

Supplementary File 3: Characteristics of Included Records

REPORT ID	COUNTRY	RECORD TYPE	DESIGN	NUMBER/TYPE OF PARTICIPANTS, DATA
Clark et al. 2018 ^{13,a}	Canada	Journal article	Pre-post	154 pre-, 794 post-CAPA client records (wait times) 81 youth, 125 parent ESQ surveys
Wilson et al. 2015 ³⁵	Scotland	Journal article	Descriptive	2896 patient records (appointments)
Naughton et al. 2018 ^{14,b}	Australia	Journal article	Pre-post	33 pre-, 77 post-CAPA clients (Diagnoses and outcomes)
Naughton et al. 2015 ^{68,b}	Australia	Journal article	Pre-post	134 pre-, 338 post-CAPA client records (wait times) Clinician, manager meeting notes
Fuggle et al. ³⁶ 2016	England	Journal article	Pre-post	92 pre-, 66 post-CAPA client outcomes Clinician focus group
Robotham et al. 2010 ^{15,c}	England	Journal article	Descriptive	Phase I: 114 CAMHS teams Phase II: 53 CAMHS teams Phase IIIa: 6 CAMHS teams Phase IIIb: 62 clinicians and staff (Implementation and staff experiences)
York and Wilson 2012 ^{64,d}	New Zealand	Abstract	Not reported	Administrative data (wait times), families' satisfaction
Hong et al. 2014 ⁶⁹	Australia	Abstracts	Descriptive	Administrative data (wait times)
Clark et al. 2012 ^{58,a}	Canada	Report	Pre-post	114 clinicians, 218 parents/caregivers post CAPA Administrative data (wait times)
Chugg 2009 ³⁷	England	Journal article	Not reported	Administrative data (waiting lists)
Department for Children, School and Families 2009 ^{38,g}	England	Policy/practice guideline	Not reported	Administrative data (wait times)
Taylor and Duffy 2010 ³⁹	Scotland	Journal article	Descriptive	133 families (satisfaction)
Abidi 2014 ^{59,a}	Canada	Presentation	Not reported	Administrative data (wait times)
Curtis et al. 2010 ⁴⁰	England	Report	Descriptive	Administrative data (capacity and demand, wait times)
Quintana 2017 ¹⁶	Canada	Thesis	Other	Administrative (HR resources, numbers of session, wait times)

Perry et al. 2014 ⁴¹	England	Presentation	Descriptive	Administrative data (capacity and demand)
Murphy et al. (n.d.) ⁶⁰	Canada	Presentation	Pre-post	Administrative data (waits times, no shows, flow, appointments) Satisfaction, team feedback
Falconer and Milnes 2016 ^{65,d}	New Zealand	Presentation	Descriptive	52 clients Implementation, wait times
Robotham 2009 ^{17,c}	England	Report	Descriptive	Questionnaires: Phase 1a: 213 clinicians, staff Phase 1b: 53 CAMHS teams Phase 1c: 7 CAMHS teams Phase 2: 7 parents, 7 children/youth Focus groups/Interviews: Phase 2: 6 CAMHS teams, 3 parents, 6 children
Gardner et al. (n.d.) ^{61,a}	Canada	Presentation	Pre-post	1521 Administrative data (wait times, referrals)
Boyd and Wilson 2016 ⁴²	Scotland	Report	Descriptive	Administrative data (wait times)
Black (n.d.) ^{66,e}	New Zealand	Presentation	Descriptive	52 children/families Clinician, staff feedback
York and Kingsbury 2010(b) ^{28,c,d,e,h}	Australia, New Zealand, United Kingdom	Presentation	Summary of research	Administrative data (wait times, capacity and demand, referrals) Client/ family feedback (survey, interview) Clinician, staff feedback Referrer feedback
Cooney et al. 2019 ³⁴	Scotland	Journal article	Descriptive	106 clients'/ family's administrative data (wait times, flow)
Brown et al. 2021 ^{62,f}	Canada	Report	Descriptive	116 surveys with clinicians, staff 50 interviews with clinicians, staff, and clients 3 focus groups with 14 service providers
Jones 2012 ⁴³	England	Dissertation	Pre-post	Administrative data (wait times, attendance, referrals, flow) Clinician, staff feedback
Kingsbury and York 2006 ^{30,e,h}	England	Web report	Descriptive	Client feedback from 100 families Focus group with clinician, staff
Kingsbury and York 2008 ^{44,e}	England	Web report	Descriptive	48 client/ family feedback
Kingsbury and York 2007 ^{71,e}	Not reported	Web report	Descriptive	113 clinicians and managers Administrative data (wait times)

Stockbridge and Thompson 2007 ^{45,e}	England	Web report	Pre-post	Administrative data (wait times) Client/ family satisfaction
Jenkin 2006 ^{31,e}	Scotland	Presentation	Pre-post	Administrative data (wait times) Clinician, staff feedback Referrer feedback
Chaloub 2009 ^{46,e}	England	Presentation	Pre-post	Administrative data (wait times, flow) Clinician, staff feedback (3 teams)
Greaney 2009 ^{67,e}	New Zealand	Presentation	Descriptive	Focus groups with 53 clients Youth and youth consumer advisor feedback
Barnes 2009 ^{47,e}	England	Presentation	Pre-post	Administrative data (wait times) Family feedback Referrer feedback
Burhouse 2006 ^{48,e}	England	Web report	Not reported	Administrative data (wait times) Client/ family feedback Clinician, staff feedback
Botros 2009 ^{49,e}	England	Presentation	Descriptive	43 client/ family feedback
Thorpe 2010 ^{50,e}	England	Presentation	Descriptive	132 client/ family feedback
Kingsbury 2006 ^{32,e,h}	England	Web report	Descriptive	ESQ, Choice questionnaire (families) Focus group with clinicians
Fell 2010 ^{51,e}	England	Presentation	Not reported	Administrative data (wait times) 17 clinicians' feedback
Stapley 2007 ^{52,e}	England	Presentation	Not reported	Clients
Splevins 2007 ^{53,e,g}	England	Web report	Descriptive	Clients
Unknown 2008 ^{54,e}	England	Web report	Descriptive	Administrative data (wait times) Clinician, staff feedback
York and Kingsbury 2010(a) ^{28,c,d,e,h}	Australia, New Zealand, United Kingdom	Presentation	Summary of research	Administrative data (wait times) Clinician, staff feedback
Clark and Pajer 2016 ^{63,a}	Canada	Presentation	Descriptive, pre-post	Administrative data (wait times) Client satisfaction
Fitzpatrick and Wynn 2016 ⁵⁵	Wales	Web report	Descriptive	Administrative data (wait times) CAPA Fidelity (CAPA Component Rating Scale)
Johnstone et al. 2022 ^{33,f}	Canada	Journal article	Descriptive	50 interviews (clinicians), focus groups, online survey (115 participants)
Trafford Council (n.d.) ⁵⁶	England	Report/plan	Descriptive	Administrative data

Jones 2011 ^{57,i}	England	Journal article	Descriptive	Administrative data
----------------------------	---------	-----------------	-------------	---------------------

^a Clark et al. 2018, Clark et al. 2012, Abidi 2014, Gardner et al. 2016, and Clark and Pajer 2016 include information from the same evaluation.

^b Naughton et al. 2018 and Naughton et al. 2015 stem from the same evaluation.

^c Robotham et al. 2010 and Robotham 2009 stem from the same evaluation and both are captured in both York and Kingsbury 2010(a) and York and Kingsbury 2010(b)

^d York and Wilson 2012 and Falconer and Milnes 2016 stem from the same evaluation and are both captured in both York and Kingsbury 2010(a) and York and Kingsbury 2010(b)

^e Black (n.d.), Kingsbury and York 2006, Kingsbury and York 2008, Kingsbury and York 2007, Stockbridge and Thompson 2007, Jenkin 2006, Chaloub 2009, Greaney 2009, Barnes 2009, Burhouse 2006, Botros 2009, Thorpe 2010, Kingsbury 2006, Fell 2010, Stapley 2007, Splevins 2007, Unknown 2008, are captured in both York and Kingsbury 2010(a) and York and Kingsbury 2010(b)

^f Johnstone et al. 2022 includes information reported in Brown 2021

^g Splevins 2007 is reported as one of the case studies in Department of Children, Schools and Families 2009.

^h Kingsbury and York 2006 and Kingsbury 2006 report some of the same data and both are captured in both York and Kingsbury 2010(a) and York and Kingsbury 2010(b)

ⁱ Jones 2011 stems from the Curtis et al. 2010 evaluation.