

Table S1. Search term in PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Wanfang, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases.

(meta-analysis OR review OR systematic review OR systematic overview)

AND

(stroke OR cerebral infarction OR ischemic stroke OR cerebral hemorrhage OR hemorrhagic stroke)

AND

((association OR associated OR relationship OR related OR risk) OR (diet OR dietetic OR diets OR dietary) OR (nutrition OR nutrient) OR (food OR food group OR food cluster) OR beverage) OR (cereal OR grain OR corn OR wholegrain OR soy OR soya OR whole wheat OR potatoes OR granary OR tuber OR pulses OR legumes OR lentils OR beans OR rice OR quinoa OR fruit and vegetable OR milk OR dairy OR dairy products OR yogurt OR cheese OR cream OR egg OR meat OR pork OR lamb OR chicken OR poultry OR beef OR turkey OR duck OR fish OR seafood OR shellfish OR salt OR oil OR butter OR margarine OR nut OR desert OR sweets OR candy OR chocolate) OR (alcohol OR caffeine OR coffee OR tea OR juice OR beer OR lemonade OR drinks OR drinking OR wine OR liquor OR sugar sweetened beverage) OR (dietary pattern OR mediterranean OR vegetarian OR dietary approaches to stop hypertension) OR (macronutrient OR fat OR fatty acid OR carbohydrate OR fiber OR fiber OR cholesterol OR starch OR fructose OR protein) OR (micronutrient OR vitamin OR mineral OR calcium OR flavonoid OR iron OR iodine OR zinc OR selenium OR copper OR manganese OR chromium OR cobalt OR pantothenic acid OR folic acid OR potassium OR sodium OR folate OR magnesium) OR (physical OR exercise OR walking OR weight OR obesity OR blood pressure OR pulse pressure OR sleep OR smoking OR drug OR work OR rest) OR (emotion OR mental OR depression OR despondent OR social isolation OR anger OR hostility) OR (traffic OR pollution))

Table S2. Criteria for quality of evidence classification in observed studies.

Category	Criteria
Strong evidence	No. of cases > 1,000 $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ $I^2 < 50\%$ 95% prediction interval excluding the null No small-study effects No excess significance bias
Highly suggestive evidence	No. of cases > 1,000 $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ Largest study with a statistically significant effect
Suggestive evidence	No. of cases > 1,000 $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$
Weak evidence	$P < 0.05$
No significant evidence	$P > 0.05$

Table S3. List of excluded studies

Eligibility in the basis of full article review	n ^{ref}
Not the largest and most comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the effect	53 ¹⁻⁵³
Not especially for purposed exposure	4 ⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷
Not the outcomes	2 ⁵⁸⁻⁵⁹
Not cohort study included	2 ⁶⁰⁻⁶¹
Not modifiable factors reported	10 ⁶²⁻⁷⁰
Full-text not available	1 ⁷¹

Table S4. List of excluded studies according to each exposure.

Exposure	Searched (n ^{ref})	Excluded (n ^{ref} reasons)
Food factors		
Fruit and vegetable	2 ^{1 72}	1 ¹ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Salt	2 ^{54 55}	1 ⁵⁴ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Olive oil	1 ⁷³	NA
Legumes	2 ^{2 74}	1 ² (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Whole grain	1 ⁷⁵	NA
Refined grain	1 ⁷⁶	NA
Milk	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Low fat milk	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
High fat milk	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Yogurt	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Fermented dairy	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Low fat dairy	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
High fat dairy	4 ^{3-5 77}	3 ³⁻⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Soy	1 ⁷⁸	NA
Nuts	2 ^{2 79}	1 ² (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Cheese	2 ^{3 4}	1 ⁴ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Cream	2 ^{3 4}	1 ⁴ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Butter	2 ^{3 4}	1 ⁴ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Total meat	2 ^{6 57}	1 ⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Red meat	2 ^{6 57}	1 ⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Processed meat	2 ^{6 57}	1 ⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
White meat	2 ^{6 57}	1 ⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Chocolate	3 ^{7 8 80}	2 ^{7 8} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Poultry	2 ^{57 81}	1 ⁵⁷ (not especially for poultry)
Fish	8 ^{9-14 57 82}	7 ^{9-14 57} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Lean fish	8 ^{9-14 57 82}	7 ^{9-14 57} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Fatty fish	8 ^{9-14 57 82}	7 ^{9-14 57} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Shellish	8 ^{9-14 57 82}	7 ^{9-14 57} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Egg	5 ^{10 11 15 16 83}	4 ^{10 11 15 16} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Beverages		
Tea	2 ^{17 84}	1 ¹⁷ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Alcohol	10 ^{18-26 85}	9 ¹⁸⁻²⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Coffee	4 ^{10 27-29}	3 ²⁷⁻²⁹ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Dietary behaviours		
Mediterranean diet	1 ⁸⁶	NA
DASH	1 ⁸⁷	NA
Micronutrients		

Vitamin C	1 ⁸⁸	NA
Calcium	3 ^{30 31 89}	2 ^{30 31} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Flavonoid	1 ⁹⁰	NA
		5 ³²⁻³⁶ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Potassium	7 ^{32-36 56 91}	1 ⁵⁶ (not especially for potassium)
Vitamin E	3 ^{60 92}	2 ⁶⁰ (not cohort)
Sodium	3 ⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶	2 ^{54 55} (not especially for sodium)
Vitamin B6	2 ^{58 93}	1 ⁵⁸ (not the outcome)
Folate	2 ^{58 93}	1 ⁵⁸ (not the outcome)
Vitamin B12	2 ^{58 93}	1 ⁵⁸ (not the outcome)
Vitamin D	1 ⁹⁴	NA
Magnesium	6 ^{32 33 37-39 95}	5 ^{32 33 37-39} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Macronutrients		
Fiber	1 ⁹⁶	NA
Carbohydrate	1 ⁹⁷	NA
Monounsaturated fatty acid	1 ⁹⁸	NA
Protein	1 ⁹⁹	NA
Cholesterol	1 ¹⁰⁰	
Saturated fat	3 ^{40 41 101}	2 ^{40 41} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Factors of physical health management		
Overweight	2 ^{23 102}	1 ²³ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Obesity	2 ^{23 102}	1 ²³ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Shift-work	1 ¹⁰³	NA
Physical activity	5 ^{42-45 104}	4 ⁴²⁻⁴⁵ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
		1 ⁵⁹ (not the outcome) ;
PP	2 ^{53 59 105}	1 ⁵³ (not the largest and most comprehensive)
SBP	2 ^{59 105}	1 ⁵⁹ (not the outcome)
DBP	2 ¹⁰⁵	1 ⁵⁹ (not the outcome)
Sleep duration	3 ^{46 47 106}	2 ^{46 47} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
Oral contraceptives	1 ¹⁰⁷	NA
Anti-inflammatory		
drugs	1 ¹⁰⁸	NA
Smoking	4 ^{23 48 49 109}	3 ^{23 48 49} (not the largest and most comprehensive)
walking pace	1 ¹¹⁰	NA
Factors of emotional health management		
Depression	1 ¹¹¹	NA
Social isolation	1 ¹¹²	NA
Anger and hostility	1 ¹¹³	NA

Factors of environmental health management

Road traffic noise	1 ¹¹⁴	NA
PM _{2.5}	4 ^{50-52 115}	3 ⁵⁰⁻⁵² (not the largest and most comprehensive)
PM ₁₀	4 ^{50-52 115}	3 ⁵⁰⁻⁵² (not the largest and most comprehensive)
NO ₂	4 ^{50-52 115}	3 ⁵⁰⁻⁵² (not the largest and most comprehensive)

Abbreviations: NA, not available; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Table S5. Main characteristics of included systematic reviews or meta-analyses that evaluate modifiable factors and stroke risk.

First Author, Year	Main Comparison	Exposure	No. of primary cohort studies	Follow-up duration (years)	No. of participants / No. of cases	AMSTAR 2	
Food factors							
He, 2006 ⁷²	High vs low	Intake	Fruit and vegetable	8	3.09-20.00	257,551 / 4,917	Critically low
Strazzullo, 2009 ⁵⁵	High vs low	Intake	Salt	10	3.50-19.00	154,282 / 5,346	Critically low
Martínez-González, 2014 ⁷³	Dose-response	25 g/d	Olive oil	2	4.80-10.40	31,226 / 543	Critically low
Shi, 2014 ⁷⁴	High vs low	Intake	Legumes	5	12.50-26.00	173,229 / 4,030	Critically low
Fang, 2015 ⁷⁵	High vs low	Intake	Whole grain	6	5.50-24.00	247,487 / 1,635	Critically low
Wu, 2015 ⁷⁶	High vs low	Intake	Refined grain	7	5.50-15.20	410,821 / 8,284	Critically low
		200 g/d	Milk	14	10.00-25.00	603,919 / 24,887	
		200 g/d	Low fat milk	4	10.00-13.60	159,547 / 5,942	
		200 g/d	High fat milk	4	10.00-13.60	159,547 / 5,942	
de Goede, 2016 ⁷⁷	Dose-response	100 g/d	Yogurt	3	13.60-17.30	116,555 / 3,894	Critically low
		200 g/d	Fermented dairy	5	10.00-17.30	160,048 / 7,032	
		200 g/d	Low fat dairy	6	10.00-24.30	263,425 / 10,044	
		200 g/d	High fat dairy	5	10.20-24.30	262,643 / 8,990	
Lou, 2016 ⁷⁸	High vs low	Intake	Soy	3	6.30-14.70	119,884 / 2,032	Critically low
Shao, 2016 ⁷⁹	Dose-response	12 grams/day	Nuts	11	4.30-22.70	671,301 / 7,665	Critically low
		Intake	Cheese	6	10.00-17.30	224,101 / 10,483	
Gholami, 2017 ³	High vs low	Intake	Cream	3	10.20-13.60	127,962 / 8,546	Critically low
		Intake	Butter	3	10.00-13.69	111,280 / 5,299	
		Intake	Total meat	4	7.50-18.00	213,722 / 8,848	

Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	High vs low	Intake	Red meat	5	5.50-26.00	254,742 / 9,522	
		Intake	Processed meat	5	10.10-26.00	254,742 / 9,522	Critically low
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	High vs low	Intake	White meat	2	5.50-26.00	138,761 / 4,759	
		Intake	Chocolate	7	4.70-16.00	231,038 / 8,197	Critically low
Mohammadi, 2018 ⁸¹	High vs low	Intake	Poultry	5	5.50-26.00	354,728 / 7,705	Critically low
			Fish	31	4.00-30.00	1,145,154 / 32,738	
			Lean fish	5	4.30-18.00	101,594 / 2,966	Low
Zhao, 2019 ⁸²	High vs low	Intake	Fatty fish	6	4.30-18.00	125,906 / 3,387	
			Shellfish	5	4.30-12.00	200,046 / 2,152	
		Intake	Egg	16	8.80-32.00	1,387,653 / 5,8451	Critically low
Beverages							
Shen, 2012 ⁸⁴	Dose-response	3 cups/d	Tea	14	4.00-24.00	513,804 / 10,192	Critically low
Larsson, 2016 ¹¹⁷	High vs low	Light-to-moderate	Alcohol	29	3.80-29.10	1102642 / 222,825	Critically low
		High-to-heavy					
Shao, 2021 ²⁹	High vs low	Intake	Coffee	21	3.50-28.00	2,483,086 / 26,241	Critically low
Dietary behaviors							
Psaltopoulou, 2013 ⁸⁶	High vs low	Adherence	Mediterranean diet	4	7.89-20.00	152843/ 2560	Critically low
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	High vs low	Adherence	DASH	12	7.90-24.00	548,632 / 15,270	Critically low
Micronutrients							
Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	High vs low	Intake	Vitamin C	12	6.10-30.00	217,454 / 3,762	Critically low
Larsson, 2013 ⁸⁹	Dose-response	< 700 mg/d	Calcium	5	9.60-22.0	153,280 / 2,634	Critically low
		> 700 mg/d		6	98.00-13.60	250,551 / 6,461	
Tang, 2016 ⁹⁰	Dose-response	100 mg/d	Flavonoid	11	6.10-28.00	356,627 / 5,154	Critically low
Vinceti, 2016 ⁹¹	High vs low	Intake	Potassium	16	3.70-25.80	639,440 / 19,522	Critically low

Cheng, 2018 (1) ⁹²	High vs low	Intake	Vitamin E	9	6.10-15.00	220,371 / 3,284	Critically low
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Dose-response	1 gr/d	Sodium	13	4.70-28.00	252,985 / 9,503	Critically low
Chen, 2020 ⁹³	High vs low	Intake	Vitamin B6	5	10.00-16.20	264,253 / 7,334	Critically low
		Intake	Folate	10	4.20-19.00	255,458 / 8,477	
Shi, 2020 ⁹⁴	High vs low	Intake	Vitamin B12	5	4.20-14.00	130,965 / 5,458	Low
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	High vs low	Intake	Vitamin D	4	10.00-34.00	67,238 / 2,616	Low
			Magnesium	15	NR	692,998 / 20138	Critically low
Macronutrients							
Chen, 2013 ⁹⁶	High vs low	Intake	Fiber	6	6.00-8.00	314,864 / 8,920	Critically low
Cai, 2015 ⁹⁷	Dose-response	290 g/d	Carbohydrate	4	5.00-18.00	170,348 / 1,851	Critically low
Cheng, 2016 ⁹⁸	High vs low	Intake	Monounsaturated fatty acid	10	7.60-20.00	307,087 / 5,827	Critically low
Zhang, 2016 ¹¹⁹	High vs low	Intake	Protein	12	5.00-26.00	528,982 / 1,1340	Critically low
Cheng, 2018 (2) ¹⁰⁰	High vs low	Intake	Cholesterol	7	6.10-15.00	269,777 / 4,604	Critically low
Kang, 2020 ¹⁰¹	High vs low	Intake	Saturated fat	14	7.40-20.00	598,435 / 12,084	Low
Factors of physical health management							
Strazzullo, 2010 ¹⁰²	High vs low	With vs without	Overweight	22	7.00-28.00	2,159,827 / 2,7357	Critically low
			Obesity	22	7.00-28.00	1,800,924 / 22,279	
Li 2016 ¹⁰³	High vs low	Time	Shift-work	4	NR	488,699 / 4,231	Critically low
Kyu 2016 ¹⁰⁴	High vs low	Amount	Physical activity	26	5.80-16.40	1,573,231 / NR	Critically low
			PP	6	6.50-12.00	122,265 / 3,147	
Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	Dose-response	10 mmHg increase	SBP	6	6.50-12.01	122,265 / 3,147	Critically low
			DBP	6	6.50-12.02	122,265 / 3,147	
			Sleep duration	12	7.80-14.70	528,653 / 12,193	
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	Dose-response	More than 7 h	Sleep duration	12	7.80-14.70	528,653 / 12,193	Critically low
Xu, 2018 ¹⁰⁷	High vs low	Current vs non	Oral contraceptives	5	3.00-11.00	NR / 1,951	Critically low

Islam, 2018 ¹⁰⁸	High vs low	With vs without	Anti-inflammatory drugs	5	2.00-13.00	1,578,679 / NR	Critically low
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	High vs low	Current vs non	Smoking	9	8.00-18.00	393,598 / 3,412	Critically low
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	High vs low	Speed	Walking pace	7	5.20-11.90	135,645 / 2,229	Critically low
Factors of emotional health management							
Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	High vs low	Scores	Depression	17	3.00-29.00	206,641 / 6,086	Critically low
Valtorta, 2016 ¹¹²	High vs low	Feeling	Social isolation	8	4.00-18.60	105,514 / 2,577	Critically low
Chen, 2019 ¹¹³	High vs low	Feeling	Anger and hostility	7	2.00-8.50	52,277 / NR	Critically low
Factors of environmental management							
Dzhambov, 2016 ¹¹⁴	Dose-response	10 dB	Road traffic noise	5	7.90-13.00	243,145 / 6,672	Critically low
Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	Dose-response	10 µg/m ³	PM _{2.5}	8	1.00-21.00	558,698 / 26,200	
			PM ₁₀	6	4.00-21.00	1,097,987 / 23,122	Critically low
			NO ₂	4	4.00-25.00	1,112,832 / 18,336	

Abbreviations: AMSTAR, assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews; NR, not reported; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Table S6. Modifiable factors and total stroke risk.

First Author, Year	Exposure	SHR (95% CI)	P value	I ² (%)	τ ²	95% PI	Egger's P				
							value	O	E	P	ESF
Food factors											
He, 2006 ^{72, a}	Fruit and vegetable	0.74 (0.69, 0.79)	< 0.0001	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Strazzullo, 2009 ⁵⁵	Salt	1.25 (1.09, 1.44)	2.00×10 ⁻³	56.70	0.02	(0.85, 1.85)	0.10	3	5.97	0.12	No
Martí nez-González, 2014 ⁷³	Olive oil	0.75 (0.59, 0.96)	0.02	34.50	0.02	NA	NA	2	NA	NA	NA
Shi, 2014 ⁷⁴	Legumes	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)	0.38	39.40	7.40×10 ⁻³	(0.67, 1.33)	0.25	0	NA	NA	NA
Fang, 2015 ⁷⁵	Whole grain	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)	0.30	31.60	0.02	(0.56, 1.45)	0.72	1	0.92	0.93	No
Wu, 2015 ⁷⁶	Refined grain	1.01 (0.93, 1.11)	0.78	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.90, 1.14)	0.59	0	4.65	1.38×10 ⁻³	Yes
	Milk	0.90 (0.83, 0.98)	0.02	80.00	0.01	(0.69, 1.19)	0.21	3	NA	NA	NA
	Low fat milk	0.94 (0.83, 1.08)	0.40	69.00	0.01	(0.54, 1.66)	0.61	1	NA	NA	NA
	High fat milk	1.11 (1.02, 1.20)	0.02	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.92, 1.32)	0.77	1	NA	NA	NA
	Yogurt	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)	0.15	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.61, 1.86)	0.31	0	NA	NA	NA
de Goede, 2016 ⁷⁷	Fermented dairy	0.92 (0.85, 1.01)	0.07	72.40	6.40×10 ⁻³	(0.69, 1.24)	5.00×10 ⁻³	2	NA	NA	NA
	Low fat dairy	0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	0.47	59.30	7.00×10 ⁻⁴	(0.91, 1.08)	0.08	2	NA	NA	NA
	High fat dairy	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.04	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.92, 1.02)	0.97	1	NA	NA	NA
Lou, 2016 ⁷⁸	Soy	0.94 (0.82, 1.08)	0.37	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.38, 2.29)	0.93	0	0.15	0.32	No
Shao, 2016 ⁷⁹	Nuts	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.02	8.20	2.30×10 ⁻³	(0.76, 1.04)	0.57	1	NA	NA	NA
	Cheese	0.93 (0.88, 0.99)	0.02	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.86, 1.01)	0.99	0	1.44	0.23	No
Gholami, 2017 ³	Cream	0.91 (0.78, 1.07)	0.26	82.60	0.02	(0.14, 5.90)	0.35	1	0.15	1.28×10 ⁻⁸	Yes
	Butter	0.97 (0.88, 1.08)	0.59	13.80	1.20×10 ⁻³	(0.45, 2.12)	0.15	0	NA	NA	NA
	Total meat	1.18 (1.09, 1.28)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.99, 1.41)	0.50	2	NA	NA	NA

Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	Red meat	1.11 (1.03, 1.20)	6.00×10^{-3}	0.90	1.00×10^{-4}	(0.98, 1.26)	0.75	2	NA	NA	NA
	Processed meat	1.16 (1.07, 1.26)	< 0.0001	15.90	1.40×10^{-3}	(0.97, 1.39)	0.40	3	NA	NA	NA
	White meat	0.87 (0.78, 0.96)	8.00×10^{-3}	0.00	< 0.00001	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	Chocolate	0.83 (0.77, 0.89)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.75, 0.92)	2.00×10^{-3}	5	2.62	0.15	No
Mohammadi, 2018 ⁸¹	Poultry	0.97 (0.85, 1.12)	0.71	50.40	0.01	(0.64, 1.47)	0.25	1	NA	NA	NA
	Fish	0.91 (0.86, 0.97)	2.00×10^{-3}	47.60	0.01	(0.73, 1.13)	0.18	7	12.30	0.19	No
Zhao, 2019 ⁸²	Lean fish	0.84 (0.69, 1.02)	0.08	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.61, 1.15)	0.42	1	0.25	3.10×10^{-3}	Yes
	Fatty fish	0.89 (0.76, 1.05)	0.17	29.50	0.01	(0.61, 1.30)	1.00	1	1.17	0.87	No
	Shellfish	0.97 (0.82, 1.15)	0.76	20.90	7.90×10^{-3}	(0.66, 1.45)	0.39	1	1.16	0.87	No
Tang, 2020 ¹¹⁶	Egg	0.96 (0.87, 1.06)	0.44	74.10	0.02	(0.69, 1.34)	0.81	5	7.03	0.46	No
Beverages											
Shen, 2012 ⁸⁴	Tea	0.80 (0.70, 0.91)	1.00×10^{-3}	59.00	0.03	(0.52, 1.21)	0.49	6	NA	NA	NA
Larsson, 2016 ^{117, a}	Light-to-moderate Alcohol	0.98 (0.87, 1.10)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	High-to-heavy Alcohol	1.32 (1.03, 1.70)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Shao, 2021 ²⁹	Coffee	0.86 (0.79, 0.94)	1.00×10^{-3}	39.80	0.01	(0.66, 1.13)	0.06	7	2.24	0.02	Yes
Dietary behaviours											
Psaltopoulou, 2013 ⁸⁶	Mediterranean diet	0.80 (0.67, 0.95)	9.00×10^{-3}	25.30	8.20×10^{-3}	(0.46, 1.37)	0.76	1	1.00	1.00	No
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	DASH	0.87 (0.81, 0.93)	< 0.0001	48.90	5.30×10^{-3}	(0.72, 1.04)	0.16	7	NA	NA	NA
Micronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	Vitamin C	0.81 (0.73, 0.90)	< 0.0001	3.10	1.00×10^{-3}	(0.71, 0.93)	0.62	3	0.75	2.04×10^{-3}	Yes
Larsson, 2013 ^{89, a}	Calcium (< 700 mg/d)	0.82 (0.76, 0.88)	NA	0.00	NA	NA	NA	3	NA	NA	NA
	Calcium (> 700 mg/d)	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	NA	0.00	NA	NA	NA	2	NA	NA	NA
Tang, 2016 ⁹⁰	Flavonoid	0.89 (0.82, 0.97)	5.00×10^{-3}	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.81, 0.98)	0.24	1	NA	NA	NA
Vinceti, 2016 ⁹¹	Potassium	0.91 (0.83, 1.00)	0.04	64.80	0.02	(0.66, 1.24)	0.67	6	2.18	0.04	Yes

Cheng, 2018 (1) ⁹²	Vitamin E	0.87 (0.73, 1.03)	0.09	35.70	0.02	(0.58, 1.29)	0.88	2	NA	NA	NA
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Sodium	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)	< 0.0001	51.90	7.50×10 ⁻³	(0.93, 1.41)	0.12	2	NA	NA	NA
Chen, 2020 ⁹³	Vitamin B6	0.85 (0.70, 1.03)	0.09	68.80	0.03	(0.46, 1.55)	0.95	1	3.66	0.02	Yes
	Folate	0.90 (0.80, 1.01)	0.07	37.60	0.01	(0.68, 1.19)	0.17	4	0.50	2.04×10 ⁻¹²	Yes
Shi, 2020 ⁹⁴	Vitamin B12	1.02 (0.88, 1.19)	0.80	26.60	8.50×10 ⁻³	(0.69, 1.50)	0.96	0	2.26	0.09	Yes
	Vitamin D	0.75 (0.57, 0.98)	0.04	49.00	0.03	(0.26, 2.03)	0.88	3	1.53	0.18	No
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	Magnesium	0.89 (0.83, 0.94)	< 0.0001	1.20	2.00×10 ⁻⁴	(0.82, 0.95)	5.00×10 ⁻³	2	NA	NA	NA
Macronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁹⁶	Fiber	0.88 (0.78, 1.00)	0.05	40.00	9.00×10 ⁻³	(0.64, 1.21)	0.50	1	NA	NA	NA
Cai, 2015 ⁹⁷	Carbohydrate	1.20 (0.95, 1.50)	0.12	50.00	0.03	(0.51, 2.81)	0.60	1	NA	NA	NA
Cheng, 2016 ⁹⁸	Monounsaturated fatty acid	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.02	33.00	7.30×10 ⁻³	(0.71, 1.12)	0.90	2	1.15	0.43	No
Zhang, 2016 ¹¹⁹	Protein	0.96 (0.90, 1.03)	0.26	70.30	5.00×10 ⁻³	(0.81, 1.15)	0.16	4	NA	NA	NA
Cheng, 2018 (2) ¹⁰⁰	Cholesterol	0.97 (0.77, 1.22)	0.80	56.00	0.04	(0.52, 1.80)	0.10	2	0.95	0.24	No
Kang, 2020 ¹⁰¹	Saturated fat	0.88 (0.79, 0.98)	0.02	45.90	0.01	(0.67, 1.16)	0.46	5	NA	NA	NA
Factors of physical health management											
Strazzullo, 2010 ^{102, a}	Overweight	1.22 (1.05, 1.41)	0.01	89.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Obesity	1.64 (1.36, 1.99)	< 0.0001	88.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Li 2016 ¹⁰³	Shift-work	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)	0.18	3.70	5.00×10 ⁻⁴	(0.89, 1.22)	0.24	0	NA	NA	NA
Kyu 2016 ^{104, a}	Physical activity	0.80 (0.70, 0.90)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	PP	1.14 (1.09, 1.20)	< 0.0001	35.40	1.10×10 ⁻³	(1.02, 1.28)	0.47	5	NA	NA	NA
Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	SBP	1.22 (1.11, 1.35)	< 0.0001	85.30	0.01	(0.88, 1.69)	0.33	5	NA	NA	NA
	DBP	1.23 (1.12, 1.35)	< 0.0001	71.00	8.10×10 ⁻³	(0.93, 1.63)	0.60	5	NA	NA	NA
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	Sleep duration	1.38 (1.20, 1.58)	< 0.0001	67.30	0.04	(0.88, 2.15)	0.47	9	NA	NA	NA
Xu, 2018 ¹⁰⁷	Oral contraceptives	1.30 (0.59, 2.89)	0.52	85.60	0.70	(0.07, 25.26)	0.60	3	NA	NA	NA

Islam, 2018 ¹⁰⁸	Anti-inflammatory drugs	1.59 (1.13, 2.25)	8.00×10^{-3}	89.70	0.12	(0.46, 5.47)	0.84	3	NA	NA	NA
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	Smoking	1.71 (1.37, 2.13)	< 0.0001	72.80	0.06	(0.89, 3.28)	0.07	6	NA	NA	NA
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	Walking pace	0.56 (0.48, 0.65)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.46, 0.69)	1.00	4	3.07	0.57	No
Factors of emotional health management											
Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	Depression	1.32 (1.17, 1.48)	< 0.0001	55.20	0.01	(0.92, 1.88)	0.17	9	NA	NA	NA
Valtorta, 2016 ¹¹²	Social isolation	1.32 (1.03, 1.69)	0.03	58.00	0.06	(0.66, 2.64)	0.04	4	0.72	2.47×10^{-6}	Yes
Chen, 2019 ¹¹³	Anger and hostility	1.08 (0.81, 1.45)	0.60	53.00	0.08	(0.48, 2.42)	0.07	2	1.83	0.91	No
Factors of environmental management											
Dzhambov, 2016 ¹¹⁴	Road traffic noise	1.05 (0.96, 1.14)	0.31	83.60	6.50×10^{-3}	(0.78, 1.40)	0.22	3	NA	NA	NA
Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	PM _{2.5}	1.14 (1.08, 1.21)	< 0.0001	40.20	2.10×10^{-3}	(0.78, 1.40)	0.32	5	NA	NA	NA
	PM ₁₀	1.04 (0.96, 1.13)	0.35	60.40	4.60×10^{-3}	(1.01, 1.30)	0.09	2	NA	NA	NA
	NO ₂	0.99 (0.95, 1.02)	0.51	0.00	0.00	(0.85, 1.28)	0.75	0	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviations: SHR, summary hazard ratio; O, observed number of significant; E, expected value of significant finding; CI, confidence interval; ESF, Excess significant finding; NA, Not Applicable; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

^a Summary hazard ratio extracted from published meta-analysis, no re-analysis possible.

Table S7. Modifiable factors and ischemic stroke risk.

First Author, Year	Main Comparison	SHR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value ^b	<i>I</i> ²	τ^2	95% PI	Egger's <i>P</i>				
							value	O	E	<i>P</i>	ESF
Food factors											
He, 2006 ^{72, a}	Fruit and vegetable	0.72 (0.66, 0.79)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Strazzullo, 2009 ⁵⁵	Salt	1.42 (0.74, 2.75)	0.29	91.40	0.21	NA	NA	1	1.87	0.01	Yes
Shi, 2014 ⁷⁴	Legumes	0.91 (0.73, 1.13)	0.39	71.30	0.04	(0.35, 2.32)	0.17	1	NA	NA	NA
Fang, 2015 ⁷⁵	Whole grain	0.83 (0.62, 1.09)	0.18	38.20	0.02	(0.06, 11.92)	0.89	1	0.86	0.85	No
Wu, 2015 ⁷⁶	Refined grain	1.04 (0.91, 1.19)	0.56	0.00	0.00	(0.78, 1.39)	0.70	0	0.19	0.31	No
de Goede, 2016 ⁷⁷	Milk	0.92 (0.85, 1.00)	0.05	56.30	4.60×10 ⁻³	(0.72, 1.19)	0.55	1	NA	NA	NA
	Yogurt	0.99 (0.71, 1.40)	0.97	32.80	0.03	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
	Low fat dairy	0.93 (0.83, 1.05)	0.24	69.20	4.90×10 ⁻³	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
Shao, 2016 ⁷⁹	High fat dairy	0.96 (0.91, 1.01)	0.15	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
	Nuts	0.97 (0.73, 1.28)	0.81	67.50	0.06	(0.30, 3.16)	0.08	2	NA	NA	NA
Gholami, 2017 ³	Cheese	0.90 (0.82, 0.98)	0.01	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.45	0.29	No
	Cream	0.92 (0.71, 1.18)	0.50	92.00	0.03	NA	NA	1	0.24	1.30×10 ⁻³	Yes
	Total meat	1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	1.00×10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00	(0.96, 1.43)	0.47	2	NA	NA	NA
Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	Red meat	1.14 (0.98, 1.31)	0.08	49.60	0.01	(0.74, 1.74)	0.98	2	NA	NA	NA
	Processed meat	1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	<0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.01, 1.35)	0.22	2	NA	NA	NA
	White meat	0.90 (0.78, 1.03)	0.11	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	Chocolate	0.87 (0.78, 0.96)	7.00×10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00	(0.44, 1.70)	0.28	0	0.83	0.25	No
Mohammadi, 2018 ⁸¹	Poultry	0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	0.17	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Zhao, 2019 ⁸²	Fish	0.95 (0.87, 1.05)	0.31	42.50	0.01	(0.74, 1.23)	0.32	2	0.72	0.07	Yes
	Lean fish	0.73 (0.54, 1.00)	0.05	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	1	0.15	4.22×10 ⁻⁹	Yes

	Fatty fish	0.87 (0.66, 1.13)	0.29	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	1.99	2.30×10 ⁻¹¹⁵	Yes
Tang, 2020 ¹¹⁶	Egg	0.93 (0.84, 1.04)	0.19	52.70	5.90×10 ⁻³	(0.72, 1.21)	0.47	1	1.86	0.53	No
Beverages											
Shen, 2012 ⁸⁴	Tea	0.76 (0.63, 0.92)	5.00×10 ⁻³	9.80	4.60×10 ⁻³	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
Larsson, 2016 ^{117, a}	Light-to-moderate	0.91 (0.88, 0.94)	NA	9.10	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	High-to-heavy Alcohol	1.09 (1.03, 1.16)	NA	.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Shao, 2021 ²⁹	Coffee	0.89 (0.70, 1.12)	0.32	73.80	0.04	(0.33, 2.41)	0.05	3	0.99	0.02	Yes
Dietary behaviors											
Psaltopoulou, 2013 ⁸⁶	Mediterranean diet	0.79 (0.52, 1.20)	0.27	73.00	0.10	(0.01, 96.96)	0.76	1	0.22	3.17×10 ⁻⁴	Yes
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	DASH	0.88 (0.80, 0.98)	0.02	44.20	6.20×10 ⁻³	(0.68, 1.15)	0.20	2	0.61	0.02	Yes
Micronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	Vitamin C	0.78 (0.64, 0.95)	0.01	32.70	0.02	(0.46, 1.31)	1.78	2	3.12	0.68	No
Tang, 2016 ⁹⁰	Flavonoid	0.93 (0.80, 1.07)	0.30	0.00	0.00	(0.37, 2.35)	0.38	0	NA	NA	NA
Vinceti, 2016 ⁹¹	Potassium	0.88 (0.82, 0.95)	1.00×10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00	(0.80, 0.97)	0.82	2	0.93	0.22	No
Cheng, 2018 (1) ⁹²	Vitamin E	0.90 (0.73, 1.11)	0.31	14.40	6.30×10 ⁻³	(0.16, 4.88)	0.89	0	NA	NA	NA
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Sodium	1.42 (0.93, 2.16)	0.11	84.20	0.15	(0.21, 9.34)	0.29	2	NA	NA	NA
	Vitamin B6	1.08 (0.72, 1.64)	0.71	75.60	0.07	NA	NA	0	1.23	0.08	Yes
Chen, 2020 ⁹³	Folate	0.83 (0.73, 0.95)	5.00×10 ⁻³	12.70	3.20×10 ⁻³	(0.51, 1.69)	0.43	2	0.26	2.63×10 ⁻¹¹	Yes
	Vitamin B12	0.94 (0.74, 1.19)	0.60	42.50	0.02	(0.09, 9.75)	0.78	0	0.87	0.24	No
Shi, 2020 ⁹⁴	Vitamin D	0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	2.00×10 ⁻³	.00	0.00	NA	NA	1	0.15	4.55×10 ⁻⁹	Yes
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	Magnesium	0.89 (0.82, 0.96)	4.00×10 ⁻³	13.80	2.50×10 ⁻³	(0.77, 1.03)	0.67	1	0.60	0.49	No
Macronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁹⁶	Fiber	0.84 (0.71, 1.00)	0.05	53.70	0.02	(0.43, 1.64)	0.22	1	NA	NA	NA
Cai, 2015 ⁹⁷	Carbohydrate	1.06 (0.76, 1.46)	0.74	45.80	0.04	(0.04, 27.54)	0.09	0	NA	NA	NA

Cheng, 2016 ⁹⁸	Monounsaturated fatty	0.92 (0.83, 1.01)	0.08	9.50	2.30×10 ⁻³	(0.77, 1.09)	0.64	1	NA	NA	NA
Zhang, 2016 ¹¹⁹	Protein	0.95 (0.81, 1.10)	0.47	75.30	0.03	(0.59, 1.50)	0.27	2	NA	NA	NA
Cheng, 2018 (2) ¹⁰⁰	Cholesterol	0.98 (0.72, 1.32)	0.87	71.40	0.07	(0.36, 2.61)	0.16	2	NA	NA	NA
Kang, 2020 ¹⁰¹	Saturated fat	0.89 (0.82, 0.97)	0.01	17.70	3.50×10 ⁻³	(0.75, 1.06)	0.76	3	NA	NA	NA
Factors of physical health management											
Li 2016 ¹⁰³	Shift-work	1.18 (0.81, 1.74)	0.39	66.40	0.06	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	Sleep duration	1.60 (1.32, 1.93)	< 0.0001	54.10	0.02	(0.77, 3.32)	0.59	3	NA	NA	NA
Xu, 2018 ¹⁰⁷	Oral contraceptives	2.25 (0.44, 11.6)	0.33	77.60	1.12	NA	NA	1	NA	NA	NA
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	Smoking	1.56 (1.34, 1.81)	< 0.0001	.00	0.00	(1.26, 1.93)	0.46	4	NA	NA	NA
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	Walking pace	0.63 (0.47, 0.85)	3.00×10 ⁻³	48.60	0.05	(0.20, 1.96)	1.00	2	2.23	0.84	No
Factors of emotional health management											
Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	Depression	1.44 (1.04, 2.01)	0.03	41.40	0.04	(0.05, 39.12)	0.26	2	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviations: SHR, summary hazard ratio; O, observed number of significant; E, expected value of significant finding; CI, confidence interval; ESF, Excess significant finding; NA, Not Applicable; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

^a Summary hazard ratio extracted from published meta-analysis, no re-analysis possible.

^b $P < 0.025$ was significant after Bonferroni correction.

Table S8. Modifiable factors and hemorrhagic stroke risk.

First Author, Year	Main Comparison	SHR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value ^b	<i>I</i> ²	τ^2	95% PI	Egger's				
							<i>P</i> value	O	E	<i>P</i> value	ESF
Food factors											
He, 2006 ^{72, a}	Fruit and vegetable	0.73 (0.61, 0.87)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	NA
Strazzullo, 2009 ⁵⁵	Salt	1.15 (0.93, 1.42)	0.20	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.44	0.29	No
Shi, 2014 ⁷⁴	Legumes	1.16 (0.93, 1.44)	0.20	0.00	0.00	(0.27, 4.87)	0.09	0	NA	NA	NA
Fang, 2015 ⁷⁵	Whole grain	1.24 (0.73, 2.10)	0.42	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.18	0.31	No
Wu, 2015 ⁷⁶	Refined grain	0.97 (0.82, 1.17)	0.78	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.14	0.31	No
de Goede, 2016 ⁷⁷	Milk	0.95 (0.73, 1.24)	0.69	0.86	0.06	(0.28, 3.21)	0.12	2	NA	NA	NA
	Low fat dairy	0.99 (0.88, 1.12)	0.89	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
	High fat dairy	1.05 (0.93, 1.19)	0.44	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Shao, 2016 ⁷⁹	Nuts	1.16 (0.53, 2.51)	0.71	80.00	0.26	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Gholami, 2017 ³	Cheese	0.95 (0.78, 1.15)	0.57	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.25	0.31	No
	Cream	0.89 (0.73, 1.09)	0.25	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.15	0.31	No
	Total meat	1.18 (0.83, 1.68)	0.36	59.60	0.07	(0.29, 4.80)	0.88	1	NA	NA	NA
Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	Red meat	1.01 (0.81, 1.27)	0.90	4.60	2.60×10^{-3}	(0.59, 1.74)	0.79	0	NA	NA	NA
	Processed meat	1.19 (0.96, 1.48)	0.12	2.90	1.50×10^{-3}	(0.72, 1.98)	0.70	0	NA	NA	NA
	White meat	0.70 (0.51, 0.97)	0.03	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	Chocolate	0.83 (0.71, 0.97)	0.02	0.00	0.00	(0.31, 2.24)	0.42	0	1.08	0.20	No
Mohammadi, 2018 ⁸¹	Poultry	0.86 (0.62, 1.22)	0.40	34.70	0.02	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Zhao, 2019 ⁸²	Fish	0.88 (0.80, 0.97)	0.01	1.00	3.00×10^{-4}	(0.79, 0.99)	0.99	2	2.90	0.67	No
Tang, 2020 ¹¹⁶	Egg	1.03 (0.72, 1.46)	0.89	89.60	0.11	(0.31, 3.41)	0.59	2	3.80	0.09	Yes
Beverages											

Shen, 2012 ⁸⁴	Tea	0.77 (0.40, 1.49)	0.44	62.60	0.15	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Larsson, 2016 ^{117, a}	Light-to-moderate Alcohol	1.04 (0.86, 1.27)	NA	72.10	NA	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	NA
	High-to-heavy Alcohol	1.49 (1.26, 1.76)	NA	0.00	NA	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	NA
Shao, 2021 ²⁹	Coffee	1.13 (0.59, 2.16)	0.72	73.00	0.22	NA	0.61	1	0.16	5.02×10 ⁻⁸	Yes
Dietary behaviors											
Psaltopoulou, 2013 ⁸⁶	Mediterranean diet	0.84 (0.61, 1.14)	0.26	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.50	0.28	No
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	DASH	0.85 (0.73, 0.99)	0.04	0.00	0.00	(0.60, 1.20)	0.17	0	0.66	0.29	No
Micronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	Vitamin C	0.94 (0.52, 1.69)	0.84	55.20	0.15	(0.00, 474.69)	0.46	0	0.83	0.25	No
Tang, 2016 ⁹⁰	Flavonoid	0.89 (0.59, 1.32)	0.55	53.30	0.07	(0.01, 58.29)	0.34	0	NA	NA	NA
Vinceti, 2016 ⁹¹	Potassium	0.92 (0.80, 1.07)	0.29	0.00	0.00	(0.75, 1.14)	0.36	0	1.07	0.27	No
Cheng, 2018 (1) ⁹²	Vitamin E	0.89 (0.50, 1.58)	0.70	47.80	0.09	NA	NA	0	NA	NA	NA
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Sodium	1.20 (0.99, 1.46)	0.07	0.00	0.00	(0.78, 1.85)	0.10	0	NA	NA	NA
	Vitamin B6	0.91 (0.71, 1.16)	0.45	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0	0.29	0.31	No
Chen, 2020 ⁹³	Folate	0.91 (0.68, 1.23)	0.55	9.20	0.01	(0.40, 2.07)	0.49	0	0.31	0.31	No
	Vitamin B12	1.08 (0.87, 1.36)	0.48	0.00	0.00	(0.25, 4.62)	0.56	0	0.19	0.31	No
Shi, 2020 ⁹⁴	Vitamin D	0.69 (0.36, 1.29)	0.24	80.00	0.17	NA	NA	1	1.53	0.40	No
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	Magnesium	0.93 (0.82, 1.06)	0.28	0.00	0.00	(0.79, 1.09)	0.62	0	1.64	0.23	No
Macronutrients											
Chen, 2013 ⁹⁶	Fiber	0.86 (0.70, 1.06)	0.15	0.00	0.00	(0.22, 3.35)	0.82	0	NA	NA	NA
Cai, 2015 ⁹⁷	Carbohydrate	1.58 (1.15, 2.18)	5.00×10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00	(0.20, 12.57)	0.76	2	NA	NA	NA
Cheng, 2016 ⁹⁸	Monounsaturated fatty acid	0.68 (0.48, 0.96)	0.03	0.00	0.00	(0.32, 1.43)	0.76	0	NA	NA	NA
Zhang, 2016 ¹¹⁹	Protein	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	0.37	2.70	7.00×10 ⁻⁴	(0.92, 1.16)	0.09	0	NA	NA	NA
Cheng, 2018 (2) ¹⁰⁰	Cholesterol	0.96 (0.70, 1.31)	0.78	0.00	0.00	(0.48, 1.92)	0.93	0	0.20	0.31	No

Kang, 2020 ¹⁰¹	Saturated fat	0.58 (0.40, 0.84)	4.00×10 ⁻³	49.20	0.07	(0.14, 2.34)	0.94	2	NA	NA	NA
Factors of emotional health management											
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	Sleep duration	1.19 (0.97, 1.48)	0.10	0.00	0.00	(0.75, 1.90)	0.79	0	NA	NA	NA
Xu, 2018 ¹⁰⁷	Oral contraceptives	1.10 (0.42, 2.88)	0.84	85.40	0.99	(0.03, 37.62)	NA	2	NA	NA	NA
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	Smoking	1.32 (1.06, 1.64)	0.01	35.70	0.03	(0.80, 2.18)	0.21	1	NA	NA	NA
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	Walking pace	0.47 (0.27, 0.82)	8.00×10 ⁻³	14.10	0.03	NA	NA	1	0.55	0.37	No

Abbreviations: SHR, summary hazard ratio; O, observed number of significant; E, expected value of significant finding; CI, confidence interval; ESF, Excess significant finding; NA, Not Applicable; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

^a Summary hazard ratio extracted from published meta-analysis, no re-analysis possible.

^b $P < 0.025$ was significant after Bonferroni correction.

Table S9. Detailed evaluation of the methodological quality with AMSTAR 2.

First Author, Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Q16	All
He, 2006 ⁷²	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Strazzullo, 2009 ⁵⁵	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Strazzullo, 2010 ¹⁰²	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Critically low
Shen, 2012 ⁸⁴	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Larsson, 2013 ⁸⁹	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Chen, 2013 (1) ⁸⁸	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Chen, 2013 (2) ⁹⁶	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Psaltopoulou, 2013 ⁸⁶	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Marí nez-Gonza´lez, 2014 ⁷³	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Shi, 2014 ⁷⁴	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Cai, 2015 ⁹⁷	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Fang, 2015 ⁷⁵	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Wu, 2015 ⁷⁶	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
de Goede, 2016 ⁷⁷	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Larsson, 2016 ¹¹⁷	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Cheng, 2016 ⁹⁸	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Lou, 2016 ⁷⁸	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Shao, 2016 ⁷⁹	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Critically low
Tang, 2016 ⁹⁰	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Vinceti, 2016 ⁹¹	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low

Zhang, 2016 ¹¹⁹	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Partial	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Critically low
Valtorta, 2016 ¹¹²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Kyu, 2016 ¹⁰⁴	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Li 2016 ¹⁰³	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Partial	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Critically low
Dzhambov, 2016 ¹¹⁴	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Partial	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Gholami, 2017 ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Mohammadi, 2018 ⁸¹	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Cheng, 2018 (1) ⁹²	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Cheng, 2018 (2) ¹⁰⁰	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Xu, 2018 ¹⁰⁷	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Critically low
Islam, 2018 ¹⁰⁸	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Partial	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Zhao, 2019 ⁸²	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Chen, 2019 ¹¹³	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Critically low
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Chen, 2020 ⁹³	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Kang, 2020 ¹⁰¹	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low
Shi, 2020 ⁹⁴	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low

Tang, 2020 ⁹⁰	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Shao, 2021 ²⁹	Yes	No	Yes	Partial Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low
Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Critically low

AMSTAR, assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews; Q: Question; Q1: Did the research questions and inclusion criteria for the review include the components of PICO?, Q2: Did the report of the review contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the report justify any significant deviations from the protocol?, Q3: Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?, Q4: Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?, Q5: Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?, Q6: Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?, Q7: Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?, Q8: Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?, Q9: Did the review authors use a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias (RoB) in individual studies that were included in the review?, Q10: Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review?, Q11: If meta-analysis was performed, did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results?, Q12: If meta-analysis was performed, did the review authors assess the potential impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis?, Q13: Did the review authors account for RoB in primary studies when interpreting/discussing the results of the review?, Q14: Did the review authors provide a satisfactory explanation for, and discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review?, Q15: If they performed quantitative synthesis did the review authors carry out an adequate investigation of publication bias (small study bias) and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review?, Q16: Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, including any funding they received for conducting the review?

Table S10. Sensitivity analyses for associations with strong, high suggestive or suggestive evidence.

Exposure	First Author, Year	No. of primary cohort study	Main Comparison	Credibility assessment									
				SHR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	<i>I</i> ² (%)	τ^2	95% PI	Egger's <i>P</i> value	O	E	<i>P</i>	ESF
Exclusion of primary studies with number of study participants lower than 25th percentile (applicable to those meta-analyses with evidence of small-study effects in primary)^a													
Chocolate	Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	1	High vs low	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Magnesium	Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	0	High vs low	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Smoking	Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	0	High vs low	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Primary studies adjusted for confounding variables													
Total meat	Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	4	High vs low	1.18 (1.09, 1.28)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.99, 1.41)	0.50	2	NA	NA	NA
Processed meat		5	High vs low	1.16 (1.07, 1.26)	< 0.0001	16.00	1.40×10 ⁻³	(0.97, 1.39)	0.40	3	NA	NA	NA
Processed meat (IS)	Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	5	High vs low	1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.01, 1.35)	0.22	2	NA	NA	NA
Chocolate	Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	7	High vs low	0.83 (0.77, 0.89)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.75, 0.92)	2.00×10 ⁻³	5	2.62	0.15	No
DASH	Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	6	High vs low	0.87 (0.79, 0.96)	5.00×10 ⁻³	65.00	8.70×10 ⁻³	(0.65, 1.17)	0.30	4	NA	NA	NA
Vitamin C	Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	6	High vs low	0.81 (0.72, 0.91)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(0.69, 0.95)	0.78	1	NA	NA	NA
Sodium	Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	10	Dose-response	1.19 (1.09, 1.31)	< 0.0001	54.00	0.01	(0.92, 1.54)	0.18	5	NA	NA	NA
Magnesium	Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	12	High vs low	0.90 (0.85, 0.96)	2.00×10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00	(0.84, 0.97)	0.07	1	NA	NA	NA
Obesity ^b	Strazzullo, 2010 ¹⁰²	22	With vs without	1.64 (1.36, 1.99)	< 0.0001	88.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PP		6		1.14 (1.09, 1.20)	< 0.0001	35.00	1.10×10 ⁻³	(1.02, 1.28)	0.47	5	NA	NA	NA
SBP	Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	6	Dose-response	1.22 (1.11, 1.35)	< 0.0001	85.00	0.01	(0.88, 1.69)	0.33	5	NA	NA	NA
DBP		6		1.23 (1.12, 1.35)	< 0.0001	71.00	8.10×10 ⁻³	(0.93, 1.63)	0.60	5	NA	NA	NA
Sleep duration	He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	11	Dose-response	1.37 (1.19, 1.57)	< 0.0001	70.00	0.04	(0.86, 2.17)	0.57	8	NA	NA	NA
Sleep duration (IS)	He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	4	Dose-response	1.60 (1.32, 1.93)	< 0.0001	54.1	0.02	(0.77, 3.32)	0.59	3	NA	NA	NA

Smoking	Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	6	High vs low	1.35 (1.22, 1.49)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.17, 1.55)	0.57	3	4.86	0.08	Yes
Smoking (IS)	Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	4	High vs low	1.49 (1.28, 1.74)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.06, 2.09)	0.18	3	1.74	0.27	No
Depression	Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	7	High vs low	1.47 (1.18, 1.84)	1.00×10 ⁻³	64.50	0.05	(0.76, 2.83)	0.08	5	NA	NA	NA
PM _{2.5}	Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	6	Dose-response	1.15 (1.10, 1.21)	< 0.0001	32.60	1.10×10 ⁻³	(1.03, 1.29)	0.07	5	NA	NA	NA
Primary studies with high quality													
Total meat ^c	Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	4	High vs low	1.18 (1.09, 1.28)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.99, 1.41)	0.50	2	NA	NA	NA
Processed meat ^c		5	High vs low	1.16 (1.07, 1.26)	< 0.0001	15.90	1.40×10 ⁻³	(0.97, 1.39)	0.40	3	NA	NA	NA
Processed meat (IS) ^c	Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	5	High vs low	1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.01, 1.35)	0.22	2	NA	NA	NA
Chocolate ^c	Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	7	High vs low	0.83 (0.77, 0.89)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.75, 0.92)	2.00×10 ⁻³	5	2.62	0.15	No
DASH ^c	Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	12	High vs low	0.87 (0.81, 0.93)	< 0.0001	48.90	5.30×10 ⁻³	(0.72, 1.04)	0.16	7	NA	NA	NA
Vitamin C ^d	Feng, 2018 ²⁰	12	High vs low	0.81 (0.73, 0.90)	< 0.0001	3.10	1.00×10 ⁻³	(0.71, 0.93)	0.62	3	0.75	2.04×10 ⁻³	Yes
Sodium ^c	Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	13	Dose-response	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)	< 0.0001	51.90	7.50×10 ⁻³	(0.93, 1.41)	0.12	2	NA	NA	NA
Magnesium ^c	Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	15	High vs low	0.89 (0.83, 0.94)	< 0.0001	1.20	2.00×10 ⁻⁴	(0.82, 0.95)	5.00×10 ⁻³	2	NA	NA	NA
Obesity ^b	Strazzullo, 2010 ¹⁰²	22	With vs without	1.64 (1.36, 1.99)	< 0.0001	88.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PP ^c		6		1.14 (1.09, 1.20)	< 0.0001	35.40	1.10×10 ⁻³	(1.02, 1.28)	0.47	5	NA	NA	NA
SBP ^c	Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	6	Dose-response	1.22 (1.11, 1.35)	< 0.0001	85.30	0.01	(0.88, 1.69)	0.33	5	NA	NA	NA
DBP ^c		6		1.23 (1.12, 1.35)	< 0.0001	71.00	8.10×10 ⁻³	(0.93, 1.63)	0.60	5	NA	NA	NA
Sleep duration	He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	10	Dose-response	1.37 (1.18, 1.59)	< 0.0001	72.30	0.04	(0.83, 2.24)	0.69	8	NA	NA	NA
Sleep duration (IS) ^c	He, 2017 ¹⁰⁵	4	Dose-response	1.60 (1.32, 1.93)	< 0.0001	54.10	0.02	(0.77, 3.32)	0.59	3	NA	NA	NA
Smoking ^d	Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	9	High vs low	1.71 (1.37, 2.13)	< 0.0001	72.80	0.06	(0.89, 3.28)	0.07	6	NA	NA	NA
Smoking (IS) ^d	Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	6	High vs low	1.56 (1.34, 1.81)	< 0.0001	0.00	0.00	(1.26, 1.93)	0.46	4	NA	NA	NA
Walking pace ^e	Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	7	High vs low	0.56 (0.48, 0.65)	< 0.0001	0.00	< 0.00001	(0.46, 0.69)	1.00	4	3.07	0.57	No
Depression ^d	Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	17	High vs low	1.32 (1.17, 1.48)	< 0.0001	55.20	0.01	(0.92, 1.88)	0.17	9	NA	NA	NA
PM _{2.5} ^c	Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	8	Dose-response	1.14 (1.08, 1.21)	< 0.0001	40.20	2.10×10 ⁻³	(1.01, 1.30)	0.32	5	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviations: SHR, summary hazard ratio; O, observed number of significant; E, expected value of significant finding; CI, confidence interval; ESF, Excess significant finding; IS, ischemic stroke; NA, Not Applicable;

DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; PP, pulse pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

^aNot performed due to limited number of primary studies.

^bData extracted from published meta-analysis, no re-analysis possible.

^cMeta-analysis reported all good-quality studies.

^dNo information on quality assessment of primary studies.

Table S11. Regional distribution of strong, highly suggestive and suggestive evidence.

First Author, Year	Main Comparison	Exposure	Primary analysis	QES	Countries or regions
Food factors					
Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷	High vs low	Total meat	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Sweden; USA
		Processed meat	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Spain; Sweden; USA
Kim, 2017 ⁵⁷ (IS)	High vs low	Processed meat	suggestive	suggestive	Spain; Sweden; USA
Yuan, 2017 ⁸⁰	High vs low	Chocolate	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Germany; Japan; Sweden; UK; USA
Dietary behaviours					
Feng, 2018 ⁸⁷	High vs low	DASH	suggestive	weak	Multinational ^a
Micronutrients					
Chen, 2013 ⁸⁸	High vs low	Vitamin C	suggestive	suggestive	Multinational
Jayedi, 2019 ¹¹⁸	Dose-response	Sodium	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Multinational
Zhao, 2020 ⁹⁵	High vs low	Magnesium	suggestive	weak	Multinational
Factors of physical health Management					
Strazzullo, 2010 ¹⁰²	High vs low	Obesity	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Finland; Sweden; USA
Liu, 2016 ¹⁰⁵	Dose-response	PP	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Denmark; Japan; USA
		SBP	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Denmark; Japan; USA
		DBP	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Denmark; Japan; USA
		sleep duration	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Multinational
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁶	Dose-response	sleep duration	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	Multinational
He, 2017 ¹⁰⁶ (IS)	Dose-response	sleep duration	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Japan
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹	High vs low	smoking	highly suggestive	highly suggestive	China; Japan; Norway; Sweden; USA
Pan, 2019 ¹⁰⁹ (IS)	High vs low	smoking	suggestive	suggestive	China; Japan; Sweden; USA
Quan, 2019 ¹¹⁰	High vs low	Walking pace	strong	strong	UK; USA
Factors of emotional health Management					

Dong, 2012 ¹¹¹	High vs low	Depression	suggestive	weak	Finland; Japan; Netherlands; Sweden; USA
Factors of environmental management					
Niu, 2021 ¹¹⁵	Dose-response	PM _{2.5}	suggestive	suggestive	Multinational

Abbreviations: QES, quality of evidence after sensitivity analyses.

^aMore than five countries.

References:

1. Dauchet L, Amouyel P, Dallongeville J. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Neurology* 2005;65:1193-7.
2. Afshin A, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, et al. Consumption of nuts and legumes and risk of incident ischemic heart disease, stroke, and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100:278-88.
3. Gholami F, Khoramdad M, Shakiba E, et al. Subgroup dairy products consumption on the risk of stroke and CHD: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med J Islam Repub Iran* 2017;31:25.
4. Hu D, Huang J, Wang Y, et al. Dairy foods and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:460-9.
5. Mullie P, Pizot C, Autier P. Daily milk consumption and all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease and stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational cohort studies. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16:1236.
6. Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaffarian D. Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2010;121:2271-83.
7. Larsson SC, Virtamo J, Wolk A. Chocolate consumption and risk of stroke: a prospective cohort of men and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2012;79:1223-9.
8. Walters MR, Williamson C, Lunn K, et al. Chocolate consumption and risk of stroke: A prospective cohort of men and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2013;80:1173-4.
9. Qin ZZ, Xu JY, Chen GC, et al. Effects of fatty and lean fish intake on stroke risk: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Lipids Health Dis* 2018;17:264.
10. Deng C, Lu Q, Gong B, et al. Stroke and food groups: an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Public Health Nutr* 2018;21:766-76.
11. Bechthold A, Boeing H, Schwedhelm C, et al. Food groups and risk of coronary heart disease, stroke and heart failure: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2019;59:1071-90.
12. Xun P, Qin B, Song Y, et al. Fish consumption and risk of stroke and its subtypes: accumulative evidence from a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2012;66:1199-207.
13. Larsson SC, Orsini N. Fish consumption and the risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2011;42:3621-3.

14. He K, Song Y, Daviglius ML, et al. Fish consumption and incidence of stroke: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Stroke* 2004;35:1538-42.
15. Rong Y, Chen L, Zhu T, et al. Egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ* 2013;346:e8539.
16. Alexander DD, Miller PE, Vargas AJ, et al. Meta-analysis of Egg Consumption and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2016;35:704-16.
17. Arab L, Liu W, Elashoff D. Green and black tea consumption and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2009;40:1786-92.
18. Liu PM, Dosieah S, Luo NS, et al. [Alcohol intake and stroke in Eastern Asian men:a systemic review and meta-analysis of 17 prospective cohort studies]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2010;90:2834-8.
19. Patra J, Taylor B, Irving H, et al. Alcohol consumption and the risk of morbidity and mortality for different stroke types--a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:258.
20. Reynolds K, Lewis B, Nolen JD, et al. Alcohol consumption and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2003;289:579-88.
21. Tsai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, et al. Risk factors for ischemic stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs. Caucasians: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Stroke* 2015;10:485-93.
22. Tsai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, et al. Comparing Risk Factor Profiles between Intracerebral Hemorrhage and Ischemic Stroke in Chinese and White Populations: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0151743.
23. Wang J, Ye DQ, Wang K. [Meta-analysis on the stroke with overweight or obesity, smoking and alcohol drinking in Chinese residents]. *Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2008;42:115-8.
24. Zhang C, Qin YY, Chen Q, et al. Alcohol intake and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;174:669-77.
25. Zhang X, Shu L, Si C, et al. Dietary Patterns and Risk of Stroke in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015;24:2173-82.
26. Chen X, Zhou L, Zhang Y, et al. Risk factors of stroke in Western and Asian

- countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:776.
27. Kim B, Nam Y, Kim J, et al. Coffee Consumption and Stroke Risk: A Meta-analysis of Epidemiologic Studies. *Korean J Fam Med* 2012;33:356-65.
28. Larsson SC, Orsini N. Coffee consumption and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;174:993-1001.
29. Shao C, Tang H, Wang X, et al. Coffee Consumption and Stroke Risk: Evidence from a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of more than 2.4 Million Men and Women. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2021;30:105452.
30. Jeffers BW, Robbins J, Bhambri R. Efficacy of Calcium Channel Blockers Versus Other Classes of Antihypertensive Medication in the Treatment of Hypertensive Patients With Previous Stroke and/or Coronary Artery Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Ther* 2017;24:e68-e80.
31. Tian DY, Tian J, Shi CH, et al. Calcium intake and the risk of stroke: an up-dated meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2015;24:245-52.
32. Erratum for Adebamowo et al. Association between intakes of magnesium, potassium, and calcium and risk of stroke: 2 cohorts of US women and updated meta-analyses. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;101:1269-77. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;102:981-2.
33. Adebamowo SN, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, et al. Association between intakes of magnesium, potassium, and calcium and risk of stroke: 2 cohorts of US women and updated meta-analyses. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;101:1269-77.
34. D'Elia L, Barba G, Cappuccio FP, et al. Potassium intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:1210-9.
35. D'Elia L, Iannotta C, Sabino P, et al. Potassium-rich diet and risk of stroke: updated meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:585-7.
36. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Dietary potassium intake and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Stroke* 2011;42:2746-50.
37. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Dietary magnesium intake and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95:362-6.
38. Nie ZL, Wang ZM, Zhou B, et al. Magnesium intake and incidence of stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2013;23:169-76.

39. Zhao B, Hu L, Dong Y, et al. The Effect of Magnesium Intake on Stroke Incidence: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis With Trial Sequential Analysis. *Front Neurol* 2019;10:852.
40. Cheng P, Wang J, Shao W, et al. Can dietary saturated fat be beneficial in prevention of stroke risk? A meta-analysis. *Neurol Sci* 2016;37:1089-98.
41. Muto M, Ezaki O. High Dietary Saturated Fat is Associated with a Low Risk of Intracerebral Hemorrhage and Ischemic Stroke in Japanese but not in Non-Japanese: A Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2018;25:375-92.
42. Diep L, Kwagyan J, Kurantsin-Mills J, et al. Association of physical activity level and stroke outcomes in men and women: a meta-analysis. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2010;19:1815-22.
43. Lee CD, Folsom AR, Blair SN. Physical activity and stroke risk: a meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2003;34:2475-81.
44. Oczkowski W. Complexity of the relation between physical activity and stroke: a meta-analysis. *Clin J Sport Med* 2005;15:399.
45. Wendel-Vos GC, Schuit AJ, Feskens EJ, et al. Physical activity and stroke. A meta-analysis of observational data. *Int J Epidemiol* 2004;33:787-98.
46. Leng Y, Cappuccio FP, Wainwright NW, et al. Sleep duration and risk of fatal and nonfatal stroke: a prospective study and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2015;84:1072-9.
47. Li W, Wang D, Cao S, et al. Sleep duration and risk of stroke events and stroke mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;223:870-76.
48. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Smoking as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes. *Stroke* 2013;44:2821-8.
49. Shinton R, Beevers G. Meta-analysis of relation between cigarette smoking and stroke. *BMJ* 1989;298:789-94.
50. Kawada T. Air pollution and the risk of stroke by meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;176:1247-8.
51. Scheers H, Jacobs L, Casas L, et al. Long-Term Exposure to Particulate Matter Air Pollution Is a Risk Factor for Stroke: Meta-Analytical Evidence. *Stroke*

- 2015;46:3058-66.
52. Shah AS, Lee KK, McAllister DA, et al. Short term exposure to air pollution and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2015;350:h1295.
 53. Zhang XF, Attia J, D'Este C, et al. The relationship between higher blood pressure and ischaemic, haemorrhagic stroke among Chinese and Caucasians: meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2006;13:429-37.
 54. Li XY, Cai XL, Bian PD, et al. High salt intake and stroke: meta-analysis of the epidemiologic evidence. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 2012;18:691-701.
 55. Strazzullo P, D'Elia L, Kandala NB, et al. Salt intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of prospective studies. *BMJ* 2009;339:b4567.
 56. Jayedi A, Ghomashi F, Zargar MS, et al. Dietary sodium, sodium-to-potassium ratio, and risk of stroke: A systematic review and nonlinear dose-response meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr* 2019;38:1092-100.
 57. Kim K, Hyeon J, Lee SA, et al. Role of Total, Red, Processed, and White Meat Consumption in Stroke Incidence and Mortality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;6
 58. Park JH, Saposnik G, Ovbiagele B, et al. Effect of B-vitamins on stroke risk among individuals with vascular disease who are not on antiplatelets: A meta-analysis. *Int J Stroke* 2016;11:206-11.
 59. Xie XX, Liu P, Wan FY, et al. Blood pressure lowering and stroke events in type 2 diabetes: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;208:141-6.
 60. Schurks M, Glynn RJ, Rist PM, et al. Effects of vitamin E on stroke subtypes: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2010;341:c5702.
 61. Zhang C, Chi FL, Xie TH, et al. Effect of B-vitamin supplementation on stroke: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e81577.
 62. Wang H, Li M, Xie SH, et al. Visit-to-visit Systolic Blood Pressure Variability and Stroke Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Curr Med Sci* 2019;39:741-47.
 63. Hu X, Zhou Y, Zhao H, et al. Migraine and the risk of stroke: an updated meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Neurol Sci* 2017;38:33-40.
 64. McHutchison CA, Backhouse EV, Cvoro V, et al. Education, Socioeconomic Status, and Intelligence in Childhood and Stroke Risk in Later Life: A Meta-analysis.

- Epidemiology* 2017;28:608-18.
65. Xu T, Yu X, Ou S, et al. Adherence to Antihypertensive Medications and Stroke Risk: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;6
 66. Rui XD, Sha YQ, Wen S, et al. Serum level of IL-10 and IL-10-1082G/A polymorphism are associated with the risk of ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. *J Biol Regul Homeost Agents* 2020;34:1445-49.
 67. Zhong C, Zhong X, Xu T, et al. Sex-Specific Relationship Between Serum Uric Acid and Risk of Stroke: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;6
 68. Nepal G, Ojha R, Dulal HP, et al. Association between Lys198Asn polymorphism of endothelin-1 gene and ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis. *Brain Behav* 2019;9:e01424.
 69. Sarecka-Hujar B, Kopyta I, Skrzypek M. Lack of Associations Between PAI-1 and FXIII Polymorphisms and Arterial Ischemic Stroke in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2019;25:1076029619869500.
 70. Nie F, Yu M, Liu M, et al. NINJ2 Gene Polymorphisms and Susceptibility to Ischemic Stroke: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Curr Neurovasc Res* 2019;16:273-87.
 71. DASH diet may lower stroke risk. *Harv Heart Lett* 2016;26:8.
 72. He FJ, Nowson CA, MacGregor GA. Fruit and vegetable consumption and stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Lancet* 2006;367:320-6.
 73. Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Dominguez LJ, Delgado-Rodriguez M. Olive oil consumption and risk of CHD and/or stroke: a meta-analysis of case-control, cohort and intervention studies. *Br J Nutr* 2014;112:248-59.
 74. Shi ZQ, Tang JJ, Wu H, et al. Consumption of nuts and legumes and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:1262-71.
 75. Fang L, Li W, Zhang W, et al. Association between whole grain intake and stroke risk: evidence from a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015;8:16978-83.
 76. Wu D, Guan Y, Lv S, et al. No Evidence of Increased Risk of Stroke with Consumption of Refined Grains: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015;24:2738-46.

77. de Goede J, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Pan A, et al. Dairy Consumption and Risk of Stroke: A Systematic Review and Updated Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;5
78. Lou D, Li Y, Yan G, et al. Soy Consumption with Risk of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Neuroepidemiology* 2016;46:242-52.
79. Shao C, Tang H, Zhao W, et al. Nut intake and stroke risk: A dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:30394.
80. Yuan S, Li X, Jin Y, et al. Chocolate Consumption and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease, Stroke, and Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *Nutrients* 2017;9
81. Mohammadi H, Jayedi A, Ghaedi E, et al. Dietary poultry intake and the risk of stroke: A dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2018;23:25-33.
82. Zhao W, Tang H, Yang X, et al. Fish Consumption and Stroke Risk: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2019;28:604-11.
83. Tang H, Cao Y, Yang X, et al. Egg Consumption and Stroke Risk: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *Front Nutr* 2020;7:153.
84. Shen L, Song LG, Ma H, et al. Tea consumption and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 2012;13:652-62.
85. Larsson SC, Wallin A, Wolk A, et al. Differing association of alcohol consumption with different stroke types: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med* 2016;14:178.
86. Psaltopoulou T, Sergentanis TN, Panagiotakos DB, et al. Mediterranean diet, stroke, cognitive impairment, and depression: A meta-analysis. *Ann Neurol* 2013;74:580-91.
87. Feng Q, Fan S, Wu Y, et al. Adherence to the dietary approaches to stop hypertension diet and risk of stroke: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018;97:e12450.
88. Chen GC, Lu DB, Pang Z, et al. Vitamin C intake, circulating vitamin C and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Am Heart Assoc*

- 2013;2:e000329.
89. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Dietary calcium intake and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;97:951-7.
 90. Tang Z, Li M, Zhang X, et al. Dietary flavonoid intake and the risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e008680.
 91. Vinceti M, Filippini T, Crippa A, et al. Meta-Analysis of Potassium Intake and the Risk of Stroke. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;5
 92. Cheng P, Wang L, Ning S, et al. Vitamin E intake and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis. *Br J Nutr* 2018;120:1181-88.
 93. Chen L, Li Q, Fang X, et al. Dietary Intake of Homocysteine Metabolism-Related B-Vitamins and the Risk of Stroke: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *Adv Nutr* 2020;11:1510-28.
 94. Shi H, Chen H, Zhang Y, et al. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D level, vitamin D intake, and risk of stroke: A dose-response meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr* 2020;39:2025-34.
 95. Zhao B, Zeng L, Zhao J, et al. Association of magnesium intake with type 2 diabetes and total stroke: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e032240.
 96. Chen GC, Lv DB, Pang Z, et al. Dietary fiber intake and stroke risk: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013;67:96-100.
 97. Cai X, Wang C, Wang S, et al. Carbohydrate Intake, Glycemic Index, Glycemic Load, and Stroke: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2015;27:486-96.
 98. Cheng P, Wang J, Shao W. Monounsaturated Fatty Acid Intake and Stroke Risk: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016;25:1326-34.
 99. Zhang XW, Yang Z, Li M, et al. Association between dietary protein intake and risk of stroke: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;223:548-51.
 100. Cheng P, Pan J, Xia J, et al. Dietary cholesterol intake and stroke risk: a meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2018;9:25698-707.
 101. Kang ZQ, Yang Y, Xiao B. Dietary saturated fat intake and risk of stroke: Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort

- studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2020;30:179-89.
102. Strazzullo P, D'Elia L, Cairella G, et al. Excess body weight and incidence of stroke: meta-analysis of prospective studies with 2 million participants. *Stroke* 2010;41:e418-26.
103. Li M, Huang JT, Tan Y, et al. Shift work and risk of stroke: A meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;214:370-3.
104. Kyu HH, Bachman VF, Alexander LT, et al. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and ischemic stroke events: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *BMJ* 2016;354:i3857.
105. Liu FD, Shen XL, Zhao R, et al. Pulse pressure as an independent predictor of stroke: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2016;105:677-86.
106. He Q, Sun H, Wu X, et al. Sleep duration and risk of stroke: a dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Sleep Med* 2017;32:66-74.
107. Xu Z, Yue Y, Bai J, et al. Association between oral contraceptives and risk of hemorrhagic stroke: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2018;297:1181-91.
108. Islam MM, Poly TN, Walther BA, et al. Risk of Hemorrhagic Stroke in Patients Exposed to Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Neuroepidemiology* 2018;51:166-76.
109. Pan B, Jin X, Jun L, et al. The relationship between smoking and stroke: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e14872.
110. Quan M, Xun P, Wang R, et al. Walking pace and the risk of stroke: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Sport Health Sci* 2020;9:521-29.
111. Dong JY, Zhang YH, Tong J, et al. Depression and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Stroke* 2012;43:32-7.
112. Valtorta NK, Kanaan M, Gilbody S, et al. Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for coronary heart disease and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal observational studies. *Heart* 2016;102:1009-16.
113. Chen H, Zhang B, Xue W, et al. Anger, hostility and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *J Neurol* 2019;266:1016-26.
114. Dzhambov AM, Dimitrova DD. Exposure-response relationship between traffic

- noise and the risk of stroke: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* 2016;67:136-51.
115. Niu Z, Liu F, Yu H, et al. Association between exposure to ambient air pollution and hospital admission, incidence, and mortality of stroke: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of more than 23 million participants. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2021;26:15.
116. Tang H, Cao Y, Yang X, et al. Egg Consumption and Stroke Risk: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *Frontiers in Nutrition* 2020;7
117. Larsson SC, Wallin A, Wolk A, et al. Differing association of alcohol consumption with different stroke types: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmc Medicine* 2016;14
118. Jayedi A, Ghomashi F, Zargar MS, et al. Dietary sodium, sodium-to-potassium ratio, and risk of stroke: A systematic review and nonlinear dose-response meta-analysis. *Clinical Nutrition* 2019;38:1092-100.
119. Zhang XW, Yang Z, Li M, et al. Association between dietary protein intake and risk of stroke: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *International Journal of Cardiology* 2016;223:548-51.