

# BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## The importance of personal and professional experience in providing person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: a freelisting interview study with ward staff

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025655
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Petty, Stephanie; The Retreat, Specialist Older Adults Psychology; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Denning, Tom; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Griffiths, Amanda; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Coleston, Donna; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology
Keywords:	Dementia < NEUROLOGY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

**Title**

The importance of personal and professional experience in providing person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: a freelisting interview study with ward staff

**Author names, positions and affiliations**

Stephanie Petty,<sup>a, b</sup> ClinPsyD

Senior Clinical Psychologist

spetty@theretreatyork.org.uk

Tom Dening,<sup>b</sup> FRCPsych

Professor of Dementia Research

tom.dening@nottingham.ac.uk

Amanda Griffiths,<sup>b</sup> PhD

Professor of Occupational Health Psychology

amanda.griffiths@nottingham.ac.uk

Donna Maria Coleston,<sup>b</sup> PhD

Principal Research Fellow

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 donna.coleston-shields@nottingham.ac.uk  
4  
5  
6  
7

8 <sup>a</sup>The Retreat, Heslington Rd, York, YO10 5BN, UK  
9

10 <sup>b</sup>Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology, School of Medicine, Institute of  
11 Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2TU,  
12  
13 UK  
14  
15

16  
17  
18  
19  
20 **Corresponding author**  
21

22  
23 Stephanie Petty  
24

25 Contact address: The Retreat, Heslington Rd, York, YO10 5BN, UK  
26

27  
28 Email: spetty@theretreatyork.org.uk  
29

30  
31 Tel: (+44)1904 412551  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 **Copyright**  
37

38  
39 The Corresponding author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does  
40 grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence on a worldwide basis to the BMJ  
41 Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ  
42  
43 editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all  
44  
45 subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 **Contributorship**  
53  
54

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 Study concept and design: S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths, DM Coleston. Acquisition  
4 of data: S Petty. Analysis and interpretation of data: S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths,  
5 DM Coleston. Drafting of the manuscript: S Petty. Critical revision of the manuscript:  
6 S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths, DM Coleston.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 As guarantor, S Petty accepts responsibility for the conduct of the study, had access to  
12 the data, and controlled the decision to publish.  
13  
14  
15

**Funding statement**

16  
17  
18  
19 This work was supported by The Retreat York as part of S Petty's PhD studentship.  
20  
21  
22 The funding source did not determine the study design, collection, analysis or  
23 interpretation of data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for  
24 publication.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

**Abstract word count**

34  
35  
36 265  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

**Main text word count**

42  
43  
44 2603  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

**References**

50  
51  
52 22  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Tables**

1 table

1 supplementary table

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank all hospital staff for their contribution. They would also like to thank The Retreat York for their generous funding of S Petty's PhD studentship.

**Data sharing**

The authors will share relevant data on which the analysis, results, and conclusions reported in the paper are based on reasonable request from BMJ Open.

**Competing interests**

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; the study was funded as part of S Petty's PhD studentship by The Retreat York. The funding source did not determine the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication. All authors declare no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three

years and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

### **Abstract**

**Objective:** To detail how personal and professional caregiving experiences of hospital staff changes the care provided for patients with dementia, in order to make practical recommendations for practice.

**Design:** Cross-sectional qualitative interviews with a purposive sample.

**Setting:** A UK hospital ward providing dementia care.

**Participants:** A complete hospital ward staff team, constituting 47 hospital staff from 10 professions.

**Methods:** Hospital staff were asked to list their approaches to emotion-focused care in individual, ethnographic freelist interviews. Cultural consensus analysis was used to detail variations in approaches to dementia care between staff subgroups.

**Main outcome measures:** The most salient listed descriptions of care emphasised by staff members with personal experience of dementia caregiving when compared with staff members without such experience, and descriptions from staff newer to the profession compared with staff with more years of professional dementia caregiving experience.

**Results:** Subgroups of hospital staff showed different patterns of responses both in how they noticed the emotional distress of patients with dementia, and in prioritised responses that they deemed to work. Hospital staff with personal experience of

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

dementia caregiving and staff with fewer years of professional experience prioritised mutual communication and getting to know each patient.

Conclusions: Subgroups of hospital staff with personal caregiving experiences and fewer years of professional care experience were more likely to describe person-centred care as their routine ways of working with patients with dementia. It is recommended that personal experience and the novice curiosity of hospital staff be considered as valuable resources that exist within multidisciplinary staff teams that could enhance staff training to improve the hospital care for patients with dementia.

**Strengths**

- We sought to discover the existing expertise within routine hospital care using the ethnographic freelist method.
- The study builds upon prior research recommendations to minimise future investments in interventions that rely on theoretical models of care.
- We sampled a representative hospital ward staff team that included different professions.

**Limitations**

- The approach described by hospital staff does not necessarily equate to care delivered for all patients at all times.
- The findings require more robust testing and replication.



## Introduction

In the field of dementia care, there are initiatives to ensure that personal experience of caregiving for somebody with dementia makes a substantial contribution to professional care.<sup>1</sup> This is because a personal perspective can tailor care to address what matters most for the patient and can therefore improve health outcomes.<sup>2</sup> These benefits are urgently needed in hospital care for patients with dementia, which has been addressed as an international priority<sup>3,4</sup> and has been criticised for being task-orientated and falling short of person-centred care.<sup>5,6</sup> By person-centred care, we mean that which meets the holistic needs of the patient as a person, who shares the same value and humanness as any other person.<sup>7,8</sup> Finding ways to communicate with patients with dementia personally is particularly important because of the known difficulties with involving patients directly in their care.<sup>9,10</sup> There are serious implications of poor treatment compliance and wastage of care efforts when the patient's needs are not known.<sup>2</sup>

Whilst personal experience of caregiving can be integrated into dementia care in hospitals by having family members present,<sup>1,11</sup> this is limited by the physical and emotional demands on family members<sup>3</sup> and the hospital priorities of managing risk and delivering medical care that fall within professional roles.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, multidisciplinary hospital staff are required to deliver person-centred care.<sup>10</sup>

Quality hospital care has been evidenced but is variable<sup>12</sup> and successful interventions to enhance person-centred care have been time- and resource-intensive and with variable outcomes.<sup>13</sup> An outstanding question remains as to how person-centred care can be achieved consistently by hospital staff.<sup>3,5,14</sup>

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 We designed the current study in response to the call for research that explicitly seeks  
4 achievable solutions for routine practice and that recognises the existing skillset of  
5 hospital staff.<sup>3,5</sup> This paper aims to detail the different, prioritised ways of working of  
6 hospital staff with varying personal and professional experiences of caregiving for  
7 patients with dementia. We seek to offer suggestions for enhancing care provision  
8 within the constraints of existing resources. Here we focus specifically on the  
9 relationship shared with the patient at times of emotional distress as a component of  
10 person-centred care because of the challenge for both the patient and hospital staff at  
11 such times.<sup>15</sup>

## 22 **Methods**

23  
24 This study presents the analysis of intracultural variations in the approaches to  
25 dementia care across different subgroups within a hospital staff team. Specifically, we  
26 investigated whether either personal experience of caregiving for a person with  
27 dementia or length of professional experience affected staff approaches to care.

### 35 *Participants*

36  
37 47 hospital staff members constituted a whole ward staff team over a three-month  
38 period, which included bank and temporary staff members and all shifts. Staff  
39 members were recruited from one assessment ward providing dementia care within a  
40 teaching hospital in the UK. All participants volunteered to take part and gave  
41 informed consent. Ethical approval was granted by the Health Research Authority (ref  
42 18/HRA/0221).

### *Patient and public involvement*

Hospital staff were involved in the design of the content and format of the interview through discussion at pilot, hence they contributed to the outcome measures. The hospital ward manager facilitated recruitment, assessed the burden of participation and facilitated dissemination of the findings.

### *Data collection*

Face-to-face, individual, ethnographic freelist interviews were conducted with all staff members in the ward team. In the interview, staff were asked to keep in mind their working with patients with dementia and list as many items as they could to describe: (1) how they notice when a patient is emotionally distressed; (2) what they think causes patients to be emotionally distressed; (3) all the ways they respond when a patient is emotionally distressed; (4) of the ways to respond, all the things that seem to work. Interviews were audio-recorded.

### *Data analysis*

#### Staff subgroups overview

Cultural consensus analysis<sup>16</sup> was used to determine how different subgroups of staff described their approaches to care. Two sets of subgroups were created as follows.

Personal caregiving experience: the list data of staff members who reported personal experience of dementia caregiving, such as having a family member or friend with the diagnosis (n=18), was compared with the list data of staff without personal caregiving experience (n=29); this formed datasets for two staff subgroups. Professional caregiving experience: the list data of staff members who had worked in a professional role with people with dementia for more than 15 years (n=15), was

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 compared with the list data of staff who had worked with people with dementia for  
4  
5 less than 5 years (n=18). This formed data sets for two further staff subgroups.  
6

7  
8 Cultural consensus analysis

9  
10 ANTHROPAC analysis software was used.<sup>17</sup> First, recode and consensus procedures  
11  
12 were used to apply factor analytic methods to determine whether each staff subgroup  
13  
14 reached a shared domain description in response to each of the four interview  
15  
16 questions. Consensus was shown by a single-factor solution, where the eigenvalues of  
17  
18 the first factor and second factor formed a ratio of greater than 3:1. Second, each staff  
19  
20 member's agreement with the consensus description of the subgroup was given by a  
21  
22 knowledge score; this was each staff member's loading on the first factor, with a  
23  
24 maximum loading of 1.0. This analysis showed whether different staff subgroups  
25  
26 formed a consensus in their approach to care and how much each individual staff  
27  
28 member agreed with the consensus.  
29  
30

31  
32 Saliency of list items

33  
34 For each staff subgroup, the freelist procedure was used to calculate the listed items  
35  
36 that were highest in saliency for each of the four questions. Saliency is a measure of  
37  
38 how important an item is; an item with higher saliency will have been mentioned  
39  
40 more frequently and earlier in lists.  
41  
42

43  
44 Group comparisons

45  
46 Two main comparisons were made: between (1) staff members with personal  
47  
48 experience of dementia caregiving compared with those without, and (2) staff  
49  
50 members with more years of professional caregiving experience compared with those  
51  
52 with fewer years of experience. For each comparison, the saliency scores of items  
53  
54

1  
2  
3 produced by one staff subgroup were subtracted from the salience scores of items  
4  
5 produced by the second staff subgroup. This gave a list of difference scores ranging  
6  
7 from positive values (items with higher salience for the first staff subgroup) to  
8  
9 negative values (items with higher salience for the second staff subgroup). The list  
10  
11 items at each end of the continuum show the emphasis of one group relative to the  
12  
13 other.<sup>18</sup> Qualitative differences in the items listed were then considered.  
14  
15

## 16 17 18 **Results**

### 19 20 *Participant overview*

21  
22 The full ward participated. The following professional roles were represented: health  
23  
24 care assistant (n=20), nurse or student nurse (n=12), occupational therapist,  
25  
26 physiotherapist or therapy assistant (n=4), doctor (n=3), manager or deputy manager  
27  
28 (n=3), domestic (n=2), volunteer (n=2), ward clerk (n=1). The majority of hospital  
29  
30 staff were female (70%) and White British (75%). The mean length of time working  
31  
32 with people with dementia was 11 years (range 3 months to 37 years).  
33  
34

### 35 36 *Comparing approaches to dementia care: personal experiences of caregiving*

#### 37 38 39 Shared domain descriptions

40  
41 Both staff subgroups produced a single, consensus domain description in response to  
42  
43 each of the four interview questions. Both subgroups listed an equal number of items  
44  
45 for all four questions; no comparison of mean number of items between staff  
46  
47 subgroups for the four questions reached statistical significance. Therefore, neither  
48  
49 group was more or less able to describe their approach to responding to the emotional  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 needs of patients with dementia; however, meaningful differences were revealed in  
4  
5 the amount of agreement between staff and in the different items listed.  
6  
7

#### 8 Staff agreement

9  
10 Knowledge scores showed that staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving  
11  
12 showed less agreement with each other as a subgroup than they did with the whole  
13  
14 staff team in their responses to all four questions, as shown by lower mean knowledge  
15  
16 scores: ways to notice emotional distress ( $t(63)= 4.21, p< .001$ ); causes of emotional  
17  
18 distress ( $t(62)= 4.16, p< .001$ ); responses to emotional distress ( $t(63)= 2.41, p= .019$ );  
19  
20 responses that seem to work ( $t(63)= 2.96, p= .004$ ). In contrast, staff without personal  
21  
22 experience of dementia caregiving did not differ significantly in their level of  
23  
24 agreement with each other when compared with the whole staff team. Therefore,  
25  
26 personal experience was influential when forming a consensus approach. This means  
27  
28 that hospital staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving showed more  
29  
30 variety in how they noticed, understood and responded to patients with dementia.  
31  
32  
33

#### 34 Comparison of list items

35  
36 Tables 1a-d show the list items with the greatest difference in salience between staff  
37  
38 with personal caregiving experience as compared with staff without for all interview  
39  
40 questions.  
41  
42

43  
44 Staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving had a greater expectation that  
45  
46 the patient would communicate their distress verbally or nonverbally through their  
47  
48 facial expression or their body language. They emphasised that the staff member  
49  
50 needs to know the patient as a person to be able to notice their emotional distress and  
51  
52 their way of communicating. In their responses to emotional distress, this staff  
53  
54

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 subgroup was more likely to empathise, to talk, to listen and to mirror the patient.

4  
5 They were more likely to say that sometimes it is not possible to understand fully or  
6  
7 respond helpfully. This suggests an approach to care that is responsive to each  
8  
9 individual and is personalised. This subgroup also placed stronger weighting on the  
10  
11 hospital being a cause of distress, including being with strangers, being in an  
12  
13 unfamiliar environment and feeling upset by the manner of hospital staff.  
14  
15

16  
17 In contrast, hospital staff without personal experience of dementia caregiving placed  
18  
19 more emphasis on the role of a patient's family in maintaining their wellbeing: they  
20  
21 recognised that a patient might ask for their family when distressed and recognised  
22  
23 that causes of distress included being away from loved ones and wanting to go home.  
24  
25 This subgroup also stated they would be more likely to respond to emotional distress  
26  
27 by contacting a patient's family for a telephone call or visit. They showed more  
28  
29 caution in how to respond to emotional distress: they were more likely to state that  
30  
31 their response would depend on the level of distress or would depend on the  
32  
33 circumstances and they were more likely to say that a wide range of responses to  
34  
35 distress work at different times.  
36  
37

38  
39 The approach described by all staff was nurturing, reassuring and comforting.  
40

41  
42 *Comparing approaches to dementia care: professional experiences of caregiving*

43  
44 Supplementary Tables S1a-d show the list items with the greatest difference in  
45  
46 salience between staff with more and fewer years of professional caregiving  
47  
48 experience for all interview questions.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

## Shared domain descriptions

Both staff subgroups produced a single, shared domain description for each question; however, staff members with more years of professional experience listed significantly more items for ways to respond to emotional distress (mean 13.13, SD 4.94) than did staff with fewer years of professional experience (mean 8.33, SD 4.14) ( $t(31) = -3.04, p = .005$ ), suggesting an accumulation of possible ways to respond to patients. They did not list significantly more responses for the fourth question when listing responses that they deemed to work.

## Comparison of list items

Personalised care was more prevalent across responses to all questions for the staff subgroup with fewer years of professional experience. They were more likely to say that they noticed distress through easily visible cues, such as from a patient's face, or through their body language. They expected patients to voice their distress. They were more likely to say that they needed to know the patient as a person and that they would listen to the patient. In contrast, staff with more years of personal experience were more likely to use surmised terms when describing emotional distress; they listed agitation, aggression and anxiety, which might suggest a shorthand developed over time.

**Discussion***Principal findings*

Hospital care for patients with dementia requires improvement and would benefit from clear recommendations that apply to routine practice.<sup>3-5</sup> This study explored how existing resources of personal and professional caregiving experience could



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 differentiate between the approaches of hospital staff when patients showed emotional  
4 distress. The results show that different staff subgroups emphasised varying features  
5 of person-centred care.  
6  
7

8  
9 Staff members with personal experience of dementia caregiving prioritised knowing  
10 the person, achieving reciprocal communication and showing an understanding of the  
11 patient's perspective. The second staff subgroup comparison revealed complementary  
12 findings, whereby hospital staff that were newer to a professional care role were more  
13 likely to notice each patient as a person and notice their individual communication.  
14  
15

16  
17 These findings make two notable contributions to the research literature. First, the  
18 approach described by the two staff subgroups mirrors person-centred care<sup>7,8</sup> and  
19 exemplifies the most positive aspects of hospital care described in the research  
20 literature.<sup>11</sup> Second, these staff said that they communicated with the patient, hence  
21 they involve patients in their care; such involvement is required as a fundamental  
22 standard of person-centred care and is particularly lacking for patients with dementia  
23 when in hospital.<sup>9</sup> We therefore recommend that the personal caregiving experiences  
24 and the novice curiosity of hospital staff be considered as an existing resource to  
25 enhance person-centred care in hospitals for patients with dementia. These resources  
26 could be drawn upon within staff training interventions and be given merit by  
27 management.  
28  
29

30  
31 It is possible that personal experience of dementia caregiving contributes knowledge,  
32 confidence and a positive attitude to professional working, as has been described  
33 elsewhere.<sup>19,20</sup> We also speculate as to whether career longevity promotes knowledge,  
34 but fosters a 'professional' approach to dementia care whereby technical expertise and  
35 shorthand are valued for the purposes of documentation and risk management.<sup>6</sup> In this  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 study, staff with more years of professional experience tended to describe behaviour  
4 as agitation or aggression and were more likely to list medical causes of emotional  
5 distress such as infection or delirium, which suggests an approach that overlooks the  
6 complexity of a person beyond diagnostic criteria.<sup>21</sup> This interpretation does not  
7 dismiss the dedication of staff who sustain a career in working with patients with  
8 dementia, as was shown in the compassionate responses of all hospital staff in this  
9 study. Instead we aim to recognise the variations in approaches within a team.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

*Strengths and weaknesses*

18  
19  
20 Strengths: primarily, we sought to discover the existing expertise within routine  
21 hospital care using the ethnographic freelisting method. This is important given the  
22 manifold barriers to hospital staff implementing best practice dementia care, such as  
23 having limited time.<sup>5,12,13,20</sup> We built upon prior research recommendations to  
24 minimise future investments in interventions that rely on theoretical models of care to  
25 increase the real-world impact of the research.<sup>3</sup> The findings offer some guidance as  
26 to how person-centred approaches could be enhanced, and how patients with  
27 dementia could be involved in their care.<sup>2,10</sup> We sampled a representative hospital  
28 ward staff team that included different professions. Limitations: the approach  
29 described by hospital staff does not necessarily equate to care delivered for all  
30 patients at all times. Whilst we have detailed the knowledge of staff, we have not  
31 directly observed their behaviour. The findings require more robust testing and  
32 replication.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Implications for clinicians and policymakers*

We intend the findings to influence staff training interventions, specifically, hospital staff have repeatedly expressed the value of collaborative learning with peers that these findings would encourage.<sup>19,22</sup> We also recommend that the person-centred approaches detailed here be given merit by management to enable change in the hospital culture.<sup>5,22</sup> Possible benefits to sharing expertise amongst multiple professions include building a reliable skillset that is more resistant to staff turnover and is valuable when family members are not consistently available.

### *Unanswered questions and future research*

These findings require follow-up in three ways: first, replication beyond a single UK hospital ward; second, further exploration of how patient, staff and the hospital system variables interact to complicate the delivery of person-centred care; third, evaluative studies of how personal experience and professional curiosity can be prioritised in practice.

The lead author affirms that this is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study.

### **References**

1. Worthington A, Rooney P, Hannan R. The triangle of care. Carers included: a guide to best practice in mental health care in England. 2nd ed. London, 2013.
2. Ocloo J, Matthews R. From tokenism to empowerment: progressing patient and public involvement in healthcare improvement. *BMJ Qual Safe* 2016;25:626-32.

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

3. Dewing J, Dijk S. What is the current state of care for older people with dementia in general hospitals? A literature review. *Dementia* 2014;0(0):1-19.
4. World Health Organization. Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017–2025. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017.
5. Brooke J, Ojo O. Elements of a sustainable, competent, and empathetic workforce to support patients with dementia during an acute hospital stay: a comprehensive literature review. *Int J Health Plan M* 2017;33(1):e10-e25.
6. Digby R, Lee S, Williams A. The experience of people with dementia and nurses in hospital: an integrative review. *J Clin Nurs* 2016;26(9-10):1152-71.
7. Brooker DJ, Surr C. Dementia Care Mapping (DCM): initial validation of DCM 8 in UK field trials. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006;21(11):1018-25.
8. Kitwood T. The experience of dementia. *Aging Ment Health* 1997;1(1):13-22.
9. George J, Long S, Vincent C. How can we keep patients with dementia safe in our acute hospitals? A review of challenges and solutions. *J R Soc Med* 2013;106(9):355-61.
10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Dementia. Assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. NICE Guideline [NG97]. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2018.
11. Scerri A, Innes A, Scerri C. Discovering what works well: exploring quality dementia care in hospital wards using an appreciative inquiry approach. *J Clin Nurs* 2015;24(13-14):1916-25.

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

- 1  
2  
3 12. Samra R, Griffiths A, Cox T, Conroy S, Gordon A, Gladman J. Medical  
4 students' and doctors' attitudes toward older patients and their care in hospital settings:  
5 a conceptualisation. *Age Ageing* 2015;44(5):776-83.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 13. Surr CA, Smith SJ, Crossland J, Robins J. Impact of a person-centred  
11 dementia care training programme on hospital staff attitudes, role efficacy and  
12 perceptions of caring for people with dementia: a repeated measures study. *Int J Nurs*  
13 *Stud* 2016;53:144-51.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 14. Scerri A, Innes A, Scerri C. Dementia training programmes for staff working  
19 in general hospital settings: a systematic review of the literature. *Aging Ment Health*  
20 2017;21(8):783-96.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 15. Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the  
26 21st century. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 2001.  
27  
28  
29  
30 16. Weller SC, Romney AK. Systematic data collection. Newbury Park,  
31 California: Sage Publications, 1988.  
32  
33  
34  
35 17. Borgatti SP. ANTHROPAC 4.0. Natick, MA: Analytic Technologies, 1996.  
36  
37  
38 18. Schrauf RW, Sanchez J. The preponderance of negative emotion words in the  
39 emotion lexicon: a cross-generational and cross-linguistic study. *J Multiling Multicul*  
40 2004;25(2-3):266-84.  
41  
42  
43  
44 19. Griffiths A, Knight A, Harwood R, Gladman JR. Preparation to care for  
45 confused older patients in general hospitals: a study of UK health professionals. *Age*  
46 *Ageing* 2014;43(4):521-7.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

- 1  
2  
3 20. Tropea J, LoGiudice D, Liew D, Roberts C, Brand C. Caring for people with  
4 dementia in hospital: findings from a survey to identify barriers and facilitators to  
5 implementing best practice dementia care. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2017;29(3):467-74.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 21. Petty S, Dening T, Coleston DM, Griffiths A. Dementia: beyond disorders of  
11 mood. *Aging Ment Health* 2018:1-4.  
12  
13  
14 22. Handley M, Bunn F, Goodman C. Dementia-friendly interventions to improve  
15 the care of people living with dementia admitted to hospitals: a realist review. *BMJ*  
16  
17 *Open* 2017;7(7):e015257.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## Tables

Tables 1a-1d. Top six list items with the greatest difference in salience between hospital staff with and without personal experience of dementia caregiving for each of four interview questions.

Table 1a. Interview question: how to notice emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>The person will tell you:</i> Patients are able to say that they are distressed, such as describing an emotion.	-0.221	<i>Withdrawn:</i> Included being quiet, disengaged or subdued; patients were described as being distressed within themselves.	0.107
<i>Body language or posture:</i> Including descriptions of a patient waving, pointing or putting hands to their head.	-0.197	<i>Asking for family or friends:</i> Included asking where family are, whether family are safe, whether family know where they themselves are.	0.105
<i>Face or facial expression.</i>	-0.160	<i>Easily visible:</i> Distress was visible and seen by looking at somebody; or distress is obvious; 'it's not hard to tell'.	0.104
<i>Seeking, searching, looking for someone or something:</i> Didn't always describe what was being searched for.	-0.118	<i>Anxious or frightened.</i>	0.103
<i>Behaviour or actions:</i> Sometimes described as a change for the person.	-0.093	<i>Repeated questions:</i> Included patients not feeling reassured.	0.101
<i>Knowing the person:</i> To be able to notice distress; the patient not being their usual self, something being different.	-0.082	<i>Wanting to leave:</i> Included attempting to leave; asking to go out or for doors to be unlocked, trying exit doors, banging doors, absconsion, calling for a taxi, asking about the train station.	0.081

Table 1b. Interview question: causes of emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

<i>Not knowing where they are:</i> Disorientation, asking where they are and not knowing that they are in hospital.	-0.176	<i>Being orientated or contradicted:</i> Included descriptions of others not understanding a person's reality, questioning them and what they see or not giving an answer that a patient wants to hear.	0.122
<i>Strangers:</i> Unfamiliar or unknown people, unfamiliar faces and voices and patients not knowing who is around them.	-0.145	<i>Wanting to go home:</i> Missing home, asking to go home.	0.112
<i>Hunger or thirst.</i>	-0.134	<i>Being away from loved ones:</i> wanting to see family or friends, looking for them and thinking about them, not being with them, awaiting or not receiving visitors and not knowing where family are. Specific family members, such as 'mum' or 'husband', were mentioned. Descriptions also included feeling left or abandoned by family.	0.085
<i>Expression difficulties:</i> Patients being unable to express what they want to say or not being understood by others; included being unable to verbally express pain or emotions.	-0.124	<i>Frightened, scared or fearful.</i>	0.077
<i>The hospital environment:</i> An unfamiliar, new or foreign setting; this included descriptions of an intrusive environment and descriptions of hospital bays and beds.	-0.109	<i>Infection.</i>	0.063
<i>Upset by staff:</i> Included descriptions of the attitude, skills and manner of staff and the way people were spoken to causing distress; included staff being impatient and not understanding how to talk to somebody.	-0.102	<i>Rumination, remembering the past:</i> Included patients replaying past scenarios, experiencing flashbacks of earlier life.	0.060

Table 1c. Interview question: responses to emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Empathise:</i> Trying to understand, tuning in to what is troubling them, getting into their mind-set or universe; telling them they understand.	-0.164	<i>It depends on the level of distress.</i>	0.134
<i>Distraction:</i> Sometimes distracting from the person's thoughts or mood; changing topic; distracting for a short time.	-0.136	<i>Activities:</i> A range of games and puzzles were listed, including jigsaws, draughts, bingo, skittles crosswords and word puzzles, flower arranging and building.	0.109
<i>Listening:</i> Included telling the patient they are listening.	-0.103	<i>Contact with family:</i> Enabling contact with family included speaking with family on the phone, allowing family to visit at flexible times or stay longer.	0.093



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

<i>Sometimes can't help:</i> Staff said they sometimes couldn't help or couldn't fully understand; not every time; included stating that they don't know the patient well.	-0.100	<i>Reassurance:</i> Giving reassurance generally was listed without further description.	0.092
<i>Mirroring:</i> Mirroring the person, their actions or volume; described building off each other.	-0.097	<i>It depends on the circumstances.</i>	0.063
<i>Talking:</i> Sharing conversation and stories; included talking whilst walking.	-0.096	<i>Comfort:</i> Included descriptions of being calming or nurturing.	0.058

Table 1d. Interview question: responses to emotional distress that seem to work.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Sometimes can't help:</i> Staff said they sometimes couldn't help, sometimes nothing works or a response doesn't work; responses that work are never guaranteed.	-0.110	<i>Reassurance:</i> Giving reassurance generally was listed, including reassurance not to worry or that problems would be resolved.	0.174
<i>Empathise:</i> Trying to understand, putting self in their place; telling them they understand.	-0.101	<i>It depends on the level of distress.</i>	0.082
<i>Knowing the person:</i> Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each person being different/unique; being person-centred; being patient-led; different responses working for different people.	-0.088	<i>Contact with family:</i> Enabling contact with family included speaking with family on the phone, allowing family to visit at flexible times.	0.076
<i>Comfort:</i> Included descriptions of calming somebody, being nurturing or giving emotional contact.	-0.077	<i>Allowing space:</i> To pace or let their anger out.	0.073
<i>Change the member of staff:</i> Included changing to a more familiar staff member or changing to a male or female staff member.	-0.072	<i>Listen:</i> Included telling and showing the patient they are listening.	0.062
<i>Consult colleagues:</i> Included consulting with and learning from multidisciplinary colleagues.	-0.067	<i>All/any responses:</i> Anything, everything or all of them was listed.	0.058

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

**Supplementary table**

Supplementary table S1a-d. Top six list items with the greatest difference in salience between hospital staff with more years of professional experience and fewer years of working experience for each of four interview questions.

Table S1a. Interview question: how to notice emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Crying</i> : Descriptions include crying, tears and weeping.	-0.400	<i>The person will tell you</i> : Patients are able to say that they are distressed, such as describing an emotion.	0.168
<i>Agitation</i> : The words 'agitation' or 'agitated' are used; verbal or physical agitation is described.	-0.384	<i>Body language or posture</i> : Including descriptions of a patient waving, pointing or putting hands to their head.	0.159
<i>Unsettled, fidgety, not relaxed, fiddling</i> : Being unsettled was described; descriptions include fiddling, fidgeting, fussing with items, tearing items, pulling at clothing or equipment, being flustered or unable to settle.	-0.320	<i>Face or facial expression</i> .	0.130
<i>Aggression</i> : The terms 'aggression' or 'aggressive' were used without further description. Descriptions included.	-0.250	<i>Easily visible</i> : Distress was visible and seen by looking at somebody; or distress is obvious; 'it's not hard to tell'.	0.111
<i>Anxious or frightened</i> .	-0.207	<i>It is different patient to patient</i> : state distress is shown differently by different people; can include distress depending on the person's life experiences.	0.105
<i>Knowing the person</i> : To be able to notice distress; the patient not being their usual self, something being different.	-0.142	<i>Behaviour or actions</i> : Sometimes described as a change for the person.	0.097

Table S1b. Interview question: causes of emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>The hospital environment</i> : An unfamiliar, new or foreign setting; this included descriptions of an intrusive environment and descriptions of hospital bays and beds.	-0.242	<i>Lots of different things</i> : staff said there are lots of/a variety/a myriad of things that cause distress; the causes can change; the reasons for distress need to be worked out or analysed.	0.151

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

<i>Infection.</i>	-0.153	<i>Being confused.</i>	0.117
<i>Frustration.</i>	-0.143	<i>Strangers:</i> Unfamiliar or unknown people, unfamiliar faces and voices and patients not knowing who is around them.	0.113
<i>Delirium.</i>	-0.129	<i>Being too hot or too cold.</i>	0.098
<i>Missing belongings and objects:</i> being without familiar things such as a teddy bear and sleeping in a different bed.	-0.129	<i>Hunger or thirst.</i>	0.096
<i>Not knowing where they are:</i> Disorientation, asking where they are and not knowing that they are in hospital.	-0.112	<i>Memory problems:</i> forgetfulness, forgetting having their questions answered, forgetting reassurance, being unable to recall their date of birth or items on a cognitive screening tool.	0.091

Table S1c. Interview question: responses to emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Space:</i> staff listed offering a different or preferred environment, room or space. This included using the café away from the ward.	-0.221	<i>Comfort:</i> Included descriptions of being calming or nurturing.	0.167
<i>Reassurance:</i> listed without further description.	-0.144	<i>Ask the person:</i> asking what the matter is or why they are distressed, asking what they would like.	0.093
<i>Empathise:</i> Trying to understand, tuning in to what is troubling them, getting into their mind-set or universe; telling them they understand.	-0.137	<i>Talking:</i> Sharing conversation and stories; included talking whilst walking.	0.091
<i>A cup of tea.</i>	-0.130	<i>Leave them:</i> included allowing space for the patient to let off steam or wind down, being hands off, allowing them liberty, not stopping somebody from wandering, staff keeping their distance for the safety of colleagues or patients.	0.091
<i>Touch:</i> included holding a person's hand or offering a hug.	-0.127	<i>Mirroring:</i> Mirroring the person, their actions or volume; described building off each other.	0.069
<i>Body language:</i> listed without further explanation, meaning staff used their body language in their response.	-0.123	<i>Identify the cause or reason for distress:</i> identifying the problem or the need; fathoming, working out or getting to the grounds of the distress.	0.057

Table S1d. Interview question: responses to emotional distress that seem to work.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Space:</i> offering a different or preferred environment,	-0.280	<i>Knowing the person:</i> Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each person being	0.271

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

1	room or space. This included quiet and still spaces.		different/unique; being person-centred; being patient-	
2			led; different responses working for different people.	
3				
4				
5	<i>Touch</i> : descriptions of touch included holding a	-0.133	<i>It depends on the circumstances.</i>	0.174
6	person's hand or offering a hug.			
7	<i>It depends on the level of distress.</i>	-0.126	<i>Listen</i> : Included telling and showing the patient they	0.148
8			are listening.	
9				
10	<i>Sitting with, being with, engaging with.</i> Included	-0.099	<i>Change the member of staff</i> : Included changing to a	0.117
11	descriptions of giving attention and being a person		more familiar staff member or changing to a male or	
12	without uniform or equipment.		female staff member.	
13	<i>All/any responses</i> : Anything, everything or all of them	-0.076	<i>Talking</i> : sharing conversation, chatting,	0.114
14	was listed.		communicating.	
15	<i>Activities.</i>	-0.067	<i>Sometimes can't help</i> : Staff said they sometimes	0.093
16			couldn't help, sometimes nothing works or a response	
17			doesn't work; responses that work are never	
18			guaranteed.	
19				

For peer review only

# BMJ Open

## The importance of personal and professional experience for hospital staff in person-centred dementia care: a cross-sectional interview study using freelisting in a UK hospital ward

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-025655.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Nov-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Petty, Stephanie; The Retreat, Specialist Older Adults Psychology; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Denning, Tom; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Griffiths, Amanda; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology Coleston, Donna; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Qualitative research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine, Mental health
Keywords:	Dementia < NEUROLOGY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

**Title**

The importance of personal and professional experience for hospital staff in person-centred dementia care: a cross-sectional interview study using freelisting in a UK hospital ward

**Author names, positions and affiliations**

Stephanie Petty,<sup>a, b</sup> ClinPsyD

Senior Clinical Psychologist

spetty@theretreatyork.org.uk

Tom Dening,<sup>b</sup> FRCPsych

Professor of Dementia Research

tom.dening@nottingham.ac.uk

Amanda Griffiths,<sup>b</sup> PhD

Professor of Occupational Health Psychology

amanda.griffiths@nottingham.ac.uk

Donna Maria Coleston,<sup>b</sup> PhD

Principal Research Fellow

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 donna.coleston-shields@nottingham.ac.uk  
4  
5  
6  
7

8  
9 <sup>a</sup>The Retreat, Heslington Rd, York, YO10 5BN, UK  
10

11 <sup>b</sup>Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology, School of Medicine, Institute of  
12 Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2TU,  
13  
14  
15  
16 UK  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **Corresponding author**

23  
24 Stephanie Petty  
25

26  
27 Contact address: The Retreat, Heslington Rd, York, YO10 5BN, UK  
28

29  
30 Email: spetty@theretreatyork.org.uk  
31

32  
33 Tel: (+44)1904 412551  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **Copyright**

39  
40  
41 The Corresponding author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does  
42 grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence on a worldwide basis to the BMJ  
43 Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ  
44  
45  
46 editions and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all  
47  
48  
49 subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

### 56 **Contributorship**

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 Study concept and design: S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths, DM Coleston. Acquisition  
4 of data: S Petty. Analysis and interpretation of data: S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths,  
5  
6 DM Coleston. Drafting of the manuscript: S Petty. Critical revision of the manuscript:  
7  
8 S Petty, T Denning, A Griffiths, DM Coleston.  
9  
10  
11

12  
13 As guarantor, S Petty accepts responsibility for the conduct of the study, had access to  
14  
15 the data, and controlled the decision to publish.  
16  
17  
18  
19

**Funding statement**

20  
21  
22  
23 This work was supported by The Retreat York as part of S Petty's PhD studentship.  
24  
25 The funding source did not determine the study design, collection, analysis or  
26  
27 interpretation of data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for  
28  
29 publication.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

**Abstract word count**

36  
37  
38  
39 261  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

**Main text word count**

45  
46  
47 3485  
48  
49  
50  
51

**References**

52  
53  
54  
55 29  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

**Tables**

1 table

1 supplementary table

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank all hospital staff for their contribution. They would also like to thank The Retreat York for their generous funding of S Petty's PhD studentship.

**Data sharing**

The authors will share relevant data on which the analysis, results, and conclusions reported in the paper are based on reasonable request from BMJ Open.

**Competing interests**

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; the study was funded as part of S Petty's PhD studentship by The Retreat York. The funding source did not determine the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of data, the writing of the report or the decision to submit the article for publication. All authors declare no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

years and no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Abstract**

**Objective:** To detail how hospital staff with differing personal and professional caregiving experiences approach the care of patients with dementia, in order to make practical recommendations for practice.

**Design:** Cross-sectional qualitative interviews.

**Setting:** A UK hospital ward providing dementia care.

**Participants:** A complete hospital ward staff team, constituting 47 hospital staff from 10 professions.

**Methods:** Hospital staff were asked to list their approaches to emotion-focused care in individual, ethnographic freelist interviews. Cultural consensus analysis was used to detail variations in approaches to dementia care between staff subgroups.

**Main outcome measures:** The most salient listed descriptions of care emphasised by staff members with personal experience of dementia caregiving when compared with staff members without such experience, and descriptions from staff newer to the profession compared with staff with more years of professional dementia caregiving experience.

**Results:** Subgroups of hospital staff showed different patterns of responses both in how they noticed the emotional distress of patients with dementia, and in prioritised responses that they deemed to work. Hospital staff with personal experience of

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

dementia caregiving and staff with fewer years of professional experience prioritised mutual communication and getting to know each patient.

Conclusions: Subgroups of hospital staff with personal caregiving experiences and fewer years of professional care experience were more likely to describe person-centred care as their routine ways of working with patients with dementia. It is recommended that personal experience and the novice curiosity of hospital staff be considered as valuable resources that exist within multidisciplinary staff teams that could enhance staff training to improve the hospital care for patients with dementia.

### Strengths

- We sought to discover the existing expertise within routine hospital care using the ethnographic freelisting method.
- The study builds upon prior research recommendations to minimise future investments in interventions that rely on untested theoretical models of care.
- We sampled a representative hospital ward staff team that included different professions.

### Limitations

- The approach described by hospital staff does not necessarily equate to care delivered for all patients at all times.
- The findings require more robust testing and replication.

## Introduction

In the field of dementia care, there are initiatives to ensure that personal experience of caregiving for somebody with dementia makes a substantial contribution to professional care.<sup>1</sup> This is because a personal perspective can tailor care to address what matters most for the patient and can therefore improve health outcomes.<sup>2</sup> These benefits are urgently needed in hospital care for patients with dementia, which has been addressed as an international priority<sup>3,4</sup> and has been criticised for being task-orientated and falling short of person-centred care.<sup>5,6</sup> By person-centred care, we mean that which meets the holistic needs of the patient as a person, who shares the same value and humanness as any other person.<sup>7,8</sup> Finding ways to communicate with patients with dementia personally is particularly important because of the known difficulties with involving patients directly in their care.<sup>9,10</sup> Prevalence estimates suggest that patients with dementia can occupy over a third of hospital beds in the UK;<sup>11</sup> there are serious implications of poor treatment compliance and wastage of care efforts when the patient's needs are not known.<sup>2</sup>

Whilst personal experience of caregiving can be integrated into dementia care in hospitals by having family members present,<sup>1,12</sup> this is limited by the physical and emotional demands on family members<sup>3</sup> and the hospital priorities of managing risk and delivering medical care that fall within professional roles.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, multidisciplinary hospital staff are required to deliver person-centred care.<sup>10</sup>

Quality hospital care has been evidenced but is variable<sup>13</sup> and successful interventions to enhance person-centred care have been time- and resource-intensive and with variable outcomes.<sup>14</sup> An outstanding question remains as to how person-centred care can be achieved consistently by hospital staff.<sup>3,5,15</sup>

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 We designed the current study in response to the call for research that explicitly seeks  
4 achievable solutions for routine practice and that recognises the existing skillset of  
5 hospital staff.<sup>3,5</sup> This paper aims to detail the different, prioritised ways of working of  
6 hospital staff with varying personal and professional experiences of caregiving for  
7 patients with dementia. We seek to offer suggestions for enhancing care provision  
8 within the constraints of existing resources. Here we focus specifically on the  
9 relationship shared with the patient at times of emotional distress as a component of  
10 person-centred care because of the challenge for both the patient and hospital staff at  
11 such times.<sup>16</sup>  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**Methods**

26  
27  
28 This study presents the analysis of intracultural variations in the approaches to  
29 dementia care across different subgroups within a hospital staff team. Specifically, we  
30 investigated whether staff with either personal experience of caregiving for a person  
31 with dementia or more years of professional experience than their peers approached  
32 care differently.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

*Participants*

41  
42  
43 47 hospital staff members constituted a whole ward staff team over a three-month  
44 period (October to December 2017), which included bank and temporary staff  
45 members and all shifts. Staff members were recruited from one ward for the  
46 assessment of older people within a teaching hospital in the UK. The ward was a  
47 member of Dementia Action Alliance, which connects 150 UK organisations through  
48 their commitment to improving dementia care; otherwise, the hospital had no  
49 dementia specialty such as consultation or liaison services and was not a dedicated  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 dementia ward. The setting was chosen because of its similarity with hospital services  
4  
5 for patients with dementia across Europe.<sup>17</sup> All ward staff who interacted with  
6  
7 patients within their working role were invited to participate, in an attempt to  
8  
9 recognise whole system working.<sup>18</sup> Study information was made available to all staff  
10  
11 by the ward manager. Participants were informed of times when the researcher was  
12  
13 available; all participants volunteered to take part and gave written informed consent  
14  
15 prior to interview, after reading the study information. The hospital ward manager  
16  
17 approved the study. The authors had no prior relationship with any participant. The  
18  
19 lead author is a Clinical Psychologist, experienced in working with people across the  
20  
21 age range with mental health diagnoses, and their support networks. Ethical approval  
22  
23 was granted by the Health Research Authority (ref 18/HRA/0221).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*Patient and public involvement*

29  
30  
31 Staff from a second hospital in the UK were involved in the initial design of the  
32  
33 content and format of the interview through discussion with the lead author to ensure  
34  
35 that it was appropriate for use.  
36  
37  
38

*Data collection*

39  
40  
41 Face-to-face, individual, freelisting interviews lasting approximately 15 minutes were  
42  
43 conducted with all staff members in the ward team. Freelisting is an ethnographic  
44  
45 method and provides the theoretical underpinning for the analysis.<sup>19</sup> In the interview,  
46  
47 staff were asked to keep in mind their working with patients with dementia and list as  
48  
49 many items as they could to describe: (1) how they notice when a patient is  
50  
51 emotionally distressed; (2) what they think causes patients to be emotionally  
52  
53 distressed; (3) all the ways they respond when a patient is emotionally distressed; (4)  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

of the ways to respond, all the things that seem to work. The type and severity of dementia was not specified. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed in full. The transcripts were reviewed by all authors and list items were extracted through group discussion; 10% of the transcripts were reviewed independently by two authors.

*Data analysis*

## Staff subgroups overview

Cultural consensus analysis<sup>19</sup> was used to determine how different subgroups of staff described their approaches to care. Two sets of subgroups were created as follows.

Personal caregiving experience: the list data of staff members who reported personal experience of dementia caregiving, such as having a family member or friend with the diagnosis (n=18), was compared with the list data of staff without personal caregiving experience (n=29); this formed datasets for two staff subgroups. Professional caregiving experience: the list data of staff members who had worked in a professional role with people with dementia for more than 15 years (n=15), was compared with the list data of staff who had worked with people with dementia for less than 5 years (n=18). This formed data sets for two further staff subgroups. The year boundaries chosen were a means of comparing staff with relatively more and fewer years of professional experience based on the demographic data in this study.

## Cultural consensus analysis

ANTHROPAC analysis software was used in the following ways,<sup>20</sup> with close reference to example studies.<sup>21,22</sup> First, recode and consensus procedures were used to apply factor analytic statistical methods to determine whether each staff subgroup reached a shared domain description in response to each of the four interview

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 questions. Consensus was shown by a single-factor solution, where the eigenvalues of  
4  
5 the first factor and second factor formed a ratio of greater than 3:1. Second, each staff  
6  
7 member's agreement with the consensus description of the subgroup was given by a  
8  
9 knowledge score; this was each staff member's loading on the first factor, with a  
10  
11 maximum loading of 1.0. This analysis showed whether different staff subgroups  
12  
13 formed a consensus in their approach to care and how much each individual staff  
14  
15 member agreed with the consensus.  
16  
17  
18  
19

## Salience of list items

20  
21  
22 For each staff subgroup, the freelist procedure was used to calculate the listed items  
23  
24 that were highest in salience for each of the four questions. ANTHROPAC applies  
25  
26 Smith's salience index<sup>23</sup> to measure how important an item is; an item with higher  
27  
28 salience will have been mentioned more frequently and earlier in lists.  
29  
30  
31

## Group comparisons

32  
33  
34 Two main comparisons were made: between (1) staff members with personal  
35  
36 experience of dementia caregiving compared with those without, and (2) staff  
37  
38 members with more years of professional caregiving experience compared with those  
39  
40 with fewer years of experience. For each comparison, the salience scores of items  
41  
42 produced by one staff subgroup were subtracted from the salience scores of items  
43  
44 produced by the second staff subgroup. This gave a list of difference scores ranging  
45  
46 from positive values (items with higher salience for the first staff subgroup) to  
47  
48 negative values (items with higher salience for the second staff subgroup). The list  
49  
50 items at each end of the continuum show the emphasis of one group relative to the  
51  
52 other.<sup>24</sup> Qualitative differences in the items listed were then considered.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

In addition, the mean number of items listed for each question was compared for staff with and without personal caregiving experience, and staff with more and fewer years of professional experience, using independent sample t-tests.

Finally, mean knowledge scores of each staff subgroup were compared with the mean knowledge score for the whole staff team for all four questions using paired sample t-tests; this shows the amount of agreement over the approach to care between members within a subgroup as compared with the full hospital ward.

The lead author performed all analysis.

## Results

### *Participant overview*

All 47 members of the ward agreed to participate. The following professional roles were represented: health care assistant (n=20), nurse or student nurse (n=12), occupational therapist, physiotherapist or therapy assistant (n=4), doctor (n=3), manager or deputy manager (n=3), domestic (n=2), volunteer (n=2), ward clerk (n=1). The majority of hospital staff were female (70%) and White British (75%), with an even spread of ages from across five age brackets, from '25 years or under' to '55 years or over'. The mean length of time working with people with dementia was 11 years (range 3 months to 37 years). Demographic differences between staff subgroups were: both volunteers and three of four physiotherapists or therapy assistants had personal experience of caregiving; all other professions and genders were proportionately represented. The majority of staff without personal caregiver experience were aged 45-55 years; the majority of staff with personal caregiver experience were aged 55 years or over. There were no differences of note in the

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 representation of different professions or genders in the staff subgroups with more or  
4  
5 fewer years of professional caregiving experience, however, all staff with more years  
6  
7 of professional experience were aged 35 years or over and, collectively, were  
8  
9 relatively older than staff with fewer years of professional experience, as might be  
10  
11 expected.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

*Comparing approaches to dementia care: personal experiences of caregiving*

## Shared domain descriptions

21  
22 Both staff subgroups produced a single, consensus domain description in response to  
23  
24 each of the four interview questions. Both subgroups listed an equal number of items  
25  
26 for all four questions; no comparison of mean number of items between staff  
27  
28 subgroups for the four questions reached statistical significance. Therefore, neither  
29  
30 group was more or less able to describe their approach to responding to the emotional  
31  
32 needs of patients with dementia; however, meaningful differences were revealed in  
33  
34 the amount of agreement between staff and in the different items listed.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

## Staff agreement

40  
41 Knowledge scores showed that staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving  
42  
43 showed less agreement with each other as a subgroup than they did with the whole  
44  
45 staff team in their responses to all four questions, as shown by lower mean knowledge  
46  
47 scores: ways to notice emotional distress ( $t(63)= 4.21, p< .001$ ); causes of emotional  
48  
49 distress ( $t(62)= 4.16, p< .001$ ); responses to emotional distress ( $t(63)= 2.41, p= .019$ );  
50  
51 responses that seem to work ( $t(63)= 2.96, p= .004$ ). In contrast, staff without personal  
52  
53 experience of dementia caregiving did not differ significantly in their level of  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 agreement with each other when compared with the whole staff team. Therefore,  
4  
5 personal experience was influential when forming a consensus approach. This means  
6  
7 that ward staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving showed more variety  
8  
9 in how they noticed, understood and responded to patients with dementia.  
10  
11  
12

## Comparison of list items

13  
14  
15 Tables 1a-d show the list items with the greatest difference in salience between staff  
16  
17 with personal caregiving experience as compared with staff without for all interview  
18  
19 questions.  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 Staff with personal experience of dementia caregiving had a greater expectation that  
25  
26 the patient would communicate their distress verbally or nonverbally through their  
27  
28 facial expression or their body language. They emphasised that the staff member  
29  
30 needs to know the patient as a person to be able to notice their emotional distress and  
31  
32 their way of communicating. In their responses to emotional distress, this staff  
33  
34 subgroup was more likely to report that they empathise, to talk, to listen and to mirror  
35  
36 the patient. They were more likely to say that sometimes it is not possible to  
37  
38 understand fully or respond helpfully. This suggests an approach to care that is  
39  
40 responsive to each individual and is personalised. This subgroup also placed stronger  
41  
42 weighting on the hospital being a cause of distress, including being with strangers,  
43  
44 being in an unfamiliar environment and feeling upset by the manner of hospital staff.  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 In contrast, ward staff without personal experience of dementia caregiving placed  
50  
51 more emphasis on the role of a patient's family in maintaining their wellbeing: they  
52  
53 recognised that a patient might ask for their family when distressed and recognised  
54  
55 that causes of distress included being away from loved ones and wanting to go home.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 This subgroup also stated they would be more likely to respond to emotional distress  
4  
5 by contacting a patient's family for a telephone call or visit. They showed more  
6  
7 caution in how to respond to emotional distress: they were more likely to state that  
8  
9 their response would depend on the level of distress or would depend on the  
10  
11 circumstances and they were more likely to say that a wide range of responses to  
12  
13 distress work at different times.  
14  
15

16  
17 The approach described by all staff was nurturing, reassuring and comforting.  
18  
19

*Comparing approaches to dementia care: professional experiences of caregiving*

20  
21  
22  
23 Supplementary Tables S1a-d show the list items with the greatest difference in  
24  
25 salience between staff with more and fewer years of professional caregiving  
26  
27 experience for all interview questions.  
28  
29

## Shared domain descriptions

30  
31  
32 Both staff subgroups produced a single, shared domain description for each question;  
33  
34 however, staff members with more years of professional experience listed  
35  
36 significantly more items for ways to respond to emotional distress (mean 13.13, SD  
37  
38 4.94) than did staff with fewer years of professional experience (mean 8.33, SD 4.14),  
39  
40 (t(31)= -3.04, p= .005), suggesting an accumulation of possible ways to respond to  
41  
42 patients. They did not list significantly more responses that they deemed to work, as  
43  
44 asked by the fourth question; this might suggest shared agreement between all staff of  
45  
46 a limited number of effective responses.  
47  
48  
49  
50

## Comparison of list items

51  
52  
53  
54 Personalised care was more prevalent across responses to all questions for the staff  
55  
56 subgroup with fewer years of professional experience. They were more likely to say  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 that they noticed distress through easily visible cues, such as from a patient's face, or  
4  
5 through their body language. They expected patients to voice their distress. They were  
6  
7 more likely to say that they needed to know the patient as a person and that they  
8  
9 would listen to the patient. In contrast, staff with more years of personal experience  
10  
11 were more likely to use surmised terms when describing emotional distress; they  
12  
13 listed agitation, aggression and anxiety, which might suggest a shorthand developed  
14  
15 over time.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

**Discussion***Principal findings*

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Hospital care for patients with dementia requires improvement and would benefit  
from clear recommendations that apply to routine practice.<sup>3-5</sup> This study explored how  
existing resources of personal and professional caregiving experience could  
differentiate between the reported approaches of hospital staff when patients showed  
emotional distress. The results show that different staff subgroups emphasised varying  
features of person-centred care.

Staff members with personal experience of dementia caregiving prioritised knowing  
the person, achieving reciprocal communication and showing an understanding of the  
patient's perspective. The second staff subgroup comparison revealed complementary  
findings, whereby ward staff that were newer to a professional care role were more  
likely to notice each patient as a person and notice their individual communication.  
These findings make two notable contributions to the research literature. First, the  
approach described by these two staff subgroups, staff members with personal  
experience of dementia caregiving and staff members newer to professional

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 caregiving, mirrors person-centred care<sup>7,8</sup> and exemplifies the most positive aspects of  
4 hospital care described in the research literature.<sup>12</sup> Second, these staff said that they  
5 communicated with the patient, hence they involve patients in their care; such  
6 involvement is required as a fundamental standard of person-centred care and is  
7 particularly lacking for patients with dementia when in hospital.<sup>9</sup>  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 In previous research,<sup>25</sup> hospital staff have expressed having more confidence in their  
19 working when they have personal experience of dementia caregiving. The current  
20 study adds to the literature by asking how staff with personal experience of dementia  
21 caregiving approach care when compared with their colleagues without such  
22 experience, using a cross-sectional design; the findings would support that personal  
23 experience of dementia caregiving contributes knowledge, confidence and a positive  
24 attitude to professional working as described elsewhere.<sup>25,26</sup> We also speculate as to  
25 whether career longevity promotes knowledge, but fosters a 'professional' approach  
26 to dementia care whereby technical expertise and shorthand are valued for the  
27 purposes of documentation and risk management.<sup>6</sup> This shorthand is consistent with  
28 expert thinking that has been refined over time, as compared with staff newer to the  
29 profession who make decisions more slowly and are influenced by more  
30 information,<sup>27</sup> such as that relating to each individual patient. Traditional training in  
31 dementia care has prioritised medical care,<sup>5</sup> which reflects the approach prioritised  
32 here by longer-standing staff. In this study, staff with more years of professional  
33 experience tended to describe behaviour as agitation or aggression and were more  
34 likely to list medical causes of emotional distress such as infection or delirium, which  
35 suggests an approach that overlooks the complexity of a person beyond diagnostic  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

1  
2  
3 criteria.<sup>28</sup> This interpretation does not dismiss the dedication of staff who sustain a  
4  
5 career in working with patients with dementia, as was shown in the compassionate  
6  
7 responses of all ward staff in this study. Instead we aim to recognise the variations in  
8  
9 approaches within a team.  
10

11  
12 We therefore recommend that the personal caregiving experiences and the novice  
13  
14 curiosity of hospital staff delivering dementia care are considered to be two areas of  
15  
16 expertise within staff teams. For example, the approaches described in this study  
17  
18 could contribute valuable content to staff training interventions; training in the format  
19  
20 of learning with colleagues and embedding learning in routine practice has been  
21  
22 reported to be more effective in improving personalised dementia care than formal  
23  
24 training interventions, which are not always suitable in their content and are not  
25  
26 available to all staff.<sup>14,25</sup> The current findings support future investment in models of  
27  
28 training whereby colleagues who have differing expertise learn with and from each  
29  
30 other. Flexible training formats,<sup>14</sup> which emphasise collaborative learning and the  
31  
32 sharing of existing expertise are recommended.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 The person-centred approach described could also be given merit by hospital  
39  
40 management and clinical leaders. Hospital staff have expressed beliefs of having little  
41  
42 authority or permission to influence routine patient care,<sup>5</sup> though their contributions to  
43  
44 service development and delivery can be particularly valuable in the delivery of  
45  
46 person-centred dementia care.<sup>5,25</sup> Hospital staff providing dementia care have asked  
47  
48 that their existing knowledge and skills be recognised.<sup>5</sup> This study shows the potential  
49  
50 value of developing a hospital culture of staff learning together and sharing  
51  
52 approaches that work.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

*Strengths and weaknesses*

Strengths: primarily, we sought to discover the existing expertise within routine hospital care using the ethnographic freelisting method. This is important given the manifold barriers to hospital staff implementing best practice dementia care, such as having limited time.<sup>5,13,14,26</sup> We built upon prior research recommendations to minimise future investments in interventions that rely on theoretical models of care only and have not been tested in a clinical setting; this is to increase the real-world impact of the research.<sup>3</sup> The findings offer some discussion as to how person-centred approaches could be enhanced, and how patients with dementia could be involved in their care.<sup>2,10</sup> We sampled a representative hospital ward staff team that included different professions. Limitations: the approach described by ward staff does not necessarily equate to care delivered for all patients at all times. Whilst we have detailed the knowledge of staff, we have not directly observed their behaviour. The generalisability of the findings is limited by the setting being a single hospital ward in the UK, and by the possible recall bias of participants when interviewed about their practice. The findings require more robust testing and replication. Future research would benefit from measuring staff knowledge, attitude and training in dementia care as possible confounding variables in the delivery of person-centred care, alongside measures of personal and professional caregiving experiences.

*Implications for clinicians and policymakers*

We intend the findings to influence staff training interventions, specifically, hospital staff have repeatedly expressed the value of collaborative learning with peers that these findings would encourage.<sup>25,29</sup> We also recommend that the person-centred approaches detailed here be given merit by management to enable change in the



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

hospital culture.<sup>5,29</sup> Possible benefits to sharing expertise amongst multiple professions include building a reliable skillset that is more resistant to staff turnover and is valuable when family members are not consistently available.

*Unanswered questions and future research*

These findings require follow-up in three ways: first, replication beyond a single UK hospital ward; second, further exploration of how patient, staff and the hospital system variables interact to complicate the delivery of person-centred care; third, evaluative studies of how personal experience and professional curiosity can be prioritised in practice.

The lead author affirms that this is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study.

**References**

1. Worthington A, Rooney P, Hannan R. The triangle of care. Carers included: a guide to best practice in mental health care in England. 2nd ed. London, 2013.
2. Ocloo J, Matthews R. From tokenism to empowerment: progressing patient and public involvement in healthcare improvement. *BMJ Qual Safe* 2016;25:626-32.
3. Dewing J, Dijk S. What is the current state of care for older people with dementia in general hospitals? A literature review. *Dementia* 2014;0(0):1-19.
4. World Health Organization. Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017–2025. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017.

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

- 1  
2  
3 5. Brooke J, Ojo O. Elements of a sustainable, competent, and empathetic  
4  
5 workforce to support patients with dementia during an acute hospital stay: a  
6  
7 comprehensive literature review. *Int J Health Plan M* 2017;33(1):e10-e25.  
8  
9
- 10 6. Digby R, Lee S, Williams A. The experience of people with dementia and  
11  
12 nurses in hospital: an integrative review. *J Clin Nurs* 2016;26(9-10):1152-71.  
13  
14
- 15 7. Brooker DJ, Surr C. Dementia Care Mapping (DCM): initial validation of  
16  
17 DCM 8 in UK field trials. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006;21(11):1018-25.  
18  
19
- 20 8. Kitwood T. The experience of dementia. *Aging Ment Health* 1997;1(1):13-22.  
21  
22
- 23 9. George J, Long S, Vincent C. How can we keep patients with dementia safe in  
24  
25 our acute hospitals? A review of challenges and solutions. *J R Soc Med*  
26  
27 2013;106(9):355-61.  
28  
29
- 30 10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Dementia. Assessment,  
31  
32 management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. NICE  
33  
34 Guideline [NG97]. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2018.  
35  
36  
37
- 38 11. Mukadam N, Sampson EL. A systematic review of the prevalence,  
39  
40 associations and outcomes of dementia in older general hospital inpatients. *Int*  
41  
42 *Psychogeriatr* 2011;23(3):344-55.  
43  
44
- 45 12. Scerri A, Innes A, Scerri C. Discovering what works well: exploring quality  
46  
47 dementia care in hospital wards using an appreciative inquiry approach. *J Clin Nurs*  
48  
49 2015;24(13-14):1916-25.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

13. Samra R, Griffiths A, Cox T, Conroy S, Gordon A, Gladman J. Medical students' and doctors' attitudes toward older patients and their care in hospital settings: a conceptualisation. *Age Ageing* 2015;44(5):776-83.
14. Surr CA, Smith SJ, Crossland J, Robins J. Impact of a person-centred dementia care training programme on hospital staff attitudes, role efficacy and perceptions of caring for people with dementia: a repeated measures study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2016;53:144-51.
15. Scerri A, Innes A, Scerri C. Dementia training programmes for staff working in general hospital settings: a systematic review of the literature. *Aging Ment Health* 2017;21(8):783-96.
16. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century*. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 2001.
17. Hermann DM, Muck S, Nehen HG. Supporting dementia patients in hospital environments: health-related risks, needs and dedicated structures for patient care. *Eur J Neurol* 2015;22(2):239-45.
18. Innes A, Kelly F, Scerri C, Abela S. Living with dementia in hospital wards: a comparative study of staff perceptions of practice and observed patient experience. *Int J Older People Nurs* 2016;11(2):94-106.
19. Weller SC, Romney AK. *Systematic data collection*. Newbury Park, California: Sage Publications, 1988.
20. Borgatti SP. *ANTHROPAC 4.0*. Natick, MA: Analytic Technologies, 1996.

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
21. Barg FK, Huss-Ashmore R, Wittink MN, Murray GF, Bogner HR, Gallo JJ. A mixed-methods approach to understanding loneliness and depression in older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2006;61(6):S329-S39.
  22. Schrauf RW, Sanchez J. Using freelisting to identify, assess, and characterize age differences in shared cultural domains. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2008;63(6):S385-S93.
  23. Smith JJ. Using Anthropac 3.5 and a spreadsheet to compute a free list salience index. *Cultural Anthropology Methods Newsletter* 1993;5(3):1-3.
  24. Schrauf RW, Sanchez J. The preponderance of negative emotion words in the emotion lexicon: a cross-generational and cross-linguistic study. *J Multiling Multicul* 2004;25(2-3):266-84.
  25. Griffiths A, Knight A, Harwood R, Gladman JR. Preparation to care for confused older patients in general hospitals: a study of UK health professionals. *Age Ageing* 2014;43(4):521-7.
  26. Tropea J, LoGiudice D, Liew D, Roberts C, Brand C. Caring for people with dementia in hospital: findings from a survey to identify barriers and facilitators to implementing best practice dementia care. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2017;29(3):467-74.
  27. Shanteau J. Competence in experts: the role of task characteristics. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process* 1992;53:252-66.
  28. Petty S, Denning T, Coleston DM, Griffiths A. Dementia: beyond disorders of mood. *Aging Ment Health* 2018:1-4.

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

- 1  
2  
3 29. Handley M, Bunn F, Goodman C. Dementia-friendly interventions to improve  
4 the care of people living with dementia admitted to hospitals: a realist review. BMJ  
5  
6  
7  
8 Open 2017;7(7):e015257.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For peer review only

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

**Tables**

Tables 1a-1d. Top six list items with the greatest difference in salience between hospital staff with and without personal experience of dementia caregiving for each of four interview questions.

Table 1a. Interview question: how to notice emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>The person will tell you:</i> Patients are able to say that they are distressed, such as describing an emotion.	-0.221	<i>Withdrawn:</i> Included being quiet, disengaged or subdued; patients were described as being distressed within themselves.	0.107
<i>Body language or posture:</i> Including descriptions of a patient waving, pointing or putting hands to their head.	-0.197	<i>Asking for family or friends:</i> Included asking where family are, whether family are safe, whether family know where they themselves are.	0.105
<i>Face or facial expression.</i>	-0.160	<i>Easily visible:</i> Distress was visible and seen by looking at somebody; or distress is obvious; 'it's not hard to tell'.	0.104
<i>Seeking, searching, looking for someone or something:</i> Didn't always describe what was being searched for.	-0.118	<i>Anxious or frightened.</i>	0.103
<i>Behaviour or actions:</i> Sometimes described as a change for the person.	-0.093	<i>Repeated questions:</i> Included patients not feeling reassured.	0.101
<i>Knowing the person:</i> To be able to notice distress; the patient not being their usual self, something being different.	-0.082	<i>Wanting to leave:</i> Included attempting to leave; asking to go out or for doors to be unlocked, trying exit doors, banging doors, absconsion, calling for a taxi, asking about the train station.	0.081

Table 1b. Interview question: causes of emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

<i>Not knowing where they are:</i> Disorientation, asking where they are and not knowing that they are in hospital.	-0.176	<i>Being orientated or contradicted:</i> Included descriptions of others not understanding a person's reality, questioning them and what they see or not giving an answer that a patient wants to hear.	0.122
<i>Strangers:</i> Unfamiliar or unknown people, unfamiliar faces and voices and patients not knowing who is around them.	-0.145	<i>Wanting to go home:</i> Missing home, asking to go home.	0.112
<i>Hunger or thirst.</i>	-0.134	<i>Being away from loved ones:</i> wanting to see family or friends, looking for them and thinking about them, not being with them, awaiting or not receiving visitors and not knowing where family are. Specific family members, such as 'mum' or 'husband', were mentioned. Descriptions also included feeling left or abandoned by family.	0.085
<i>Expression difficulties:</i> Patients being unable to express what they want to say or not being understood by others; included being unable to verbally express pain or emotions.	-0.124	<i>Frightened, scared or fearful.</i>	0.077
<i>The hospital environment:</i> An unfamiliar, new or foreign setting; this included descriptions of an intrusive environment and descriptions of hospital bays and beds.	-0.109	<i>Infection.</i>	0.063
<i>Upset by staff:</i> Included descriptions of the attitude, skills and manner of staff and the way people were spoken to causing distress; included staff being impatient and not understanding how to talk to somebody.	-0.102	<i>Rumination, remembering the past:</i> Included patients replaying past scenarios, experiencing flashbacks of earlier life.	0.060

Table 1c. Interview question: responses to emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Empathise:</i> Trying to understand, tuning in to what is troubling them, getting into their mind-set or universe; telling them they understand.	-0.164	<i>It depends on the level of distress.</i>	0.134
<i>Distraction:</i> Sometimes distracting from the person's thoughts or mood; changing topic; distracting for a short time.	-0.136	<i>Activities:</i> A range of games and puzzles were listed, including jigsaws, draughts, bingo, skittles crosswords and word puzzles, flower arranging and building.	0.109
<i>Listening:</i> Included telling the patient they are listening.	-0.103	<i>Contact with family:</i> Enabling contact with family included speaking with family on the phone, allowing family to visit at flexible times or stay longer.	0.093

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital: article

<i>Sometimes can't help</i> : Staff said they sometimes couldn't help or couldn't fully understand; not every time; included stating that they don't know the patient well.	-0.100	<i>Reassurance</i> : Giving reassurance generally was listed without further description.	0.092
<i>Mirroring</i> : Mirroring the person, their actions or volume; described building off each other.	-0.097	<i>It depends on the circumstances</i> .	0.063
<i>Talking</i> : Sharing conversation and stories; included talking whilst walking.	-0.096	<i>Comfort</i> : Included descriptions of being calming or nurturing.	0.058

Table 1d. Interview question: responses to emotional distress that seem to work.

Higher salience items for staff members with personal experience of dementia		Higher salience items for staff members without personal experience of dementia	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Sometimes can't help</i> : Staff said they sometimes couldn't help, sometimes nothing works or a response doesn't work; responses that work are never guaranteed.	-0.110	<i>Reassurance</i> : Giving reassurance generally was listed, including reassurance not to worry or that problems would be resolved.	0.174
<i>Empathise</i> : Trying to understand, putting self in their place; telling them they understand.	-0.101	<i>It depends on the level of distress</i> .	0.082
<i>Knowing the person</i> : Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each person being different/unique; being person-centred; being patient-led; different responses working for different people.	-0.088	<i>Contact with family</i> : Enabling contact with family included speaking with family on the phone, allowing family to visit at flexible times.	0.076
<i>Comfort</i> : Included descriptions of calming somebody, being nurturing or giving emotional contact.	-0.077	<i>Allowing space</i> : To pace or let their anger out.	0.073
<i>Change the member of staff</i> : Included changing to a more familiar staff member or changing to a male or female staff member.	-0.072	<i>Listen</i> : Included telling and showing the patient they are listening.	0.062
<i>Consult colleagues</i> : Included consulting with and learning from multidisciplinary colleagues.	-0.067	<i>All/any responses</i> : Anything, everything or all of them was listed.	0.058



## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

**Supplementary table**

Supplementary table S1a-d. Top six list items with the greatest difference in salience between hospital staff with more years of professional experience and fewer years of working experience for each of four interview questions.

Table S1a. Interview question: how to notice emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Crying</i> : Descriptions include crying, tears and weeping.	-0.400	<i>The person will tell you</i> : Patients are able to say that they are distressed, such as describing an emotion.	0.168
<i>Agitation</i> : The words 'agitation' or 'agitated' are used; verbal or physical agitation is described.	-0.384	<i>Body language or posture</i> : Including descriptions of a patient waving, pointing or putting hands to their head.	0.159
<i>Unsettled, fidgety, not relaxed, fiddling</i> : Being unsettled was described; descriptions include fiddling, fidgeting, fussing with items, tearing items, pulling at clothing or equipment, being flustered or unable to settle.	-0.320	<i>Face or facial expression</i> .	0.130
<i>Aggression</i> : The terms 'aggression' or 'aggressive' were used without further description. Descriptions included.	-0.250	<i>Easily visible</i> : Distress was visible and seen by looking at somebody; or distress is obvious; 'it's not hard to tell'.	0.111
<i>Anxious or frightened</i> .	-0.207	<i>It is different patient to patient</i> : state distress is shown differently by different people; can include distress depending on the person's life experiences.	0.105
<i>Knowing the person</i> : To be able to notice distress; the patient not being their usual self, something being different.	-0.142	<i>Behaviour or actions</i> : Sometimes described as a change for the person.	0.097

Table S1b. Interview question: causes of emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>The hospital environment</i> : An unfamiliar, new or foreign setting; this included descriptions of an intrusive environment and descriptions of hospital bays and beds.	-0.242	<i>Lots of different things</i> : staff said there are lots of/a variety/a myriad of things that cause distress; the causes can change; the reasons for distress need to be worked out or analysed.	0.151

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

<i>Infection.</i>	-0.153	<i>Being confused.</i>	0.117
<i>Frustration.</i>	-0.143	<i>Strangers:</i> Unfamiliar or unknown people, unfamiliar faces and voices and patients not knowing who is around them.	0.113
<i>Delirium.</i>	-0.129	<i>Being too hot or too cold.</i>	0.098
<i>Missing belongings and objects:</i> being without familiar things such as a teddy bear and sleeping in a different bed.	-0.129	<i>Hunger or thirst.</i>	0.096
<i>Not knowing where they are:</i> Disorientation, asking where they are and not knowing that they are in hospital.	-0.112	<i>Memory problems:</i> forgetfulness, forgetting having their questions answered, forgetting reassurance, being unable to recall their date of birth or items on a cognitive screening tool.	0.091

Table S1c. Interview question: responses to emotional distress.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Space:</i> staff listed offering a different or preferred environment, room or space. This included using the café away from the ward.	-0.221	<i>Comfort:</i> Included descriptions of being calming or nurturing.	0.167
<i>Reassurance:</i> listed without further description.	-0.144	<i>Ask the person:</i> asking what the matter is or why they are distressed, asking what they would like.	0.093
<i>Empathise:</i> Trying to understand, tuning in to what is troubling them, getting into their mind-set or universe; telling them they understand.	-0.137	<i>Talking:</i> Sharing conversation and stories; included talking whilst walking.	0.091
<i>A cup of tea.</i>	-0.130	<i>Leave them:</i> included allowing space for the patient to let off steam or wind down, being hands off, allowing them liberty, not stopping somebody from wandering, staff keeping their distance for the safety of colleagues or patients.	0.091
<i>Touch:</i> included holding a person's hand or offering a hug.	-0.127	<i>Mirroring:</i> Mirroring the person, their actions or volume; described building off each other.	0.069
<i>Body language:</i> listed without further explanation, meaning staff used their body language in their response.	-0.123	<i>Identify the cause or reason for distress:</i> identifying the problem or the need; fathoming, working out or getting to the grounds of the distress.	0.057

Table S1d. Interview question: responses to emotional distress that seem to work.

Higher salience items for staff members with more years of professional experience		Higher salience items for staff members with fewer years of professional experience	
List item	Difference in salience	List item	Difference in salience
<i>Space:</i> offering a different or preferred environment,	-0.280	<i>Knowing the person:</i> Staff described having to know or get to know the person; included each person being	0.271

## Person-centred care for patients with dementia in hospital

room or space. This included quiet and still spaces.		different/unique; being person-centred; being patient-led; different responses working for different people.	
<i>Touch</i> : descriptions of touch included holding a person's hand or offering a hug.	-0.133	<i>It depends on the circumstances.</i>	0.174
<i>It depends on the level of distress.</i>	-0.126	<i>Listen</i> : Included telling and showing the patient they are listening.	0.148
<i>Sitting with, being with, engaging with.</i> Included descriptions of giving attention and being a person without uniform or equipment.	-0.099	<i>Change the member of staff</i> : Included changing to a more familiar staff member or changing to a male or female staff member.	0.117
<i>All/any responses</i> : Anything, everything or all of them was listed.	-0.076	<i>Talking</i> : sharing conversation, chatting, communicating.	0.114
<i>Activities.</i>	-0.067	<i>Sometimes can't help</i> : Staff said they sometimes couldn't help, sometimes nothing works or a response doesn't work; responses that work are never guaranteed.	0.093

For peer review only

## Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)\*

<http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/srqr/>

BMJ Open Manuscript ID bmjopen-2018-025655

The importance of personal and professional experience for hospital staff in person-centred dementia care: a cross-sectional interview study using freelisting in a UK hospital ward

Page/line no(s).

### Title and abstract

<p><b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended</p>	<p>Page 1, line 3</p>
<p><b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions</p>	<p>Page 5, line 10</p>

### Introduction

<p><b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement</p>	<p>Page 7, line 6</p>
<p><b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions</p>	<p>Page 8, line 3</p>

### Methods

<p><b>Qualitative approach and research paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**</p>	<p>Page 9, line 44</p>
<p><b>Researcher characteristics and reflexivity</b> - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability</p>	<p>Page 9, line 20</p>
<p><b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**</p>	<p>Page 8, line 48</p>
<p><b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**</p>	<p>Page 8, line 44</p>

1 2 3	<b>Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Page 9, line 17
4 5 6 7 8	<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	Page 9, line 40
9 10 11 12 13	<b>Data collection instruments and technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Page 9, line 40
14 15 16 17	<b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Page 8, line 44
18 19 20 21	<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	Page 10, line 6
22 23 24 25	<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Page 11, line 19
26 27 28 29	<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	Page 10, line 8

### Results/findings

30 31 32 33 34 35	<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Page 16, line 25
36 37 38	<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Page 25, line 5

### Discussion

39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<b>Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field</b> - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Page 17, line 18
47 48	<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	

### Other

49 50 51 52 53	<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Page 19, line 27
54 55 56	<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Page 3, line 21

1 \*The authors created the SRQR by searching the literature to identify guidelines, reporting  
2 standards, and critical appraisal criteria for qualitative research; reviewing the reference  
3 lists of retrieved sources; and contacting experts to gain feedback. The SRQR aims to  
4 improve the transparency of all aspects of qualitative research by providing clear standards  
5 for reporting qualitative research.  
6  
7

8 \*\*The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach,  
9 method, or technique rather than other options available, the assumptions and limitations  
10 implicit in those choices, and how those choices influence study conclusions and  
11 transferability. As appropriate, the rationale for several items might be discussed together.  
12

13  
14 **Reference:**

15 O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. **Standards for reporting qualitative**  
16 **research: a synthesis of recommendations.** *Academic Medicine*, Vol. 89, No. 9 / Sept 2014  
17 DOI: [10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388)  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60