (58.7-64.2)	61.9 (60.3-62.4)
Aged 65+ y (%)	17.2 (12.3-21.4)	18.2 (14.0-18.4)
STAR-PU**	3705 (2276-5599)	3716 (2315-5382)
Coronary heart disease (%)	3.3 (2.5-4.0)	2.8 (2.1-3.1)*
Heart failure (%)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	0.5 (0.4-0.8)
Asthma (%)	6.0 (4.9-6.7)	5.1 (4.8-6.2)
COPD (%)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	1.0 (0.8-2.0)
Cancer (%)	2.4 (1.7-3.0)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)*
Chronic kidney disease (%)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)	1.9 (1.2-2.9)
Diabetes (%)	5.4 (4.5-6.2)	3.9 (2.9-4.6)*
Deprivation score	0.27 (0.11-0.63)	0.36 (0.15-0.48)

⁵ Subset 1: General Practices where an integrative medicine approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4) **and** General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5);

*p<0.05;

** STAR-PU stands for 'oral antibacterials item based Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender category by the relevant STAR-PU weights (see methods section for detailed explanation on STAR-PUs).

MJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on August 20, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 4. Median antibiotic prescription rates and Relative Risk (RR) of prescribing antibiotics

rable 4. Median antibiotic prescription rates and Relative Risk (RR) of prescriping antibiotics			
	Any antibiotic/ STAR-PU ^{\$} Median (25 th -75 th percentile)	RTI antibiotic/ STAR-PU ^{\$} Median (25 th -75 th percentile)	UTI antibiotic/ STAR-PU ^{\$} Median (25 th -75 th percentile)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	1.01 (0.86 – 1.17)	0.56 (0.46 – 0.67)	0.22 (0.17 – 0.26)
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.79 (0.73 – 0.91)*	0.44 (0.37 – 0.48)*	0.21 (0.19 – 0.23)
	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.78 (0.64 – 0.97)*	0.74 (0.59 – 0.94)*	0.91 (0.72 – 1.17)

⁵ This rate is obtained by dividing the total number of antibiotics prescribed by the number of STAR-PU registered. *pc0.05

	BMJ O
	2
	õ
	ĕ
	≓.
	<u>Irs</u>
-	ē
	<u>d</u>
	blisł
	hed as
	a D
	ა
	10.1136/bmjopen-
	1
	δ
	ġ
•	<u>⊇</u> .
	ğ
	Ú.
	8
	ublished as 10.1136/bmiopen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018. Downlc
	ģ
	õ
	ğ
	õ
	⊃
	ဖ
	S S
	ถิ
	ר⊂ א
	ğ
	œ
	Z
	₹
	등
	ăd
	ed
	Ŧ
	Ĕ
	₽
	đ
	om http://bmic
	₫.
	8
	en.
	ğ
•	<u>⊐</u> .
	8
	ヺ
	9
	⊵
¢	ğ
	ŝ
	2
	2
	ğ
	ω
	Ş
C	2
	sel
	÷
	5
	ŧ
	Cte
	ă
,	<
	8
	N
¢	ī
	Ę.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstrac Page:2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
		Page:2
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
		Page:4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page:5
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
,		Page:6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
-		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Page:6, 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study-Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		Page:6, 7
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
x7 · 11		controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8*	Page:7 For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
	0	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
measurement		is more than one group
		Page:7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
		Page:7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
-		Page:6,7,8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Page:8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding

1	
2 3	
5 4	
4 5	
5 6	
0	
7 8	
o 9	
9 10	
11 12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17 18	
10	
20	
21 22	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

		Page:8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		Page:8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
		sampling strategy
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,
i unicipanto	10	examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and
		analysed
		Page:6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		Page:6
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Decerintive	14*	
Descriptive data	14.	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders
uata		
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 1, Table 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
		Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 3, Table 4
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and
		why they were included
		Page:9, 10
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningfu
		time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
		Page: 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
		Page: 11
	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicit
Interpretation		
Interpretation		of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence

For peer review only - http://bmjopen?bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

	RM
	Ş
-	Р <u>р</u>
ç	Tret I
	iche
ŝ	בֿ ע
-	shed as 10 1136/h
-	113
ç	6/hr
-jop	nion
<u> </u>	3/hminnen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018
-	217
Ċ	5
	048
<u>c</u>	2 C C
ā	0
	Mar
-	5
5	018
	2
	wnl
	שלים
Ì	5
	3
inder.	http://hmin
Ċ	
	2
5	<u>3</u> .
9	3
ίų β	
2	<u>v</u>
1 , 1	э v
	r c C
2	Ş
gaar	
-	÷ ₽
0.00	of Pr
	PD
ζ,	2
2000	nnv
Ğ	rinh
5	÷

Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Page: 12, 13
Other informatio	on	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable for the original study on which the present article is based Page: 13
	-	rately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and hort and cross-sectional studies.
published example available on the W	es of t Veb sit s.org/,	and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freel tes of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is e-statement.org.
		e-statement.org.
	For p	peer review only - http://bmjopen?bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Do NHS GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates? Retrospective cross-sectional analysis of national primary care prescribing data in England in 2016.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020488.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	van der Werf, Esther; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Duncan, Lorna; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Von Flotow, Paschen; Sustainable Business Institute (SBI) Baars, Erik; Louis Bolk Instituut; University of Applied Sciences, Care
Primary Subject Heading :	General practice / Family practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases
Keywords:	Antimicrobial resistance, COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE, Integrative Medicine, Antibiotic prescription rate

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2	1	Do NHS GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary
3	2	Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates? Retrospective cross-sectional analysis of
4	3	national primary care prescribing data in England in 2016.
5 6	4	
7	5	Esther van der Werf ¹ , Lorna Duncan ¹ , Paschen von Flotow ² , Erik Baars ^{3,4}
8 9	6	1
10	7	¹ Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, United Kingdom; ²
11	8	Sustainable Business Institute, Oestrich-Winkel, Germany; ³ Louis Bolk Institute, Bunnik, The
12 13	9	Netherlands; ⁴ University of Applied Sciences Leiden, The Netherlands
14	10	
15 16	11	Corresponding author
10	12	Esther van der Werf
18	13	Centre for Academic Primary Care
19 20	14	Population Health Sciences
20	15	Bristol Medical School
22	16	University of Bristol
23 24	17	Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road
25	18	Bristol, BS8 2PS
26 27	19	United Kingdom
27 28	20	Esther.vanderwerf@bristol.ac.uk
29	21	
30 31	22	
32	23	
33		
34 35		
36		
37 38		University of Bristol Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road Bristol, BS8 2PS United Kingdom Esther.vanderwerf@bristol.ac.uk
39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
50 57		
58		1
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	1	
1 2	2	Abstract
3	3	
4 5	4	Objective
6		-
7	5	To determine differences in antibiotic prescription rates between conventional General Practice (GP)
8 9	6	surgeries and GP surgeries employing General Practitioners (GPs) additionally trained in Integrative
10	7	Medicine (IM) or Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) (referred to as IM GPs) working
11	8	within NHS England.
12 13	9	Design
14	10	Retrospective study on antibiotic prescription rates per STAR-PU (Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex
15	11	weighting Related Prescribing Unit) using NHS digital data over 2016. Publicly available data were
16 17	12	used on prevalence of relevant comorbidities, demographics of patient populations and deprivation
18	13	scores.
19 20	14	Setting
20 21	15	Primary Care
22	16	Participants
23	17	7283 NHS GP surgeries in England
24 25	18	Primary outcome measure
26	19	The association between IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU with the number of
27	20	antibiotic prescriptions (total, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection separately (RTI/UTI)) as
28 29	21	outcome.
30	22	Results
31 32	23	IM GPs were comparable to conventional GPs in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation scores
33	23	and comorbidity prevalence. A negative binomial regression models showed that statistically
34	24	significantly fewer total antibiotics (RR: 0.78, 95% CI:0.64 – 0.97) and RTI antibiotics (RR 0.74, 95% CI:
35 36		0.59 – 0.94) were prescribed at NHS IM GP surgeries compared with conventional NHS GP surgeries.
37	26	
38	27	In contrast, the number of antibiotics prescribed for UTI were similar between both practices (RR:
39 40	28	0.91, 95% CI: 0.72 – 1.17).
41	29	Conclusion
42 42	30	NHS England GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary
43 44	31	Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates. Accessibility of IM/CAM within NHS England
45	32	primary care is limited. Main study limitation is the lack of consultation data. Future research should
46	33	include the differences in consultation behaviour of patients self-selecting to consult a IM GP or
47 48	34	conventional surgery, and its effect on antibiotic prescription. Additional treatment strategies for
49	35	common primary care infections used by IM GPs should be explored to see if they could be used to
50	36	assist in the fight against AMR.
51 52	37	
53	38	Strengths and limitations
54 55	39	Use of NHS digital data on antibiotic prescription per STAR-PU provided a comprehensive insight
55 56	40	into the prescribing practices of total antibiotics, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection
57		
58 50		2

59

RMI Open

Page 3 of 24	BMJ Open
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 separately in conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in Integrative Medicine (IM GPs). IM GP surgeries were comparable to conventional GP surgeries in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation scores and comorbidity prevalence. Accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice in England is very limited. IM/CAM provision is currently almost exclusively private in the UK. Results are limited by the lack of data on 1) number of consultations, 2) individual GP characteristics, 3) individual deprivation scores and 4) continuum of care. Word count
14 15	11 N= 3796
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	10 Word count 11 N= 3796 12 4 Tables 13
58	3
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the inappropriate use of antibiotics represent a serious threat to public health internationally.¹ Antibiotics are currently indispensable throughout the healthcare system, and the consequences of AMR, not only in primary care, but also in major surgery and cancer treatment for example, are dire. Fortunately, reductions in antibiotic use have been shown to be associated with a reduction in some resistance², and the reduction in the use of antibiotics, especially in primary care, to control the development of AMR is therefore a pressing national and international priority.¹³

In the UK, 74 percent of antibiotics are prescribed in primary care making this one of the most important contributors to the development of AMR.⁴ NICE guidelines on respiratory tract infection⁵ (RTI) management advise that a no antibiotic prescribing strategy or a delayed antibiotic prescribing strategy should be considered for patients with the following conditions: acute otitis media, acute sore throat/acute pharyngitis/acute tonsillitis, common cold, acute rhinosinusitis and acute cough/acute bronchitis. Nevertheless, antibiotics are commonly prescribed for RTIs in adults and children in primary care, and are the reason for 60% of all antibiotic prescribing in general practice in the UK.⁶ Several studies have shown that there is substantial overprescribing of antibiotics for, often viral and self-limiting, RTIs in primary care.⁷⁻⁹ Consequently, there is a large potential to reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs, potentially by using other treatment strategies that do not increase the development or spread of AMR. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common confirmed bacterial infection, with about half of all women experiencing one of more UTIs in their life time.¹⁰ Most women with UTIs are currently treated with antibiotics, with longer duration and multiple courses associated with higher AMR rates.^{11 12} For example, the NICE guideline on uncomplicated UTIs in women advise offering symptom relief and an antibiotic to all women with a suspected urinary tract infection. It states that for a woman with mild symptoms who has normal immunity, normal renal function, and a normal renal tract, treatment can be delayed if the patient wishes to see if symptoms will resolve without treatment. For all other women treatment needs to start without delay.¹³ Therefore, overprescribing seems to be much less common for UTIs than for RTIs.¹⁴ Consequently, it may be easier to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs than for UTIs in primary care.

There is great variability in the use of antimicrobial medications between countries, with the lowest prescription rates reported in northern European nations, and higher rates in southern Europe and the US. ¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Variations in the prescription of antibiotics both within and between countries may indicate poor practice¹⁸ with inappropriate use of antibiotics which increases the risk of adverse events for the patient¹², wastes health care resources¹⁹ and contributes to the rise in antibiotic resistance.¹⁶ Previous studies have shown that a complex array of factors influence antibiotic prescribing, which may explain the wide variety of antibiotic usage both at the clinician level and

BMJ Open

worldwide. The attitudes of both doctor²⁰⁻²² and patient²³⁻²⁵ are shown to be of major significance in
 prescribing decisions.

2 presci

> GPs as a professional group are expected, following the principles of evidence based medicine, to apply best available evidence to patient's individual situation, within the framework of national and local funding and administrative guidance. Prescription style (measured as the prevalence of prescriptions per GP) is found to be an important factor in the variation in antibiotic prescribing behaviour.¹⁸ Underlying factors for this finding might be differing views on medicalisation, differences in guidelines between countries and between specialists and knowledge and use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM)/integrative medicine (IM).²⁶⁻²⁸ The association between the knowledge/use of CAM/IM by GPs and antibiotic prescribing has so far not been widely scrutinised.

> The aim of this study is to determine the differences in antibiotic prescription rates between 'conventional GPs' and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in IM/CAM (hereafter referred to as Integrative medicine (IM) GPs), and the association between having (staff with) an additional training in CAM/ IM and antibiotic prescription (measured as total antibiotics, respiratory tract infection (RTI) specific antibiotics and urinary tract infection (UTI) specific antibiotics) within the NHS in England.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2 Methods

4 IM GP surgeries

To identify NHS General Practices employing an IM GP in 2016, two sequential tasks were required. Firstly, IM GPs were identified and then a current working link was made to an NHS General Practice.

(i) Identification of NHS GPs registered with an additional CAM qualification

9 We included the 'Big 5' CAM therapies as defined in a report by the House of Lords in 2000²⁹ 10 (chiropractic, osteopathy, acupuncture, herbal medicine and homoeopathy); as well as 11 Anthroposophic Medicine (AM). AM is an extension of conventional medicine and incorporates a 12 holistic approach to people and nature and to illness and healing and is established in 80 countries, 13 mostly in Central Europe.³⁰ AM has been included so that future comparison with data in other 14 European countries is possible.

In the UK each of these six therapies are either state regulated (osteopathy and chiropractic) or have voluntary regulation (including a voluntary regulatory body for mainstream healthcare practitioners in the case of acupuncture and homoeopathy). The regulatory bodies were therefore initially approached by email to check the best route of establishing which practitioners on their registers were trained as a conventional GP and trained in IM/CAM as well. Details of the organisations and methods by which IM GPs were identified are indicated in Table 1. Where organisations were not able to provide this information, searches were made of the online registers (between May and June 2017) for the following:

- Location the registers enabled us to search for practitioners either on a nationwide (England/
 UK) basis or by county (in the latter case, all English counties were checked, including recent
 boundary changes).
 - 2. Qualification - in some databases, only healthcare professionals (e.g. practitioners qualified in biomedicine) were included, and GP status was specified. Where this was not the case, titles were given and the absence of the title 'Dr' was used to exclude practitioners from our study. Where gualifications were also identified, practitioners with PhDs but not being a GP could be excluded. And if no additional information was given on the register, online searches were made of the practitioner to establish their professional qualifications. In all cases, putative IM GPs were checked against the General Medical Council (GMC) register, to confirm whether they were currently permitted to work in medical practice in the UK.

35 (ii) Identification of NHS General Practices employing IM GPs

Practice location(s) were indicated for each GP registrant identified in the CAM registers indicated in
Table 1. These workplaces were then checked against both the practice websites and the NHS
website (<u>www.nhs.uk</u>), which lists all NHS practices and gives information such as staff lists. This

BMJ Open

acted to confirm the working location of the GP and whether this practice offered provision within
 NHS England.
 3

4 Data

Monthly prescribing data was obtained from NHS Digital. NHS Digital collect data and information about a wide range of General Practice (GP) services, for many different organisations and purposes. It also collates all primary care prescribing data. This data is released for monthly download via the NHS Digital website (http://digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue). Data is released at the specific health care provider level and volumes are provided by full British National Formulation code (BNF code). To determine mean antibiotic prescription rates, we used the total number of oral antibiotic prescriptions per general practice for the most recent calendar year for which antibiotic prescribing was publicly available via the NHS digital website (Jan 2016 – Dec 2016).

14 Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weighting Related Prescribing Units (STAR-PU)

STAR-PU have been used as the denominator instead of the number of registered patients as STAR-PUs allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by considering the types of people who will be receiving that treatment. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender category.³¹

20 Comorbidities

The prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections, based on the conditions that indicate high-risk patients who qualify for the free seasonal influenza vaccination programme³², was also measured to identify potential case-mix differences that may explain different antibiotic prescribing rates. General practice-specific prevalence is available for the following high-risk comorbidities via the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) indicators at the NHS digital website: asthma, cancer, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), heart failure and diabetes. We extracted comorbidity prevalence for the financial year 2015-2016.

30 Indices of multiple deprivation

Previously it has been shown that indices of multiple deprivation are indicators of poor health in a population. Tosas Auguet et al.³³ for example, found that more deprived areas are at higher risk of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infection. The most recent index of multiple deprivation was calculated in 2015 and is available from the Department for Communities and Local Government.³⁴ Deprivation scores are available at a lower-layer super output area (LSOA), which consists of approximately 1,500 residents each. Linkage of the data from NHS Digital was performed using a lookup table from NHS digital. Where a practice served multiple LSOAs, the average deprivation score for that practice was calculated, weighted by the number of patients in each LSOA.

The final dataset included only practices that were present in both the comorbidity and deprivation, and antibiotic prescribing files. We removed outliers based on practice size, since there were some doubts about the validity of this data (e.g. a practice with 157 patients registered). We removed the outer 2% of data based on practice size.

6 Statistical analysis

All analyses are performed on GP surgery level (hereafter referred to as GPs). Potential differences in antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU between the IM and conventional GPs were evaluated. NHS Digital defines a prescription item as: 'a prescription item is a single supply of a medicine, dressing or appliance written on a prescription form. If a prescription form includes three medicines, it is counted as three prescription items. We tested for between group differences using a random effects meta-analysis model for proportions (R package 'meta'). For continuous variables like the number of STAR-PU per practices Mann-Whitney U tests were used to test for statistically significant differences.

The association between the IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU was assessed using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and the natural logarithm of the number of STAR-PU per practice as an offset. A negative binomial regression model was used as this type of regression model can handle count data (number of antibiotic prescriptions), accounts for differences in the number of antibiotics purely caused by practice size (by including the offset), and can still provide valid results when the variance in antibiotic use does not equal the mean antibiotic use.³⁵ Both crude results and results adjusted for additional variables to correct for potential confounding are presented.

We additionally evaluated associations between IM GPs and antibiotics commonly used for respiratory tract infection (RTI) (amoxicillin, amoxicillin and enzyme inhibitor, ampicillin, clarithromycin, doxycycline, erythromycin, and phenoxymethylpenicillin) and for urinary tract infection (UTI) (cephalexin, cefixime, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, pivmecillinam, and trimethoprim).³⁶

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 Results

2 Identification of NHS IM GPs in England

850 CAM practitioner records were checked against the various CAM registers (Table 1) to identify
21 GPs who are conventionally trained as a GP and also trained in CAM at 19 NHS GP surgeries in
England (Table 2). It should be noted that the 850 registrants were not the total numbers of CAM
practitioners on the registers, as (as previously described) some registers permitted limiting searches
to medical practitioners, or more specifically to medically qualified doctors.

While some practices were publicly working in an IM structure, in others it was unknown whether the identified GP was using IM/CAM or indeed whether or the practice permitted this, as no mention was made of it on the websites (including no reference to privately available CAM clinics). General Practices were therefore classified into two subsets as indicated in Table 2. There were further IM GPs on the register who were either not practicing (or at least not in England), or working in private practice. As any level of IM/CAM activity in subset 2 practices could not be determined, it was decided to exclude these GP surgeries from further analysis. 9 NHS IM GP surgeries (urban (N=6), semi-urban (N=2) and semi-rural (N=1)) were included in the analysis. Table 2 also shows the CAM therapies for which each IM GP in our subsequent analysis was registered.

19 Antibiotic prescription rates

In total 7283 NHS England General Practices (N conventional=7217/ N IMGPs= 9) were included in the analyses. Table 3 presents the baseline characteristic of the NHS IM GP surgeries compared to those characteristic of NHS conventional GPs in England. It also shows the prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections and may consequently influence antibiotic prescribing. The patient populations of both kinds of practices were comparable for most of the listed comorbidities. Statistically significant differences (P<0.05) between the IM GP-and conventional GP surgery patient population were found in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease, cancer and diabetes, although absolute differences were relatively small. No statistical differences (P<0.05) were found in deprivation score between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries.

IM GP surgeries and antibiotic prescription rates

Overall within the NHS in England, the median prescription rates of IM GP surgeries were lower for 'any antibiotic' and for 'RTI specific antibiotic' compared to the rates of the conventional GP surgeries over 2016, while the median prescription rates of 'UTI specific antibiotic' per STAR-PU was comparable for the two groups (Table 4).

The Relative Risks (RR) in table 4 were obtained using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and taking into account differences in practice sizes. Our analysis show that IM GP surgeries were associated with lower prescriptions of 'any antibiotic' (RR: 0.78, 95% CI:0.64 – 0.97) and with lower prescriptions of 'RTI specific antibiotic' (RR:

0.74, 95% C.I: 0.59 – 0.94*). Patients consulting an IM GP surgery were 22% less likely to get 'any antibiotic' prescription compared to those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. Receiving a RTI specific antibiotic prescription was 26% less likely among those who consulted an IM GP surgery compared with those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. No statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was found in the number of prescriptions of UTI specific antibiotic prescriptions per STAR-PU between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries within the NHS in England.

Adjustment for deprivation score or diabetes resulted in virtually identical results. For 'any antibiotic' if adjusted for deprivation score the RR for IM GP surgeries remains virtually identical RR: 0.78 (95% CI: 0.64 - 0.97), and for diabetes RR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.65 - 0.99). This was the case regardless of which confounder was added to the model, however adjusting for multiple potential confounders was not possible due to the small number of cases.

Jt possee.

1	1	Discussion
2	2	
3 4	3	There were 7283 NHS England General Practices included in our analyses. Despite the very small
5	4	proportion of IM GP surgeries, our data show that significantly fewer 'total antibiotics' and 'RTI
6 7	5	specific antibiotics' per STAR-PU were prescribed at IM GP surgeries compared to conventional GP
8	6	surgeries within NHS England over 2016. No statistically significant differences were found in median
9	7	prescription rates of 'UTI specific antibiotics' per STAR-PU in the two kinds of NHS GP surgeries.
10 11	8	
12	9	This is the first study of retrospectively prescribed antibiotic prescribing in primary care in England
13	10	with a specific focus on the possible association between the knowledge/use of CAM/IM by GPs
14 15	10	within the NHS and antibiotic prescribing. However, the small proportion of NHS IM GPs in England
16	12	asks for careful interpretation of the results. Accounting for one other variable (e.g. deprivation or
17	12	diabetes) did not change our results, but, due to the low number of cases it was not possible to
18 19		
20	14	similarly account for more variables.
21 22	15	
22 23	16	Lack of information on the number of consultations is the main limitation of this study. Consultation
24	17	rates may explain most of the variation in antibiotic prescribing, and with the data used in this study,
25 26	18	it is not clear whether patients consulting at IM GP surgeries consulted less in general. If this were
20	19	the case, they may be equally likely to receive antibiotics when they do consult their GP. Besides,
28	20	previous studies show that the consultation rate is also dependent on the previous likelihood to
29 30	21	receive antibiotics for a RTI. ³⁷⁻³⁹ Future studies should therefore include consultation
31	22	behaviour/number of consultations as a confounding factor.
32	23	
33 34	24	Other study limitations that need to be taken into account when interpreting the results are the lack
35	25	of information on 1) individual deprivation scores, 2) individual GP characteristics and 3) continuum
36	26	of care. Firstly, no statistically significant differences were found in deprivation score on practice
37 38	27	level. However, this may be partly because deprivation scores are area-based and not based on the
39	28	individuals registered at the different practices. Secondly, our analyses are at GP practice level and
40 41	29	include information on GP practice characteristics, such as list size and population. Data on GP
41	30	characteristics on individual level are not part of NHS digital data and are therefore not included in
43	31	our analysis. However, these GP characteristics may partly explain the variation in antibiotic
44 45	32	prescribing. ⁴⁰⁻⁴² Finally, the data used for our analysis is based on the number of antibiotic
46	33	'prescriptions', which may differ from the numbers of antibiotics 'consumed' and does not include
47	34	information on the continuum of care of patients (e.g. hospital admissions/re-consultation). Future
48 49	35	studies using clinical practice data taking continuum of care into account are warranted.
50		
51	36	The lower antibiotic prescription rates of IM GPs are in line with the current national guidance aimed
52 53	37	at reducing antibiotic usage and AMR. ¹ IM GPs might possible comply more closely with this
54	38	guidance. However, the difference found could also be partly explained by the fact that patients who
55	39	consult IM GPs might demand less for antibiotics, and that GPs in IM surgeries have other avenues
56 57		
58		11
59		11

60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

to offer to patients than antibiotics or that they are more confident to delay prescriptions and to assert themselves against the wishes of those patients who appear to want antibiotics. Our results are in line with a yet unpublished pilot study in The Netherlands in which the prescription of antimicrobials for systemic use in 23 unselected anthroposophic GP surgeries was compared to the national mean GP figures for the years 2012 – 2014. On average AM GPs in the Netherlands prescribed less antimicrobials: -13% (2012), -10% (2013) and -7% (2014) (unpublished data).

8 Despite the differences we found in RTI antibiotics, no statistically significant differences were found 9 in UTI antibiotics prescription rates between the two kinds of NHS GP surgeries. Although it should 10 be borne in mind that the use of prescribing data to infer the type of infection may be prone to 11 errors, our finding reflects current UK GP clinical guidance.

For the majority of RTIs it is recommended that antibiotics should be avoided or delayed, so that this is an area where the desired reduction in prescribing could take place. In the case of UTIs, antibiotics are advised more readily.³⁶ For several RTIs, including common colds, sore throat, sinusitis and acute bronchitis, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that antibiotics provide no, or negligible benefit compared to placebo⁴³⁻⁴⁶. As such, symptom management with paracetamol, ibuprofen or the use of CAM therapies proven to be effective and safe for RTIs may safely reduce antibiotic prescribing among patients with a low risk for pneumonia. A recent RCT comparing ibuprofen with fosfomycin treatment for UTIs indicates that it may be more difficult to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for UTIs using a similar approach.⁴⁷

 Our study shows that accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice is very limited, and this limited the number of IM GPs included in our analysis. IM/CAM provision is currently almost exclusively privately provided in the UK, which could be at least partly linked to the austerity measures currently imposed in the UK possibly resulting in NHS IM/CAM provision being withdrawn at a local level in recent years (and imminently nationally). It was seen on practice websites that there was a noticeable amount of 'private' CAM provision available at several NHS surgeries by non-GP CAM practitioners - in weekly clinics for example. However, these surgeries have not been included in our analysis as this study specifically aimed to determine the differences in antibiotic prescribing between conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries staffing GPs who were additionally trained in IM/CAM.

Attitudes of GPs to IM/CAM are extremely important for it to remain available within the NHS. A 2015 study of these attitudes in England, showed that, despite demand for CAM amongst the general public, GPs remain concerned about its limited evidence base as well as the lack of regulation of CAM practitioners. Nevertheless, those questioned continue to see a role for CAM in clinical practice.⁴⁸ The impact which any one IM GP could have in terms of antibiotic prescribing may vary hugely between practices partly depending on their status at the practice - as a partner or a salaried employee for example, or as a full-time or part-time worker. In the presented analysis we did not include NHS GP practices that are offering NHS IM/CAM provision by a 'non-GP NHS CAM

BMJ Open

practitioner' or private IM/CAM practitioner. However, having even one CAM contact within a surgery might give the possibility for others to experience CAM perspectives either formally or informally from them, and for long-held attitudes to be perhaps modified. Additionally, it would be of interest to explore if patients may be independently accessing IM GPs in the private sector and then seeking antibiotics from non-IM GPs in the NHS. In line with Hawker et al⁴⁹, our results suggest that a further decrease in prescribing in conventional surgeries might be possible. It may be that advice should be sought from this small number of

surgeries to establish whether their daily clinical practice may differ from other surgeries and whether this could be used to assist others in the fight against AMR.

Although this study found only a small number of NHS CAM practitioners working in England, the differences seen in prescribing rates at the IM GP surgeries warrant further study. In addition, analysis in comparison with other countries are indicated. As the clear majority of CAM practitioners (mainly non-GPs) work privately in England, there is also potential for research into non-antibiotic strategies in private practice, and to analysis of how these practitioners work with their patients' NHS GPs in this regard. However, as patients who self-select to consult IM GPs might be less likely to demand antibiotics, differences in lifestyle and the 'transferability of lifestyle skills' need to be taken into account as well in future study design.

18	
19	Contributor ship statement
20	 Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
21	 Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; EvdW/ LD
22	• Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
23	 Final approval of the version to be published: EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
24	• Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to
25	the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved:
26	EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
27	
28	Competing interests
29	None declared
30	
31	Funding
32	This research was supported in part by an unrestricted grant of WALA Heilmittel GmbH, Germany.
33	
34	Data sharing statement
35	Data are available through NHS digital
36	
37	Acknowledgement
	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36

1	1	The authors gratefully acknowledge the statistical support of Dr Koen Pouwels (Senior
2	2	Mathematical/Economic Modeller)
3 4	3	
5	4	
6	4	
7		
8		
9 10		
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
10		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
29		
30		
31 32		
33		
34		
35		
36 37		
38		
39		
40		
41 42		
42		
44		
45		
46 47		
47 48		
49		
50		
51		
52 52		
53 54		
55		
56		
57		
58 59		14
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

 References Public Health English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). Intos://phe-newsroomprolocom/resources/espaur-report-2016, 2016a. Butler CC, Dunstan F, Heighnbhotm M, et al. Containing antibiotic resistance decreased antibiotic-resistance collform uninary tract infections with reduction in antibiotic prescription by general practices. <i>Br J Gen Proct</i> 2007;57(6):3785-92. [ubilished Online First: 2007/10/11] Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. <i>The Lancet Infectious Diseases</i> 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-3 Alegliand H, English Surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance [SPAUR]. London, 2016. S. MCE: Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections: in adults and children in primary care, 2008. Indbase KM, Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and ottis media. <i>Br J Gen Proct</i> 2005;56(234) Obekter AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult 2015;54(24) Plositrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergid biases and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Chinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1).7. Diologi/ampa/Conc Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JMM</i> 2016;352(1):401-7. doi: 10.1001/jmma.2016;4151 [ubilished Online First: 2015/04/26] Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergid biases and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Chinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1).7. Di Fourana B. Epidemiology of uninary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic casts. <i>Di Man</i> 2003;40(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/nda 2003.7 Herech M, Uane F, et al. Cloba			
 (ESPAUR). https://phe.newsroompreflocom/resources/espaur-report.2016.2016a. Butler CC, Dunstar, F. Herghohbom, M. et al. Containing antibibitic resistance decreased antibibitic-resistant coliform uninary tract infections with reduction in antibibitic prescribing by general practices. Br J Gen Pract 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/170318-9 Lamimarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibibitic resistance — the ned for global solutions. The Lancet infectious Diseases 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9 England PH. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). London, 2016. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibibitics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibibitis to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract 2006;56(524) Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract infections in sot prominent in adult patients. Fom Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampar/arw019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] B. Fleming Outar KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.1451 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitiation in Steiner school children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. D. Forman B. Epidemiology of uninary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Mon 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1136/bm1(2:2067)/ddl J. Brycek A, Hya AD, Lane F, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in turin	1	1	References
 Buller CC, Durstan F, Heginbothom M, et al. Containing antibiotic resistance: discussed antibiotic resistant coliform urinary tract infections with reduction in antibiotic prescribing by general practices. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/11] Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. <i>The Longent Physical Sci</i> 2013;21(2):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9 England PH. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). London, 2016. S. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care. 2008. C. Indbaek M, Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2005;65(524) Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Pm Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/Jampa70mV19 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Fleixing H, Syeöe. Bay, Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. Flöixing H, Syeö. Bay, Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. Jo Kown and E, Epidemiloug of urinary trat infections. Incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Jo Kown and E, Epidemiloug of urinary tract infection science of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Eschericha coll and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340(2):32(9):49. Costelloe C, Metcalffe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on	2	2	1. Public Health England. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance
 resistant colliform urinary tract infections with reduction in antibiotic prescribing by general practices. Br J Gen Pract 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/11] Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance — the need for global solutions. The Loncet Infectious Diseases 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9 England PH. English surveillance programme for antihiprobal utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). London, 2016. SNECE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/Jampra/Crm019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Elemidase M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract 2006;56(524) Dekker AR, Verhej TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract infections: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/Jampra/Crm019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Fleming-Dutra K, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions A mong US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1010/Jama.2016.1415 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Floming-Dutra K, Hersh L, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions A mong US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.101/Jama.2016.1415 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Floming-Quand Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. Borce A, Hay AD, Lenn E, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic prescribing in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;20:99.401: 10.1136/bmi/3039 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Borce A, Hay AD, Lenn E, et al. Clobal prevalence Antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance	3	3	(ESPAUR). <u>https://phe-newsroomprgloocom/resources/espaur-report-2016</u> , 2016a.
 practices. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/11] J. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. <i>The Longet Infections Diseases</i> 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9 England PH. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR). London, 2016. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fom Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/lampra/mov191 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Dekker AK, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fom Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/lampra/mov191 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Fleming Dutra KK, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. AMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2015/05/04] Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. Do Koman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(12):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Ibryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coll and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:393. doi: 10.1136/mj.393 Costello C, Metcafe C, Loverraf, A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance: in addibiotic wore of antibiotic pres		4	2. Butler CC, Dunstan F, Heginbothom M, et al. Containing antibiotic resistance: decreased antibiotic-
 J. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. <i>The</i> <i>Lancet infectious Discusse</i> 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9 J. England PH. English suvellance programme for antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. S. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2005;56(524) Dekker AR, Verhej TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patience of inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JAMA</i> 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2015/07/04] Fleising H, F. Sofe. Bay, Allergi disease and sensitization in Steiner school children <i>Journal of Allergy and Chincial Immunology</i> 2006;117(1);7. Forston B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Man</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 I. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coil and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:3939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] I. Sotelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459)-9. Lostelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 200		5	resistant coliform urinary tract infections with reduction in antibiotic prescribing by general
 Londin Burger, Nock Y, Hransen G, Lin Mandauer LS, Mark Y, Stark M, Sta		6	practices. Br J Gen Pract 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/11]
 Linker Myetrobio Designation of the set of		7	3. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. The
 a. England Th. Englan Survemance programme to a minimicrobial durbation and resistance (EPRON). b. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. c. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and ottis media. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2005;56(524) D. Bekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fam Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1039/fampra/cm039 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] F. Beming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JAMA</i> 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/fama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] p. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children . <i>Journal of Allergy and Chincal Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. D. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Man</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections (curinary stematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:139. doi: 10.1136/bmij.939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcalfie C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:2096. doi: 10.1136/bmij.2096 NICCL Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). H. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: for consultation and loxed adaptation, 2017. <		8	Lancet Infectious Diseases 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9
 London, 2016. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. Lindbase M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2006;56(524) Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fom Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JAMA</i> 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.10101/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Flöistrup H, S9-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. Forsman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Leyce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:393. doi: 10.1136/bmj/B39 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340: 2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2096 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in 1uly). Rossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic us in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2009;155 Suppl 3		9	4. England PH. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR).
 I. S. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-infiniting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008. G. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract 2005;65(524) T. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: more prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1033/fampra/mor019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] F. Flenning-Dutta KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;51(2):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] P. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. D. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Man 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1036/fmda.2003.7 D. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Man 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1036/fmda.903.8/s. BMJ 2016;53:21:939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costello C, Metcaff C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2096 NICC. Urinary tract infection (Incer) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). H. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-		10	London, 2016.
 Infections in adults and Chudren in primary Care, 2008. G. Lindback M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract 2006;56(524) T. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] F. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. Journal of Allergy and Chincal Immunology 2006;117(1):7. Io. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Mon 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 I. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;33:21:939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcaffe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in Individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340::2005. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2095 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). Last revised in July). Lossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient systemic Antibiotic guidance for primary care: for consultation and local adaptation, 2017. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibiacterial Use in 2007;44(8)		11	5. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract
 Lindback M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and othis media. Br J Gen Pract 2005;56(524) Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Flöistrup H, Sp-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children Journal of Allergy and Chincal Immunology 2006;117(1):7. Forman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Man 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Forwan B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Man 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmi.239 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Lostelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmi.c2096 NICE. Urinary tract infection [lower] - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). Heubic health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiot		12	infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008.
 2006;56(24) 7. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fam Proct</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] 8. Fleming-Dutra KK, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JAMA</i> 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/C4] 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemuty tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Man</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.136/bmj/1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 21. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;240(c:2006. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2206 21. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in Juby). 33. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in Juby). 34. 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 34. 15. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study		13	6. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract
 7. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory tract indications: most prominent in aduit patients. <i>Fam Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampa/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] 8. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children <i>Journal of Allergy and Chinocal Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1007/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMI</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 21. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 21. SI. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 34. 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient ant attibiotic us in Europe and association with resistance: a ross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;355(9459):9. 16. Goosens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 20		14	
 fract indications: most prominent in adult patients. <i>Fam Pract</i> 2015;32(4):401-7. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] 8. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. <i>JAMA</i> 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmji393 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metraffe C, Lovering A, et al. [Ffect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 16. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5.		15	
 10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26] 8. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 21. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;355(9459):9. 16. Goosens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect 2005</i>;355(9459):9. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam P</i>			
 8. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children <i>Journal of</i> <i>Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;525:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metcaffe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Freech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. The <i>Lancet</i> 2005;356(9459):9. 16. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across			
 Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner School children. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. D. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Dis Mon 2003;40(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:1339. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 S. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). H. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-antional database study. The Lancet 2005;365(9459):9. Goosens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x Goosens H, Anteroch M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across is countries. BMC Fam Pract 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224.y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Prescribing style and va			
 10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04] 9. Flöistrup H, S9-56. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006;117(1):7. 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: for consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goosens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretion			
 9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. 10. Forwan B. E. Fjölemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 22. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goossens H, Arttbiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;165(9459);9. 16. Goossens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;155 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibiacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224/ plublished Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et			
 Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7. IO. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcaffe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:2296. doi: 10.1136/bmj.22096 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). Soosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;355(9459):9. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;355(9459):9. Goossens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2007;44(8):5. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;7(3):11. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPS. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.109			
 10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. <i>Dis Mon</i> 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbial Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across is countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Ca			
 Dis Mon 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7 I1. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:i939. doi: 10.1136/bmi/i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. Scordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224v, [published Online First: 2015/01/30] Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study o	24		
 11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:i939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood</i>) 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation	25		
 tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:1939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-Y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7.	26	25	
 primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:i939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). Last revised in July). Soosens H, Perech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbial Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 Teiekeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' at			
 28 10.1136/bmj.1939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17] 29 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 31 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 34 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 36 36 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 37 36 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 30 31 40 31. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2007;44(8):5. 38 30 31. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-Y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 49 46 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 30 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Farnily Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 31 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Farnily Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 32 10. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians'			
 29 12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 21 NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 21 Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 21 S. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 21 G. Goossens H, Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 21 Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2007;44(8):5. 22 Cordyba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-Y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 23 Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPS. <i>Farmily Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ</i> 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;61:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPS. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;11:3:6-14. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 21 2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096 22 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 23 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 23 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 24 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 25 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 26 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 21. Feixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Milwod) 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.103/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015 (Last revised in July). 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.11186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPS. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.103/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 33 (Last revised in July). 34 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 35 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 38 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 40 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 34 14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 36 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 38 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 40 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 41 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 46 49 46 19. Sirrovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 48 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 50 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			· · · · · · ·
 35 for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017. 36 15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. <i>The Lancet</i> 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 36 36 36 37 38 36 36 37 38 36 36 37 37 38 36 36 37 37 38 36 36 36 37 37 38 36 36 36 37 36 36 37 36 37 36 38 36 37 38 39 30 31 31 32 33 34 35 35 36 37 36 37 37 38 39 30 31 31 32 32 34 35 35 36 37 36 37 36 37 37 38 39 30 31 31 32 36 37 <			
 39 30 31 32 33 34 35 35 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 38 38 39 37 38 30 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 38 37 37 30 37 31 36 36 37 36 37 37 36 37 37 38 39 30 30 31 31 32 32 34 35 35 36 36 37 37 38 39 30 31 31 32 32 34 34 35 35 36 37 36 37 36 37 37 38 39 30 31 31 32 32 34 34 35 35 36 37 36 37 36 37 37 38 39 30 31 31 <			
 association with resistance: a cross-national database study. The Editer 2005;365(9459):9. 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Inject</i> 2009;15 Suppl 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 2007;44(8):5. 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect</i> <i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 39 3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x 40 17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 41 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 42 2007;44(8):5. 43 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic 47 44 prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 48 45 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 49 46 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care 48 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in 52 49 prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 53 50 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning 54 51 antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect</i> 55 52 <i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 			
 40 41. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in 44 41 2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 42 2007;44(8):5. 43 44 45 46 45 46 47 44 45 46 45 46 46 47 48 45 46 47 48 45 40 41 41 41 42 42 44 45 46 47 48 45 40 46 47 48 45 40 49 46 49 46 40 41 41 42 43 44 44 45 46 47 48 45 47 49 46 47 48 49 46 49 40 40 41 41 41 42 43 44 45 44 45 46 47 48 45 49 46 40 41 41 41 42 44 45 46 47 48 49 49 40 40 41 41 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 49 40 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 42 43 44 45 45 46 47 48 49 49 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 42 45 45 45 46 47 48 49 49 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 42			
44422007;44(8):5.454318. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic4744prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. BMC Fam Pract48452015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30]494619. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care5047Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27(3):11.514820. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in5249prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36535021. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]5657	43		
 18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. <i>BMC Fam Pract</i> 2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30] 19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11. 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect</i> <i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 	44		
4744prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. BMC Fam Pract48452015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30]494619. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care5047Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27(3):11.514820. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in5249prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36535021. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]56575815	45		
48452015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30]494619. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care5047Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27(3):11.514820. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in5249prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36535021. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]56575815	46		
 49 46 47 47 48 49 47 48 49 49 47 48 49 49 49 40 40 40 41 41 42 43 44 44 45 46 47 48 49 40 49 40 49 40 40 41 41 41 42 43 44 44 45 49 44 45 49 44 46 47 49 46 49 40 40 41 41 41 42 43 44 <			
5047Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. Health Aff (Millwood) 2008;27(3):11.514820. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in5249prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36535021. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]56575815			
 48 20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in 49 prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36 50 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning 51 antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect</i> 55 52 <i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 56 57 58 			
5249prescribing among GPs. Family Practice 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36535021. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]56575815			
 53 50 21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning 54 51 antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. <i>BMC Infect</i> 55 52 <i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 56 57 58 			
5451antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect5552Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]56575815			
55 52 Dis 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10] 56 57 58 15			
56 57 58			
57 58 15		52	<i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]
58 15			
15			
			15

	1	22. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, et al. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing
1	2	behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. International Journal of Antimicrobial
2 3	3	Agents 2013;41(3):203-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.09.003
4	4	23. Gualano MR, Gili R, Scaioli G, et al. General population's knowledge and attitudes about
5	5	antibiotics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf
6	6	2015;24(1):2-10. doi: 10.1002/pds.3716 [published Online First: 2014/09/25]
7	7	24. Linder JA, Singer DE. Desire for Antibiotics and Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults with Upper
8	8	Respiratory Tract Infections. J Gen Intern Med 2003;18:7.
9	9	25. Moro ML, Marchi M, Gagliotti C, et al. Why do paediatricians prescribe antibiotics? Results of an
10	10	Italian regional project. BMC Pediatr 2009;9:69. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-9-69 [published
11	11	Online First: 2009/11/10]
12	12	26. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Begaud B, Rossignol M, et al. Management of upper respiratory tract
13	13	infections by different medical practices, including homeopathy, and consumption of
14 15	14	antibiotics in primary care: the EPI3 cohort study in France 2007-2008. PLoS One
15 16	15	2014;9(3):e89990. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089990
10	16	27. Hamre HJ, Glockmann A, Schwarz R, et al. Antibiotic Use in Children with Acute Respiratory or
18	17	Ear Infections: Prospective Observational Comparison of Anthroposophic and Conventional
19	18	Treatment under Routine Primary Care Conditions. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med
20	19	2014;2014:243801. doi: 10.1155/2014/243801
21	20	28. Taylor JA, Jacobs J. Homeopathic Ear Drops as an Adjunct in Reducing Antibiotic Usage in
22	21	Children With Acute Otitis Media. <i>Glob Pediatr Health</i> 2014;1:2333794X14559395. doi:
23	22	10.1177/2333794X14559395 [published Online First: 2014/01/01]
24	23	29. House of Lords. Science and Technology - Sixth Report. In: Lords Ho, ed., 2000.
25	24	30. Kienle GS, Albonico HU, Baars E, et al. Anthroposophic medicine: an integrative medical system
26	25	originating in europe. <i>Glob Adv Health Med</i> 2013;2(6):20-31. doi: 10.7453/gahmj.2012.087
27	26	[published Online First: 2014/01/15]
28	27	31. http://content.digital.nhs.uk/gpprescribingdata.
29	28	32. Public Health England. Influenza: the Green Book, Chapter 192015.
30	29	33. Tosas Auguet O, Betley JR, Stabler RA, et al. Evidence for Community Transmission of
31 32	30	Community-Associated but Not Health-Care-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus
32 33	31	Aureus Strains Linked to Social and Material Deprivation: Spatial Analysis of Cross-sectional
33 34	32	Data. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2016;13(1):e1001944. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001944 [published
35	33	Online First: 2016/01/27]
36	34	34. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015.
37	35	2015
38	36	35. Hilbe JM. Negative Binomial Regression: Cambridge University Press 2012.
39	37	36. McNulty CA. Management of infection guidance for primary care for consultation and local adaptation: Public Health England 2017.
40	38 39	
41	39 40	37. Ashworth M, Charlton J, Ballard K, et al. Variations in antibiotic prescribing and consultation rates for acute respiratory infection in UK general practices 1995-2000. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i>
42	40 41	2005;55(517):603-8. [published Online First: 2005/08/18]
43	41	38. Little P, Gould C, Williamson I, et al. Reattendance and complications in a randomised trial of
44	42	prescribing strategies for sore throat: the medicalising effect of prescribing antibiotics. BMJ
45	43	1997;315(7104):350-2. [published Online First: 1997/08/09]
46	44	39. Moore M, Little P, Rumsby K, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing strategies and an information
47 48	46	leaflet on longer-term reconsultation for acute lower respiratory tract infection. Br J Gen
48 49	40	<i>Pract</i> 2009;59(567):728-34. doi: 10.3399/bjgp09X472601 [published Online First:
50	48	2009/10/22]
51	49	40. Brookes-Howell L, Hood K, Cooper L, et al. Understanding variation in primary medical care: a
52	50	nine-country qualitative study of clinicians' accounts of the non-clinical factors that shape
53	51	antibiotic prescribing decisions for lower respiratory tract infection. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2012;2(4) doi:
54	52	10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000796 [published Online First: 2012/08/25]
55	53	41. Butler CC, Verheij T, Little P, et al. Variation in antibiotic prescribing and its impact on recovery in
56	54	patients with acute cough in primary care: prospective study in 13 countries. <i>BMJ</i> 2009;338
57	. .	
58		16
59		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
60		Tor peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidennes.xhtml

BMJ Open

1	1	42. Silverman M, Povitz M, Sontrop JM, et al. Antibiotic Prescribing for Nonbacterial Acute Upper
2	2	Respiratory Infections in Elderly Persons. Ann Intern Med 2017;166(11):765-74. doi:
3	3	10.7326/M16-1131 [published Online First: 2017/05/12]
4	4	43. Kenealy T, Arroll B. Antibiotics for the common cold and acute purulent rhinitis. Cochrane
5	5	Database Syst Rev 2013(6):CD000247. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000247.pub3 [published
6	6	Online First: 2013/06/05]
7	7	44. Little P, Stuart B, Moore M, et al. Amoxicillin for acute lower-respiratory-tract infection in
8	8	primary care when pneumonia is not suspected: a 12-country, randomised, placebo-
9	9	controlled trial. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):123-9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70300-6
10	10	[published Online First: 2012/12/26]
11	11	45. Smith SM, Fahey T, Smucny J, et al. Antibiotics for acute bronchitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
12	12	2017;6:CD000245. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000245.pub4 [published Online First:
13	13	2017/06/20]
14	14	46. Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
15	15	2013(11):CD000023. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000023.pub4 [published Online First:
16	16	2013/11/06]
17	17	47. Gagyor I, Bleidorn J, Kochen MM, et al. Ibuprofen versus fosfomycin for uncomplicated urinary
18	18	tract infection in women: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2015;351:h6544. doi:
19 20	19	10.1136/bmj.h6544 [published Online First: 2015/12/25]
20 21	20	48. Jarvis A, Perry R, Smith D, et al. General practitioners' beliefs about the clinical utility of
21	21	complementary and alternative medicine. Prim Health Care Res Dev 2015;16(3):246-53. doi:
22	22	10.1017/S146342361400022X [published Online First: 2014/06/04]
24	23	49. Hawker JI, Smith S, Smith GE, et al. Trends in antibiotic prescribing in primary care for clinical
25	24	syndromes subject to national recommendations to reduce antibiotic resistance, UK 1995-
26	25	2011: analysis of a large database of primary care consultations. J Antimicrob Chemother
27	26	2014;69(12):3423-30. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku291 [published Online First: 2014/08/06]
28		
29	27	
30	28	
31	20	
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37 38		
30 39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56 57		
57		
58 59		17
72		

1 Table 1. Organisations from which details of training in IM/CAM of GPs were obtained

ation Osteopathic Chiropractic (GCC) Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	Website www.osteopathy.org.uk www.gcc-uk.org www.gcc-uk.org www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk www.thecpp.uk www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com www.atcm.co.uk	Method for extracting GP information Search of online database GCC staff identified GI registrants Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online database
Chiropractic (GCC) Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.gcc-uk.org www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	Search of online database GCC staff identified GI registrants Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
Chiropractic (GCC) Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.gcc-uk.org www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	database GCC staff identified GI registrants Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
(GCC) Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	GCC staff identified GI registrants Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
(GCC) Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	registrants Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
Medical cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	Search of online database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
cture Council of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.thecpp.uk www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	database CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
of Practitioners otherapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	CPP staff identified GF registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
therapy I Institute of Herbalists sister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.nimh.org.uk www.rchm.co.uk www.urhp.com	registrants NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
l Institute of Herbalists ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.rchm.co.uk	NIMH staff identified GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
Herbalists ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.rchm.co.uk	GP registrants Search of online database Search of online
ister of Chinese Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.urhp.com	Search of online database Search of online
Medicine in the ted Register of Practitioners ociation of	www.urhp.com	database Search of online
Practitioners ociation of		Search of online
Practitioners ociation of		
Practitioners ociation of		
	www.atcm.co.uk	uuuuuuuu
Madiain		Search of online
Medicine and		database
cture UK		
Iomeopathic	www.britishhomeopathic.org	Search of online
tion		database
of Homeopathy	www.facultyofhomeopathy.org	Search of online
		database
osophic Health,	www.ahasc.org.uk	Search of online
on and Social 📏		database
ovement		

Culture 1	Apparent level of CAM practice	CAM (n)
Subset 1 (N=9)	General Practices where an integrative medicine (IM) approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4)	Homeopathy (1) Anthroposophic Medicine (Acupuncture (3)
	General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5)	Homeopathy (1) Acupuncture (4)
Subset 2 (N=10)	General practices mention a CAM therapy in the listing of the special interests of the GP, but no other information is given and it is unclear whether the GP practises this CAM therapy at that site	
	19	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on August 20, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 3. Baseline characteristics of included NHS GP surgeries

	Conventional GP surgery	IM/CAM GP surgery (Subset 1) ⁵
	median (25 th -75 th percentile)	median (25 th -75 th percentile)
	n=7274	n=9
Number of registered patients	6698 (4162-9942)	7088 (4037-9534)
Male (%)	49.7 (48.8-50.9)	49.3 (46.9-49.4)
Aged 0-17y (%)	20.5 (18.5-23.0)	21.2 (18.7-22.0)
Aged 18-64y (%)	61.2 (58.7-64.2)	61.9 (60.3-62.4)
Aged 65+ y (%)	17.2 (12.3-21.4)	18.2 (14.0-18.4)
STAR-PU**	3705 (2276-5599)	3716 (2315-5382)
Coronary heart disease (%)	3.3 (2.5-4.0)	2.8 (2.1-3.1)*
Heart failure (%)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	0.5 (0.4-0.8)
Asthma (%)	6.0 (4.9-6.7)	5.1 (4.8-6.2)
COPD (%)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	1.0 (0.8-2.0)
Cancer (%)	2.4 (1.7-3.0)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)*
Chronic kidney disease (%)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)	1.9 (1.2-2.9)
Diabetes (%)	5.4 (4.5-6.2)	3.9 (2.9-4.6)*
Deprivation score	0.27 (0.11-0.63)	0.36 (0.15-0.48)

⁵ Subset 1: General Practices where an integrative medicine approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4) and General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5);

*p<0.05;

** STAR-PU stands for 'oral antibacterials item based Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing

Units. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender

category by the relevant STAR-PU weights (see methods section for detailed explanation on STAR-PUs).

Page 21 of 24

1	Table 4. Median antibiotic prescription rates and Relative Risk (RR) of prescribing antibiotics in primary care England over 2016			
2	England Over 2016			
		Any antibiotic/	RTI antibiotic/	UTI antibiotic/
		STAR-PU ^S	STAR-PU ^{\$}	STAR-PU ^{\$}
		Median	Median	Median
		(a=th ==th	(arth arth	conthineth

	(25 th -75 th percentile)	(25 th -75 th percentile)	(25 th -75 th percentile)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	1.01 (0.86 – 1.17)	0.56 (0.46 – 0.67)	0.22 (0.17 – 0.26)
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.79 (0.73 – 0.91)*	0.44 (0.37 – 0.48)*	0.21 (0.19 – 0.23)
	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.78 (0.64 – 0.97)*	0.74 (0.59 – 0.94)*	0.91 (0.72 – 1.17)

^{\$}This rate is obtained by dividing the total number of antibiotics prescribed by the number of STAR-PU registered. Fividing .

*p<0.05

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		Page:2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
		Page:2
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
-		Page:4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
		Page:5
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
		Page:6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Page:6, 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		Page:6, 7
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
		Page:7
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group
		Page:7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Diab		Page:7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
-		Page:6,7,8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
	-	describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Page:8

For peer review only - http://bmjopen1bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

		Page:8 (<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		Page:8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls wa addressed
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account sampling strategy
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		<u> </u>
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,
		examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and
		analysed
		Page:6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		Page:6
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information
data		on exposures and potential confounders
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 1, Table 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
		Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 3, Table 4
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for an
		why they were included
		Page:9, 10
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningf
		time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
		Page: 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
		Page: 11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicit
		of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
		Page: 11, 12

	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
			Page: 12, 13
Other information			
	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,
			for the original study on which the present article is based
			Page: 13

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen?bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Do NHS GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates? Retrospective cross-sectional analysis of national primary care prescribing data in England in 2016.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020488.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	van der Werf, Esther; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Duncan, Lorna; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School Von Flotow, Paschen; Sustainable Business Institute (SBI) Baars, Erik; Louis Bolk Instituut; University of Applied Sciences, Care
Primary Subject Heading :	General practice / Family practice
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases
Keywords:	Antimicrobial resistance, COMPLEMENTARY MEDICINE, Integrative Medicine, Antibiotic prescription rate

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 2	1	Do NHS GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary
3	2	Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates? Retrospective cross-sectional analysis of
4	3	national primary care prescribing data in England in 2016.
5 6	4	
7	5	Esther van der Werf ¹ , Lorna Duncan ¹ , Paschen von Flotow ² , Erik Baars ^{3,4}
8 9	6	1
10	7	¹ Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, United Kingdom; ²
11	8	Sustainable Business Institute, Oestrich-Winkel, Germany; ³ Louis Bolk Institute, Bunnik, The
12 13	9	Netherlands; ⁴ University of Applied Sciences Leiden, The Netherlands
14	10	
15 16	11	Corresponding author
10	12	Esther van der Werf
18	13	Centre for Academic Primary Care
19 20	14	Population Health Sciences
20	15	Bristol Medical School
22	16	University of Bristol
23 24	17	Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road
25	18	Bristol, BS8 2PS
26 27	19	United Kingdom
27 28	20	Esther.vanderwerf@bristol.ac.uk
29	21	
30 31	22	
32	23	University of Bristol Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Road Bristol, BS8 2PS United Kingdom Esther.vanderwerf@bristol.ac.uk
33		
34 35		
36		
37 38		
30 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
50 57		
58		1
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	1	
1 2	1	
3	2	Abstract
4	3	
5 6	4	Objective
7	5	To determine differences in antibiotic prescription rates between conventional General Practice (GP)
8	6	surgeries and GP surgeries employing General Practitioners (GPs) additionally trained in Integrative
9 10	7	Medicine (IM) or Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) (referred to as IM GPs) working
11	8	within NHS England.
12	9	Design
13 14	10	Retrospective study on antibiotic prescription rates per STAR-PU (Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex
15	11	weighting Related Prescribing Unit) using NHS digital data over 2016. Publicly available data were
16	12	used on prevalence of relevant comorbidities, demographics of patient populations and deprivation
17 18	13	scores.
19	14	Setting
20	15	Primary Care
21 22	15	Participants
23		
24	17	7283 NHS GP surgeries in England
25 26	18	Primary outcome measure
20	19	The association between IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU with the number of
28	20	antibiotic prescriptions (total, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection separately (RTI/UTI)) as
29 30	21	outcome.
30 31	22	Results
32	23	IM GPs (N=9) were comparable to conventional GPs in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation
33 24	24	scores and comorbidity prevalence. A negative binomial regression models showed that statistically
34 35	25	significantly fewer total antibiotics (RR: 0.78, 95% CI:0.64 – 0.97) and RTI antibiotics (RR 0.74, 95% CI:
36	26	0.59 – 0.94) were prescribed at NHS IM GP surgeries compared with conventional NHS GP surgeries.
37 38	27	In contrast, the number of antibiotics prescribed for UTI were similar between both practices (RR:
39	28	0.91, 95% CI: 0.72 – 1.17).
40	29	Conclusion
41 42	30	NHS England GP surgeries employing GPs additionally trained in Integrative or Complementary
42 43	31	Medicine have lower antibiotic prescribing rates. Accessibility of IM/CAM within NHS England
44	32	primary care is limited. Main study limitation is the lack of consultation data. Future research should
45 46	33	include the differences in consultation behaviour of patients self-selecting to consult a IM GP or
40 47		
48	34	conventional surgery, and its effect on antibiotic prescription. Additional treatment strategies for
49 50	35	common primary care infections used by IM GPs should be explored to see if they could be used to
50 51	36	assist in the fight against AMR.
52	37	
53 54	38	Strengths and limitations
54 55	39	Use of NHS digital data on antibiotic prescription per STAR-PU provided a comprehensive insight
56	40	into the prescribing practices of total antibiotics, and for respiratory- and urinary tract infection
57		
58 50		2

59

Page 3 of 24		BMJ Open
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9	 separately in conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in Integrative Medicine (IM GPs). IM GP surgeries were comparable to conventional GP surgeries in terms of list sizes, demographics, deprivation scores and comorbidity prevalence. Accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice in England is very limited. IM/CAM provision is currently almost exclusively private in the UK. Results are limited by the lack of data on 1) number of consultations, 2) individual GP characteristics, 3) individual deprivation scores and 4) continuum of care.
13	10	Word count
14 15	11	N= 4008
16 17	12	4 Tables
18	13	
19 20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27 28		
29 30		Word count N= 4008 4 Tables
31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43 44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58 59		3
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and the inappropriate use of antibiotics represent a serious threat to public health internationally.¹ Antibiotics are currently indispensable throughout the healthcare system, and the consequences of AMR, not only in primary care, but also in major surgery and cancer treatment for example, are dire. Fortunately, reductions in antibiotic use have been shown to be associated with a reduction in some resistance², and the reduction in the use of antibiotics, especially in primary care, to control the development of AMR is therefore a pressing national and international priority.¹³

In the UK, 74 percent of antibiotics are prescribed in primary care making this one of the most important contributors to the development of AMR.⁴ NICE guidelines on respiratory tract infection⁵ (RTI) management advise that a no antibiotic prescribing strategy or a delayed antibiotic prescribing strategy should be considered for patients with the following conditions: acute otitis media, acute sore throat/acute pharyngitis/acute tonsillitis, common cold, acute rhinosinusitis and acute cough/acute bronchitis. Nevertheless, antibiotics are commonly prescribed for RTIs in adults and children in primary care, and are the reason for 60% of all antibiotic prescribing in general practice in the UK.⁶ Several studies have shown that there is substantial overprescribing of antibiotics for, often viral and self-limiting, RTIs in primary care.⁷⁻⁹ Consequently, there is a large potential to reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs, potentially by using other treatment strategies that do not increase the development or spread of AMR. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common confirmed bacterial infection, with about half of all women experiencing one of more UTIs in their life time.¹⁰ Most women with UTIs are currently treated with antibiotics, with longer duration and multiple courses associated with higher AMR rates.^{11 12} For example, the NICE guideline on uncomplicated UTIs in women advise offering symptom relief and an antibiotic to all women with a suspected urinary tract infection. It states that for a woman with mild symptoms who has normal immunity, normal renal function, and a normal renal tract, treatment can be delayed if the patient wishes to see if symptoms will resolve without treatment. For all other women treatment needs to start without delay.¹³ Therefore, overprescribing seems to be much less common for UTIs than for RTIs.¹⁴ Consequently, it may be easier to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for RTIs than for UTIs in primary care.

There is great variability in the use of antimicrobial medications between countries, with the lowest prescription rates reported in northern European nations, and higher rates in southern Europe and the US. ¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Variations in the prescription of antibiotics both within and between countries may indicate poor practice¹⁸ with inappropriate use of antibiotics which increases the risk of adverse events for the patient¹², wastes health care resources¹⁹ and contributes to the rise in antibiotic resistance.¹⁶ Previous studies have shown that a complex array of factors influence antibiotic prescribing, which may explain the wide variety of antibiotic usage both at the clinician level and

BMJ Open

worldwide. The attitudes of both doctor²⁰⁻²² and patient²³⁻²⁵ are shown to be of major significance in
 prescribing decisions.

GPs as a professional group are expected, following the principles of evidence based medicine, to apply best available evidence to patient's individual situation, within the framework of national and local funding and administrative guidance. Prescription style (measured as the prevalence of prescriptions per GP) is found to be an important factor in the variation in antibiotic prescribing behaviour.¹⁸ Underlying factors for this finding might be differing views on medicalisation, differences in guidelines between countries and between specialists and knowledge and use of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM)/integrative medicine (IM).²⁶⁻²⁸ The association between the knowledge/use of CAM/IM by GPs and antibiotic prescribing has so far not been widely scrutinised.

The aim of this study is to determine the differences in antibiotic prescription rates between 'conventional GPs' and GP surgeries employing a GP additionally trained in IM/CAM (hereafter referred to as Integrative medicine (IM) GPs), and the association between having (staff with) an additional training in CAM/ IM and antibiotic prescription (measured as total antibiotics, respiratory tract infection (RTI) specific antibiotics and urinary tract infection (UTI) specific antibiotics) within the NHS in England.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Methods

IM GP surgeries

To identify NHS General Practices employing an IM GP in 2016, two sequential tasks were required. Firstly, IM GPs were identified and then a current working link was made to an NHS General Practice.

(i) Identification of NHS GPs registered with an additional CAM qualification

We included the 'Big 5' CAM therapies as defined in a report by the House of Lords in 2000²⁹ (chiropractic, osteopathy, acupuncture, herbal medicine and homoeopathy); as well as Anthroposophic Medicine (AM). AM is an extension of conventional medicine and incorporates a holistic approach to people and nature and to illness and healing and is established in 80 countries, mostly in Central Europe.³⁰ AM has been included so that future comparison with data in other European countries is possible.

In the UK each of these six therapies are either state regulated (osteopathy and chiropractic) or have voluntary regulation (including a voluntary regulatory body for mainstream healthcare practitioners in the case of acupuncture and homoeopathy). The regulatory bodies were therefore initially approached by email to check the best route of establishing which practitioners on their registers were trained as a conventional GP and trained in IM/CAM as well. Details of the organisations and methods by which IM GPs were identified are indicated in Table 1. Where organisations were not able to provide this information, searches were made of the online registers (between May and June 2017) for the following:

- 1. Location – the registers enabled us to search for practitioners either on a nationwide (England/ UK) basis or by county (in the latter case, all English counties were checked, including recent boundary changes).
 - 2. Qualification - in some databases, only healthcare professionals (e.g. practitioners qualified in biomedicine) were included, and GP status was specified. Where this was not the case, titles were given and the absence of the title 'Dr' was used to exclude practitioners from our study. Where gualifications were also identified, practitioners with PhDs but not being a GP could be excluded. And if no additional information was given on the register, online searches were made of the practitioner to establish their professional qualifications. In all cases, putative IM GPs were checked against the General Medical Council (GMC) register, to confirm whether they were currently permitted to work in medical practice in the UK.

(ii) Identification of NHS General Practices employing IM GPs

Practice location(s) were indicated for each GP registrant identified in the CAM registers indicated in Table 1. These workplaces were then checked against both the practice websites and the NHS website (www.nhs.uk), which lists all NHS practices and gives information such as staff lists. This

BMJ Open

acted to confirm the working location of the GP and whether this practice offered provision within
 NHS England.
 3

4 Data

Monthly prescribing data was obtained from NHS Digital. NHS Digital collect data and information about a wide range of General Practice (GP) services, for many different organisations and purposes. It also collates all primary care prescribing data. This data is released for monthly download via the NHS Digital website (http://digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue). Data is released at the specific health care provider level and volumes are provided by full British National Formulation code (BNF code). To determine mean antibiotic prescription rates, we used the total number of oral antibiotic prescriptions per general practice for the most recent calendar year for which antibiotic prescribing was publicly available via the NHS digital website (Jan 2016 – Dec 2016).

14 Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weighting Related Prescribing Units (STAR-PU)

STAR-PU have been used as the denominator instead of the number of registered patients as STAR-PUs allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by considering the types of people who will be receiving that treatment. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender category.³¹

20 Comorbidities

The prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections, based on the conditions that indicate high-risk patients who qualify for the free seasonal influenza vaccination programme³², was also measured to identify potential case-mix differences that may explain different antibiotic prescribing rates. General practice-specific prevalence is available for the following high-risk comorbidities via the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) indicators at the NHS digital website: asthma, cancer, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), heart failure and diabetes. We extracted comorbidity prevalence for the financial year 2015-2016.

30 Indices of multiple deprivation

Previously it has been shown that indices of multiple deprivation are indicators of poor health in a population. Tosas Auguet et al.³³ for example, found that more deprived areas are at higher risk of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) infection. The most recent index of multiple deprivation was calculated in 2015 and is available from the Department for Communities and Local Government.³⁴ Deprivation scores are available at a lower-layer super output area (LSOA), which consists of approximately 1,500 residents each. Linkage of the data from NHS Digital was performed using a lookup table from NHS digital. Where a practice served multiple LSOAs, the average deprivation score for that practice was calculated, weighted by the number of patients in each LSOA.

The final dataset included only practices that were present in both the comorbidity and deprivation, and antibiotic prescribing files. We removed outliers based on practice size, since there were some doubts about the validity of this data (e.g. a practice with 157 patients registered). We removed the outer 2% of data based on practice size.

6 Statistical analysis

All analyses are performed on GP surgery level (hereafter referred to as GPs). Potential differences in antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU between the IM and conventional GPs were evaluated. NHS Digital defines a prescription item as: 'a prescription item is a single supply of a medicine, dressing or appliance written on a prescription form. If a prescription form includes three medicines, it is counted as three prescription items. We tested for between group differences using a random effects meta-analysis model for proportions (R package 'meta'). For continuous variables like the number of STAR-PU per practices Mann-Whitney U tests were used to test for statistically significant differences.

The association between the IM GPs and antibiotic prescribing rates per STAR-PU was assessed using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and the natural logarithm of the number of STAR-PU per practice as an offset. A negative binomial regression model was used as this type of regression model can handle count data (number of antibiotic prescriptions), accounts for differences in the number of antibiotics purely caused by practice size (by including the offset), and can still provide valid results when the variance in antibiotic use does not equal the mean antibiotic use.³⁵ Both crude results and results adjusted for additional variables to correct for potential confounding are presented.

We additionally evaluated associations between IM GPs and antibiotics commonly used for respiratory tract infection (RTI) (amoxicillin, amoxicillin and enzyme inhibitor, ampicillin, clarithromycin, doxycycline, erythromycin, and phenoxymethylpenicillin) and for urinary tract infection (UTI) (cephalexin, cefixime, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, pivmecillinam, and trimethoprim).³⁶

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 Results

2 Identification of NHS IM GPs in England

850 CAM practitioner records were checked against the various CAM registers (Table 1) to identify
21 GPs who are conventionally trained as a GP and also trained in CAM at 19 NHS GP surgeries in
England (Table 2). It should be noted that the 850 registrants were not the total numbers of CAM
practitioners on the registers, as (as previously described) some registers permitted limiting searches
to medical practitioners, or more specifically to medically qualified doctors.

While some practices were publicly working in an IM structure, in others it was unknown whether the identified GP was using IM/CAM or indeed whether or the practice permitted this, as no mention was made of it on the websites (including no reference to privately available CAM clinics). General Practices were therefore classified into two subsets as indicated in Table 2. There were further IM GPs on the register who were either not practicing (or at least not in England), or working in private practice. As any level of IM/CAM activity in subset 2 practices could not be determined, it was decided to exclude these GP surgeries from further analysis. 9 NHS IM GP surgeries (urban (N=6), semi-urban (N=2) and semi-rural (N=1)) were included in the analysis. Table 2 also shows the CAM therapies for which each IM GP in our subsequent analysis was registered. Each practice included has at least one IM GP, as GP partner or salaried. The number of IM GPs per practice varies from a minimum of 1 IM GP in a practice with 12 GPs of whom 6 part-time, to a maximum of 3 IM GPs (of whom 2 full time GP practice partners) in a practice with 7 GPs (2 fulltime GPs and 5 part time GPs).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on August 20, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

22 Antibiotic prescription rates

In total 7283 NHS England General Practices (N_conventional=7217/ N_IMGPs= 9) were included in the analyses. Table 3 presents the baseline characteristic of the NHS IM GP surgeries compared to those characteristic of NHS conventional GPs in England. It also shows the prevalence of various comorbidities that may adversely influence the outcome of infections and may consequently influence antibiotic prescribing. The patient populations of both kinds of practices were comparable for most of the listed comorbidities. Statistically significant differences (P<0.05) between the IM GP-and conventional GP surgery patient population were found in the percentage of patients with coronary heart disease, cancer and diabetes, although absolute differences were relatively small. No statistical differences (P<0.05) were found in deprivation score between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries.

33 IM GP surgeries and antibiotic prescription rates

Overall within the NHS in England, the median prescription rates of IM GP surgeries were lower for 'any antibiotic' and for 'RTI specific antibiotic' compared to the rates of the conventional GP surgeries over 2016, while the median prescription rates of 'UTI specific antibiotic' per STAR-PU was comparable for the two groups (Table 4).

The Relative Risks (RR) in table 4 were obtained using negative binomial regression models with the number of antibiotic prescriptions as the outcome and taking into account differences in practice sizes. Our analysis show that IM GP surgeries were associated with lower prescriptions of 'any antibiotic' (RR: 0.78, 95% CI:0.64 – 0.97) and with lower prescriptions of 'RTI specific antibiotic' (RR: 0.74, 95% C.I: 0.59 – 0.94*). Patients consulting an IM GP surgery were 22% less likely to get 'any antibiotic' prescription compared to those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. Receiving a RTI specific antibiotic prescription was 26% less likely among those who consulted an IM GP surgery compared with those who consulted a conventional GP surgery. No statistically significant difference (P<0.05) was found in the number of prescriptions of UTI specific antibiotic prescriptions per STAR-PU between IM GP surgeries and conventional GP surgeries within the NHS in England.

Adjustment for deprivation score or diabetes resulted in virtually identical results. For 'any antibiotic' if adjusted for deprivation score the RR for IM GP surgeries remains virtually identical RR: 0.78 (95% CI: 0.64 - 0.97), and for diabetes RR: 0.80 (95% CI: 0.65 - 0.99). This was the case vas au. regardless of which confounder was added to the model, however adjusting for multiple potential confounders was not possible due to the small number of cases.

10
For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Discussion

BMJ Open

There were 7283 NHS England General Practices included in our analyses. Despite the very small
proportion of IM GP surgeries, our data show that significantly fewer 'total antibiotics' and 'RTI
specific antibiotics' per STAR-PU were prescribed at IM GP surgeries compared to conventional GP
surgeries within NHS England over 2016. No statistically significant differences were found in median
prescription rates of 'UTI specific antibiotics' per STAR-PU in the two kinds of NHS GP surgeries.

9 This is the first (retrospective) study comparing antibiotic prescribing rates between IM GP surgeries 10 and conventional GP surgeries in England. However, the small proportion of NHS IM GPs in England 11 asks for careful interpretation of the results. Accounting for one other variable (e.g. deprivation or 12 diabetes) did not change our results, but, due to the low number of cases it was not possible to 13 similarly account for more variables.

Lack of information on the number of consultations is the main limitation of this study. Consultation rates may explain most of the variation in antibiotic prescribing, and with the data used in this study, it is not clear whether patients consulting at IM GP surgeries consulted less in general. If this were the case, they may be equally likely to receive antibiotics when they do consult their GP. Besides, previous studies show that the consultation rate is also dependent on the previous likelihood to receive antibiotics for a RTI.³⁷⁻³⁹ Future studies should therefore include consultation behaviour/number of consultations as a confounding factor.

Other study limitations that need to be taken into account when interpreting the results are the lack of information on 1) individual deprivation scores, 2) individual GP characteristics and 3) continuum of care. Firstly, no statistically significant differences were found in deprivation score on practice level. However, this may be partly because deprivation scores are area-based and not based on the individuals registered at the different practices. Secondly, our analyses are at GP practice level and include information on GP practice characteristics, such as list size and population. Data on GP characteristics on individual level are not part of NHS digital data and are therefore not included in our analysis. However, these GP characteristics may partly explain the variation in antibiotic prescribing.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Finally, the data used for our analysis is based on the number of antibiotic 'prescriptions', which may differ from the numbers of antibiotics 'consumed' and does not include information on the continuum of care of patients (e.g. hospital admissions/re-consultation). Future studies using clinical practice data taking continuum of care into account are warranted.

The lower antibiotic prescription rates of IM GPs are in line with the current national guidance aimed at reducing antibiotic usage and AMR.¹ IM GPs might possible comply more closely with this guidance. However, the difference found could also be partly explained by the fact that patients who consult IM GPs might demand less for antibiotics, and that GPs in IM surgeries have other avenues to offer to patients than antibiotics or that they are more confident to delay prescriptions and to

assert themselves against the wishes of those patients who appear to want antibiotics. Our results are in line with a yet unpublished pilot study in The Netherlands in which the prescription of antimicrobials for systemic use in 23 unselected anthroposophic GP surgeries was compared to the national mean GP figures for the years 2012 – 2014. On average AM GPs in the Netherlands prescribed less antimicrobials: -13% (2012), -10% (2013) and -7% (2014) (unpublished data).

Despite the differences we found in RTI antibiotics, no statistically significant differences were found
in UTI antibiotics prescription rates between the two kinds of NHS GP surgeries. Although it should
be borne in mind that the use of prescribing data to infer the type of infection may be prone to
errors, our finding reflects current UK GP clinical guidance.

For the majority of RTIs it is recommended that antibiotics should be avoided or delayed, so that this is an area where the desired reduction in prescribing could take place. In the case of UTIs, antibiotics are advised more readily.³⁶ For several RTIs, including common colds, sore throat, sinusitis and acute bronchitis, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that antibiotics provide no, or negligible benefit compared to placebo⁴³⁻⁴⁶. As such, symptom management with paracetamol, ibuprofen or the use of CAM therapies proven to be effective and safe for RTIs may safely reduce antibiotic prescribing among patients with a low risk for pneumonia. A recent RCT comparing ibuprofen with fosfomycin treatment for UTIs indicates that it may be more difficult to safely reduce antibiotic prescribing for UTIs using a similar approach.⁴⁷

Our study shows that accessibility of IM/CAM within the NHS in General Practice is very limited, and this limited the number of IM GPs included in our analysis. IM/CAM provision is currently almost exclusively privately provided in the UK, which could be at least partly linked to the austerity measures currently imposed in the UK possibly resulting in NHS IM/CAM provision being withdrawn at a local level in recent years (and imminently nationally). It was seen on practice websites that there was a noticeable amount of 'private' CAM provision available at several NHS surgeries by non-GP CAM practitioners - in weekly clinics for example. However, these surgeries have not been included in our analysis as this study specifically aimed to determine the differences in antibiotic prescribing between conventional GP surgeries and GP surgeries staffing GPs who were additionally trained in IM/CAM.

Attitudes of GPs to IM/CAM are extremely important for it to remain available within the NHS. A 2015 study of these attitudes in England, showed that, despite demand for CAM amongst the general public, GPs remain concerned about its limited evidence base as well as the lack of regulation of CAM practitioners. Nevertheless, those questioned continue to see a role for CAM in clinical practice.⁴⁸

In our study each of the NHS IM GP practices included at least 1 IM GP. However, as the number of
 (IM) GP partners and salaried (IM) GPs (full time and part time) in these practices varies, proportions

BMJ Open

2 will not provide meaningful information as they do not take into account the power balance of the

3 different GPs within these practices.

The impact which any one IM GP could have in terms of antibiotic prescribing may vary hugely between practices partly depending on their status at the practice - as a partner or a salaried employee for example, or as a full-time or part-time worker. In the presented analysis we did not include NHS GP practices that are offering NHS IM/CAM provision by a 'non-GP NHS CAM practitioner' or private IM/CAM practitioner. However, having even one CAM contact within a surgery might give the possibility for others to experience CAM perspectives either formally or informally from them, and for long-held attitudes to be perhaps modified. Additionally, it would be of interest to explore if patients may be independently accessing IM GPs in the private sector and then seeking antibiotics from non-IM GPs in the NHS.

13 In line with Hawker et al⁴⁹, our results suggest that a further decrease in prescribing in conventional 14 surgeries might be possible. It may be that advice should be sought from this small number of 15 surgeries to establish whether their daily clinical practice may differ from other surgeries and 16 whether this could be used to assist others in the fight against AMR.

Although this study found only a small number of CAM practitioners working at NHS General Practices in England, the difference seen in antibiotic prescribing rates at IM GP surgeries warrants further study. It is very likely that, due to similarity in healthcare system (NHS) and the number of NHS IM GPs and - surgeries, our findings are generalisable to Scotland, Wales and Northern-Ireland. However, differences in healthcare systems and the general level of Integrative Medicine practice may lead to other results in other (European) countries. Therefore, analysis in comparison with other (European) countries are indicated to prove whether in general IM GP (surgeries) tend to prescribe less antibiotics or whether other (socio-economic) factors dominate the prescription rate for specific infections.

As the clear majority of CAM practitioners (mainly non-GPs) work privately in England, there is also potential for research into non-antibiotic strategies in private practice, and to analysis of how these practitioners work with their patients' NHS GPs in this regard. However, as patients who self-select to consult IM GPs might be less likely to demand antibiotics, differences in lifestyle and the 'transferabilty of lifestyle skills' need to be taken into account as well in future study design.

32 Contributor ship statement

- Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
- Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; EvdW/ LD
- Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
- Final approval of the version to be published: EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB

1	• Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to
2	• Agreement to be accountable for an aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved:
2	EvdW/ LD/PvF/EB
5 4	EVUVV/LD/FVF/ED
4 5	Competing interests
6	None declared
7	
8	Funding
9	This research was supported in part by an unrestricted grant of WALA Heilmittel GmbH, Germany.
10	
11	Data sharing statement
12	Data are available through NHS digital
13	
14	Acknowledgement
15	The authors gratefully acknowledge the statistical support of Dr Koen Pouwels (Senior
16	Mathematical/Economic Modeller)
17	
18	
	14 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
	Tor peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.xittini

1	1	References
2	2	1. Public Health England. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance
3	3	(ESPAUR). <u>https://phe-newsroomprgloocom/resources/espaur-report-2016</u> , 2016a.
4	4	2. Butler CC, Dunstan F, Heginbothom M, et al. Containing antibiotic resistance: decreased antibiotic-
5	5	resistant coliform urinary tract infections with reduction in antibiotic prescribing by general
6	6	practices. Br J Gen Pract 2007;57(543):785-92. [published Online First: 2007/10/11]
7	7	3. Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. The
8	8	Lancet Infectious Diseases 2013;13(12):1057-98. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(13)70318-9
9	9	4. England PH. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR).
10	10	London, 2016.
11	11	5. NICE. Respiratory tract infections: prescribing of antibiotics for self-limiting respiratory tract
12	12	infections in adults and children in primary care, 2008.
13 14	13	6. Lindbaek M. Prescribing antibiotics to patients with acute cough and otitis media. Br J Gen Pract
14	14	2006;56(524)
16	15	7. Dekker AR, Verheij TJ, van der Velden AW. Inappropriate antibiotic prescription for respiratory
10	16	tract indications: most prominent in adult patients. Fam Pract 2015;32(4):401-7. doi:
18	17	10.1093/fampra/cmv019 [published Online First: 2015/04/26]
10	18	8. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic Prescriptions
20	19	Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. JAMA 2016;315(17):1864-73. doi:
21	20	10.1001/jama.2016.4151 [published Online First: 2016/05/04]
22	21	9. Flöistrup H, 59-66. eap. Allergic disease and sensitization in Steiner school children Journal of
23	22	Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2006;117(1):7.
24	23	10. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs.
25	24	Dis Mon 2003;49(2):53-70. doi: 10.1067/mda.2003.7
26	25	11. Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, et al. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary
27	26	tract infections caused by Escherichia coli and association with routine use of antibiotics in
28	27	primary care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2016;352:i939. doi:
29	28	10.1136/bmj.i939 [published Online First: 2016/03/17]
30	29	12. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on
31	30	antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ
32	31	2010;340:c2096. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2096
33	32	13. NICE. Urinary tract infection (lower) - women - Summary. Clinical Knowledge Summaries, 2015
34	33	(Last revised in July).
35 36	34	14. Public health England. Management and treatment of common infections. Antibiotic guidance
30 37	35	for primary care: For consultation and local adaptation, 2017.
38		
39	36	15. Goosens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, et al. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and
40	37	association with resistance: a cross-national database study. The Lancet 2005;365(9459):9.
41	38	16. Goossens H. Antibiotic consumption and link to resistance. <i>Clin Microbiol Infect</i> 2009;15 Suppl
42	39	3:12-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02725.x
43	40	17. Goossens H, Ferech M, Coenen S, et al. Comparison of Outpatient Systemic Antibacterial Use in
44	41	2004 in the United States and 27 European Countries. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i>
45	42	2007;44(8):5.
46	43	18. Cordoba G, Siersma V, Lopez-Valcarcel B, et al. Prescribing style and variation in antibiotic
47	44	prescriptions for sore throat: cross-sectional study across six countries. BMC Fam Pract
48	45	2015;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0224-y [published Online First: 2015/01/30]
49	46	19. Sirovich B, Gallagher PM, Wennberg DE, et al. Discretionary Decision Makingby Primary Care
50	47	Physicians and the Cost of U.S. Health Care. <i>Health Aff (Millwood)</i> 2008;27(3):11.
51	48	20. Carthy P, Harvey I, Brawn R, et al. A study of factors associated with cost and variation in
52	49	prescribing among GPs. <i>Family Practice</i> 2000;17(1):36-41. doi: 10.1093/fampra/17.1.36
53	50	21. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Ferreira M, Roque F, et al. Physicians' attitudes and knowledge concerning
54	51	antibiotic prescription and resistance: questionnaire development and reliability. BMC Infect
55 56	52	<i>Dis</i> 2016;16:7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1332-y [published Online First: 2016/01/10]
56 57		
57 58		
58 59		15

	1	22. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, et al. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing
1	2	behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. International Journal of Antimicrobial
2 3	3	Agents 2013;41(3):203-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.09.003
4	4	23. Gualano MR, Gili R, Scaioli G, et al. General population's knowledge and attitudes about
5	5	antibiotics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf
6	6	2015;24(1):2-10. doi: 10.1002/pds.3716 [published Online First: 2014/09/25]
7	7	24. Linder JA, Singer DE. Desire for Antibiotics and Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults with Upper
8	8	Respiratory Tract Infections. J Gen Intern Med 2003;18:7.
9	9	25. Moro ML, Marchi M, Gagliotti C, et al. Why do paediatricians prescribe antibiotics? Results of an
10	10	Italian regional project. BMC Pediatr 2009;9:69. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-9-69 [published
11	11	Online First: 2009/11/10]
12	12	26. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Begaud B, Rossignol M, et al. Management of upper respiratory tract
13	13	infections by different medical practices, including homeopathy, and consumption of
14 15	14	antibiotics in primary care: the EPI3 cohort study in France 2007-2008. PLoS One
15 16	15	2014;9(3):e89990. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089990
10	16	27. Hamre HJ, Glockmann A, Schwarz R, et al. Antibiotic Use in Children with Acute Respiratory or
18	17	Ear Infections: Prospective Observational Comparison of Anthroposophic and Conventional
19	18	Treatment under Routine Primary Care Conditions. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med
20	19	2014;2014:243801. doi: 10.1155/2014/243801
21	20	28. Taylor JA, Jacobs J. Homeopathic Ear Drops as an Adjunct in Reducing Antibiotic Usage in
22	21	Children With Acute Otitis Media. <i>Glob Pediatr Health</i> 2014;1:2333794X14559395. doi:
23	22	10.1177/2333794X14559395 [published Online First: 2014/01/01]
24	23	29. House of Lords. Science and Technology - Sixth Report. In: Lords Ho, ed., 2000.
25	24	30. Kienle GS, Albonico HU, Baars E, et al. Anthroposophic medicine: an integrative medical system
26	25	originating in europe. <i>Glob Adv Health Med</i> 2013;2(6):20-31. doi: 10.7453/gahmj.2012.087
27	26	[published Online First: 2014/01/15]
28	27	31. http://content.digital.nhs.uk/gpprescribingdata.
29	28	32. Public Health England. Influenza: the Green Book, Chapter 192015.
30	29	33. Tosas Auguet O, Betley JR, Stabler RA, et al. Evidence for Community Transmission of
31 32	30	Community-Associated but Not Health-Care-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus
32 33	31	Aureus Strains Linked to Social and Material Deprivation: Spatial Analysis of Cross-sectional
33 34	32	Data. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2016;13(1):e1001944. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001944 [published
35	33	Online First: 2016/01/27]
36	34	34. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015.
37	35	2015 25. Liille IM Negative Binemiel Begrassien: Combridge University Proce 2012
38	36	35. Hilbe JM. Negative Binomial Regression: Cambridge University Press 2012.
39	37	36. McNulty CA. Management of infection guidance for primary care for consultation and local adaptation: Public Health England 2017.
40	38 39	
41	39 40	37. Ashworth M, Charlton J, Ballard K, et al. Variations in antibiotic prescribing and consultation rates for acute respiratory infection in UK general practices 1995-2000. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i>
42	40 41	2005;55(517):603-8. [published Online First: 2005/08/18]
43	41	38. Little P, Gould C, Williamson I, et al. Reattendance and complications in a randomised trial of
44	42	prescribing strategies for sore throat: the medicalising effect of prescribing antibiotics. BMJ
45	43	1997;315(7104):350-2. [published Online First: 1997/08/09]
46	44	39. Moore M, Little P, Rumsby K, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing strategies and an information
47 48	46	leaflet on longer-term reconsultation for acute lower respiratory tract infection. Br J Gen
48 49	40	<i>Pract</i> 2009;59(567):728-34. doi: 10.3399/bjgp09X472601 [published Online First:
50	48	2009/10/22]
51	49	40. Brookes-Howell L, Hood K, Cooper L, et al. Understanding variation in primary medical care: a
52	50	nine-country qualitative study of clinicians' accounts of the non-clinical factors that shape
53	51	antibiotic prescribing decisions for lower respiratory tract infection. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2012;2(4) doi:
54	52	10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000796 [published Online First: 2012/08/25]
55	53	41. Butler CC, Verheij T, Little P, et al. Variation in antibiotic prescribing and its impact on recovery in
56	54	patients with acute cough in primary care: prospective study in 13 countries. <i>BMJ</i> 2009;338
57	. .	
58		16
59		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
60		Tor peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidennes.xhtml

BMJ Open

1	1	42. Silverman M, Povitz M, Sontrop JM, et al. Antibiotic Prescribing for Nonbacterial Acute Upper
2	2	Respiratory Infections in Elderly Persons. Ann Intern Med 2017;166(11):765-74. doi:
3	3	10.7326/M16-1131 [published Online First: 2017/05/12]
4	4	43. Kenealy T, Arroll B. Antibiotics for the common cold and acute purulent rhinitis. Cochrane
5	5	Database Syst Rev 2013(6):CD000247. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000247.pub3 [published
6	6	Online First: 2013/06/05]
7	7	44. Little P, Stuart B, Moore M, et al. Amoxicillin for acute lower-respiratory-tract infection in
8	8	primary care when pneumonia is not suspected: a 12-country, randomised, placebo-
9	9	controlled trial. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):123-9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70300-6
10	10	[published Online First: 2012/12/26]
11	11	45. Smith SM, Fahey T, Smucny J, et al. Antibiotics for acute bronchitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
12	12	2017;6:CD000245. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000245.pub4 [published Online First:
13	13	2017/06/20]
14	14	46. Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
15	15	2013(11):CD000023. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000023.pub4 [published Online First:
16	16	2013/11/06]
17	17	47. Gagyor I, Bleidorn J, Kochen MM, et al. Ibuprofen versus fosfomycin for uncomplicated urinary
18	18	tract infection in women: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2015;351:h6544. doi:
19	19	10.1136/bmj.h6544 [published Online First: 2015/12/25]
20	20	48. Jarvis A, Perry R, Smith D, et al. General practitioners' beliefs about the clinical utility of
21	21	complementary and alternative medicine. <i>Prim Health Care Res Dev</i> 2015;16(3):246-53. doi:
22	22	10.1017/S146342361400022X [published Online First: 2014/06/04]
23	23	49. Hawker JI, Smith S, Smith GE, et al. Trends in antibiotic prescribing in primary care for clinical
24	24	syndromes subject to national recommendations to reduce antibiotic resistance, UK 1995-
25 26	25	2011: analysis of a large database of primary care consultations. J Antimicrob Chemother
26 27	26	2014;69(12):3423-30. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku291 [published Online First: 2014/08/06]
27 28	20	
28 29	27	
29 30		
31	28	
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51 52		
52 52		
53 54		
54 55		
55 56		
50 57		
57 58		
58 59		17

1 Table 1. Organisations from which details of training in IM/CAM of GPs were obtained

	training in IM/CAM of GPs were obt Website	Method for extracting
organisation	Website	GP information
General Osteonathic	www.osteopathy.org.uk	Search of online
	www.osteopathy.org.uk	database
	www.gcc-uk.org	GCC staff identified G
	www.gcc-uk.org	registrants
· · ·	www.medical-acupupcture.co.uk	Search of online
	www.medical-acupuncture.co.uk	database
-	www.thecpp.uk	CPP staff identified GF
-	www.theepp.uk	registrants
	www.nimb.org.uk	NIMH staff identified
	www.ininin.org.uk	GP registrants
	www.rchm.co.uk	Search of online
-		database
		Galabase
	www.urbp.com	Search of online
	www.ump.com	database
	www.atcm.co.uk	Search of online
	<u></u>	database
· · · · ·	www.britishhomeopathic.org	Search of online
Association		database
Faculty of Homeopathy	www.facultyofhomeopathy.org	Search of online
		database
Anthroposophic Health,	www.ahasc.org.uk	Search of online
Education and Social		database
Care Movement		
	Faculty of Homeopathy Anthroposophic Health,	General Osteopathic Councilwww.osteopathy.org.ukGeneral Chiropractic Council (GCC)www.gcc-uk.orgBritish Medical Acupuncture Councilwww.medical-acupuncture.co.ukCollege of Practitioners of Phytotherapywww.thecpp.ukNational Institute of Medical Herbalistswww.nimh.org.ukThe Register of Chinese Herbal Medicine in the

	Apparent level of CAM practice	CAM (n)
Subset 1 (N=9)	General Practices where an integrative medicine (IM) approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4)	Homeopathy (1) Anthroposophic Medicine (Acupuncture (1)
	General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5)	Homeopathy (1) Acupuncture (4)
Subset 2 (N=10)	General practices mention a CAM therapy in the listing of the special interests of the GP, but no other information is given and it is unclear whether the GP practises this CAM therapy at that site	
	19	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020488 on 19 March 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on August 20, 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 3. Baseline characteristics of included NHS GP surgeries

	Conventional GP surgery	IM/CAM GP surgery (Subset 1) ⁵
	median (25 th -75 th percentile)	median (25 th -75 th percentile)
	n=7274	n=9
Number of registered patients	6698 (4162-9942)	7088 (4037-9534)
Male (%)	49.7 (48.8-50.9)	49.3 (46.9-49.4)
Aged 0-17y (%)	20.5 (18.5-23.0)	21.2 (18.7-22.0)
Aged 18-64y (%)	61.2 (58.7-64.2)	61.9 (60.3-62.4)
Aged 65+ y (%)	17.2 (12.3-21.4)	18.2 (14.0-18.4)
STAR-PU**	3705 (2276-5599)	3716 (2315-5382)
Coronary heart disease (%)	3.3 (2.5-4.0)	2.8 (2.1-3.1)*
Heart failure (%)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	0.5 (0.4-0.8)
Asthma (%)	6.0 (4.9-6.7)	5.1 (4.8-6.2)
COPD (%)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	1.0 (0.8-2.0)
Cancer (%)	2.4 (1.7-3.0)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)*
Chronic kidney disease (%)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)	1.9 (1.2-2.9)
Diabetes (%)	5.4 (4.5-6.2)	3.9 (2.9-4.6)*
Deprivation score	0.27 (0.11-0.63)	0.36 (0.15-0.48)

⁵ Subset 1: General Practices where an integrative medicine approach is taken with CAM-trained GP (N=4) and General practices listing CAM therapy provision with CAM-trained GP (N=5);

*p<0.05;

** STAR-PU stands for 'oral antibacterials item based Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing

Units. The amount of STAR-PU per practice was estimated by multiplying the number of patients in each age-gender

category by the relevant STAR-PU weights (see methods section for detailed explanation on STAR-PUs).

Page 21 of 24

1	Table 4. Median antibiotic prescription rates and Relative Risk (RR) of prescribing antibiotics in primary care				
2	England over 2016				
		Any antibiotic/	RTI antibiotic/	UTI antibiotic/	
		STAR-PU ^S	STAR-PU ^{\$}	STAR-PU ^{\$}	
		Median	Median	Median	
		(a=th ==th	(arth arth	conthineth	

	(25 th -75 th percentile)	(25 th -75 th percentile)	(25 th -75 th percentile)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	1.01 (0.86 – 1.17)	0.56 (0.46 – 0.67)	0.22 (0.17 – 0.26)
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.79 (0.73 – 0.91)*	0.44 (0.37 – 0.48)*	0.21 (0.19 – 0.23)
	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}	Relative Risk (RR) ^{\$}
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Conventional GP surgeries (n=7,274)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
IM GP surgeries with CAM trained GP (n=9)	0.78 (0.64 – 0.97)*	0.74 (0.59 – 0.94)*	0.91 (0.72 – 1.17)

^{\$}This rate is obtained by dividing the total number of antibiotics prescribed by the number of STAR-PU registered. Fividing .

*p<0.05

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		Page:2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
		Page:2
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
		Page:4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
		Page:5
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
		Page:6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Page:6, 7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		Page:6, 7
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
		Page:7
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group
		Page:7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Diab		Page:7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
-		Page:6,7,8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Page:8

		Page:8 (<i>b</i>) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		Page:8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls wa addressed
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account sampling strategy
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		<u> </u>
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,
		examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and
		analysed
		Page:6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		Page:6
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information
data		on exposures and potential confounders
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 1, Table 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
		Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
		Page: 9, 10 and Table 3, Table 4
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for an
		why they were included
		Page:9, 10
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaning
		time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
		Page: 11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
		Page: 11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicit
		of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
		Page: 11, 12

Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
		Page: 12, 13
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,
		for the original study on which the present article is based
		Page: 13

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen?bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml