SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 1

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

for Scoping Reviews	C-VINCINI 1)		
SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
		Identify the report as a	
Title	1	scoping review.	Title page
ABSTRACT			
		Provide a structured	
		summary that includes (as	
		applicable): background,	
Structured	2	objectives, eligibility criteria,	1
summary	2	sources of evidence, charting	1
		methods, results, and	
		conclusions that relate to the	
		review questions and objectives.	
INTRODUCTION			
		Describe the rationale for	
		the review in the context of what	
D. (;)	3	is already known. Explain why	3-6
Rationale		the review questions/objectives	
		lend themselves to a scoping	
		review approach.	
		Provide an explicit	
		statement of the questions and	
	4	objectives being addressed with	5-6
		reference to their key elements	
Objectives		(e.g., population or participants,	
		concepts, and context) or other	
		relevant key elements used to	
		conceptualize the review	
		questions and/or objectives.	
METHODS			
	5	Indicate whether a review	
Protocol and registration		protocol exists; state if and	
		where it can be accessed (e.g., a	6
		Web address); and if available,	
		provide registration information,	
		including the registration number.	
		I	1

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR	REPORTED
		CHECKLIST ITEM	ON PAGE #
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	8
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	7
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Supplementary File 3
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	8
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	9
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	Box 1

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	9
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	10
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	Not applicable for the protocol
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	Not applicable for the protocol
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	Not applicable for the protocol
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	Not applicable for the protocol
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	Not applicable for the protocol
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of	Not applicable for the protocol

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR	REPORTED
		CHECKLIST ITEM	ON PAGE#
		evidence available), link to the	
		review questions and objectives,	
		and consider the relevance to	
		key groups.	
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of	Not applicable
		the scoping review process.	for the protocol
Conclusions	21	Provide a general	
		interpretation of the results with	
		respect to the review questions	Not applicable
		and objectives, as well as	for the protocol
		potential implications and/or next	
		steps.	
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of	
		funding for the included sources	
		of evidence, as well as sources	11
		of funding for the scoping review.	11
		Describe the role of the funders	
		of the scoping review.	

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

* Where sources of evidence (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

† A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).

‡ The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

§ The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMAScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169:467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850