

PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* 2015 4:1

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item | Information reported | | Line number(s) |
|-----------------------------------|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Yes | No | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION | | | | | |
| Title | | | | | |
| Identification | 1a | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1-3 |
| Update | 1b | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | NA |
| Registration | 2 | If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 79-80 |
| Authors | | | | | |
| Contact | 3a | Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 5-49 |
| Contributions | 3b | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 336-341 |
| Amendments | 4 | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | NA |
| Support | | | | | |
| Sources | 5a | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 344-346 |
| Sponsor | 5b | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 344-346 |
| Role of sponsor/funder | 5c | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 344-346 |
| INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
| Rationale | 6 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 97-136 |
| Objectives | 7 | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 133-136 |

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item | Information reported | | Line number(s) |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | Yes | No | |
| METHODS | | | | | |
| Eligibility criteria | 8 | Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 148-169 Table 1 |
| Information sources | 9 | Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 172-190 |
| Search strategy | 10 | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 172-184 Table 2 |
| STUDY RECORDS | | | | | |
| Data management | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 192-195 |
| Selection process | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 196-208 |
| Data collection process | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 209-213 |
| Data items | 12 | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 214-224 Table 3 |
| Outcomes and prioritization | 13 | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 214-224 Table 3 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14 | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 229-233 |
| DATA | | | | | |
| Synthesis | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 234-249 |
| | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., I^2 , Kendall's tau) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 234-249 |
| | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 244-249 |
| | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 234-249 |

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item | Information reported | | Line number(s) |
|--|----|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Yes | No | |
| Meta-bias(es) | 16 | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 234-249 |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence | 17 | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 234-249 |

NA = not applicable

For peer review only

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>