

Data Extraction Table with Examples

Review Matrix on the Use of Infrared Thermographic Imaging in Medical Diagnostics: a Scoping Review

| Reference & Purpose | | | | | | Study Design & Participants | | | | Content & Results | | | | | | Conclusion & Comment | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RefID only for internal use | Source Database DOI | Author(s) Title | Publication: Type Year Journal | Origin: Research & Sample | Aims / Purpose | # | Characteristics | Study and Sample Design, Setting | Health Condition | Year Collected | Methodology (technical and environmental) & Device | (Diagnostic) Purpose e.g. Screening | Examination / Application | Comparator | Outcome(s) | Key Findings related to review objectives | Author's Conclusion | Reviewer's Comments |
| | | Aggarwal N, Garg M, Dwarakanathan V et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-contact infrared thermometers and thermal scanners: a systematic review and meta-analysis. | Journal Article 2008 <i>J Travel Med</i> | Research: India, USA Sample: International (15 countries) | Investigate diagnostic accuracy of non-contact infrared thermometers and thermal scanners for the detection of fever | 19 Studies in meta-analysis, 12,759 patients with 13,874 readings | Neonates, children, adults | Systematic review and Meta-analysis Studies on assessment of diagnostic accuracy of non-contact infrared thermometers and thermal scanners. Setting: inpatient, outpatient / airport, unclassified | Unclear, possible fever | 2004 - 2020 | Contactless infrared thermal imaging systems for temperature measurements. Handheld non-contact infrared thermometers (NCITs) and thermal scanners | Thermal screening during a pandemic | Fever measured by non-contact infrared devices | Fever measured by conventional thermometer (tympanic, axillary, rectal, oral) | NCITs sensitivity 0.781 (95%CI 0.628–0.882) and specificity 0.926 (95%CI 0.795–0.975) Thermal scanners sensitivity 0.818 (95%CI 0.758–0.866) and specificity 0.923 (95%CI 0.823–0.969) | NCITs and thermal scanners are validated instruments for mass screening of fever | Handheld NCITs and thermal scanners have a reasonable sensitivity and specificity in detecting fever. However, | |
| | | Jesenšek Papež B, Palfy M, Turk, Z. Infrared Thermography Based on Artificial Intelligence for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Diagnosis. | Journal Article 2008 <i>J Int Med Res</i> | Slovenia | Improve the diagnosis of CTS with thermography using a computer-based system employing artificial neural networks (ANN) to analyse the images | 30 pathological, 26 healthy, 112 images | Adult (26-71 years, 15 females, 8 males) patients with confirmed CTS without previous surgery, volunteers (28-66 years, 8 females, 5 males) confirmed with no CTS | Observational Study Patients referred by general practitioners and specialists to the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Medical Centre Maribor, | Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) | n.a. | Neo Thermo TVS-700 camera (NEC Avio Infrared Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) resolution 320 × 240 pixels, standard distance 80 cm, ambient temperature 23±1 °C; relative humidity 56±8%. Software application based on ANN | Computer aided diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome | Dorsal and palmar thermographic imaging of CTS confirmed by electro-myography | Dorsal and palmar thermographic imaging of no CTS confirmed by electro-myography | The classification success rate for the dorsal side of the hand was in mean 80.6%. Palmar segments had no beneficial influence on the outcome | CTS with computer aided thermographic diagnostic is a promising field for further research | Relatively high success rates give rise to optimism, especially when there is still plenty of scope for method improvement | Temperature of each individual segment normalized according to the mean temperature of the whole hand facilitates inter-individual comparisons. Computer aided diagnosis can be resource efficient. |